

Psychological Science

MODELING SCIENTIFIC LITERACY
Second Edition

Mark Krause • Daniel Corts

Psychological Science

This page intentionally left blank

Psychological Science

Modeling Scientific Literacy

SECOND EDITION

Mark Krause

Southern Oregon University

Daniel Corts

Augustana College

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Hoboken
Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montréal Toronto
Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo

VP, Product Development: Dickson Musslewhite
Executive Editor: Erin Mitchell
Senior Development Editor: Mary Piper Hansen
Editorial Assistant: Danique Robinson
Program Manager: Reena Dalal
Program Team Lead: Amber Mackey
Project Manager: Sherry Lewis
Project Team Lead: Denise Forlow
VP Product Marketing, Business & Arts: Maggie Moylan Leen
Director of Field Marketing: Jonathan Cottrell
Senior Product Marketer: Lindsey Prudhomme Gill
Executive Field Marketer: Kate Stewart
Marketing Assistant, Field Marketing: Paige Patunas

Marketing Assistant, Product Marketing: Jessica Warren
Senior Operations Specialist: Diane Peirano
Operations Manager: Mary Fischer
Associate Director of Design: Blair Brown
Interior Designer: Kathryn Foot
Cover Designer: Maria Lange
Cover Art: HONGQI ZHANG/123RFscol22/123RF and
scol22/123RF
Digital Media Project Management: Caroline Fenton,
Pamela Weldin
Full-Service Project Management/Composition: Integra
Cover Printer: Phoenix Color/Hagerstown
Printer/Binder: RR Donnelley

Acknowledgements of third party content appear on pages 642–649, which constitutes an extension of this copyright page.

Copyright © 2016, 2014, 2012 by Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates. All Rights Reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise. For information regarding permissions, request forms and the appropriate contacts within the Pearson Education Global Rights & Permissions department, please visit www.pearsoned.com/permissions/

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Krause, Mark A. (Mark Andrew),
Psychological science : modeling scientific literacy / Mark Krause, Southern Oregon University,
Daniel Corts, Augustana College.—Second edition.
pages cm
Revised edition of Psychological science, 2014.
ISBN 978-0-13-410158-3
1. Psychology. 2. Psychology—Study and teaching. I. Corts, Daniel Paul, 1970- II. Title.
BF121.K723 2016
150—dc23

2015023381

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PEARSON

Student Edition

ISBN 10: 0-13-410158-8
ISBN 13: 978-0-13-410158-3

À la Carte Edition

ISBN 10: 0-13-422561-9
ISBN 13: 978-0-13-422561-6

*For Andrea and Finn. Both of you fuel
my passion and motivation for this endeavor.
I cannot thank you enough.*

Mark Krause

*To Kim, Sophie, and Jonah, for your patience,
understanding, and forgiveness during all
the hours this project has occupied me.*

Dan Corts

This page intentionally left blank

Brief Contents

1	Introducing Psychological Science	1	10	Life Span Development	315
2	Reading and Evaluating Scientific Research	31	11	Motivation and Emotion	356
3	Biological Psychology	66	12	Personality	396
4	Sensation and Perception	102	13	Psychological Disorders	429
5	Consciousness	143	14	Therapies	465
6	Learning	176	15	Social Psychology	499
7	Memory	215	16	Health, Stress, and Coping	532
8	Thought and Language	247	17	Industrial and Organizational Psychology	564
9	Intelligence, Aptitude, and Cognitive Abilities	280			

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

About the Authors	xvii	How should we think critically about using imagery in sports psychology?	28
From the Authors	xvii	How is imagery in sports psychology relevant?	28
Content and Features	xx	Chapter 1 Quiz	29
For Instructors	xxv		
Development Story	xxvii		
Acknowledgments	xxx		
1 Introducing Psychological Science	1	2 Reading and Evaluating Scientific Research	31
Module 1.1 The Science of Psychology	2	Module 2.1 Principles of Scientific Research	32
The Scientific Method	3	The Five Characteristics of Quality Scientific Research	33
Hypotheses: Making Predictions 3 • Explaining Phenomena 3		Scientific Measurement: Objectivity, Reliability, and Validity 33 • Generalizability of Results 34 • Sources of Bias in Psychological Research 35	
• The Biopsychosocial Model 5		Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Demand Characteristics and Participant Behavior	36
Building Scientific Literacy	5	Techniques That Reduce Bias 37 • Sharing the Results 38	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: How We Learn and Remember	5	Subjective Thinking: Anecdotes, Authority, and Common Sense	39
Critical Thinking, Curiosity, and a Dose of Healthy Skepticism	6	Summary	40
Key Practices to Critical Thinking 7 • Critical Thinking About Sources 8		Quiz	41
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Abducted by Aliens!	9	Module 2.2 Scientific Research Designs	42
Summary	9	Descriptive Research	43
Quiz	10	Correlational Research	43
Module 1.2 How Psychology Became a Science	11	■ MYTHS IN MIND: Beware of Illusory Correlations	45
Psychology's Philosophical and Scientific Origins	12	Experimental Research	45
Influences From Physics: Experimenting With the Mind 12 • Influences From Evolutionary Theory: The Adaptive Functions of Behavior 12 • Influences From Medicine: Diagnoses and Treatments 14 • The Influence of Social Sciences: Measuring and Comparing Humans 15		The Experimental Method 45 • The Quasi-Experimental Method 46	
The Beginnings of Contemporary Psychology	16	Summary	47
Structuralism and Functionalism: The Beginnings of Psychology 16 • The Rise of Behaviorism 17 • Humanistic Psychology Emerges 18 • The Cognitive Revolution 18		Quiz	48
• Sociocultural Perspectives in Psychology 19		Module 2.3 Ethics in Psychological Research	49
Summary	20	Promoting the Welfare of Research Participants	50
Quiz	20	Weighing the Risks and Benefits of Research 50	
Module 1.3 Putting Psychology to Work: Careers in Psychology and Related Fields	21	• Obtaining Informed Consent 50	
Professions in Psychology	22	The Welfare of Animals in Research	52
Research and Teaching 22 • Psychological Health and Well-Being 22 • Health and Medical Professions 23 • Psychology in the Corporate World 23 • I'm Not Planning on a Career in Psychology... 24		Ethical Collection, Storage, and Reporting of Data	53
Summary	25	Summary	53
Quiz	25	Quiz	54
Module 1.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Imagery in Sports	26	Module 2.4 A Statistical Primer	55
What do we know about sports psychology?	26	Descriptive Statistics	56
How do scientists study imagery in sports psychology?	27	Frequency 56 • Central Tendency 57 • Variability 58	
		Hypothesis Testing: Evaluating the Outcome of the Study	58
		Summary	59
		Quiz	60
		Module 2.5 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Self-reports	61
		What do we know about self-reports?	61
		How do scientists study self-reports?	61

How should we think critically about the research on self-report methods?	63
How is this research relevant?	63
Chapter 2 Quiz	64

3 Biological Psychology 66

Module 3.1 Genetic and Evolutionary Perspectives on Behavior	67
Hereditry and Behavior	68
The Genetic Code 68 • Behavioral Genetics: Twin and Adoption Studies 69 • Behavioral Genomics: The Molecular Approach 70	
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Single Genes and Behavior	70
Evolutionary Insights into Human Behavior	71
Evolutionary Psychology 71	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Evolutionary Psychology and Attraction	71
Cultural and Environmental Contributions to Behavior 73	
Summary	74
Quiz	74
Module 3.2 How the Nervous System Works:	
Cells and Neurotransmitters	75
Neural Communication	76
Glial Cells 77 • The Neuron's Electrical System: Resting and Action Potentials 77 • Types of Neurotransmitters 79	
■ MYTHS IN MIND: We Are Born With All the Brain Cells We Will Ever Have	79
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Pain and Substance P	81
Drug Effects on Neurotransmission 82	
Hormones and the Endocrine System	82
Summary	83
Quiz	84
Module 3.3 Structure and Organization of the Nervous System	85
Divisions of the Nervous System	85
The Peripheral Nervous System 86 • The Central Nervous System 86	
The Brain and Its Structures	86
The Hindbrain: Sustaining the Body 87 • The Midbrain: Sensation and Action 88 • The Forebrain: Emotion, Memory, and Thought 88 • The Cerebral Cortex 89	
Hemispheric Specialization	91
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Neuroplasticity and Recovery from Brain Injury	92
Windows to the Brain: Measuring and Observing Brain Activity	93
Electrophysiology 94 • Brain Imaging 94 • Lesioning and Brain Stimulation 95	
Summary	96
Quiz	96
Module 3.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Is Football Too Dangerous?	97
What do we know about the risks of football?	97
How do scientists study the risks of football?	98

How should we think critically about the risks of football?	99
How is this information relevant to the editor's position on football injuries?	100
Chapter 3 Quiz	100

4 Sensation and Perception 102

Module 4.1 Sensation and Perception at a Glance	103
Sensing the World Around Us	104
Stimulus Thresholds 104 • Signal Detection 105	
Perceiving the World Around Us	106
Gestalt Principles of Perception 106	
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Setting the Record Straight on Subliminal Messaging	107
Top-Down and Bottom-Up Processing 107	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Attention, Perception, and Task Performance	108
Missing the Obvious: Inattentional Blindness 109	
Summary	111
Quiz	111
Module 4.2 The Visual System	112
The Human Eye	113
How the Eye Gathers Light 113 • The Structure of the Eye 113 • The Retina: From Light to Nerve Impulse 114 • The Optic Nerve 115 • The Visual Pathways to the Brain 115	
The Visual Experience	116
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Object Recognition	117
Facial Recognition and Perception 118 • Depth Perception 119 • Color Perception 120	
Summary	122
Quiz	123
Module 4.3 The Auditory System	124
Sound and the Structures of the Ear	125
Sound 125 • The Human Ear 125	
The Perception of Sound	126
Sound Localization: Finding the Source 126 • Pitch Perception 127	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Deafness	128
Summary	129
Quiz	130
Module 4.4 Touch and the Chemical Senses	131
The Sense of Touch	132
How We Perceive Touch 132 • Feeling Pain 133	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Phantom Limb Sensations	134
The Chemical Senses: Taste and Smell	135
The Gustatory System: Taste 135 • The Olfactory System: Smell 137	
Summary	138
Quiz	138
Module 4.5 Scientific Literacy Challenge:	
Distracted Drivers	139
What do we know about distracted driving?	139
How do scientists study distracted driving?	140

How can we think critically about distracted drivers?	141
How is distracted driving relevant?	141
Chapter 4 Quiz	142
 5 Consciousness	 143
Module 5.1 Biological Rhythms of Consciousness:	
Wakefulness and Sleep	144
What Is Sleep, and Why Do We Need It?	145
Circadian Rhythms 145 • The Stages of Sleep 146	
Theories of Dreaming	148
The Psychoanalytic Approach 148 • The Activation–Synthesis Hypothesis 148	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Searching for Meaning in Dreams	149
Disorders and Problems with Sleep	150
Insomnia 150 • Nightmares and Night Terrors 151	
• Movement Disturbances 151 • Sleep Apnea 152	
• Narcolepsy 152 • Sleep State Misperception 152	
• Overcoming Sleep Problems 153	
Summary	154
Quiz	155
Module 5.2 Altered States of Consciousness: Hypnosis, Meditation, and Disorders of Consciousness	156
Hypnosis	157
Theories of Hypnosis 157 • Applications of Hypnosis 157	
Meditation and Déjà Vu	158
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Recovering Lost Memories Through Hypnosis	158
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Meditation	159
Déjà Vu 160	
Disorders of Consciousness	161
Summary	161
Quiz	162
Module 5.3 Drugs and Conscious Experience	163
Commonly Abused Illicit Drugs	164
Stimulants 164 • Hallucinogens 165 • Marijuana 166	
• Opiates 166	
Legal Drugs and Their Effects on Consciousness	167
Sedatives 167 • Prescription Drug Abuse 167	
• Alcohol 167	
Habitual Drug Use	168
Substance Abuse, Tolerance, and Dependence 168	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Psychological and Physical Effects of Drugs	169
Summary	170
Quiz	171
Module 5.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge:	
Cognitive Enhancers	171
What do we know about cognitive enhancers?	171
How do scientists study cognitive enhancers?	172
How do we think critically about cognitive enhancers?	173
How is the research on cognitive enhancers relevant?	174
Chapter 5 Quiz	174

 6 Learning	 176
Module 6.1 Classical Conditioning: Learning by Association	177
Pavlov’s Dogs: Classical Conditioning of Salivation	178
Processes of Classical Conditioning	180
Acquisition, Extinction and Spontaneous Recovery 180	
• Stimulus Generalization and Discrimination 181	
Applications of Classical Conditioning	181
Conditioned Fear and Anxiety 181 • Conditioned Taste Aversions 183	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Conditioning and Advertising	185
Drug Use and Tolerance 186 • The Paradox of Diet Beverages 187	
Summary	187
Quiz	188
Module 6.2 Operant Conditioning: Learning Through Consequences	189
Processes of Operant Conditioning	190
Reinforcement and Punishment 190 • Primary and Secondary Reinforcers 192 • Positive and Negative Reinforcement and Punishment 192 • Extinction, Stimulus Control, Generalization, and Discrimination 193	
Applications of Operant Conditioning	194
Shaping 194 • Schedules of Reinforcement 195	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Reinforcement and Superstition	196
Applying Punishment 198	
Summary	199
Quiz	200
Module 6.3 Cognitive and Observational Learning	201
Cognitive Perspectives on Learning	202
The Past Predicts the Future: Cognitive Processes and Conditioning 202 • Latent Learning 202 • Successful Long-Term Learning and Desirable Difficulties 203	
Observational Learning and Imitation	205
Processes Supporting Observational Learning 205	
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Teaching Is Uniquely Human	206
Media Effects on Learning and Behavior 207	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Video Gaming and Behavior	207
Summary	209
Quiz	209
Module 6.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Corporal Punishment	210
What do we know about corporal punishment?	210
How do scientists study the effects of corporal punishment?	210
How do we think critically about corporal punishment?	212
How is corporal punishment relevant?	212
Chapter 6 Quiz	213
 7 Memory	 215
Module 7.1 Memory Systems	216
The Atkinson-Shiffrin Model	217
Sensory Memory 217	

Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Distinguishing Short-Term from Long-Term Memory Stores	218
The Working Memory Model: An Active STM System	219
The Dimensions of STM 220 • The Phonological Loop 221	
• The Visuospatial Sketchpad 221 • The Episodic Buffer 221	
Long-Term Memory Systems: Declarative and Nondeclarative Memories	222
The Cognitive Neuroscience of Memory	223
The Brain Basis of Memory 223 • Amnesias and Memory Systems 224	
Summary	225
Quiz	226
Module 7.2 Encoding and Retrieving Memories	227
Encoding and Retrieval	228
Rehearsal: The Basics of Encoding 228	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Levels of Processing	228
Encoding Specificity 230	
Emotional Memories	230
Forgetting and Remembering	231
The Forgetting Curve: How Soon We Forget... 231	
■ MYTHS IN MIND: The Accuracy of Flashbulb Memories	231
Mnemonics: Improving Your Memory Skills 232	
Summary	233
Quiz	234
Module 7.3 Constructing and Reconstructing Memories	235
How Memories Are Organized and Constructed	236
The Schema: An Active Organization Process 236	
• Constructing Memories 236	
False Memories: Constructing Memories of What Never Happened	237
The Misinformation Effect 238 • The DRM Paradigm 238	
• Imagery and False Memories 238 • The Danger of False Remembering 239	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Eyewitness Testimony	240
Summary	242
Quiz	242
Module 7.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Can You Build a Better Memory?	243
What do we know about brain-training programs?	243
How do scientists study brain-training programs?	244
How do we think critically about brain-training programs?	245
How is brain training relevant?	245
Chapter 7 Quiz	246
8 Thought and Language	247
Module 8.1 The Organization of Knowledge	248
Concepts and Categories	249
Classical Categories: Definitions and Rules 249	
• Categorization by Comparison: Prototypes and Exemplars 250 • Networks and Hierarchies 251	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Priming and Semantic Networks	252
Culture and Categories	253
■ MYTHS IN MIND: How Many Words for Snow?	255
Summary	255
Quiz	256
Module 8.2 Problem Solving, Judgment, and Decision Making	257
Defining and Solving Problems	258
The Parts of a Problem 258 • Problem-Solving Strategies and Techniques 258 • Cognitive Obstacles 259	
Judgment and Decision Making	260
Representativeness and Availability 260 • Anchoring Effects 261 • The Benefits of Heuristic Thinking 261	
• Belief Perseverance and Confirmation Bias 262	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Maximizing and Satisficing in Complex Decisions	262
Summary	265
Quiz	265
Module 8.3 Language and Communication	266
What Is Language?	267
Phonemes and Morphemes: The Basic Ingredients of Language 267 • Syntax 268 • Pragmatics 268	
Language Development, Evolution, and the Brain	268
Language in the Brain 269	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Genes and Language	270
Age of Acquisition: The Sensitive Period for Learning a Language 272 • Patterns of Language Acquisition 272	
• Can Animals Use Language? 272	
Summary	274
Quiz	274
Module 8.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Second-Language Learning	275
What do we know about second-language learning?	275
How do scientists study second-language learners?	276
How should we think critically about second-language education?	277
How is second-language education relevant?	277
Chapter 8 Quiz	278
9 Intelligence, Aptitude, and Cognitive Abilities	280
Module 9.1 Measuring Aptitude and Intelligence	281
Achievement and Aptitude	282
Constructing and Evaluating Tests 282 • Standardization and Norms 283	
Approaches to Intelligence Testing	283
The Stanford-Binet Test 284 • The Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale 284 • Raven's Progressive Matrices 285 • Measuring Perception and Memory 285	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Brain Size and Intelligence	288
Summary	289
Quiz	290
Module 9.2 Understanding Intelligence	291
Intelligence as a Single, General Ability	292
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Testing for Fluid and Crystallized Intelligence	293

