

**ANDREW KARMEN** 

CRIME VICTIMS an introduction to VICTIMOLOGY

tenth edition



# crime victims

AN INTRODUCTION TO VICTIMOLOGY

TENTH EDITION

#### ANDREW KARMEN

John Jay College of Criminal Justice City University of New York





#### Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology, Tenth Edition Andrew Karmen

Product Team Manager: Carolyn Henderson

Meier

Product Manager: Joshua Parrot Learning Designer: Cazzie Reyes Product Assistant: Megan Nauer

Senior Marketing Manager: Mark Linton Senior Content Manager: Christy Frame

IP Analyst: Jennifer Bowes

IP Project Manager: Nick Barrows

Production Service and Compositor: Flora

Emanuel, Lumina Datamatics Senior Designer: Helen Bruno Cover Designer: Helen Bruno

Cover Image: iStock Photo/gcammino

© 2020, 2016, 2013 Cengage Learning, Inc.

Unless otherwise noted, all content is © Cengage

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this work covered by the copyright herein may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, except as permitted by U.S. copyright law, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

For product information and technology assistance, contact us at Cengage Customer & Sales Support, 1-800-354-9706 or support.cengage.com.

For permission to use material from this text or product, submit all requests online at www.cengage.com/permissions.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018949252

Student Edition:

ISBN: 978-0-357-03779-9

Loose-leaf Edition: ISBN: 978-0-357-02087-6

#### Cengage

20 Channel Center Street Boston, MA 02210 USA

Cengage is a leading provider of customized learning solutions with employees residing in nearly 40 different countries and sales in more than 125 countries around the world. Find your local representative at **www.cengage.com.** 

Cengage products are represented in Canada by Nelson Education, Ltd.

To learn more about Cengage platforms and services, register or access your online learning solution, or purchase materials for your course, visit www.cengage.com.

Print d in the United States of America Print Number: 01 Print Year: 2018

# **Brief Contents**

#### PREFACE xviii

Chapter	1	What Is Victimology? 1	
Chapter	2	The Rediscovery of Crime Victims 41	
Chapter	3	Victimization in the United States: An Overview 80	
Chapter	4	A Closer Look at the Victims of Interpersonal Crimes of Violence and Theft $$ 109	
Chapter	5	The Ongoing Controversy over Shared Responsibility 146	
Chapter	6	Victims and the Police 200	
Chapter	7	Victims' Rights and the Criminal Justice System 231	
Chapter	8	Victimized Children 273	
Chapter	9	Victims of Violence by Lovers and Family Members 319	
Chapter	10	Victims of Rapes and Other Sexual Assaults 363	
Chapter	11	Additional Groups of Victims with Special Problems 424	
Chapter	12	Repaying Victims 466	
Chapter	13	Victims in the Twenty-First Century: Alternative Directions 506	
GLOSSARY 555 REFERENCES 567 NAME INDEX 639 SUBJECT INDEX 655			

### Contents

PREFACE xviii

#### 1 What Is Victimology? An Introduction to Victimology Studying Victimization Scientifically Focusing on the Plight of Crime Victims What Kinds of Studies Do Victimologists Carry Out? 5 What Victimology Isn't 18 Victimology versus Detective Work 18 Victimology versus Victim Services 19 Victimology versus Victimism: A Political Point of View Comparing Victimology to Criminology The Many Parallels between Criminology and Victimology Some Differences and Issues about Boundaries Differing Political Approaches within the Discipline 26 Why Study Victimology? Why Place Such an Emphasis on Carrying Out Research? Different Types of Research Studies in Victimology Different Disciplinary Approaches when Researching Victim Issues Sometimes Research Findings Can Be Surprising! How to Structure a Victimological Investigation Summary 39 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary Questions for Discussion and Debate Critical Thinking Questions Suggested Research Projects