Intelligence as Multiple, Specific Abilities	295	• The Formal Operational Stage: Abstract and Hypothetical Thought	330
Evaluating Theories of Single and Multiple Intelligences	296	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Evaluating Piaget	331
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Learning Styles	296	Complementary Approaches to Piaget	332
The Flynn Effect: Is Everyone Getting Smarter?	298	Social Development: Forming Attachments and Understanding Others	333
Summary	299	Types of Attachment	333 • Social Cognition
Quiz	299	Summary	336
Module 9.3 Heredity, Environment, and Intelligence	300	Quiz	337
Intelligence and Heredity	301	Module 10.3 Adolescence and Adulthood	338
Twin and Adoption Studies	301 • Behavioral Genomics and Intelligence	Cognitive Development: Thinking and Moral Reasoning in Adolescence	339
Environmental Influences on Intelligence	302	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Adolescent Risk and Decision Making	339
Health and Nutrition	303	Moral Development: Learning Right From Wrong	340
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Can Media Make Babies Smarter?	304	Social Development: Identity and Relationships in Adolescence	342
Group Similarities and Differences in Test Scores	305	Who Am I? Identity Formation During Adolescence	342
Do Males and Females Have Unique Cognitive Skills?	305	• Peer Groups and Status	343 • Adolescent Sexuality
• Racial and Socioeconomic Similarities and Differences	306	Adulthood and Aging	345
• Summary of Group-Differences Research	306	Cognition and the Brain	346 • Social Development: Intimacy, Commitment, and Parenting
Beyond the Test: Personal Beliefs Affect IQ Scores	306	Summary	350
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Beliefs About Intelligence	307	Quiz	351
Summary	309	Module 10.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Free-Range Parenting	351
Quiz	309	What do we know about the free-range parenting style?	351
Module 9.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge:		How do scientists study free-range parenting?	352
Admissions Tests	310	How do we think critically about free-range parenting?	353
What do we know about college admissions tests?	310	How is the idea of free-range parenting relevant?	354
How do scientists study college admissions tests?	311	Chapter 10 Quiz	354
How do we think critically about college admissions tests?	312		
How is this research on college admissions tests relevant?	313		
Chapter 9 Quiz	313		
		11 Motivation and Emotion	356
10 Life Span Development	315	Module 11.1 Hunger and Eating	357
Module 10.1 Methods, Concepts, and Prenatal Development	316	Physiological Aspects of Hunger	358
Measuring Developmental Trends: Methods and Patterns	317	Psychological Aspects of Hunger	359
Methods of Measuring Development	317 • Patterns of Development: Stages and Continuity	Tastes, Textures, and Eating	360
Development: Stages and Continuity	318 • The Importance of Sensitive Periods	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Why Dietary Fat Can Be So Tempting	360
Brain and Early Behavior	318	Food Variety and Eating	361 • Eating and the Social Context
Fetal Brain Development	320	Disorders of Eating	362
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: The Long-Term Effects of Premature Birth	321	Obesity	362 • Anorexia and Bulimia
Nutrition, Teratogens, and Fetal Development	322	Summary	364
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Vaccinations and Autism	323	Quiz	365
Sensory and Motor Abilities of Newborns	323	Module 11.2 Sexual Motivation	366
Summary	324	Human Sexual Behavior: Psychological and Biological Influences	367
Quiz	325	Psychological Measures of Sexual Motivation	367
Module 10.2 Infancy and Childhood	326	• Biological Measures of Sex	368
Physical Changes in Infancy and Childhood	327	Variations in Sexual Orientation	370
Cognitive Changes: Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory	328	Sexual Orientation and the Brain	370
The Sensorimotor Stage: Objects and the Physical World	328	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Hormones and Sexual Orientation	372
• The Preoperational Stage: Quantity and Numbers	329	Genetics and Sexual Orientation	373
• The Concrete Operational Stage: Using Logical Thought	330	■ MYTHS IN MIND: Sex After 60?	374
		Summary	374
		Quiz	375

Module 11.3 Social and Achievement Motivation	376	Module 12.3 Psychodynamic and Humanistic Approaches to Personality	417
The Need to Belong	377	The Psychodynamic Perspective	418
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Terror Management Theory and the Need to Belong	378	The Structure of Personality 418 • Unconscious Processes and Psychodynamics 419	
Achievement Motivation	379	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Suppression as a Defense Mechanism	420
Summary	381	Psychosexual Development 421 • Exploring the Unconscious With Projective Tests 421 • Alternative Psychodynamic Approaches 422	
Quiz	381	Humanistic Approaches to Personality	423
Module 11.4 Emotion	382	Summary	424
Biology of Emotion	383	Quiz	424
The Autonomic Response: Fight or Flight? 383 • Emotion and Deception 384 • The Emotional Brain: Perception and Action 385		Module 12.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Online Matchmaking	425
The Psychological Experience of Emotions: Competing Theories	386	What do we know about online matchmaking sites?	425
The James-Lange and Cannon-Bard Theories of Emotion 386 • Schachter's Two-Factor Theory 387		How do scientists study online matchmaking?	425
The Role of Culture in Emotions	387	How do we think critically about online matchmaking services?	426
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Cultural Variations in Emotion Interpretation	388	How are online matchmaking services relevant?	427
Summary	390	Chapter 12 Quiz	427
Quiz	390	13 Psychological Disorders	429
Module 11.5 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Airport Behavioral Screening	391	Module 13.1 Defining and Classifying Psychological Disorders	430
What do we know about behavioral screening?	391	Defining and Diagnosing Abnormal Behavior	431
How do scientists study airport behavioral screening?	392	Mental Health in the Public Sphere	432
How can we think critically about airport behavioral screening?	393	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Labeling, Stigma, and Psychological Disorders	433
How is airport behavioral screening relevant?	394	The Insanity Defense 434	
Chapter 11 Quiz	394	Summary	435
12 Personality	396	Quiz	435
Module 12.1 Contemporary Approaches to Personality	397	Module 13.2 Personality and Dissociative Disorders	436
The Trait Perspective	398	Defining and Classifying Personality Disorders	437
The Five Factor Model 399		Borderline Personality 437 • Histrionic Personality 438 • Narcissistic Personality 438	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: How Accurate Are Self-Ratings?	400	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Antisocial Personality Disorder	438
Normal and Abnormal Personality: The MMPI-2 401		The Development of Personality Disorders 439	
• Personality Traits Over the Life Span 402 • Personality Traits and States 403 • Other Methods for Measuring Personality 404		Dissociative Identity Disorder	440
Behaviorist and Social-Cognitive Perspectives	404	Summary	441
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Does Criminal Profiling Solve Crimes?	404	Quiz	442
Summary	405	Module 13.3 Anxiety and Mood Disorders	443
Quiz	406	Anxiety Disorders	444
Module 12.2 Cultural and Biological Approaches to Personality	407	Generalized Anxiety Disorder 444 • Panic Disorder and Agoraphobia 444	
Culture and Personality	408	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Specific Phobias	445
Genes, Evolution, and Personality	409	Social Phobias 446 • Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder 446 • Trauma and Stress-Related Disorders 447 • The Vicious Cycle of Anxiety 447	
Twin Studies 410		Mood Disorders	447
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: From Molecules to Personality	411	Major Depression and Bipolar Disorder 448 • Biopsychosocial Aspects of Depression 448 • Suicide 450	
Animal Behavior: The Evolutionary Roots of Personality 412		Summary	451
• Evolution and Individual Differences in Personality Traits 413		Quiz	452
The Brain and Personality	414	Module 13.4 Schizophrenia	453
Summary	415		
Quiz	416		

Symptoms and Characteristics of Schizophrenia	454	■ MYTHS IN MIND: Antidepressant Drugs Are Happiness Pills	489
Biological Factors and Schizophrenia	455	Alternatives to Drug Therapies	489 • Diversity and Drug Treatments 490
Schizophrenia and the Nervous System	455	Surgery and Brain Stimulation	490
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Schizophrenia Is Not a Sign of Violence or Genius	455	Surgery	490 • Brain Stimulation 491 • Evaluating Surgery and Brain Stimulation 492
Genetics	456	Summary	492
Environmental and Cultural Influences on Schizophrenia	457	Quiz	493
Environmental and Prenatal Factors	457 • Cultural Factors 457	Module 14.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge:	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: The Neurodevelopmental Hypothesis of Schizophrenia	458	Bibliotherapy	494
Summary	459	What do we know about bibliotherapy?	494
Quiz	459	How do scientists study bibliotherapy?	495
Module 13.5 Scientific Literacy Challenge:		How do we think critically about bibliotherapy?	496
Bereavement	460	How is bibliotherapy relevant?	496
What do we know about bereavement?	460	Chapter 14 Quiz	497
How do scientists study bereavement?	461		
How do we think critically about bereavement?	461		
How is diagnosing bereavement relevant?	462		
Chapter 13 Quiz	463		
14 Therapies	465	15 Social Psychology	499
Module 14.1 Treating Psychological Disorders	466	Module 15.1 Social Influences on Behavior and Attitudes	500
Mental Health Providers and Settings	467	Norms, Roles, and Conformity	501
Mental Health Providers	467 • Inpatient Treatment and Deinstitutionalization 468 • Outpatient Treatment and Prevention 469	Norms and Roles	501 • Mimicry and Conformity 502
Barriers to Psychological Treatment	469	Group Influence and Authority	503
Expense and Opportunity	470 • Stigma and Self-Consciousness 470 • Involuntary and Court-Ordered Treatment 471	Social Loafing and Social Facilitation	503
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Technology and Mental Health Treatment	471	• Groupthink 504 • Obedience to Authority 504	
Evaluating Treatments	472	Attitudes and Actions	505
Empirically Supported Treatments	472	Conforming to Group Attitudes	506 • Group Polarization 506 • Cognitive Dissonance 507
Summary	473	• Persuasion 508	
Quiz	474	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Two-Step Persuasion Techniques	508
Module 14.2 Psychological Therapies	475	Summary	510
Insight Therapies	476	Quiz	511
Psychoanalysis: Exploring the Unconscious	476 • Modern Psychodynamic Therapies 477 • Humanistic Therapy 477 • Evaluating Insight Therapies 478	Module 15.2 Social Cognition	512
Behavioral, Cognitive, and Group Therapies	478	Person Perception	513
Exposure and Systematic Desensitization	478	Thin Slices of Behavior	513 • Self-Fulfilling Prophecies and Other Effects of First Impressions 514 • Attributions 514
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Virtual Reality Therapies	479	Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Discrimination	516
Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies	481 • Group and Family Therapies 482 • Evaluating Cognitive, Behavioral, and Group Therapies 482 • Client and Therapist Factors 483	Social Trends in Stereotypes and Prejudice	516
Summary	483	■ MYTHS IN MIND: All Stereotypes Are Based on Negative Characteristics	516
Quiz	484	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Explicit Versus Implicit Measures of Prejudice	517
Module 14.3 Biomedical Therapies	485	Summary	519
Drug Treatments	486	Quiz	519
Antipsychotic Drugs	486 • Antianxiety Drugs 486 • Antidepressants and Mood Stabilizers 486	Module 15.3 Helping and Harming Others	520
Work the Scientific Literacy Model: Ketamine: A Different Kind of Antidepressant	487	Empathy, Altruism, and Helping	521
Evaluating Drug Therapies	488	Social-Cognitive Approaches to Helping	521 • Biological Approaches to Helping 522 • Failing to Help: The Bystander Effect 523
		Aggression	523
		Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Biological Influences on Aggression	524
		Personality and Aggression	525 • The Situational Causes of Aggression 525 • Cultural Influences on Aggression 525
		Summary	526
		Quiz	527

Module 15.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Gender and Scientific Careers	528	17 Industrial and Organizational Psychology	564
What do we know about gender and scientific careers?	528	Module 17.1 Personnel Psychology: Hiring and Maintaining an Effective Workforce	565
How do scientists study gender and scientific careers?	528	Job Analysis: Understanding the Requirements for the Job	566
How should we think critically about gender and scientific careers?	529	Selection: Hiring the Right People	567
How is this gender and STEM research relevant?	530	Interviewing 567	
Chapter 15 Quiz	531	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Personality Selection Tests	568
 16 Health, Stress, and Coping	532	Cognition-Based Selection Tests 570 • The Assessment Center 571	
Module 16.1 Behavior and Health	533	Performance Appraisal	571
Smoking	534	What Needs to Be Evaluated? 571 • Who Conducts the Evaluation? 571 • Preventing Bias in Evaluation 572	
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Media Exposure and Smoking	534	Summary	572
Obesity	536	Quiz	573
Biological Factors 536 • Social Factors 536		Module 17.2 Affect, Attitudes, and Behavior at Work	574
• The Sedentary Lifestyle 537		Employee Affect, Attitudes, and Job Satisfaction	575
Psychosocial Influences on Health	537	Job Satisfaction Versus Burnout 575 • Absenteeism and Turnover 577 • Reducing the Effects of Burnout 578	
Poverty and Discrimination 538 • Family and Social Environment 538 • Social Contagion 539		Workplace Aggression	578
Summary	539	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Hostility in the Workplace	579
Quiz	540	Sexual Harassment 580	
Module 16.2 Stress and Illness	541	■ MYTHS IN MIND: The Many Misunderstandings About Sexual Harassment	581
Physiology of Stress	544	Summary	581
The Stress Pathways 544 • Oxytocin: To Tend and Befriend 545		Quiz	582
Stress, Immunity, and Illness	546	Module 17.3 Leadership and Teamwork	583
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Relationships and Health	546	Finding and Producing Effective Leaders	584
Coronary Heart Disease 547 • Aids 548 • Cancer 548		Leadership Styles 585	
Stress, Personality, and Illness	548	Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Character and Leadership	586
■ MYTHS IN MIND: Stress and Ulcers	549	Working in Teams	586
Summary	550	Inputs 587 • Process: What the Team Does 587	
Quiz	550	• Output 587	
Module 16.3 Coping and Well-Being	551	Summary	588
Coping	552	Quiz	588
Positive Coping Strategies 552 • Biofeedback, Relaxation, and Meditation 553 • Religion and Spirituality 554 • Negativity and Pessimism 554		Module 17.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Money as a Motivator	589
Perceived Control	554	What do we know about employee motivation?	589
Working the Scientific Literacy Model: Compensatory Control and Health	555	How do scientists study pay as a motivator?	589
Nutrition and Exercise	557	How do we think critically about using money as a motivator?	590
Nutrition and Cognitive Function 557 • Exercise 558		How is this relevant to business?	591
Summary	559	Chapter 17 Quiz	592
Quiz	559	Glossary	594
Module 16.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Forgiveness	560	References	607
What do we know about forgiveness?	560	Credits	642
How do scientists study forgiveness?	560	Name Index	650
How should we think critically about forgiveness?	561	Subject Index	659
How is forgiveness relevant?	562		
Chapter 16 Quiz	562		

About the Authors



Dr. Mark Krause received his Bachelor's and Master's degrees at Central Washington University, and his PhD at the University of Tennessee in 2000. He completed a post-doctoral appointment at the University of Texas at Austin where he studied classical conditioning of sexual behavior in

birds. Following this, Krause accepted a research fellowship through the National Institute of Aging to conduct research on cognitive neuroscience at Oregon Health and Sciences University. He has conducted research and published on pointing and communication in chimpanzees, predatory behavior in snakes, the behavioral and evolutionary basis of conditioned sexual behavior, and the influence of testosterone on cognition and brain function. Krause began his teaching career as a doctoral candidate and continued to pursue this passion even during research appointments. His teaching includes courses in general psychology, learning and memory, and behavioral neuroscience. Krause is currently a professor of psychology at Southern Oregon University, where his focus is on teaching, writing, and supervising student research. His spare time is spent with his family, cycling, reading, and enjoying Oregon's outdoors.



Dr. Daniel Corts discovered psychology at Belmont University where he received his B.S. He completed a PhD in Experimental Psychology at the University of Tennessee in 1999 and then a post-doctoral position at Furman University for one year where he focused on the teaching of

psychology. He is now professor of psychology at Augustana College in Rock Island, IL where he has taught for over 15 years. His research interests in cognition have led to publications on language, gesture, and memory, and he has also published in the area of college student development. Corts is increasingly involved in applied work, developing programming and assessments related to K-12 educational programming and teacher preparation. Corts is enthusiastic about getting students involved in research and has supervised or coauthored over 100 conference presentations with undergraduates. Corts has served as the local Psi Chi advisor for a dozen years and has served on the Board of Directors for several years, including his current term as President. In his spare time, he enjoys spending time with his two children, traveling, camping, and cooking.

From the Authors

Welcome to the second edition of *Psychological Science: Modeling Scientific Literacy*! It is a great privilege for us to offer an updated and revised version of our textbook. Much has happened in psychology since the first edition and we are excited to present the latest and greatest that our field has to offer. Of course, equally if not more important to keeping up with the science is ensuring that our readers find our book accessible, interesting, and hopefully inspiring. To this end we have, as authors should, put ourselves in the mindset of a college student first encountering this material and re-read the entire book. There is no better way to begin the process of revising and improving a textbook. In the second edition we have continued our emphasis on

helping the reader organize and assess their thinking and learning about the material. Each module includes learning objectives of increasing depth (knowing, understanding, analyzing and applying) and end of module quiz and chapter items assessing learning at each level. Also new to this edition are journal prompts in each module which prime students to think deeply about specific topics, take a stance on an issue, or apply a concept to oneself.


We firmly believe that a well-rounded college education requires a healthy dose of science. This is true regardless of an individual's personal and career goals. To this end, *Psychological Science* is written from the perspective of scientific literacy—the ability not only to define scientific

terminology, but also to understand how it functions, to critically evaluate it, and to apply it to personal and societal matters. Scientific literacy comprises four interrelated components:

1. **knowledge:** what do we know about a phenomenon?
2. **scientific explanation:** how does science explain the psychological process we are examining?
3. **critical thinking:** how do we interpret and evaluate all types of information, including scientific reporting?
4. **application:** how does research apply to your own life and to society?

Psychological Science presents students with a model for scientific literacy; this model forms the core of how this book is written and organized. We believe a scientific literacy perspective and model will prove useful in addressing two course needs we often hear from instructors—to provide students with a systematic way to categorize the overwhelming amount of information they are confronted with, and to cultivate their curiosity and help them understand the relevance, practicality, and immense appeal of psychological science.

Psychological Science models the processes of scientific thinking. As was the case in the first edition, the elements of scientific literacy are explicitly demonstrated once per module, and implicitly throughout. We are very excited to introduce a new feature for the second edition: the Scientific Literacy Challenge. At the end of each chapter students can practice applying scientific and critical thinking to an important, contemporary issue. We tackle such topics as free-range parenting, cognitive enhancement drugs, college admissions testing, and brain training websites. Students are asked to read author created blog entries, editorials, or advertisements about these topics and evaluate them from a scientific literacy perspective through journal prompts, and multiple-choice, matching and true/false questions.



We thank the many instructors and students who have helped us craft this model and apply it to our discipline, and we look forward to your feedback. Please feel free to contact us and share your experiences with the 2nd edition of *Psychological Science*.

Mark Krause
krausema@sou.edu

Daniel Corts
danielcorts@augustana.edu

What's New?

The Scientific Literacy Challenge described above is an exciting new feature of the second edition. We also updated module openers to include contemporary and relevant examples, and updated research, and in some cases replaced, topics covered in the Working the Scientific Literacy Model features within each module. Naturally, the topics we cover involve ongoing and exciting research. Therefore, each chapter has been updated accordingly, and, in many places, we have added new topics we felt deserve inclusion in our book. For example:

- Chapter 1, “Introducing Psychological Science” includes additional instruction on critically thinking about sources. Students learn to evaluate a message by considering the author or speaker, the purpose of the article, the publisher or presenter, and other factors that affect the reliability and quality of the message. Interactive exercises help students understand the ways in which psychology may be expanded into other fields, such as sports psychology.
- Chapter 2, “Reading and Evaluating Scientific Research” provides additional tools to think about and understand the outcomes of research. The coverage of correlational methods is supplemented by instruction on how to think about what it means to be a small, medium, or large correlation. Similar improvements have been made to the discussion on how to understand group differences in experimental and quasi-experimental research.
- Chapter 3, “Biological Psychology” now begins by asking students to think about Paleo diets as a way to introduce evolutionary psychology. There is a new section introducing epigenetics. Citations and coverage have been expanded on topics of genomics, neurogenesis and brain plasticity, and brain imaging technology.

- Chapter 4, “Sensation and Perception” includes new interactive features for applying signal detection theory and monocular depth cues, and updated research and coverage of subliminal perception, multi-tasking, phantom limb therapies, and object recognition.
- Chapter 5, “Consciousness” includes a new Working the Scientific Literacy Model feature on the neurocognitive theory of dreaming, updated coverage on how marijuana and other drugs affect cognition, new research on dream sleep and memory, and the therapeutic effects of hypnosis and meditation.
- Chapter 6, “Learning” includes updated coverage on applications of classical conditioning; including taste aversions, advertisement, drug tolerance, in-text activities for practicing concepts of classical and operant conditioning, updated research and expanded personal application opportunities on topics of cognitive and long-term learning, a revised Working the Scientific Literacy Model feature to balance coverage of research on video game playing and violent behavior.
- Chapter 7, “Memory” has more coverage of applications including strengthening memories and the reliability of eyewitness testimony.
- Chapter 8, “Thought and Language” makes more connections between the often very abstract theories of reasoning and daily experience. For example, students can learn about individual differences in the reliance on representativeness, and how these differences relate to interest in superstition, the paranormal, and conspiracy theories.
- Chapter 9, “Intelligence and Aptitude, and Cognitive Abilities” includes updated citations and research, especially when covering intelligence and the brain. Also, students can engage in a debate on whether colleges and universities should consider personality tests as a supplement to or even a replacement for traditional college aptitude tests.
- Chapter 10, “Life Span Development” includes updated coverage of preterm infant development, child cognitive development, expanded and updated coverage of adolescent sexuality, brain development, and identity.
- Chapter 11, “Motivation and Emotion” includes updated research on sex and the brain, and sexual orientation, neural and psychological bases of hunger and eating, effects of loneliness and mental and physical health, and an in-text activity on achievement motivation.
- Chapter 12, “Personality” includes updated coverage of cultural and evolutionary influences on personality, practice application activities for the Big 5, cultural variation, and psychodynamic views on personality, updated discussion on scientific research on suppression and critical analysis of Freud’s views on personality.
- Chapter 13, “Psychological Disorders” has been updated throughout to reflect *DSM 5* revisions and recent prevalence statistics when available.
- Chapter 14, “Therapies” includes a new Working the Scientific Literacy Model feature about the use of mobile apps designed to improve mental health, updated coverage of topics such as empirically supported treatments, precision medicine and cultural factors related to drug treatments, effects of nutrients such as Omega-3 fatty acids on health, and reduced emphasis on outdated anti-depressant drugs and expanded coverage of potential new treatments (e.g., low-dose ketamine).
- Chapter 15, “Social Psychology” has updated and modified coverage of stereotypes and person perception. This reflects increased national awareness of the use of force by law enforcement, an extremely important topic that is also very engaging for students.
- Chapter 16, “Health, Stress, and Coping” has updated coverage on the effects of media exposure on health related behaviors (smoking), social contagion effects occurring via social media (Facebook), effects of stress on cognitive processes, posttraumatic growth, effects of exercise and nutrition on cognitive functioning.
- Chapter 17, “Industrial and Organizational Psychology” includes substantial updates to sections on selection and assessment. There is increased linkage between the sections on employee affect and leadership through an interactive investigation of how money may or may not be a useful motivator for employees.

Content and Features

Students in the general psychology course are inundated with many disparate pieces of information at a time when they are still developing the skills and strategies for organizing and making sense of that information. How do Working the Scientific Literacy Model and supporting features in *Psychological Science* address this issue?

Knowledge Gathering

What do we know about this?

Introductory psychology courses cover a vast amount of content drawn from diverse specialty areas. The organization of the material is central to helping students absorb this content.

Modules

Module 2.1 Principles of Scientific Research

Chapters are divided into modules to make it easier for students to organize content as well as to self-test and review their learning at regular intervals. For instructors, the modular content makes it easy to customize their delivery based on their preferred syllabus.



Learning Objectives

- 2.1a** Know . . . the key terminology related to the principles of scientific research.
- 2.1b** Understand . . . the five characteristics of quality scientific research.
- 2.1c** Understand . . . how biases might influence the outcome of a study.

Several years ago, the Society for Neuroscience invited the Dalai Lama, the spiritual leader of Tibetan Buddhism, to their annual meeting to discuss the practice of meditation. For most people, meditation is understood to be a mystical, subjective, non-scientific practice embraced by individuals outside the scientific community. It is likely that no more than a hundred—if not fewer—of the Society's 30,000 plus members had a professional, scientific interest in the subject. Why, then, would the Society invite the Dalai Lama to speak about a topic that was clearly not based in science? Several hundred Society members were so opposed to the Dalai Lama's talk that they signed a petition to cancel his scheduled appearance. But according to the Dalai Lama, the members' opposing opinions about the value of meditation are rooted in the same thing: an almost complete lack of understanding of the practice. Without the benefit

of careful observations and measurement, there really is no scientific way of saying whether meditation is worthwhile. Therefore, it is precisely this lack of understanding why scientists should be interested in meditation. In recent years, neuroscientists such as Richard Davidson and Antoine Lutz of the University of Wisconsin have confirmed that meditation does have numerous benefits. They have also developed models for how specific brain functions translate the practice of meditation into physical and psychological well-being. In Chapter 1, we argued that critical thinkers should be skeptical, as many Society members demonstrated. However, we also argued that critical thinkers should be curious and that their opinions should be modified to fit the evidence—something that Davidson, Lutz, and colleagues are working toward. In this chapter we turn to the process of gathering and evaluating evidence.

32

Learning Objectives

Learning Objectives are organized around an updated Bloom's taxonomy that aims to guide students to higher-level understanding. Summaries of the key points related to these objectives are provided at the end of each module. Objectives are listed at four levels of increasing complexity: know, understand, apply, and analyze.

Module Summaries

Module 2.1 Summary

- 2.1a** Know . . . the key terminology related to the principles of scientific research:

anecdotal evidence
appeal to authority
appeal to common sense
convenience samples
demand characteristics
double-blind study
ecological validity
generalizability
Hawthorne effect
objective measurements
operational definition
peer review
placebo effect
population
random sample
reliability
replication
sample
self-reporting
single-blind study
social desirability
validity
variable

- 2.1b** Understand . . . the five characteristics of quality scientific research.

These characteristics include (1) that measurements are objective, valid, and reliable; (2) the research can be generalized; (3) it uses techniques that reduce bias; (4) the findings are made public; and (5) the results can be replicated. For example, objective, valid, and reliable measurements make it possible for other scientists to test whether they could come up with the same results if they followed the same procedures. Psychologists mostly study samples of individuals, but usually they are more concerned about describing principles that generalize to a broader population. Single- and double-blind procedures are standard ways of reducing bias. Finally, the process of publishing results is what allows scientists to share information, evaluate hypotheses that have been confirmed or refuted, and, if needed, replicate other researchers' work.

- 2.1c** Understand . . . how biases might influence the outcome of a study.

Demand characteristics affect how participants respond in research studies. Understandably, they often attempt to portray themselves in a positive light, even if that means not answering questions or behaving in a fully truthful manner. Researchers can also influence the outcomes of their own studies, even unintentionally.

- 2.1d** Apply . . . the concepts of reliability and validity to examples.

Reliable and valid measures are essential to scientific research. Table 2.1 on page 35 provided an opportunity to apply your knowledge. In the first example, Dr. Tatum had to improve reliability; although her physiological instruments measured what they were supposed to (validity), they lacked reliability because they were inconsistent. In another example, Dr. Nielsen questioned the validity of his checklist for observing happiness in children. Although his team achieved consistent results (reliability), there was some question over whether it truly measured happiness, or perhaps just activity level.

- 2.1e** Analyze . . . whether anecdotes, authority figures, and common sense are reliably truthful sources of information.

To evaluate evidence, you should ask several questions. First, is support for the claim based on the words or endorsement of an authority figure? Endorsement by an authority is not necessarily a bad thing, as someone who is an authority (expert) at something should be able to back up the claim. But the authority of the individual alone is not satisfactory, especially if data gathered through good scientific methods do not support the claim. Second, is someone supplying anecdotal evidence? As convincing as a personal testimony may be, anecdotal evidence is not sufficient for backing any claim that can be scientifically tested. Common sense also has its place in daily life, but by itself is insufficient as a final explanation for anything. Explanations based on good scientific research should override those based on common sense.

jects. By examining and reporting an average effect for that group, psychologists can get a much better sense of how individuals are likely to behave. But how large of a group is it possible to study? Ideally, it would be best to study an entire **population**—the group that researchers want to generalize about. In reality, the task of finding all population members, persuading them to participate, and measuring their behavior is impossible in most cases. Instead, psychologists typically study a **sample**—a select

Key Terms


Key Terms are defined within the narrative, helping students place them in context, and are then listed again within the Module Summaries. A complete glossary is also included at the end of the text.

REVEL™

Fully digital and highly engaging, REVEL offers an immersive learning experience designed for the way today's students read, think, and learn. Enlivening course content with media interactives and assessments, REVEL empowers educators to increase engagement with the course, and to better connect with students: pearsonhighered.com/revel.

Most of the billions of cells in the human body include a nucleus that houses most of our genetic code (some additional DNA is located in a cellular structure called the mitochondrion). **Genes** are the basic units of heredity; they are responsible for guiding the process of creating the proteins that make up our physical structures and regulate development and physiological processes throughout the life span. Genes are organized along **chromosomes**, which are large molecules in the cellular nucleus that include the structures shaped like a double helix that are lined with all of the genes an individual inherits. Humans have approximately 30,000 genes distributed across 23 pairs of chromosomes, half contributed by the mother and half from the father (see Figure 3.1).

Figure 3.1 Chromosomes and the DNA Molecule



Module 2.1 Quiz

Know . . .

- The degree to which an instrument measures what it is intended to measure is known as _____.
 - validity
 - generalizability
 - verifiability
 - reliability
- When psychologists question how well the results of a study apply to other samples or perhaps other situations, they are inquiring about the _____ of the study.
 - validity
 - generalizability
 - verifiability
 - reliability

Understand . . .

- In a single-blind study, the participants do not know the purpose of the study or the condition to which they are assigned. What is the difference in a double-blind study?
 - The researcher tells the participants the purpose and their assigned conditions in the study.
 - The participants also do not know when the actual study

Apply . . .

- Dr. Rose gives a standardized personality test to a group of psychology majors in January and again in March. Each individual's score remained nearly the same over the two-month period. From this, Dr. Rose can infer that the test is _____.
 - reliable
 - generalizable
 - objective
 - verified

Analyze . . .

- You overhear someone claiming that 12-step programs are the only way to really quit abusing alcohol. "Because my brother is a doctor and so he should know." Regardless of the accuracy of the claim, this and other appeals to authority do not qualify as good evidence because:
 - they always lack common sense.
 - authority figures are likely to distort the truth.
 - authority does not mean that the claim is based on sound, scientific evidence.
 - authority is typically based on anecdotal evidence.

64 Chapter 2

Chapter 2 Quiz

- By studying a _____, scientists hope that they can generalize the results of their investigation to the _____.
 - sample; population
 - population; sample
 - convenience sample; random sample
 - random sample; convenience sample
- Which of the following is an example of demand characteristics affecting an experiment?
 - An experimenter draws the wrong conclusions from a study because she did not use the correct statistical analysis.
 - A participant changes his response to a question because he has the feeling that the experimenter wants him to do so.
 - An experimenter stops using a test because it does not appear to be reliable.
 - A participant in a double-blind experiment believes she is in the control group.
- Why is it a bad idea to draw conclusions from anecdotal evidence?
 - Such conclusions usually go against common sense.
 - Anecdotes are reliable only if they come from experts, which they rarely do.
 - Anecdotes are a single-blind technique, not a double-blind method.
 - Anecdotes are a double-blind technique, not a single-blind method.
- Which of the following statements describes the amount of cognitive and emotional risk to participants allowed in psychological research today?
 - Any amount of risk is acceptable.
 - No amount of risk is acceptable.
 - A little risk is always acceptable, but more than minimal risk is never acceptable.
 - The amount of acceptable risk depends in part on the likely benefits from the study.
- The use of deception in psychological research is:
 - not a serious issue.
 - never acceptable.
 - generally acceptable when absolutely necessary for the research.
 - acceptable only in nonhuman research.
- Under which of the following circumstances would the mean be the best measure of central tendency to use?
 - The data have a normal distribution.
 - The data are positively skewed.
 - The data are negatively skewed.
 - The mean is always the best measure of central tendency.
- A teacher notices that on the last science test, some students did very well, while other students performed poorly or had grades in the middle of the pack. If she wanted to measure how "spread out" all of the scores were, which descriptive statistic could

Module Quizzes End-of-Chapter Quizzes

Quizzes appear at the conclusion of modules and chapters. These quizzes contain multiple-choice questions that enable students to assess their comprehension and better prepare for exams. Like the Learning Objectives, the Module Quizzes assess understanding at the four levels of Bloom's taxonomy and are marked accordingly. **Answers to quizzes can be found in the Instructor's Manual.**

Scientific Explanation

How can science explain it?

This element of scientific literacy encompasses a basic understanding of research methodology and thinking about problems within a scientific framework. *Psychological Science* integrates and reinforces key research methodology concepts throughout the book. This interweaving of methodology encourages students to continue practicing their scientific thinking skills. As noted in the *National Science Education Standards*, learning science is more than accumulating facts; that is, students learn to ask questions, construct explanations, test those explanations, and communicate their ideas to others.

Module 2.1 Principles of Scientific Research



Learning Objectives

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>2.1a Know . . . the key terminology related to the principles of scientific research.</p> <p>2.1b Understand . . . the five characteristics of quality scientific research.</p> <p>2.1c Understand . . . how biases might influence the outcome of a study.</p> | <p>2.1d Apply . . . the concepts of reliability and validity to examples.</p> <p>2.1e Analyze . . . whether anecdotes, authority figures, and common sense are reliably truthful sources of information.</p> |
|---|--|

Module Opening Vignettes

Each module opens with a short vignette emphasizing the personal and societal relevance of certain topics to be covered.

Several years ago, the Society for Neuroscience invited the Dalai Lama, the spiritual leader of Tibetan Buddhism, to their annual emerging science meeting to discuss the practice of meditation. For most people, meditation is understood to be a mystical, subjective, nonscientific practice embraced by individuals outside the scientific community. It is likely that no more than a hundred—if not fewer—of the Society's 30,000 plus members had a professional, scientific interest in the subject. Why, then, would the Society invite the Dalai Lama to speak about a topic that was clearly not based in science? Several hundred Society members were so opposed to the Dalai Lama's talk that they signed a petition to cancel his scheduled appearance. But according to the Dalai Lama, the members' opposing opinions about the value of meditation are rooted in the same thing: an almost complete lack of understanding of the practice. Without the benefit

of careful observations and measurement, there really is no scientific way of saying whether meditation is worthwhile. Therefore, it is precisely this lack of understanding why scientists should be interested in meditation. In recent years, neuroscientists such as Richard Davidson and Antoine Lutz of the University of Wisconsin have confirmed that meditation does have numerous benefits. They have also developed models for how specific brain functions translate the practice of meditation into physical and psychological well-being. In Chapter 1, we argued that critical thinkers should be skeptical, as many Society members demonstrated. However, we also argued that critical thinkers should be curious and that their opinions should be modified to fit the evidence—something that Davidson, Lutz, and colleagues are working toward. In this chapter we turn to the process of gathering and evaluating evidence.

Myths in Mind

Many commonly held beliefs people have about behavior before taking a psychology course are half-truths or outright falsehoods. This feature sets the record straight in a concise and informative way. The selected examples are likely to have personal relevance to many readers and deal with important scientific issues.

Myths in Mind

We Are Born With All the Brain Cells We Will Ever Have

Until the 1960s neuroscientists were unaware that new nerve cells could generate once an organism was born. This conclusion made perfect sense because no one had ever seen new neurons form in adults, and severe neurological damage is often permanent. Advances in brain science have challenged this belief (Gage, 2000). Researchers have observed *neurogenesis*, which is the formation of new nerve cells, in several brain areas of rodents, monkeys, and humans (Braun & Jessberger, 2014). The growth of a new cell, including neurons that populate a few different brain regions as well as some glial cells, starts with stem cells, which are unique types of cells that do not have a special-

ized, genetically programmed function. When a stem cell divides, the resulting cells can become part of just about anything—bone, kidney, or brain tissue. What determines the type of nerve cell that develops and its migratory path within the brain is the stem cell's external chemical environment (Williams, Holman, & Klein, 2014). Our increased understanding of neurogenesis has raised some exciting possibilities; perhaps scientists can discover how to trigger neural growth in parts of the nervous system that do not naturally undergo neurogenesis throughout the life span. Developments in neural stem-cell research can bring added hope for recovery from brain injury and disease.

In recent years, an increasing number of instructors have begun to focus on telling students how psychological science fits within the scientific community. Psychology serves, in essence, as a hub science. Through this emphasis on scientific literacy in psychology, students begin to see the practicality and relevance of psychology and become more literate in the fields that our hub science supports.

Critical Thinking

Can we critically evaluate the evidence?

Many departments are focusing to an increasing extent on the development of critical thinking, as these skills are highly sought after in society and the workforce. Critical thinking is generally defined as the ability to apply knowledge, use information in new ways, analyze situations and concepts, and evaluate decisions. To develop critical thinking, the module objectives and quizzes are built around an updated Bloom's taxonomy. Objectives are listed at four levels of increasing complexity: *know*, *understand*, *apply*, and *analyze*. The following features also help students organize, analyze, and synthesize information. Collectively, these features encourage students to connect different levels of understanding with specific objectives and quiz questions.

Working the Scientific Literacy Model**Object Recognition**

Objects in our world can be seen from many different perspectives—that is, they can be seen from near or far, under varying levels of light, and from different angles. Given the numerous variations in how objects can be sensed, how is it that we still perceive them in the same, unified way?

What do we know about object recognition?

Despite the diverse ways that an object can be sensed, it is still perceived as the same object. This observation highlights what is called *perceptual constancy*, the ability to perceive objects as having constant shape, size, and color despite changes in perspective. What makes perceptual constancy possible is our ability to make relative judgments about shape, size, and lightness. For shape constancy, we judge the angle of the object relative to our position (see Figure 4.16). Color constancy allows us to recognize an object's color under varying levels of illumination. For example, a bright red car is recognized as bright red when in the shade or in full sunlight. Size constancy is based on judgments of how close an object is relative to one's position as well as to the positions of other objects. Also, our perception of objects involves distinguishing them individually, and identifying objects that appear frequently or rarely. As it turns

Figure 4.17 Find the T Among This Scattered Array of Offset Ls
It should not take you long to find the T. However, if a T was only actually mixed with Ls 2% of the time you were asked to locate one, you would likely miss it quite often.



out, the process of identifying objects can be much more complicated than just seeing and naming them.

How can science explain how object recognition occurs?

Psychologists who specialize in visual perception have attempted to identify conditions in which we are likely to succeed or fail to perceive objects. It turns out that the frequency with which we encounter objects is closely related to our perception of them.

To see how, view the stimulus in Figure 4.17. Scattered around the dark background are offset Ls. One of the objects, however, is actually a T. It is easy to spot and only

Working the Scientific Literacy Model

Working the Scientific Literacy Model, introduced in Chapters 1 and 2, and then featured in each module in the remaining chapters, fully integrates the model of scientific literacy. Core concepts are highlighted and students are walked through the steps of knowledge gathering, approaching the problem from a scientific standpoint, using critical thinking, and revealing applications.

Module 4.5 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Distracted Drivers

Cell phones made their first appearance in the retail market as car phones. Units had to be mounted in the interior of a vehicle and wired into its electrical system; they were literally part of the car. Ironically, there is now evidence suggesting that a car is the last place a cell phone should be. You have probably heard arguments in favor of banning drivers from using cell phones, and most states have enacted laws to curb their usage—especially for texting.

Before you start this activity, take a minute to write your thoughts about using a cell phone while driving.

JOURNAL PROMPT

Do you think cell phone use while driving should be legal, banned outright, or only permitted as hands-free devices for drivers? What experiences, assumptions, or statistics have influenced your opinion?

What do we know about distracted driving?

Read the following letter from a consumer advocacy group. Make sure you understand the boldfaced terms and concepts from Chapter 4 and that you have a clear sense of what the writer is claiming.

An Open Letter to Congress

By Vanessa Fowler, President, Federation of American Drivers

Dear Representative,

I am writing on behalf of the 320,000 members of the Federation of American Drivers (FAD) who, as a group, are concerned about a major threat to public safety: "Distracted drivers," who are responsible for more than 3,000 traffic deaths annually, and more than 420,000 significant injuries. Drivers face a barrage of sights and sounds that divide attention. Only a portion of these are relevant to driving; the rest are distractions, and few are as powerful and ubiquitous as the cell phone. FAD's position is that cell phone use should be completely banned for drivers of any age, any vehicle, on any public roadway. While several US states and territories have taken steps in that direction, far too few have enacted a complete ban.

Many people assume that the problem with cell phone use is limited to texting or conversations that require at least one hand off the wheel. However, data show that preoccupied hands are not the problem. The real issue is that cell phone use—including texting, talking, or using apps—demands that the user **selectively attends** to the task, which can block out virtually everything else. For drivers, that means blocking out the traffic, instruments on the dashboard, and pedestrians or cyclists. Scientists have shown that people are so good at selecting what to attend to that they can experience **inattention blindness**. In other words, a driver can be unaware of something they are looking directly at, simply because their attention is on their phone.

The FAD is advocating a very strict position on cell phones, one that they know will meet a lot of resistance. Read on to see what kinds of evidence they cite to support their argument.

Scientific Literacy Challenge

At the end of each chapter, students can practice applying scientific and critical thinking to an important, contemporary issue such as free-range parenting, cognitive enhancement drugs, college admissions testing, and brain training websites. Students are asked to read author created blog entries, editorials, or advertisements about these topics and evaluate them from a scientific literacy perspective through journal prompts and multiple-choice, matching, and true/false questions.

Application

Why is this relevant?

Psychology is a highly relevant, modern science. To be scientifically literate, students should relate psychological concepts to their own lives, making decisions based on knowledge, sound methodology, and skilled interpretation of information.

For Instructors

SCIENTIFIC LITERACY is a key course goal for many introductory psychology instructors.

Learning science is an active process. How do we help instructors model scientific literacy in the classroom and online in a way that meets the needs of today's students?

Organization

Instructors consistently tell us one of the main challenges they face when teaching the introductory psychology course is organizing engaging, current, and relevant materials to span the breadth of content covered. How do we help organize and access valuable course materials?

Revel

Reading has been the cornerstone of education since the advent of the printing press. Yet despite our world becoming ever more technologically interconnected, the ways in which learners and educators interact with the written word have remained largely static. With REVEL from Pearson, a new learning experience can begin. Through our interactions with customers around etexts, videos, and powerful reporting tools, we arrived at REVEL—an immersive learning experience that enlivens familiar and respected course content with media interactives and assessments. Designed for the way today's students read, think, and learn, REVEL empowers educators to increase engagement in the course, to better connect with students, and to break through to learning reimagined.

Writing Space

Better writers make great learners—who perform better in their courses. To help you develop and assess concept mastery and critical thinking through writing, we created Writing Space.

It's a single place to create, track, and grade writing assignments, provide writing resources, and exchange meaningful, personalized feedback with students, quickly and easily, including auto-scoring for practice writing prompts. Plus, Writing Space has integrated access to Turnitin, the global leader in plagiarism prevention.

Learning Catalytics

Learning Catalytics is a “bring your own device” student engagement, assessment, and classroom intelligence system. It allows instructors to engage students in class with real-time diagnostics. Students can use any modern, web-enabled device (smartphone, tablet, or laptop) to access it.

Instructor's Manual

The Instructor's Manual (ISBN 0134403045) includes suggestions for preparing for the course, sample syllabi, and current trends and strategies for successful teaching. Each chapter offers integrated teaching outlines, lists the key terms for each chapter for quick reference, and provides an extensive bank of lecture launchers, handouts, and activities, as well as suggestions for integrating third-party videos and web resources. The electronic format features click-and-view hotlinks that allow instructors to quickly review or print any resource from a particular chapter. This resource saves prep work and helps maximize classroom time. Chapter and module quiz answers can also be found in the Instructor's Manual.

Standard Lecture PowerPoint Slides (ISBN 0134377850) are available online at <http://www.pearsonhighered.com/irc>, with a more traditional format with excerpts of the text material, photos, and artwork.

Create a Custom Text

For courses with enrollments of at least 25 students, instructors can create their own textbook by combining chapters from best-selling Pearson textbooks or reading selections in a customized sequence. To begin building a custom text, visit www.pearsoncustomlibrary.com.

Instructors can also work with a dedicated Pearson Custom editor to create the ideal text—publishing original content or mixing and matching Pearson content. Contact a Pearson publisher's representative to get started.

ASSESSMENT

Instructors consistently tell us that assessing student progress is a critical component to their course and one of the most time-consuming tasks. Vetted, good-quality, easy-to-use assessment tools are essential. We have been listening and we have responded by creating the absolutely best assessment content available on the market today.

Test Bank

The Test Bank (ISBN 0134377842) contains more than 3,000 questions, many of which were class-tested in multiple classes at both 2-year and 4-year institutions across the country prior to publication. Item analysis is provided for all class-tested items. All questions have been thoroughly reviewed and analyzed line-by-line by a development editor and a copy editor to ensure clarity, accuracy, and delivery of the highest-quality assessment tool. All conceptual and applied multiple-choice questions include rationales for each correct answer and the key distracter. The item analysis helps instructors create balanced tests, while the rationales serve both as an added guarantee of quality and as a time-saver when students challenge the keyed answer for a specific item. The Test Bank includes a two-page Total Assessment Guide, an easy-to-reference grid that organizes all test items by learning objective and question type.

In addition to this high-quality Test Bank, a second bank containing more than 2,000 questions is available for instructors looking for more variation. It has also been class-tested, with item analysis available for each question.

The Test Bank also comes with Pearson MyTest, a powerful assessment generation program that helps instructors easily create and print quizzes and exams. Questions and tests can be authored online, providing instructors with the ultimate in flexibility and the ability to efficiently manage assessments wherever and whenever they want. Instructors can easily access existing questions and then edit, create, and store them using simple drag-and-drop and Word-like controls. The data for each question identifies its difficulty level and the text page number where the relevant content appears. In addition, each question maps to the text's major section and Learning Objective. For more information, go to www.PearsonMyTest.com.

BlackBoard Test Item File and WebCT Test Item File: For instructors who need only the test item file for their learning management system, we offer the complete test item file in BlackBoard and WebCT format. Go to the Instructor's Resource Center at <http://www.pearsonhighered.com/irc>.

Total Assessment Guide
Chapter 1: Introducing Psychological Science

Kruse and Curtis

Total Assessment Guide		Chapter 1 Introducing Psychological Science		
Topic		Factual	Conceptual	Applied
Chapter Quiz	Multiple Choice	1, 4, 7, 13	3, 5-6, 8-9, 12, 14	2, 10, 11, 15
MODULE 1.1: THE SCIENCE OF PSYCHOLOGY				
	True or False	1-7		
	Essay	1		
KNOW...the key terminology of this module	Multiple Choice	1, 12-13, 35	3, 4, 31	2, 7, 11, 30
	Short Answer	3		
UNDERSTAND...the steps of the scientific method	Multiple Choice	6, 8-9, 15-16	1	5, 10
	Short Answer			
UNDERSTAND...the concept of scientific literacy	Multiple Choice	24, 28	23, 26	25, 27, 29
	Short Answer			
APPLY...the biopsychosocial model to behavior	Multiple Choice		22	20-21
	Short Answer			
APPLY...the steps in critical thinking	Multiple Choice		32, 34	33, 36-37
	Short Answer			
ANALYZE...the use of the term scientific theory	Multiple Choice	14, 18	17	19
	Short Answer			
MODULE 1.2: HOW PSYCHOLOGY BECAME A SCIENCE				
	True or False	8-17		
	Essay	2-3		
KNOW...the key terminology of psychology's history	Multiple Choice	38, 43, 48, 51, 55, 60, 62, 68, 73, 78-79, 88	40, 47, 87, 90	52, 54, 63
	Short Answer	6-8	4	
UNDERSTAND...how various philosophical and scientific fields became major influences on psychology	Multiple Choice	46, 49-50, 53, 56-57, 61, 64-67, 69, 70-7, 75-77, 84, 86, 91-92, 95-97, 99-103	74, 81-82, 85, 93, 104	58-59, 80, 83, 94, 98, 105
	Short Answer			
APPLY...your knowledge to distinguish among the different specializations and schools of thought in psychology	Multiple Choice	89, 103, 107	106, 108	
	Short Answer			
ANALYZE...how the philosophical ideas of empiricism and determinism are applied to human behavior	Multiple Choice	45	42, 44	39, 41
	Short Answer			

Select questions
Select the questions you wish to add and click the Add button or Add and Close button. Click a question name to preview it.

Learning Objectives

☒ View Questions by Learning Objective

	Name
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.1 Basic: Describe the basic characteristics of the scientific method in psychology
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.1 Developing: Analyze how primary behavioral research adheres to scientific principles
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.1 Advanced: Design research that adheres to the principles of the scientific method
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.2 Basic: Describe various general research methods, including advantages and disadvantages of use
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.2 Developing: Select and apply general research methods to address appropriate kinds of research questions
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.2 Advanced: Evaluate the effectiveness of a general research method in addressing a research question

APA Assessments

A unique bank of assessment items allows instructors to assess student progress against the American Psychological Association's Learning Goals and Outcomes. These assessments have been keyed to the APA's latest progressive Learning Outcomes (basic, developing, advanced).

For access to all instructor supplements for *Psychological Science: Modeling Scientific Literacy*, go to www.pearsonhighered.com/irc and follow the directions to register (or log in if you already have a Pearson user name and password). Once you have registered and your status as an instructor is verified, you will be emailed a log-in name and password. Use your log-in name and password to access the catalog.

Click on the "online catalog" link, click on "psychology" and then "introductory psychology," and finally select the Krause/Corts, *Psychological Science* text. Under the description of each supplement is a link that allows you to download and save the supplement to your desktop.

You can also request hard copies of the supplements through your Pearson sales representative. If you do not know your sales representative, go to www.pearsonhighered.com/relocator and follow the directions to identify him or her.

For technical support for any of your Pearson products, you and your students can contact <http://247.pearsoned.com>.

Development Story

Psychological Science reflects the countless hours and extraordinary efforts of a team of authors, editors, and reviewers that shared a vision for not only a unique introductory psychology textbook, but also the most comprehensive and integrated supplements program on the market. Over 300 manuscript reviewers provided invaluable feedback for making the text as accessible and relevant to students as possible. Each chapter was also reviewed by a panel of subject matter experts to ensure accuracy and currency. Over 200

focus group participants helped guide every aspect of the program, from content coverage to the art style and design, to the configuration of the supplements. Over 200 students class tested the full manuscript and Test Bank to ensure the best content possible and over 500 students compared the manuscript to their current textbooks and provided suggestions for improving the prose and design. We thank everyone who participated in ways great and small, and hope that you are as pleased with the finished product as we are!

List of Reviewers

AL

Aimee Callender
Auburn University
Amy Skinner
Stillman College
Gina Mariano
Troy University
Harold E. Arnold
Judson College
Lisa Hager
Spring Hill College
Royce Simpson
Spring Hill College
Susan Anderson
University of South Alabama

AR

Albert K. Toh
University of Arkansas,
Pine Bluff
Aneeq Ahmad
Henderson State University
Bernita Patterson
University of Arkansas,
Pine Bluff
Bonnie Nichols
Arkansas Northeastern College

Christopher Long
Ouachita Baptist University
Elisabeth Sherwin
University of Arkansas
at Little Rock

Guyla Davis
Ouachita Baptist University

Jason E. Warnick
Arkansas Tech University

Karen Yanowitz
Arkansas State University

Kathy Brownlee
Henderson State University

Kenith V. Sobel
University of Central
Arkansas

Richard Clubb
University of Arkansas,
Monticello

Robert J. Hines
University of Arkansas,
Little Rock

Shawn Charlton
University of Central
Arkansas

Sonya Stephens Robinson
Cossatot Community College

Travis Langley
Henderson State University
Travis P. McNeal
Harding University

AZ

Aaron Tesch
University of Arizona
Belinda Stevens
Pima Community College

Calleen A. Morris
Arizona State University

Jennifer Moore
Mesa Community College

Jorge Pierce
Mesa Community College

Pamela Sulger
Pima Community College

Patricia Marchok
Paradise Valley Community
College, Phoenix

Robert Short
Arizona State University

Ron Jorgenson
Pima Community College

Suzy Horton
Mesa Community College

CA

Angela Sadowski
Chaffey College

Brenda Smith
Westmont College

Cari Cannon
Santiago Canyon College

Catherine Salmon
University of Redlands

Christina Aldrich
Folsom Lake College

Christopher Gade
UC Berkeley

Debra Berry Malmberg
California State University,
Northridge

Diane K. Eperthener
California University of
Pennsylvania

Eileen Roth
Glendale Community College

Fred Leavitt
California State University,
East Bay

Inna Ghajoyan
California State University,
Northridge

John Slosar
Chapman University

Kathleen Taylor
Sierra College

Kenneth Guttman
Citrus College

Kimberly Brinkman
San Joaquin Valley College

Lisa Harrison
California State University,
Sacramento

Margaret Lynch
San Francisco State
University

Matthew Bell
Santa Clara University

Melissa Gonzales
San Joaquin Valley College

Michelle Pilati
Rio Hondo College

Patricia Bellas
Irvine Valley College

Richard Kandus
Mt. San Jacinto College

Steven Isonio
Golden West College

xxviii Development Story

Teesha Barry
San Joaquin Valley College

CO
Bethany Fleck
Metropolitan State College
of Denver

Brian Parry
Mesa State College

Frederick Coolidge
University of Colorado,
Colorado Springs

John Walsh
University of Colorado

Lisa Routh
Pikes Peak Community College

Peggy Norwood
Community College of Aurora

Randi Smith
Metropolitan State College
of Denver

Roger Drake
Western State College
of Colorado

CT
Daniel Barrett
Western Connecticut State
University

Melanie Evans
Eastern Connecticut State
University

Robert Beck
Northwestern Connecticut
Community College

Ruth Sharf
Yale University, School of
Medicine

DC
Morgan Slusher
Community College
of Baltimore County

DE
Gerard Hoeftling
Drexel University and
University of Warsaw Poland
and University of Delaware

John D. Rich, Jr.
Delaware State University

FL
Alexander Marvin
Seminole State College of
Florida

Alicia Pfahler
Santa Fe College

Bitá Sarah Haynes
Florida State College at
Jacksonville

Chelsea Hansen
Midlands Technical College

Christina S. Morris
Seminole State College

Dana L. Kuehn
Florida State College at
Jacksonville

Deletha Hardin
University of Tampa

Gary Bothe
Pensacola Junior College

Greg Fleming
Keiser University, Lakeland

James J. Jakubow
Florida Atlantic University

Jeanne L. O'Kon
Tallahassee Community College

Joanna Salapska-Gelleri
Florida Gulf Coast University

Kathleen Bey
Palm Beach Community
College, Central

Lawrence Siegel
Palm Beach State College

Michele Camden
Seminole State College
of Florida

Pamela Hall
Barry University

Peter Gram
Pensacola Junior College

Susana Barsky
Florida State College,
Jacksonville-Downtown
Campus

Theresa Foster
Santa Fe College

Thomas Westcott
University of West Florida

GA
Adam Goodie
University of Georgia
Chantal Tusher
Georgia State University

Chris Goode
Georgia College and State
University
Christopher K. Randall
Kennesaw State University

Clayton Teem
Gainesville College

Dan Fawaz
Georgia Perimeter College

David Brackin
Young Harris College

David Monetti
Valdosta State University

Deb Brihl
Valdosta State University

Diane Kreutzer
Georgia Perimeter College

Erica Gannon
Clayton State University

Heather Kleider
Georgia State University

Kristen Diliberto-Macaluso
Berry College

Michael Hoff
Dalton State College

Pam Marek
Kennesaw State University

Rose Arriaga
Georgia Institute of Technology

HI
Tanya Renner
Kapi'olani Community College

IA
Cynthia Bane
Wartburg College
James Rodgers
Hawkeye Community College

Jennifer Meehan
Brennom Kirkwood
Community College
Judith Wightman
Kirkwood Community College

ID
Elizabeth Morgan
Bosie State University

IL
Allen Huffcutt
Bradley University
Dawn M. McBride
Illinois State University
Derek Montgomery
Bradley University

Don Kates
College of Dupage

Dr. Eric Rogers
The College of Lake County

Elham Bagheri
Augustana College

Eva Mika
Loyola University, Lakeshore

James Sichlau
Lincoln Land Community
College

Jane Rose
Augustana College

Jeffrey Wagman
Illinois State University

Jill Yamashita
Saint Xavier University

Joel Nadler
Southern Illinois University,
Edwardsville

John Binning
Illinois State University

John Skowronski
Northern Illinois University

Joleen Schoulte
Augustana College

Joseph Ferrari
DePaul University

Lisa Fozio-Thielk
Waubensee Community
College

Marjorie A. Getz
Bradley University

Mark Watman
South Suburban College

Michael Dudley
Southern Illinois University,
Edwardsville

Raymond Phinney
Wheaton College

Renee Engeln-Maddox
Northwestern University

Robert Currie
Judson College

Suzanne Bell
DePaul University

Terry Shapiro
Saint Xavier University

Valeri Farmer-Dougan
Illinois State University

IN
Karl Nelson
Indiana University Northwest

Kristin C. Flora
Franklin College

Mandy Gingerich Hege
Butler University

Sherry Schnake
Saint Mary-of-the-Woods
College

KS
Michael Rader
Johnson County Community
College

Stephani Johns-Hines
Cowley County Community
College

KY
Brian Cusato
Centre College
Cecile Marczinski
Northern Kentucky
University
Dawn McLin
Big Sandy Community
and Technical College

Janet B. Dean
Asbury University

Jennifer Sellers
Green Mountain College

Melissa Burns-Cusato
Centre College

Richard Miller
Western Kentucky University

Sabra Jacobs
Big Sandy Community and
Technical College

Veronica Tinsley
Brescia University

LA
Erin Dupuis
Loyola University
Lisa Schulte
Xavier University of Louisiana
Sandra Price
Delgado Community College

MA
Christopher Hakala
Western New England College

Elizabeth Davis
Suffolk University

Eric B. Weiser
Curry College

Jennifer A. Rivers
Elms College

Nate Kornell
Williams College

Robin Locke Arkerson
University of Massachusetts,
Dartmouth

Sarah Rose Cavanagh
Assumption College

Summer Williams
Westfield State University

MD
Christopher Bishop
Bowie State University
Cynthia Koenig
St. Mary
Katrina Kardiasmenos
Bowie State University
Marcia McKinley
Mount Saint Mary's University
Megan Bradley
Frostburg State University
Mike Kerchner
Washington College

ME
Christine L. B. Selby
Husson University

Jennifer Coane
Colby College
John Broida
University of Southern Maine
Kenneth Elliott
University of Maine at Augusta

Michael A. Burman
University of New England

Rachelle Smith
Husson University

Trude Cooke Turner
Community College of
Baltimore County, Essex

MI
Boris Ben Bates
Wayne State University

Gordon Hammerle
Adrian College

Justin W. Peer
University of
Michigan-Dearborn

Kari L. McArthur
Hillsdale College

Linda Jackson
Michigan State University

Marcus Dickson
Wayne State University

Renée L. Babcock
Central Michigan University

MN
Ben Denkinger
Augsburg College
Cory Butler
Southwest Minnesota State
University

Dennis Stewart
University of Minnesota,
Morris

Emily Stark
Minnesota State University,
Mankato

John Johanson
Winona State University

Keilah Worth
St. Catherine University

Kristie Campana
Minnesota State University

Marc Mooney
Augsburg College

Marilyn Swedberg
Fergus Falls Campus and
Minnesota State Community
and Technical College (M State)

Mark Covey
Concordia College

Mary Bodvarsson
St. Cloud State University

Richard Coelho
Lansing Community College

Stephanie Gaskin
Concordia College

MO
Christina M. Brown
Saint Louis University

David Kreiner
University of Central
Missouri

David McDonald
University of Missouri,
Columbia

Debra Zierenberg
Jefferson College

Julie Tietz
Cottey College

Peter J. Green
Maryville University

MS
Linda Fayard
Mississippi Gulf Coast
Community College

Lindsay R. Trent
University of Mississippi

Melissa Lea
Millsaps College
Michael Bordieri
University of Mississippi

Scott Drury
Delta State University

William Goggin
University of Southern
Mississippi

MT
Flora McCormick
University of Montana,
College of Technology
Fred W. Whitford
Montana State

NC

Christopher B. Mayhorn
North Carolina State University
Jason C. Allaire
North Carolina State University
Jennifer Bowler
East Carolina University
Jutta Street
Campbell University
Martha Low
Winston-Salem State University
Nancie Wilson
Southwestern Community College
Pam Bradley
Sandhills Community College
Sarah Estow
Guilford College
Walter Charles
North Carolina Central University

NE

Joseph Benz
University of Nebraska at Kearney
Laura Gaudet
Chadron State College
Linda Petroff
Central Community College
Molly Wernli
College of Saint Mary
Wayne Briner
University of Nebraska at Kearney

NH

Jayne Allen
University of New Hampshire
Jennie Brown
Franklin Pierce University
Mike Mangan
University of New Hampshire

NJ

Gary Kose
Long Island University of Brooklyn
Gary Lewandowski
Monmouth University
Gerard La Morte
Rutgers University, Newark
Lynne Schmelter-Davis
Brookdale Community College
Margaret Maghan
Rutgers University, Newark
Nicholas Salter
Ramapo College of New Jersey
Robert Becklen
Ramapo College of New Jersey
Tim VanderGast
William Paterson University

NM

Ron Salazar
San Juan College

NV

Evelyn Doody
College of Southern Nevada
Ned Clayton Silver
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

NY

Amy Masnick
Hofstra University
Andreas Wilke
Clarkson University

Brenda Anderson
Stony Brook University
Candice S. Faulring
Genesee Community College
Caroline Olko
Nassau Community College
Celia Reaves
Monroe Community College
Cheryl Bluestone
Queens College, CUNY
Cheryl Dickter
Union College
Claire Rubman
Suffolk County Community College
Dale Doty
Monroe Community College
David S. Malcom
Fordham University
Elizabeth Gaudino-Goering
Nassau Community College

Ellen Banks
Daemon College
Howard Sisco
New York City College of Technology
Howard Steele
New School for Social Research
James Hobbs
SUNY Ulster
Jean Kubeck
New York City College of Technology
Jeffrey Baker
Monroe Community College
Jennifer Kyle
Borough of Manhattan Community College, CUNY
Jennifer Yanowitz
Utica College

John Mavromatis
St. John Fisher College
Kaneez Naseem
Monroe College
Karen Wolford
Oswego, SUNY
Kathryn Caldwell
Ithaca College
Keith Shafritz
Hofstra University
Laurence Nolan
Wagner College
Maria LePadula
New York City Institute of Technology
Marie-Joelle Estrada
University of Rochester
Martha Leah Chaiken
Hofstra University
Melody Berkovits
Queens College, CUNY
Michelle Bannoura
Hudson Valley Community College

Pamela Lusk
Genesee Community College
Paul Schulman
Institute of Technology, SUNY
Phyllis Freeman
SUNY New Paltz
Ryan Thibodeau
St. John Fisher College
Sandra Hunt
College of Staten Island, CUNY
Susan Scharoun
Le Moyne College

Suzan Tessier
Rochester Institute of Technology
Timothy M. Franz
St. John Fisher College
Victoria Cooke
Erie Community College
William Dragon
Cornell University
William Price
North County Community College
Yasmine Kalkstein
Mount Saint Mary College

OH

Albert Smith
Cleveland State University
Alicia Doerflinger
Marietta College
Ana M.H. Kehrberg
Muskingum University
Barbara Oswald
Miami University
Bobby Beavers
Sinclair Community College
Carolyn Kaufman
Columbus State Community College
Colleen Stevenson
Muskingum University
Darlene Earley Andrews
Southern Ohio State Community College
David Baker
University of Akron
Dennis Shaffer
Ohio State University, Mansfield
Dinah Meyer
Muskingum University
Elizabeth O'Dell
Owens Community College
Elizabeth Swenson
John Carroll University
Jessica Hillyer
Kenyon College
Philip Mazzocco
The Ohio State University at Mansfield
Wayne Shebilske
Wright State University

David Baker
University of Akron
Dennis Shaffer
Ohio State University, Mansfield
Dinah Meyer
Muskingum University
Elizabeth O'Dell
Owens Community College
Elizabeth Swenson
John Carroll University
Jessica Hillyer
Kenyon College
Philip Mazzocco
The Ohio State University at Mansfield
Wayne Shebilske
Wright State University

OK

Alicia MacKay
Tulsa Community College
Jared Edwards
Southwestern Oklahoma State University
John Hensley
Tulsa Community College, Metro

OR

Chris Koch
George Fox University
Deana Julka
University of Portland
Jeremy Miller
Willamette University
Melissa Witkow
Willamette University
R.H. Ettinger
Eastern Oregon University
Sue Leung
Portland Community College
Timothy Hackenberg
Reed College
Zip Krummel
Columbia Gorge Community College

PA

Barbara Radigan
Community College of Allegheny County
Bonnie Green
East Stroudsburg University
Catherine Chambliss
Ursinus College
Cathy Sigmund
Geneva College
David Widman
Juniata College
Emily Keener
Slippery Rock University
Greg Loviscky
Penn State University
Jennifer Engler
York College of Pennsylvania
Karen Rhines
Northampton Community College
Mark McKellop
Juniata College
Melissa Terlecki
Cabrini College
Natasha Tokowicz
University of Pittsburgh
Rahan Ali
Penn State University
Robin Musselman
Lehigh Carbon Community College
Ryan Leonard
Gannon University

RI

Allison Butler
Bryant University
Lisa Weyandt
University of Rhode Island

SC

Amanda Morgan
University of South Carolina
Amy M. Kolak
College of Charleston
Chad Galuska
College of Charleston
Daniel Bellack
Trident Technical College
Echo Leaver
University of South Carolina, Aiken
Karen Thompson
Columbia College
Laura Negel May
University of South Carolina Aiken
Maureen Carrigan
University of South Carolina, Aiken
Michelle Caya
Trident Technical College
Nancy Simpson
Trident Technical College
Penny S. Edwards
Tri-County Technical College
Salvador Macias
University of South Carolina, Sumter

SD

Brady Phelps
South Dakota State University

TN

Angelina MacKewn
University of Tennessee at Martin

Chris S. Dula
East Tennessee State University
Colin Key
University of Tennessee at Martin
Dick Pelley
Tennessee Wesleyan College
Gayle J. Beck
University of Memphis
Jameson K. Hirsch
East Tennessee State University
Karen Baker
Lambuth University
Kristin L. Walker
East Tennessee State University
Liz Moseley
Cleveland State Community College
Lonnie Yandell
Belmont University
Marvin W. Lee
Tennessee State University
Michelle Merwin
University of Tennessee at Martin
Patricia B. Hinton
Hiwassee College
Tiffany D. Rogers
University of Memphis
TX
Barb Corbisier
Blinn College
Bryan Neighbor
Southwestern University
Carl Scott
University of St. Thomas
Christopher Smith
Tyler Junior College
Denise Aspell
Northwest Vista College
Erin Young
Texas A&M University
Jack Chuang
San Jacinto College
Judith Easton
Austin Community College
Kraig Schell
Angelo State University
Kyle Baumbauer
Texas A&M University
Laura Cavicchi
Northwest Vista College
Mark Hartlaub
Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
Matt Diggs
Collin College
Mike Devoley
Lone Star College, Montgomery
Pamela Brouillard
Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
Patricia Foster
Stephen F. Austin State University
Patrick Carroll
University of Texas, Austin
Perry Collins
Wayland Baptist University
Perry Fuchs
University of Texas, Arlington
Randall E. Osborne
Texas State University, San Marco

Raquel Henry
Lone Star College, Kingwood
Stacy Walker
Lone Star College Kingwood
Stella Lopez
University of Texas,
San Antonio
Susan Hornstein
Southern Methodist
University
Vanessa Miller
Texas Christian University
Victoria Van Wie
Lone Star College, CyFair
Wanda Clark
South Plains College
Wendy Domjan
University of Texas, Austin

UT

Azenett Garza
Weber State University
David Yells
Utah Valley University

Grant Corser
Southern Utah University
Jann Belcher
Utah Valley University
Joseph Horvat
Weber State University
Kerry Jordan
Utah State University
Theresa Kay
Weber State University

VA

Bernice Carson
Virginia State University
Charles J. Huffman
James Madison University
Cynthia Lofaso
Central Virginia Community
College
Darling G. Villena-Mata
Northern Virginia Community
College, Woodbridge
David Daniel
James Madison University

Donald Devers
Northern Virginia Community
College, Annandale
Georgeana Stratton
Northern Virginia Community
College
Jennifer Mailloux
University of Mary Washington
John Wasserman
George Mason University
Leona Johnson
Hampton University
Margaret P. Russi
Northern Virginia Community
College
Mary Ann Schmitt
Northern Virginia Community
College, Manassas
Ron Boykin
Northern Virginia Community
College, Alexandria
Rosalyn King
Northern Virginia Community
College, Loudon

Theresa Tuttle
ECPI College of Technology
VT
Brigit Bryant
Le Moyne College
Larry P. Rudiger
University of Vermont

WA

Clara Cheng
American University
Gerrod W. Parrott
Georgetown University
John Wright
Washington State University
Pamela Costa
Tacoma Community College
Sandy Neal
Centralia College
Sue Frantz
Highline Community College
WV
Christopher W. LeGrow
Marshall University

WI

Barbara Beaver
University of Wisconsin,
Whitewater

Kathy Immel
University of Wisconsin,
Fox Valley

Loretta Veers
Marshfield High School
William Elmhorst
Marshfield High School

WY

Scott Freng
University of Wyoming

CANADA

Geoffrey S. Navara
Trent University
Jeffrey Adams
Trent University
Steven Smith
University of Winnipeg

Acknowledgments

The fact that there are two names on the cover is quite an understatement. If you were to look behind the scenes, you would find scores of people working a variety of roles to see this project to completion. Many of those individuals lead the team at Pearson Education including our Executive Editor, Erin Mitchell and our Program Manager, Reena Dalal who has proven both incredibly knowledgeable and completely unflappable. To our diligent Developmental Editors, Lai Moy and Mary Piper Hansen, we thank you for your guidance and patience. A major thank you to Sherry Lewis, project manager, for vigilantly keeping us on track from beginning to end. These are just a few of the names you will find in the credits, but only the tip of the iceberg. In addition to our friends in publishing, we have received steadfast

support from those we see everyday. This ranges from the colleagues in our departments to those who matter most—our families. We are particularly grateful for our children and spouses who have been gracious enough to share our time with such a time-intensive project. Finally, no textbook could purport to represent as vast a discipline as psychology without the expertise of a wide range of professionals. Dozens of reviewers provided this expertise by suggesting recently published research and ways of presenting information to students. Thanks to our reviewers for their past contributions and we hope that you, as well as those who are new to the project, will continue to offer suggestions or comments by writing to Mark Krause (krausema@sou.edu) and Daniel Corts (danielcorts@augustana.edu).

Chapter 1

Introducing Psychological Science

1.1 The Science of Psychology

- The Scientific Method
- Building Scientific Literacy
 - Working the Scientific Literacy Model: How We Learn and Remember
- Critical Thinking, Curiosity, and a Dose of Healthy Skepticism
- Module 1.1 Summary
- Module 1.1 Quiz

1.2 How Psychology Became a Science

- Psychology's Philosophical and Scientific Origins
- The Beginnings of Contemporary Psychology
- Module 1.2 Summary
- Module 1.2 Quiz


1.3 Putting Psychology to Work: Careers in Psychology and Related Fields

- Professions in Psychology
- Module 1.3 Summary
- Module 1.3 Quiz

1.4 Scientific Literacy Challenge: Imagery in Sports

Chapter 1 Quiz

Module 1.1 The Science of Psychology



Learning Objectives

- 1.1a** Know . . . the key terminology of the scientific method.
- 1.1b** Understand . . . the steps of the scientific method.
- 1.1c** Understand . . . the concept of scientific literacy.
- 1.1d** Apply . . . the biopsychosocial model to behavior.
- 1.1e** Apply . . . the steps in critical thinking.
- 1.1f** Analyze . . . the use of the term *scientific theory*.

Her (2013) is not your typical love story. Theodore (played by Joaquin Phoenix) falls in love with Samantha (Scarlett Johansson). They are perfect for each other, it would seem. But here is the conflict: Samantha is not a person; she is an artificial intelligence software designed to behave less like a machine and more like a living, breathing human being. Although the plot of Her is based on science fiction, encountering a “being” like Samantha may be closer to reality than you think. Ellie, for instance, is a computer-generated avatar developed by scientists at the University of Southern California. Ellie is designed to carry on conversations with you—sometimes seemingly meaningful ones. By detecting subtle changes in your facial expressions, speech patterns, and posture, Ellie is

able to respond with vocal changes and gestures as a caring human would. If you feel a bit down, you will find that Ellie’s expression may change as she listens; her voice may soften and she may even mention that you seem a bit upset. Many who have spoken with Ellie have been surprised that a technology comprising a series of algorithms can seem so empathetic.

So what do these virtual women have to do with your psychology course? The nonfictional Ellie demonstrates how scientific inquiry can reveal some pretty reliable facts about how people think, feel, and behave. Her fictional counterpart Samantha entertains by showing us how fascinating these thoughts and feelings can be. We hope you will learn both in this chapter.

Psychology is a vast discipline; in fact, we might do better to consider it to be a collection of disciplines, composed of many overlapping fields of study. Two unifying qualities allow us to group all these fields into the single category of *psychological science*. First, psychology involves the study of behavior that, broadly defined, can include perceptions, thoughts, and emotions. Second, psychologists employ the *scientific method* in their work. On these grounds, we can define **psychology** as the scientific study of behavior, thought, and experience.

The Scientific Method

What exactly does it mean to be a scientist? A person who haphazardly combines chemicals in test tubes may look like a chemist; a person who dissects a frog just to see its organs may appear to be a biologist—but neither is engaged in science. For a specific type of activity to be considered *scientific* or a field of study to be considered a *science*, application of the scientific method is a must. The **scientific method** is a way of learning about the world through collecting observations, proposing explanations for the observations, developing theories to explain them, and using the theories to make predictions about future occurrences or behaviors. It revolves around the concepts of hypothesis and theory and how they interact to produce a discipline-based body of knowledge (see Figure 1.1).

HYPOTHESES: MAKING PREDICTIONS A **hypothesis** (plural: hypotheses) is a testable prediction about processes that can be observed and measured. By *testable*, we mean that observations and measurements can be shown whether the prediction was correct or, equally important, whether it



“All swans are white” is a falsifiable statement. A swan that is not colored white will falsify it. Falsification is a critical component of scientific hypotheses and theories.

was false—a quality known as *falsifiability*. People claiming to be scientific regularly ignore falsifiability. Imagine a horoscope reads, “It’s time for you to keep quiet and postpone important calls or e-mails.” That is not really a testable prediction for a number of reasons, including the fact that it does not describe a specific consequence or a time frame in which a problem might arise. Therefore, if you did make that important call, it would be impossible to show the prediction was false. In contrast, a good scientific hypothesis is stated in more precise, and publicly relevant, terms, such as the following:

People become less likely to help a stranger if there are others around.

Cigarette smoking causes cancer.


Exercise relieves depression.

Unlike the astrologer’s prediction, each of these hypotheses can be supported or rejected through scientific testing. Astrology is an easy target for criticism. We bring it up only because it provides an opportunity to clarify what a scientific hypothesis is and also to highlight a key difference between science and **pseudoscience**, which refers to ideas that are presented as science but do not actually use basic principles of scientific thinking or procedure. Alarming, approximately one in four Americans believes astrology has at least some scientific basis despite a total lack of evidence or support from trained scientists (National Science Foundation, 2010).

EXPLAINING PHENOMENA Hypotheses are essential to creating and refining scientific theories. A **theory** is a well-tested explanation that combines a range of observations into a coherent whole. Figure 1.1 shows how hypothesis testing eventually leads back to the theory from which it was based. Theories are built from hypotheses that are repeatedly tested and confirmed; in turn, good theories eventually become accepted explanations of behavior

Figure 1.1 The Scientific Method

Scientists use theories to generate hypotheses. Once tested, hypotheses are either confirmed or rejected. Confirmed hypotheses lead to new ones and strengthen theories. Rejected hypotheses are revised and tested again, and can potentially alter an existing theory.






or other natural phenomena. Similar to hypotheses, an essential quality of scientific theories is that they are *falsifiable*; like hypotheses, they can be proved false with new evidence. As Figure 1.1 shows, falsifying a theory means that it either needs to be modified to account for new findings, or in some cases, replaced by an entirely new theory. In this way, science becomes self-correcting; bad ideas typically do not last long before being discovered and replaced.

The scientific term *theory* is specific and essential to understanding how explanations differ from common

sense. Make sure that as you read this text you keep in mind *scientific* theory, rather than these common misusages:

- **Theories are not the same thing as opinions or beliefs.** Yes, it is certainly true that everyone is entitled to his or her own opinions. But the phrase “That’s just your theory” is neither the scientific meaning of “theory,” nor a valid scientific argument.
- **All theories are not equally plausible.** Groups of scientists might adopt different theories for explaining the same phenomenon. For example, several

Figure 1.2 The Biopsychosocial Model
Psychologists view behavior from multiple perspectives. A full understanding of human behavior comes from analyzing biological, psychological, social, and cultural factors.

	PERSPECTIVE	FOCUS	EXAMPLES
	Biological	Genes, brain anatomy and function, and evolution	Genetics of behavior and psychological disorders Brain-behavior relationships Drug effects
	Psychological	Behavior, perception, thought, and experience	Language Memory Decision making Personality
	Sociocultural	Interpersonal relationships, families, groups, societies, and ethnicities	Attraction Attitudes and stereotypes Conformity

theories have been proposed to explain why people become depressed. This does not mean that all are equally valid. There are good theories, and there are not-so-good theories; the good ones generate successful hypotheses as shown in Figure 1.1.

- **The quality of a theory is not evaluated by the number of people who believe it to be true.** According to a 2013 Pew Research Center Survey, only one third of Americans believe in the theory of evolution by natural selection, despite the fact that it is the most plausible, rigorously tested theory of biological change and diversity.

Testing hypotheses and constructing theories are both part of all sciences. In addition, each science, including psychology, has its own unique way of approaching its subject matter. As the study of behavior, thought, and experience, psychology examines the individual as a product of multiple influences, including biological, psychological, and social factors.

THE BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL MODEL Defining psychology as the scientific study of behavior, thought, and experience may sound pretty straightforward, but thinking and behaving are complex subjects with complex explanations. One psychologist might study a single type of cell in the nervous system, whereas another might examine the cultural customs and beliefs that shape daily life for millions of people—all this to explain the same overarching question: Why do we behave the way we do?

Because our thoughts and behaviors have multiple influences, psychologists adopt multiple perspectives to understand them. The **biopsychosocial model** is a means of explaining behavior as a product of biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors (see Figure 1.2). Biological influences on our behavior include brain structures, hormones, and drug effects. On the other end of the spectrum, your family, peers, and immediate social situation also determine how you think, feel, and behave, as do beliefs about social characteristics such as ethnicity, gender, or socioeconomic status. These influences constitute the sociocultural part of the model. In between biology and culture, we can examine how a person's thoughts, experiences, emotions, and personality constitute his or her psychological makeup.


The biopsychosocial model is a reminder that behavior can be fully explained only if multiple perspectives are incorporated.

Building Scientific Literacy

A major aim of this text is to help students develop **scientific literacy**, which is the ability to understand, analyze, and apply scientific information. Our focal topic is psychology, but these same skills are applicable to other

Figure 1.3 A Model of Scientific Literacy

Scientific literacy involves four different skills: gathering knowledge about the world, explaining it using scientific terms and concepts, thinking critically, and applying this knowledge to relevant, real-world situations.



scientific fields. As shown in Figure 1.3, our model of scientific literacy reveals several key components, starting with the ability to learn new information, new terminology, and concepts. However, knowledge of terminology alone does not make one scientifically literate; one must also be able to provide explanations that incorporate the scientific terms and concepts of the discipline one is studying (in this case, psychology). Being scientifically literate means being able to read and interpret new terminology, and knowing when and where to go to find out more. It also means being able to apply this ability to real-world situations and to use one's critical thinking skills to evaluate information and claims.

Working the Scientific Literacy Model

How We Learn and Remember

To give you a chance to develop scientific literacy skills, we will revisit this model regularly and apply its four components to a specific psychological topic—a process we call *working the model*. We can demonstrate this process with a topic that is familiar to many: using flashcards to study vocabulary terms.

What do we know about studying with flashcards?

Many college courses require that you learn key-term definitions and factual information such as dates, and students often study with flashcards to master this information.

Most students prefer what is called *massing*—they break up a large pile of cards into smaller groups and move through each mass of cards separately. Another approach is *spacing*—leaving the cards in one big stack and moving through them one at a time. In contrast to massing, spacing means more time passes between each card and more new terms are introduced before any specific card repeats itself. The larger the deck, the more spacing there is before returning to the first card. Most students prefer the massing technique because it seems to allow them to master words more quickly. However, science shows that the two are not equally effective, and that spacing is generally the better of the two.

How can science explain this difference?

To find out which study method works best, psychologist Nate Kornell (2009) conducted an experiment in which 20 student volunteers agreed to study according to his instructions. Students studied one list of words with massing (four sets of five cards each) and another list with spacing (one set of 20 words). As shown in Figure 1.4, the volunteers studied each word four times, regardless of study method. At the end of the study period, Kornell administered a memory test and discovered that the volunteers could remember more words from the spaced condition than the massed condition. Interestingly, almost all students still preferred massing.

Can we critically evaluate this conclusion?

There is no such thing as a perfect study; no single experiment will fully answer scientific questions about studying with flashcards or any other topic. Although it is the researcher's job to conduct the best studies possible, it is up to you, the reader, to think critically about them. We provide advice on critical thinking later in this chapter, and once you read Chapter 2, you will be better prepared to assess the quality of the research. For now, start by asking your-

self questions about the outcome: Why do students prefer the less effective method? You should think about ways in which this may or may not apply to other situations: Does spacing work better for other types of studying, like learning to solve math problems? You should notice that being critical means asking for limitations and shortcomings without being overly emotional or hostile. This prevents us from wholeheartedly accepting faulty claims, but we should not hastily dismiss any single study either. Instead, we give time for researchers to build evidence with additional studies.

How is this finding relevant?

Ideally, you will be inspired to apply the findings from Kornell's experiment to your own experiences as a student—whether studying for your psychology or other courses. But to really make use of spacing, you might have to convince yourself to trust the data, especially if you are like the students in Kornell's study and find yourself preferring the massing technique, despite the evidence that more strongly supports the efficacy of spacing!

Keep in mind there is still much to learn about “working the model”: The next section focuses on critical thinking skills and how to use them; Chapter 2 walks you through the specific methods for conducting and evaluating scientific research. You will get a chance to “work” the scientific literacy model again in Chapter 2, and then again in the remaining chapters.

Critical Thinking, Curiosity, and a Dose of Healthy Skepticism

On page 5, as shown in the scientific literacy model, critical thinking is an important element of scientific literacy. **Critical thinking** involves *intentionally analyzing and evaluating beliefs, claims, or judgments*. Psychologists often do this by assessing research in terms of how it relates to theory and how well it follows scientific principles.

Figure 1.4 Massed Versus Spaced Practice

In both conditions of Kornell's experiment, volunteers studied each vocabulary word four times. In the massed condition, shown at left, the individual cards were studied closer together whereas in the spaced condition, at right, they were studied further apart. Spaced learning results in better memory for vocabulary terms.

You have a total of 20 terms to learn.



Massing: Studying a deck of five cards four times in a row. This masses study for an individual card, such as card A in the drawing above.

You have a total of 20 terms to learn.



Spacing: Leaving all 20 cards in one stack and studying the whole deck four times in a row. This spaces the studying for each card, such as card A in the drawing above. However, in both conditions, card A will be studied the same number of times (four).

As such, critical thinking is something you develop and practice rather than something with which you are born (Halpern, 1996).

In addition to analytical and evaluative skills, critical thinking requires a certain set of attitudes or dispositions, namely curiosity, skepticism, and self-reflection. As psychologists, we are always *curious*. We ask questions about all kinds of behaviors, not just the unusual or problematic, which many of us tend to do, but also about everyday activities and experiences (*How do we remember where we left our car keys?*).

We also approach matters with cautious and healthy *skepticism*. Because we are constantly being told about products that will radically improve our lives or about political positions that are supposedly in everyone's best interests, it is important to raise questions. Being skeptical can be challenging, especially when a product or campaign promise fits our assumptions of the truth or what we hope to be the truth—eventually, though, we have to challenge our own assumptions, even if it risks being proved wrong. Consider again Kornell's research on flashcards: Students overwhelmingly prefer massing, so you may assume massing works best; but if you challenge this assumption, you may actually find a technique that works better. Ultimately, curiosity and skepticism lead us to be *reflective*. In other words, they help us to reconsider what we think we know, to understand what we know, and to explore why we believe what we believe. Sometimes, the best outcome is to understand what we cannot be certain of, and to tolerate ambiguity when the evidence is inconclusive.

KEY PRACTICES TO CRITICAL THINKING Now that you have an idea of what critical thinking entails, it is time to learn the skills and put them to use. Researchers have identified six key practices of a critical thinker:

1. **Be curious.** Look for opportunities to ask questions, even when they are not obvious. Here are two ways to approach curiosity. First, rather than wait until something goes wrong to be curious (*Why did he develop depression?*), ask questions while things are going well (*How did he succeed in the face of all that hardship?*). Second, watch out for narrow-minded questions that already presume to have an answer (*Why are they all criminals?* This question assumes knowledge of "they.") Instead ask open and objective questions (*Is that group more likely to engage in crime? If so, why?*). Remember that most aspects of behavior are complex; there is usually no single right answer to a question, but rather a complex set of answers.
2. **Examine the evidence.** Examining the evidence is key to science and critical thinking. Bear in mind that common sense is not evidence, and in fact, it will often lead you astray. Therefore, you should look for evidence that is reliable, objective, and relevant. You

will be even better equipped to do this after reading Chapter 2, which focuses on scientific processes.

3. **Examine assumptions and biases.** Assumptions and biases too quickly lead us to accept claims that support our expectations and to discount those that do not. Recall that in Kornell's flashcard experiment, the majority of his volunteers preferred massing. We have found that students in our own classes also prefer massing, and as such, they too quickly discount Kornell's rather strong evidence that spacing is better. Fortunately, this particular example does not have severe consequences. However, at their worst, biases and conflicts of interest can lead people to willfully mislead others, sometimes even with fabricated data and claims that they know are not true.
4. **Avoid overly emotional thinking.** Emotions can tell us what we value, but they do not always help us make critical decisions. By all means, emotions should guide decisions about a relationship, career, and so on. However, emotions can lead to some wrong answers, which, at their worst, can have grave consequences. For example, many young parents have opted not to vaccinate their children out of a fear that vaccines may lead to autism. This emotional decision ignores the fact that there is no credible scientific evidence for this threat, and in fact, the most influential medical study to support a purported vaccine-autism link was eventually exposed as a fraud and retracted by the research journal that published it (Godlee, Smith, & Marcovitch, 2011). Critical thinkers acknowledge their emotions, but they carefully discern the situations when emotional-based decisions may not be appropriate.
5. **Tolerate ambiguity.** Most complex issues do not have clear-cut answers, but they do have lots of *ifs*, *buts*, and *it depends*. For example, for many years the medical community advised the public to avoid eating fats based on the evidence that fats were associated with weight gain and heart disease. However, the same scientific community now encourages us to include certain fats, such as those that come from olive oil or avocados. Although this change seems contradictory, ongoing research will sometimes lead to changes in prior beliefs. This case is just one among many where the apparent contradiction includes an "if." In other words, fats are viewed more favorably, but only *if* they are rich in substances such as omega-3 acids.
6. **Consider alternative viewpoints.** Finally, make sure you are open to alternative viewpoints. This does not mean that everyone's opinion is equally correct, but you can often learn from the perspective of others. You may even discover that emotions, assumptions, and biases have been affecting your own thinking without your awareness, or that others have better evidence than you do!

Table 1.1 Critical Thinking**Practice applying critical thinking skills to the scenario:**

Magic Mileage is a high-tech fuel additive that actually increases the distance you can drive for every gallon by 20%, although costing only a fraction of the gasoline itself!! Wouldn't you like to cut your fuel expenses by one-fifth? Magic Mileage is a blend of complex engine-cleaning agents and patented "octane-booster" that not only packs in extra miles per gallon but also leaves your engine cleaner and running smooth while reducing emissions!

1. How might this appeal lead to overly emotional thinking?
2. Can you identify assumptions or biases the manufacturer might have?
3. Do you have enough evidence to make a judgment about this product?

These six practices will help you to develop critical thinking habits and skills. To further hone these habits and skills, also consider what does *not* constitute critical thinking. Critical thinking is neither a belief nor a faith, nor is it meant to make everyone arrive at the same answer. In fact, complex issues often remain ambiguous, and a plausible answer may not always be possible. Although critical thinking means respecting others' viewpoints, the nature of the scientific method may lead you to discover that some of those ideas are incorrect. Critical thinking does not mean being negatively or arbitrarily critical; it means intentionally examining knowledge and beliefs, as well as how conclusions about them are obtained. It also means carefully examining the sources of information. You can practice applying critical thinking to the scenario in Table 1.1.

JOURNAL PROMPT

Critical Thinking: What is a common belief people tend to have in which critical thinking is especially lacking? Describe the belief and explain why you think people hold it.

CRITICAL THINKING ABOUT SOURCES Not all sources of information are equal and trustworthy. Therefore, it is important that you pay especial attention to the sources' purpose, openness, accuracy, and comprehensiveness *before, during, and after* you read or research information.

- **Purpose:** Make sure you can identify the purpose of the article you are reading. Obviously, this will help you establish whether it is relevant to your needs, but it will also help with other elements of critical thinking, such as determining bias. For example, imagine you are interested in dining out at a new restaurant. If you are counting your calories (*purpose*), you will likely examine fact-based data about the calorie value of each entree (*source*), but if you are wondering whether you will enjoy the food (*purpose*), you will more likely rely on opinion-based reviews (*source*). You would not read a rave review to find out the calorie value of the entrees because, chances are, the information you find will be biased, inaccurate, or irrelevant. There are parallels to this example in all sorts of domains, from

buying a new car to deciding how to be a better parent. When considering the relationship between purpose and source, ask yourself:

- Is this an opinion piece (e.g., an editorial or a campaign statement)?
- Is this a straightforward report of facts (news) or description (e.g., encyclopedia entry)?
- Is this an advertisement?
- **Bias and Openness:** Determine whether the source has a social or political agenda, or perhaps financial motives for presenting information in a certain way. For example, if you have encountered a YouTube video highlighting the terrible conditions endured by factory-raised pigs. You watch pigs being kicked, shoved into tiny pens, or denied fresh water. You would not be surprised to discover that an animal rights group produced it, but you would probably be shocked if it were created by an association of hog farmers. It is no surprise that groups with specific agendas and interests will produce media that suit their needs rather than present and assess all sides to the story. Knowing this, you should always ask yourself:
 - Who are the publishers, advertisers, and other supporters of this source? What do these groups stand to gain when the source presents the information?
 - Are multiple opinions or options represented, or should they be?
 - What is being left out?
 - Does the source appear thoughtful, or is there extensive use of exaggeration, sweeping generalizations, or emotional language?
- **Accuracy and credibility:** Responsibly written articles present accurate and precise information that can be traced back to credible sources. Imagine you encounter an article warning people of the dangers of marijuana on memory and reasoning skills, but you notice that the author has no background in drug research and only cites anecdotes rather than scientific evidence. You would be wise to disregard that article and instead look for a source written by someone with an appropriate background and provides verifiable data to support the opinions. When considering the accuracy and credibility of a source, ask yourself:
 - Are the authors listed?
 - Are claims supported with citations, footnotes, or references?
 - Is it up to date?
 - Do multiple sources agree? (Make sure they are not citing the same original source or sponsored by the same ads or organizations.)
 - If someone is cited as an authority, are they still providing sound evidence? Are they speaking within their realm of expertise?

Myths in Mind

Abducted by Aliens!



Independent reports of alien abductions often resemble events and characters depicted in science fiction movies.

Occasionally we hear claims of alien abductions, ghost sightings, and other paranormal activity. Countless television shows and movies, both fictional and documentary, reinforce the idea that these events can and do occur. Alien abductions are often the most complicated and far-fetched stories, yet many people can provide extremely detailed accounts of being

kidnapped and examined. So what should we believe about alien abductions?

Scientific and critical thinking involves the use of the *principle of parsimony*, which means that the simplest of all competing explanations (the most “parsimonious”) of a phenomenon should be the one we accept. Is there a simpler explanation for alien abductions? Probably so. First, historical reports of abductions typically spike just after the release of science fiction movies featuring space aliens. Details of the reports often follow specific details seen in these movies (Clancy, 2005). Second, people who claim to have been abducted are likely to experience *sleep paralysis* (waking up and becoming aware of being unable to move—a temporary state that is not unusual) and hallucinations while in the paralyzed state (McNally et al., 2004). Finally, people who report being abducted tend to fantasize more than the average person and they also have more *false memories* (vivid and convincing memories about events that did not happen; Lynn & Kirsch, 1996). Taken together, these lines of research lead to a plausible explanation: abductions could be false memories incorporating elements of media with the experience of sleep paralysis; all of these events are easily observable. In contrast, finding physical evidence of aliens is not. Following the principle of parsimony typically leads to real, though sometimes less spectacular, answers.

Module 1.1 Summary

1.1a Know . . . the key terminology of the scientific method:

biopsychosocial model
critical thinking
hypothesis
pseudoscience
psychology
scientific literacy
scientific method
theory

1.1b Understand . . . the steps of the scientific method.

The basic model in Figure 1.1 guides us through the steps of the scientific method. Scientific theories generate hypotheses, which are specific and testable predictions. If a hypothesis is confirmed, new hypotheses may stem from it, and the original theory receives added support. If a hypothesis is rejected, the original hypothesis may be modified and retested, or the original theory may be modified or rejected.

1.1c Understand . . . the concept of scientific literacy.

Scientific literacy refers to the process of how we think about and understand scientific information. The model for scientific literacy was summarized in Figure 1.2. Working the model involves answering a set of questions:

- What do we know about a phenomenon?
- How can science explain it?
- Can we critically evaluate the evidence?
- Why is this relevant?

You will see this model applied to concepts in each chapter and module of this text. This includes gathering knowledge, explaining phenomena in scientific terms, engaging in critical thinking, and knowing how to apply and use your knowledge.

1.1d Apply . . . the biopsychosocial model to behavior.

This is a model we will use throughout the text. As you consider each topic, think about how biological factors (e.g., the brain and genetics) are influential. Also consider how

psychological factors such as thinking, learning, emotion, and memory are relevant. Social and cultural factors complete the model. These three interacting factors influence our behavior.

1.1e Apply . . . the steps in critical thinking.

To be useful, critical thinking is not just a concept to memorize but rather a skill to use and apply. Remember, critical thinking involves (1) being curious, (2) evaluating the evidence, (3) examining assumptions and biases, (4) avoiding emotional thinking, (5) tolerating ambiguity, and (6) considering alternative viewpoints. The activity in Table 1.1 was designed to help you apply some critical thinking. Here are the answers we came up with.

Apply Activity: Table 1.1, p. 08

1. The appeals to your wallet and to your environmental conscience sound great, but it might be too good to be true!

2. The manufacturer is trying to make money. That does not make the company evil, but it might lead its marketing staff to exaggerate the benefits offered by its products.
3. We do not have any evidence that the product works, just the manufacturer's claim. Until you find the evidence, you must tolerate ambiguity; you cannot say if it is effective or not.

1.1f Analyze . . . the use of the term *scientific theory*.

The term *theory* is often used very casually in the English language, sometimes synonymously with *opinion*. Thus, it is important to analyze the scientific meaning of the term and contrast it with the common-use alternatives. A scientific theory is an explanation for a broad range of observations, integrating numerous findings into a coherent whole. Remember, theories are not the same thing as opinions or beliefs, all theories are not equally plausible, and strange as it may sound, a measure of a good scientific theory is not determined by the number of people who believe it to be true.

Module 1.1 Quiz

Know . . .

1. A testable prediction about processes that can be observed and measured is referred to as a(n) _____.
 - A. theory
 - B. hypothesis
 - C. opinion
 - D. hunch

Understand . . .

2. A theory or prediction is falsifiable if:
 - A. it has been proven false.
 - B. it is impossible to test.
 - C. there can be evidence for it or against it.
 - D. if and only if it comes from pseudoscience.
3. Scientific literacy does not include _____.
 - A. gathering knowledge
 - B. accepting common-sense explanations
 - C. critical thinking
 - D. applying scientific information to everyday problems

Apply . . .

4. Huang is considering whether to take a cholesterol-reducing medicine that has been recommended by his physician, so he inquires about its effectiveness and safety. His physician explains that the government agency that oversees medications—the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)—has approved the medication after dozens of scientific studies had been conducted on their usefulness and safety. Which aspect of critical thinking does this best represent?
 - A. Huang is examining the nature and source of the evidence.
 - B. Huang is simply curious.
 - C. Huang is not considering alternative viewpoints.
 - D. Huang is avoiding overly emotional thinking.

Analyze . . .

5. The scientific meaning of the word *theory* is different from how it is most often used in nonscientific language because
 - A. it describes an explanation based on a variety of well-tested, objective observations rather than speculation.
 - B. it simply reflects the opinions of a particular scientist instead of the facts.
 - C. it is phrased in such a way that it cannot be proven incorrect.
 - D. it can be proven.

Module 1.2 How Psychology Became a Science



Learning Objectives

- 1.2a** Know . . . the key terminology of psychology's history.
- 1.2b** Understand . . . how various philosophical ideas and scientific fields became major influences on psychology.
- 1.2c** Apply . . . your knowledge to distinguish among the different specializations and schools of thought in psychology.
- 1.2d** Analyze . . . how the philosophical ideas of empiricism and determinism are applied to human behavior.

Domenico Mattiello garnered a great deal of respect and admiration in more than 30 years as a pediatrician, but it was all lost the instant investigators charged him with lewd conduct, including making sexual advances toward young children in his care. In his trial, lawyers did not deny the charges, but argued Mattiello's defense with medical records and brain scans. There was no doubt that he suffered a large and growing brain tumor or that the tumor was associated with changes in personality and behavior. So the legal question was raised: Did Mattiello commit the crimes or was the tumor guilty?

The premise of this legal defense should be familiar. Most judicial systems address questions about competence to stand trial, the ability to discern right from wrong, and other

inherently psychological questions. Underlying this legal question is the concept of free will—a topic that has been fundamental to philosophy for thousands of years. Increasingly, data from psychological research is used to influence how judges and jurors wrestle with the tough cases. It is interesting to note that although brain-based explanations are frequently useful, they often have little influence in people's assumptions about free will in everyday life (Nahamis, Sheperd, & Reuter, 2014). In this module, we will examine how many fascinating topics originating in ancient philosophy have developed into scientific questions explored by modern scientific techniques. These age-old topics, along with many new ones, make up psychological science today.

Modern psychology is built on many ideas and investigative techniques that have emerged over centuries of scholarly thought. In this module we put psychological questions and our attempts to answer them into historical context and see how these questions have influenced the current field of psychology.

Psychology's Philosophical and Scientific Origins

Science is more than a body of facts to memorize or a set of subjects to study. Science is actually a philosophy of knowledge that stems from two fundamental beliefs: empiricism and determinism.

Empiricism is a philosophical tenet that knowledge comes through experience. In everyday language, you might hear the phrase “Seeing is believing,” but in the scientific sense, empiricism means that knowledge about the world is based on careful observation, not common sense or speculation. Whatever we see or measure should be observable by anyone else who follows the same methods. In addition, scientific theories must be rational explanations of how the observations fit together. Thus, although the empiricist might say, “Seeing is believing,” thinking and reasoning about observations are just as important.

Determinism is the belief that events are governed by lawful, cause-and-effect relationships. This is easy enough when we discuss natural laws such as gravity; we probably all agree that if you drop a heavy object, it will fall. But does the lawfulness of nature apply to the way we think and feel? Does it mean that we do not have control over our own actions? This interesting philosophical debate is often referred to as *free will versus determinism*. Although we certainly feel as if we are in control of our own behaviors—that is, we sense that we have free will—there are some compelling reasons (such as Mattiello’s brain-based legal defense) to believe that our behaviors are determined. The level of determinism or free will psychologists attribute to humans is certainly debated, and to be a psychologist, you do not have to believe that every single thought, behavior, or experience is determined by natural laws. But psychologists certainly do recognize that behavior is determined by both internal (e.g., genes, brain chemistry) and external (e.g. the presence of others) influences.

Psychological science is both empirical and deterministic. Our understanding of behavior comes from observing what we can see and measure, and behavior is caused by a multitude of factors. However, psychology arrived at this point only in the latter half of the 1800s—much later than the well-established sciences of physics, astronomy, and chemistry. Why did it take psychology so long to become scientific? One of the main reasons is *zeitgeist*, a German word meaning “spirit of the times.” **Zeitgeist** refers to a general set of beliefs of a particular culture at a specific time in

history. It can be used to understand why some ideas take off immediately, whereas other perfectly good ideas may go unnoticed for years. The historical timeline of psychology shown in Figure 1.5 reflects the major viewpoints that have become a part of psychology over the past three centuries.


There are several ways *zeitgeist* may have prevented psychological science from developing alongside physical sciences. For example, philosophers such as Rene Descartes had no problem conceiving of the human body as a natural, lawfully behaving machine (human anatomy could be scientific). However, viewing human reasoning as the result of predictable physical laws was troubling, and many people resisted applying science to thought. Doing so would seem to imply the philosophy of **materialism**: the belief that humans, and other living beings, are composed exclusively of physical matter. Accepting this idea would mean that we are nothing more than complex machines that lack a self-conscious, self-controlling soul. The opposing belief, that there are properties of humans that are not material (a mind or soul separate from the body), is called *dualism*. Therefore, although thinking about the mind and behavior remained philosophical for generations, scientific methods were generating great discoveries in other fields.


INFLUENCES FROM PHYSICS: EXPERIMENTING WITH THE MIND The initial forays into scientific psychology were conducted by physicists and physiologists. One of the earliest explorations was made by Gustav Fechner (1801–1887). As a physicist, Fechner was interested in the natural world of moving objects and energy. These interests turned psychological when he began raising questions about human perception of the physical world. He called this **psychophysics**, the study of the relationship between the physical world and the mental representation of that world.

As an example of psychophysical research, imagine you are holding a 1-pound weight in your right hand and a 5-pound weight in your left hand. What if a researcher places a 1/4-pound weight in each hand, resting on top of the weight that is already there? Although both weigh the same amount physically—1/4 of a pound—the weight in your right hand will in some sense be psychologically heavier because it is a greater proportion of the original weight. Through psychophysical experiments like these, Fechner developed an equation to precisely calculate the perceived change in weight, and then extended this formula to apply to changes in brightness, loudness, and other perceptual experiences.

INFLUENCES FROM EVOLUTIONARY THEORY: THE ADAPTIVE FUNCTIONS OF BEHAVIOR Around the same time Fechner was doing his experiments, Charles Darwin (1809–1882) was studying the variety of plants and animals around the world. Darwin noticed that animal groups that were isolated from one another often differed

Figure 1.5 Major Events in the History of Psychology





Charles Darwin proposed the theory of natural selection to explain how evolution works.

by only minor variations in physical features. These variations seemed to fine-tune the species according to the particular environment in which they lived, making them better equipped for survival and reproduction. Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection was based on his observations that the genetically inherited traits that contribute to survival and reproductive success are more likely to flourish within the breeding population. This theory explains why there is such a diversity of life on Earth.

What does evolution have to do with psychology? As Darwin pointed out in *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals* (1872), behavior is shaped by natural selection, just as physical traits are. Darwin noted that for many species, including humans, survival and reproduction are closely related to an individual's ability to recognize some expressions as threats and others as submission. To Darwin, it appeared that emotional expressions and other behaviors were influenced by natural selection as well. Even before Darwin, humans had selectively bred animals to behave in certain ways (i.e., artificial selection of traits, rather than natural selection). Sheep dogs make good herders, whereas their pit bull cousins do not. Retrievers and pointers are good at hunting with humans, but how long would a toy poodle last in this context? Darwin's recognition that behaviors, like physical traits, are subject to hereditary influences and natural selection was a major contribution to psychology.


INFLUENCES FROM MEDICINE: DIAGNOSES AND TREATMENTS Medicine contributed a great deal to the biological perspective in psychology, as well as **clinical psychology**, which is the field of psychology that concentrates on the diagnosis and treatment of psychological disorders. One

interesting area of medical study was brain localization, the idea that certain parts of the brain control specific mental abilities and personality characteristics. Physicians noted that when a specific area of the brain was damaged, the correlated function was affected as in these two interesting examples:

- Physician Paul Broca studied a patient named Tan. Tan received this name because it was the only word he could speak, despite the fact that he could hear and understand perfectly well. Broca identified an area of the left side of Tan's brain that was damaged and claimed to have found where speech production was localized; that area of the brain is now known as *Broca's area*.
- Motivated by Broca's work, Karl Wernicke identified *Wernicke's area* in 1874. Patients with damage to Wernicke's area could speak in sentences that sounded normal, but with unusual or made-up words. Patients who regained some of their speech later reported that, although they could hear just fine, no speech—not even their own—made sense during the recovery period.

Additional medical influences on psychology came from outside of mainstream practices. Working in Paris, physician Franz Mesmer, believed that prolonged exposure to magnets could redirect the flow of metallic fluids in the body, thereby curing disease and insanity. Although his claim was rejected outright by the medical and scientific communities, some of his patients seemed to be cured after being lulled into a trance. Modern physicians and scientists attribute these cures to belief in the treatment—what we now call a *placebo effect*. This practice also caught the attention of an Austrian physician named Sigmund Freud (1856–1939), who began to use the related concept of *hypnosis* to treat his own patients. Freud believed hypnosis cured several patients of *hysterical paralysis*—a condition in which an individual loses feeling and control in a specific body part, despite the lack of any known neurological damage or disease.

Freud's belief in his successes contributed to his theory of **psychoanalysis**, which is a method of explaining how behavior and personality are influenced by primitive drives and unconscious thought processes. Freud acknowledged that conscious experience includes perceptions, thoughts, and a sense of self. However, he also believed in an unconscious mind driven to fulfill self-serving sexual and aggressive impulses. Freud proposed that because these urges were unconscious, they could exert influence in strange ways, such as restricting the use of a body part (psychosomatic or hysterical paralysis). When a person is hypnotized, dreaming, or perhaps medicated into a trancelike state (Freud had a fondness for cocaine during a period of his career), the psychoanalyst could have direct access into the



Sigmund Freud developed the concept of an unconscious mind and its underlying processes in his theory of psychoanalysis.

individual's unconscious mind. Once Freud gained access, he could attempt to determine and correct any desires or emotions he believed were causing the unconscious to create the psychosomatic conditions.

Although Freud neglected to conduct scientific experiments to test his ideas about human behavior, his legacy can be seen in some key elements of scientific psychology. First, many modern psychologists make inferences about unconscious mental activity, just as Freud had advocated. Second, the use of medical ideas to treat disorders of emotions, thought, and behavior—an approach known as the *medical model*—can be traced to Freud's influence. Third, Freud incorporated evolutionary thinking into his work; he emphasized how physiological needs and urges relating to survival and reproduction can influence our behavior. Finally, Freud placed great emphasis on how early life experiences influence our behavior as adults—a perspective that comes up many times in this text.

THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL SCIENCES: MEASURING AND COMPARING HUMANS A third influential force came out of the social sciences of economics, sociology, and anthropology. Sir Francis Galton (1822–1911) combined the mathematical methods of economics with discussions of social class and ethnicity and made them relevant to psychology. Shortly after Galton's cousin, Charles Darwin, introduced his theory of evolution, Galton wondered why intelligence and achievement seemed to run in some families and not in others—particularly those who have

languished in poverty for generations. Is it possible that psychological traits can be inherited? For Galton, yes—it seemed natural that people who succeed in academics and business would inherit psychological traits that lead to high achievement. Galton was convinced by his own family lineage: his cousin Darwin was a great naturalist and his uncle Erasmus was a celebrated physician and writer. Galton himself began to read at age 2. You will see that many of Galton's beliefs and biases are no longer popular today, but several of his key contributions continue to influence research methods in psychology:

- Galton developed a psychological measure of *eminence*—a combination of ability, morality, and achievement—which he claimed was based on heredity. One observation helped to support this claim: The closer one relative is to another, the more similar are the traits they share. Although eminence is no longer used as a measure, modern psychology continues to emphasize the importance of measuring traits and behaviors and doing so accurately.
- Galton's statistical methods are the foundation of *correlation*, a mathematical representation of how two variables might go together. For example, wealth and academic achievement go together, as reflected in the calculation of correlation statistics.
- Galton pioneered the study of **nature and nurture relationships**, *the inquiry into how heredity (nature) and environment (nurture) influence behavior and mental processes*. He came down decidedly on the nature side, rejecting the likelihood that social conditions influenced eminence. Although we continue to explore nature and nurture, the focus is now on how they interact to produce individual abilities and traits.

Ultimately, Galton wanted his research to improve society—and so he attempted to scientifically justify *eugenics*, which literally translates as “good genes.” He argued that his society—19th-century England—should encourage intelligent, talented individuals to have children, whereas criminals, those with disabilities, and other races should be kept out of the English gene pool. Modern psychologists continue to conduct research that they hope will improve society; however, we now understand the benefits of improving the social and educational conditions for children (nurture) and the futility and injustice of eugenics (an extreme view of nature).

Galton originated a lot of the methods and questions found in modern psychology, but you would be hard-pressed to find current research arguing for eugenics. Ironically, one of his contributions has been to serve as an example of how *not* to employ those very same methods. The eugenics movement was based on supporting

preexisting beliefs rather than testing their validity and usefulness. Scientists are not perfect now, but definitely more cautious about creating falsifiable hypotheses. Also, remember that critical thinkers are willing to be proven wrong, and they always examine preexisting biases.


The Beginnings of Contemporary Psychology

As you now know, before psychology became its own discipline, there were scientists working across different fields who were converging on a study of human behavior. It is possible that physicists could have gone on studying psychophysics, physicians could have continued studying brain injuries, and biologists could have kept studying evolutionary influences on behavior. By modern standards, Darwin, Fechner, and others had produced psychological research, but it was not referred to as such because the field had not yet formed. Nevertheless, progress toward a distinct discipline of psychology was in the works.

STRUCTURALISM AND FUNCTIONALISM: THE BEGINNINGS OF PSYCHOLOGY Wilhelm Wundt (1832–1920) is credited with establishing the first psychology laboratory. His primary research method was

introspection (to “look within”), which required a trained volunteer to experience a stimulus and then report each individual sensation he or she could identify. For example, if the volunteer were given a steel ball, he would likely report the sensations of cold, hard, smooth, and heavy. To Wundt, these basic sensations were mental atoms that combined to form the molecules of experience. Wundt also developed reaction time methods as a way of measuring mental effort. In one such study, volunteers watched an apparatus in which two metal balls swung into each other to make a clicking sound. The volunteers required about one-eighth of a second to react to the sound, leading Wundt to conclude that mental activity is not instantaneous, but rather it requires a small amount of effort measured by the amount of time it takes to react. What made Wundt’s work distinctly psychological was his focus on measuring mental events and examining how they were affected by his experimental manipulations.

Wundt’s student, Edward Titchener, brought the introspection method to the United States and began mapping the structure of human consciousness. **Structuralism** was an attempt to analyze conscious experience by breaking it down into basic elements and to understand how these elements work together. Titchener chose the term *elements* deliberately as an analogy with the periodic table in the physical sciences. He believed that mental experiences were made up of a limited number of sensations, which



German scientist Wilhelm Wundt is widely credited as the father of experimental psychology.



William James was a highly influential American psychologist who took a functionalist approach to explaining behavior.

were analogous to elements in physics and chemistry. According to Titchener, different sensations can form and create complex compounds, just like hydrogen and oxygen can combine to form water (H₂O).

US scholar William James (1842–1910) authored the first textbook in psychology, *The Principles of Psychology*, which was published in 1890. In it, James emphasized **functionalism**, *the study of how behavior and thought function in organisms' adaptation to their environments*. In contrast to structuralism, which looks for permanent, unchanging elements of thought, functionalism examines how our brains and behaviors have been shaped by the physical and social environment that our ancestors encountered. These ideas can be found today in the field of *evolutionary psychology*.

Although some of those techniques, such as Wundt's introspection, are no longer used, by the turn of the 20th century, it was clear that the discipline of psychology was here to stay. With that sense of permanence in place, the second generation of psychologists could focus on refining the subject matter and the methods and turning psychology into a widely accepted scientific field.

THE RISE OF BEHAVIORISM Early in the 20th century, biologists became interested in how organisms learn to anticipate their bodily functions. One of the first to do so was Professor Edwin Twitmyer (1873–1943), a US psychologist interested in reflexes. His work involved a contraption with a rubber mallet that would regularly tap the patellar tendon just below the kneecap; this, of course, causes a kicking reflex in most individuals. To make sure his volunteers were not startled by the mallet, the contraption would ring a bell right before the mallet struck the tendon. As is often the case in experiments, the technology failed after a number of these bell-ringing and hammer-tapping combinations: The machine rang the bell, but the hammer did not come down on the volunteer's knee. But the real surprise was that the volunteer's leg kicked anyway! Because the sound of the bell successfully predicted the hammer, the ringing soon had the effect of the hammer itself, a process now called *classical conditioning*. The study of conditioning would soon become a focus of **behaviorism**, *which is an approach that dominated the first half of the 20th century of US psychology and had a singular focus on studying only observable behavior*, with little to no reference to mental events or instincts as possible influences on behavior.

Unfortunately for Twitmyer, his research was coolly received at the American Psychological Association meeting and the credit for discovering classical conditioning typically goes to a Russian physiologist named Ivan Pavlov (1849–1936). Pavlov's Nobel Prize-winning research showed that dogs could learn to salivate to a tone



Ivan Pavlov (on the right) explained classical conditioning through his studies of salivary reflexes in dogs.

if the tone has a history of sounding just before the delivery of food (Module 6.1).

Credit for the rise of behaviorism in the United States typically goes to John B. Watson (1878–1958). As research accumulated on the breadth of behaviors that could be conditioned, Watson began to believe that all behavior could ultimately be explained through conditioning. This emphasis on learning also came with stipulations about what could and could not be studied in psychology. Watson was adamant that only observable changes in the environment and behavior were appropriate for scientific study. Methods such as Wundt's introspection, he said, were too subjective to even consider. And, in stark contrast to Galton's eugenics, Watson believed anything was possible with controlled conditioning:

Give me a dozen healthy infants, well-formed, and my own specified world to bring them up in and I'll guarantee to take any one at random and train him to become any type of specialist I might select—doctor, lawyer, artist, merchant-chief and, yes, even beggar-man and thief, regardless of his talents, penchants, tendencies, abilities, vocations, and race of his ancestors. (Watson, 1930, p. 82)

After an indiscretion involving a female graduate student, Watson lost his university job, but found his new career in advertising. Advertisers at the time assumed they should inform people about the merits of a product. Watson, however, discovered a consumer's knowledge about a product really was not that important, so long as he or she had positive emotions associated with it. Thus, Watson's company developed ads that employed behaviorist principles to form associations between a product's



B.F. Skinner revealed how rewards affect behavior by conducting laboratory studies on animals.

brand image and positive emotions. If Pavlov's dogs could be conditioned to salivate when they heard a tone, what possibilities might there be for conditioning humans in a similar way? Modern advertisers want the logos for their brands of snacks or the trademark signs for their restaurants to bring on a specific craving, and some salivation along the way. We have Watson and his colleagues to thank for this phenomenon.

Taking up the reins from Watson was B. F. Skinner (1904–1990), another behaviorist who had considerable influence over US psychology for several decades. Like Watson, Skinner believed that psychology was the study of behavior and not of the unobservable mind. His approach differed from Watson's and was based on the relatively straightforward observation that organisms repeat behaviors that bring rewards and avoid those that do not. Typically, these studies occurred with animals held in small chambers in which they could manipulate a lever to receive rewards such as food and water. The experimenter would control when rewards were available, and the animal's task was to learn the reward schedule. Though we are clearly different from pigeons in many ways, behaviorists determined that many of the principles in animal learning can apply to humans as well.

Watson's and Skinner's concept of behaviorism met with resistance from many psychologists. If our behavior is controlled by external stimuli and rewards, then this leaves little room for free will. Many of those who resisted believed that humans could rise above their instinctive drives for rewards.

HUMANISTIC PSYCHOLOGY EMERGES In response to the deterministic views in behaviorism, psychoanalysis, and eugenics, a new psychological perspective arose in the

1950s. **Humanistic psychology** focuses on the unique aspects of each individual human, each person's freedom to act, his or her rational thought, and the belief that humans are fundamentally different from other animals. Figures such as Carl Rogers (1902–1987) and Abraham Maslow (1908–1970) focused on the positive aspects of humanity, the meaning of personal experience, and the factors that lead to a productive and fulfilling life. Both Rogers and Maslow believed that humans strive to develop a sense of self and are motivated to personally grow and fulfill their potential. This is in stark contrast to the psychoanalytic tradition, which focused on disorders and animal instincts. The humanistic perspective also contrasted with behaviorism in proposing that humans had the freedom to act and a rational mind to guide the process.

THE COGNITIVE REVOLUTION Whereas behaviorism dominated psychology in the United States, many European psychologists were more open to studying *cognition*—mental processes such as memory and perception. Starting in the late 1800s, German psychologist Hermann Ebbinghaus (1850–1909) produced reams of data on remembering and forgetting. The results of his studies produced numerous forgetting curves, graphs that show that most of what a person learns will be forgotten rapidly, but then forgetting slows to a crawl. The forgetting curve remains a staple of modern psychology, and you will read more about Ebbinghaus in Module 7.2.

Another precursor to cognitive psychology was **Gestalt psychology**, an approach emphasizing that psychologists need to focus on the whole of perception and experience, rather than its parts. (*Gestalt* is a German word that refers to the complete form of an object; see Figure 1.6.) This contrasts with the structuralist goal of breaking experience into its individual elements. For example, if Wundt were to hand you an apple, you would not think, "Round, red, has a stem..." unless he

Figure 1.6 The Whole Is Greater than the Sum of its Parts

The Gestalt psychologists emphasized humans' ability to see whole forms. For example, you probably perceive a sphere in the center of this figure, even though it does not exist on the page.



asked you to. Instead, you would likely think, “This is an apple.” This simple observation led Gestalt psychologists to argue that perception and thought occur at higher, more organized levels than Wundt believed. To them, Wundt’s structuralism made about as much sense as understanding water only by studying its hydrogen and oxygen atoms.

In the 1950s, the scientific study of cognition was becoming accepted practice in US psychology. The recent invention of the computer gave psychologists a useful analogy for understanding the mind (the software of the brain). Linguists argued that grammar and vocabulary were far too complex to be explained in behaviorist terms; the alternative was to propose abstract mental processes. There was a great deal of interest in memory and perception as well, but it was not until 1968 that these areas of research were given the name “cognitive psychology” by Ulrich Neisser (1928–2012). These events ushered in a new era of psychology in which studies of mental processes and experiences flourished. *Cognitive psychology* is a modern psychological perspective that focuses on processes such as memory, thinking, and language.

SOCIOCULTURAL PERSPECTIVES IN PSYCHOLOGY

As structuralism and functionalism were taking shape in the late 1800s and early 1900s, psychologists were starting to examine how other people influence individual behavior. A US psychologist, Norman Triplett (1861–1931), conducted one of the first formal experiments in this area, observing that cyclists ride faster in the presence of other people than when riding alone. Triplett published the first social psychology research in 1892, and a few social psychology textbooks appeared in 1908.

Despite the early interest in this field, studies of how people influence the behavior of others did not take off until the 1940s. Interest in social and cultural perspectives grew out of collaborations between sociologists (who studied populations of humans) and psychologists (who were studying individuals), in part to try to make sense of the brutality of World War II. They attempted to understand how normal

individuals could be transformed into ruthless prison camp guards, how political propaganda affected people, and how society might address issues of ethnic prejudice.

Kurt Lewin (1890–1947) is often cited as the founder of modern *social psychology*. Trained as a Gestalt psychologist, Lewin shifted his attention to race relations in the United States. After studying relations between individuals of different groups, he made an observation that is still well known among social psychologists: Behavior is a function of the individual and the environment, or $B = f(I, E)$. What Lewin meant was that all behaviors could be predicted and explained through understanding how an individual with a specific set of traits would respond in a context that involved a specific set of conditions. Take two individuals as an example: One tends to be quiet and engages in solitary activities such as reading, whereas the other is talkative and enjoys being where the action is. Now put them in a social situation, such as a wedding reception or a funeral. How will the two behave? Given the disparity between the individuals and between the two settings, we would suspect different behaviors would emerge.

The trends that emerged during this period laid the foundation for modern perspectives and theories in psychology. Psychology had become a clearly established discipline with established university departments, professional organizations, and academic journals. Although modern technology, such as brain scans and computing, would likely baffle psychology’s founders, we believe they would find the results of modern research absolutely relevant to their own research questions. In the next module, we will explore the settings in which this broad array of psychologists are encountered and what they contribute to society.

JOURNAL PROMPT

The Diversity of Psychology: Students are often surprised to learn the breadth of approaches that psychologists take to understanding behavior. Describe the most unexpected piece of information you learned about psychology in reading this module.

Table 1.2 Areas of Specialization within Psychology

Apply your knowledge to distinguish among different specializations in psychology. You should be able to read a description of a psychologist on the left and match that individual’s work to a specialization on the right.

1. I am an academic psychologist who studies various methods for improving study habits. I hope to help people increase memory performance and become better students. I am a(n) _____.	a. social psychologist
2. My work focuses on how the presence of other people influences an individual’s acceptance of and willingness to express various stereotypes. I am a(n) _____.	b. cross-cultural psychologist
3. I have been studying how childrearing practices in Guatemala, the United States, and Cambodia all share some common elements, as well as how they differ. I am a(n) _____.	c. cognitive psychologist
4. I am interested in behaviors that are genetically influenced to help animals adapt to their changing environments. I am a(n) _____.	d. humanistic psychologist
5. I help individuals identify problem areas of their lives and ways to correct them, and guide them to live up to their full potential. I am a(n) _____.	e. evolutionary psychologist

Module 1.2 Summary

1.2a Know . . . the key terminology of psychology's history:

behaviorism
clinical psychology
determinism
empiricism
functionalism
Gestalt psychology
humanistic psychology
materialism
nature and nurture relationships
psychoanalysis
psychophysics
structuralism
zeitgeist

1.2b Understand . . . how various philosophical ideas and scientific fields became major influences on psychology.

The philosophical schools of determinism, empiricism, and materialism provided the background for a scientific study of human behavior. The first psychologists were trained as physicists and physiologists. Fechner, for example, developed psychophysics, whereas Titchener looked for the elements of thought. Darwin's theory of natural

selection influenced psychologist William James's idea of functionalism, which is the search for how behaviors may aid the survival and reproduction of the organism.

1.2c Apply . . . your knowledge to distinguish among the different specializations and schools of thought in psychology.

Psychology is a broad field that arose from the combination of multiple influences. These factors are reflected by the diverse interests and perspectives in modern psychology, such as cognitive and social psychology. Table 1.2 provided an opportunity to match terms to examples.

1.2d Analyze . . . how the philosophical ideas of empiricism and determinism are applied to human behavior.

Psychology is based on empiricism, the belief that all knowledge—including knowledge about human behavior—is acquired through the senses. All sciences, including psychology, require a deterministic viewpoint. Determinism is the philosophical tenet that all events in the world, including human actions, have a physical cause. Applying determinism to human behavior has been met with resistance by many because it appears to deny a place for free will.

Module 1.2 Quiz

Know . . .

- In philosophical terms, a materialist is someone who believes that:
 - money buys happiness.
 - species evolve through natural selection.
 - personality can be measured by feeling for bumps on the surface of the skull.
 - everything that exists, including human beings, are composed exclusively of physical matter.

Understand . . .

- Charles Darwin revolutionized biology by offering natural selection as an explanation for how physical characteristics of species evolved. How has his work influenced contemporary psychology?
 - It shows that the biological approach to studying psychology is most important.
 - Natural selection has encouraged people to think more scientifically in general.
 - Evolutionary thought shows that psychology must be limited to the study of humans.
 - Darwin applied his theory to behaviors such as emotional expressions, showing that natural selection is an important factor in understanding psychology.

- A distinct feature of behaviorism is its:

- search for the deeper meaning of human existence.
- search for patterns that create a whole that is greater than its parts.
- use of introspection.
- exclusive emphasis on observable behavior.

Apply . . .

- Jana uses research about how we cope with stress in order to help people with psychological disorders. Jana is probably _____.

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| A. an empiricist | C. a clinical psychologist |
| B. a supporter of eugenics | D. a phrenologist |

Analyze . . .

- The field of psychoanalysis arose from speculation that biological forces, rather than rational thinking, controlled behavior. This is in stark contrast to the humanistic psychologists who emphasized each individual's ability to control his or her life. On which philosophical element of psychology do these two schools of thought disagree?

A. determinism	C. nature or nurture
B. structuralism	D. functionalism

Module 1.3 Putting Psychology to Work: Careers in Psychology and Related Fields



Learning Objectives

- 1.3a** Know . . . the key terminology of psychological professions.
- 1.3b** Understand . . . the various professional settings occupied by psychologists.
- 1.3c** Understand . . . the distinctions among mental health professions in their approaches and educational requirements.
- 1.3d** Apply . . . your knowledge to identify the job title of a psychologist based on their work.
- 1.3e** Analyze . . . the claim that psychologists could contribute to virtually any field of work.

When you picture a psychologist at work, do you imagine one operating a huge chainsaw and cutting a hole through three feet of ground-level ice? What if the psychologist happened to be in Antarctica studying the behavior of seals and was creating the hole so that he could capture a glimpse of what these animals were doing beneath the ice? This would describe at least part of the career of Jesse Purdy, a psychology professor who spent many months in Antarctica recording the social behavior of Weddell

seals. When not cutting holes through ice and observing seals, Purdy teaches psychology courses to undergraduate students and conducts research on a quirky animal called the cuttlefish, a close relative of the octopus. This probably sounds like a far cry from what the stereotypes of psychologists suggest Purdy is supposed to do. Granted, this example is not exactly mainstream, but it does attest to the wide range of topics and settings where you will find psychologists at work.

Professions in Psychology

Psychology is a broad discipline with many applications in the workplace. You will see a wide variety of job titles and descriptions in this module, including some jobs that are not labeled as *psychology* but are closely aligned to it. Despite the diverse roles described here, remember that these are applications of psychology, which means they involve a scientific approach to behavior and thought. Those who earn a doctoral degree in psychology work in a variety of settings, with the most common being in mental health settings, or engaged in research and teaching at colleges and universities (Figure 1.7).

RESEARCH AND TEACHING *Research psychologists* typically work at universities, in corporations, in the military, and in governmental agencies (such as the National Institutes of Health and Mental Health). Many psychologists working in these different settings focus on applying basic principles of psychology to real-world settings. **Applied psychology** *uses psychological knowledge to address problems and issues across various settings and professions, including law, education, clinical psychology, and business organization and management.* Some applied psychologists do both basic and applied work; it really depends on where a psychologist is employed.

Your psychology instructor is employed (at least part of the time) in academic psychology. *Academic psychologists* work at colleges and universities, and most combine teaching with conducting research, although some do only one or the other. Psychologists working in academics are not likely to refer to themselves as academic psychologists, however. For example, most instructors of psychology

courses would describe themselves by their specialization, as in “I am a social psychologist” or “I am a developmental psychologist.”

PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

Generally when most people hear the word *psychology*, they think first of mental health. In fact, the depiction of a psychologist with notepad in hand listening attentively to a client has become an all-too-common caricature, reinforcing the belief that psychologists are in the business of analyzing people. Clearly, the mental health field is the largest sector of employment for individuals with advanced degrees in psychology. However, helping professions are not limited to individuals with psychology degrees, as shown in Table 1.3. In fact, we call mental health jobs the *helping professions* because *helping* is what they all have in common, not the specific educational criteria, degrees, or occupational roles.

Multiple job descriptions are necessary for differentiating the distinct treatments considered the most effective for each individual helping profession. For example, **psychiatry** *is a branch of medicine concerned with the treatment of mental and behavioral disorders.* As physicians, psychiatrists can and are likely to prescribe drugs such as antidepressants. Clinical and counseling psychologists, however, treat mental health concerns and disorders with psychological approaches. Social workers are likely to emphasize the social context of the individuals in treatment, such as the family’s dynamics, socioeconomic status, and community.

The educational levels required of each helping profession vary depending on the role. Psychologists may work with a master’s degree in most states, but many go on to pursue doctorates, which provide greater career opportunities. This might include the typical graduate degree for a scientific discipline, the Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.), which combines training in scientific research and practice. However, there is also a specialized Doctor of Psychology (Psy.D.) degree that focuses almost exclusively on the practice of psychology.

As you can see, mental health providers can be found in many settings and provide numerous services to people in need. The caricature of a psychologist as an analyst breaks down even more when we expand our discussion of what psychologists do.

Psychologists can specialize to practice in specific contexts. **Forensic psychology** *encompasses work in the criminal justice system, including interactions with the legal system and its professionals.* The field is often glorified in movies and TV as criminal profiling and investigation, but it is actually a practical profession involving little of what is portrayed in these shows. The relatively few criminal profilers work with the FBI and larger law enforcement agencies to develop a set of characteristics that are statistically

Figure 1.7 Where Professional Psychologists Work
Primary employment settings for PhD recipients in psychology.




Table 1.3 Employment in Psychology

Common occupations in the area of mental health, their educational requirements, and the basic roles for each position.

Job Title	Education	Role
Clinical psychologist or Counseling psychologist	Ph.D. or Psy.D.	Diagnosis and treatment of psychological disorders
Neuropsychologist	Ph.D. or Psy.D.	Diagnosis and evaluation of individuals with neurological damage
School psychologist	Ed.D., Ph.D., or master's	Diagnosing behavioral problems and learning disabilities; working in schools to develop personalized programs to help students
Community psychologist	Ph.D. or Psy.D.	Providing basic mental health services to the community with a focus on education and prevention
Licensed Mental Health Counselor	Master's in Psychology or Marriage & Family Therapy	Providing individual and group counseling for individuals and families experiencing mental health problems
Licensed clinical social worker	Master's in social work (MSW)	Counseling individuals and families experiencing mental health and social problems; engaging in community organizations and providing social services
Forensic psychologist	Ph.D. or Psy.D.	Psychology related to judiciary or criminal issues, such as evaluating an individual's competency to stand trial
Psychiatrist	Medical doctor, M.D.	Diagnosis and treatment of psychological disorders with an emphasis on a medical perspective
Psychiatric nurse	Nursing degree, RN	Working as part of a comprehensive treatment team to manage medical and behavioral treatments on a regular basis
Behavioral health technician; case manager	Bachelor's degree	Assisting with treatment on either an inpatient or outpatient basis, respectively

related to a criminal's methods. You would more likely see forensic psychologists working in prisons, training and evaluating police officers, or assisting with jury selections and evaluating whether defendants are able to stand trial.

School psychology involves working with students who have special needs, such as those with emotional, social, or academic problems. Practitioners might address ways to change troubling or disruptive behavior, or a cognitive disability that interferes with learning, such as dyslexia. School psychologists may spend a lot of time observing a child's behavior or administering special psychological tests to identify learning disabilities. These professionals rarely work alone; instead, they are more often part of students' educational teams, which include their parents, teachers, and counselors.

Most of the helping professions we have described have two things in common: (1) graduate degrees (more school after college!) and (2) licensure or certification as approved by individual states. More school and licensing tests may or may not sound appealing; certainly many individuals prefer to start their careers immediately after college rather than going for another degree. With a bachelor's degree, individuals can work in treatment settings on a more basic level. For example, case managers make regular contact with individuals to ensure they are complying with treatment (e.g., taking medication as prescribed) and make sure their clients are in touch with public and private services that may help them. Behavioral health technicians have similar tasks, except they are for the short term (usually less than 30 days) and on an inpatient basis.

HEALTH AND MEDICAL PROFESSIONS In addition to providing for mental health, an increasing number of psychologists are focusing on physiological health in the field known as **health psychology** (or *behavioral medicine*), the study of how individual, biological, and environmental factors affect physical health. Health psychologists identify the behaviors and personality traits that put people at risk and that, when combined with an unfortunate genetic heritage or infection, lead to disease. For example, overeating, poor food choices, and a sedentary lifestyle have been linked to diabetes and a variety of cardiovascular ailments. Psychological traits such as pessimism and hopelessness are correlated with impaired immune functioning and lower recovery rates from major surgeries, diseases, or accidents. Working long hours at a high-stakes job while sacrificing rich and meaningful relationships can affect one's health as well. Thus, psychologists who work in the health fields might be seen as the behavioral counterparts to practitioners of traditional medicine. Whereas physicians treat the physiological aspects of a disease, psychologists help to change the related behaviors. Health psychology is the focus of Chapter 16.

PSYCHOLOGY IN THE CORPORATE WORLD One of the fastest-growing fields within psychology is **industrial and organizational (I/O) psychology**, which is a branch of applied psychology in which psychologists work for businesses and other organizations to improve employee productivity and the organizational structure of the company or business.

I/O psychologists may develop tests to hire workers who have the best chance at succeeding, they may assist work teams to improve communication and responsibility, and they may help organizations with the management of change. This approach to psychology is covered in depth in Chapter 17.

Closely related to I/O psychology is *human factors psychology*, which is the study of how people interact with tools, physical spaces, or products. This is the high-tech branch of applied psychology; a great deal of human factors work applies principles of sensation and perception to complex work environments such as aircraft cockpits or laparoscopic surgical devices. Human factors psychologists may study human–computer interaction to develop user-friendly software and other products. Similarly those who practice environmental psychology study factors that improve working and living conditions, but they do so by establishing how the environment affects individuals or groups. Results from this type of research may be used in the design of working and living spaces to foster communication, to reduce distractions, and to prevent or reduce strain, stress, and fatigue.

Many undergraduates who major in psychology go on to marketing and advertising. (In Module 1.2, we mentioned that psychologist Watson made a successful career for himself in advertising.) What does marketing have to do with psychology? A great deal, it turns out. Marketing involves a lot of research on what consumers prefer, what buyers expect, and what makes shoppers choose one product over another. Marketing professionals conduct surveys and experiments on preferences, such as the taste tests we see reported on television commercials.

JOURNAL PROMPT

Specializations in Psychology: If you were to enter the field of psychology, which area of specialization described in this module would you most likely choose? Why?

I'M NOT PLANNING ON A CAREER IN PSYCHOLOGY...

Not wanting to become a psychologist is fine, too; the world needs many types of professionals. Based on recent trends, only a small percentage of this year's nearly 100,000 bachelor-level psychology graduates will go on to work in psychological fields—far more (approximately 40%) will go on to work in business settings (Magaletta et al., 2010). But students who major or minor in psychology will be able to apply what they have learned in many different types of work. Because of psychology's emphasis on research methods, psychology majors turn out to be excellent problem solvers and critical thinkers. Also, psychology majors learn many principles of human behavior, ranging from individual cognition to group dynamics. These skills are needed for marketers, managers, and teachers (see Figure 1.8). So an understanding of behavior works to your advantage regardless of which career you eventually choose.

Even if this is the only course you take in psychology, we hope you enjoy it. We also hope that you come to understand more than just a few principles about human behavior. We anticipate that you will become more aware of the evidence people use when they make claims about behavior. Remember, as members of a capitalist society, our warning is *caveat emptor*—"Buyer, beware!"

Figure 1.8 Work Settings for People Earning Master's and Bachelor's Degrees in Psychology




Table 1.4 Matching Psychologist Titles and Roles

Identify the appropriate job title for each psychologist in the table. For every job description on the left, there is one correct title on the right.

1. I am employed at a state penitentiary and work closely with parole officers to make sure parolees have a psychological treatment plan to address substance abuse, anger management, impulsiveness, and other problems. I am a(n) _____.	a. health psychologist
2. I conduct research for the military to ensure that computer systems in aircraft are as user friendly as possible. I am a(n) _____.	b. psychiatrist
3. I went to medical school and then began working at a hospital, where I treat severe psychological disorders with medications and some psychotherapy. I am a(n) _____.	c. forensic psychologist
4. I am an independent consultant, and I try to help businesses identify and hire the best possible executives. I am a(n) _____.	d. human factors psychologist
5. Although I did not go to medical school, I have been working with heart surgery patients to help them adjust to life after surgery. This involves psychotherapy to deal with emotions and stress, as well as helping the patients adopt healthier behaviors. I am a(n) _____.	e. I/O psychologist