#### 2 The Rediscovery of Crime Victims 41

The Discovery, Decline, and Rediscovery of Crime Victims 42

The Process of Rediscovery 43

Victimology Contributes to the Rediscovery Process 43
Rediscovering Exemplary Behavior under Extremely Difficult Circumstances 44
Rediscovering Survivors Whose Resilience after a Vicious Attack Is Inspiring 47
"Survivorology": Toward a More Upbeat Focus within Victimology 48
Rediscovering the Importance of Bystanders Who Intervene 50
Other Groups Propel the Rediscovery Process Forward 53
Social Movements: Taking Up the Victims' Cause 53
* *
Commercial Interests: Selling Security Products and Services to Victims 64
Rediscovering Additional Groups of Victims 64
The Rediscovery Process in Action, Step by Step 67
Stage One: Calling Attention to an Overlooked Problem 67
Stage Two: Making Progress, Implementing Reforms 68
Stage Three: Emergence of an Opposition and Development of Resistance to
Further Changes 69
Stage Four: Research Findings Generate Useful Evidence 70
Summary 77
Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 78
Questions for Discussion and Debate 78
Critical Thinking Questions 78
Suggested Research Projects 79
Victimization in the United States: An Overview 80
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96  Searching for Changes in the Big Picture: Detecting Trends in Interpersonal
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96  Searching for Changes in the Big Picture: Detecting Trends in Interpersonal Violence and Theft 98
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96  Searching for Changes in the Big Picture: Detecting Trends in Interpersonal Violence and Theft 98  Taking a Longer View: Murders in the United States over the Past
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96  Searching for Changes in the Big Picture: Detecting Trends in Interpersonal Violence and Theft 98  Taking a Longer View: Murders in the United States over the Past Century 101
Victimization across the Nation: The Big Picture 81  Making Sense of Statistics 82  The Two Official Sources of Data 84  Facts and Figures in the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Report (UCR) 84  Facts and Figures in the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) 87  Comparing the UCR and the NCVS 91  A First Glance at the Big Picture: Estimates of the Number of New Crime Victims Each Year 92  A Second Look at the Big Picture: Watching the FBI's Crime Clock 93  Delving Deeper into the Big Picture: Examining Victimization Rates 94  Tapping into the UCR and the NCVS to Fill in the Details of the Big Picture 96  Searching for Changes in the Big Picture: Detecting Trends in Interpersonal Violence and Theft 98  Taking a Longer View: Murders in the United States over the Past Century 101  The Rise and Fall of Murder Rates Since 1900 103

4

Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 107
Questions for Discussion and Debate 107
Critical Thinking Questions 107
Suggested Research Projects 108
A Closer Look at the Victims of Interpersonal Crimes of Violence
and Theft 109
Addressing Some Troubling Questions 110
Identifying Differential Risks: Which Groups Suffer More Often
Than Others? 111
Focusing on Murders and Near-Death Experiences 111
Where It Is Much Safer or Far More Dangerous: Making International Comparisons 111
Murder Rates in Various Big Cities around the Country 115
A Victimological Investigation of Murders in the United States 117
Who Gets Killed by Whom? How, Where, and Why? 118
Differential Risks: Who Faces the Gravest Threats of Being Murdered? 119
Near-Death Experiences: Trends in Aggravated Assault Rates 122
Focusing on Robberies 125
Robbers and the People They Prey Upon 126
Robberies: Who, How Often, How, Where, When 126
Changes over Time in Robbery Rates 127
Checking Out Whether More Robberies Are Turning into Murders 128
Differential Risks: Which Groups Get Robbed the Most and the Least Often? 128
Focusing on Burglaries 131
Trends and Patterns in Burglaries 133
Differential Risks: Whose Homes Are More Likely to Be Burglarized? 134
Focusing on Motor Vehicle Theft 135
Stealing Cars for Fun and Profit 135
Trends in Motor Vehicle Theft 136
Differential Risks: Which Motorists Should Be Most Concerned When
Parking? 137
Predicting the Chances of Becoming a Victim Someday: Projecting
Cumulative Risks 141
Summary 144
Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 144
Questions for Discussion and Debate 145
Critical Thinking Questions 145
Suggested Research Projects 145
The Ongoing Controversy over Shared Responsibility 146

#### 5

How Some Victims Contribute to the Crime Problem 147 Repeat and Chronic Victims: Learning from Past Mistakes? 148 The Entire Spectrum of Possibilities: Recognizing Complete Innocence and Full Responsibility 149

vii

Who or What Is to Blame for Specific Incidents? 150 What Is Victim Blaming? What Is Victim Defending? 154 What Is System Blaming? 154 Mistakes Individuals Make: Victim Facilitation 156 How Many Identity Thefts Were Victim-Facilitated? How Many Burglaries Were Victim-Facilitated? How Many Vehicle Thefts Were Victim-Facilitated? Victim Precipitation and Provocation How Many Violent Crimes Were Precipitated or Provoked? Transcending Victim Blaming and Victim Defending: System Blaming 180 Blaming the System for Violent and Property Crimes Blaming the System for the Problem of Identity Thefts The Importance of Determining Responsibility in the Criminal Justice Process 184 Theorizing about Risk Factors: Figuring Out Why Certain Groups Suffer More Often than Others 186 Applying Deterrence Theory to Victims 187 Why Various Groups Experience Differential Risks: Routine Activities and Specific Lifestyles 188 Some Victims Were Criminals: The Equivalent Group Explanation 191 What's the Difference between Crime Prevention and Victimization Prevention? 193 Reducing Risks: How Safe Is Safe Enough? 195 Ambivalence about Risk Taking Summary 197 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary Questions for Discussion and Debate 198 Critical Thinking Questions Suggested Research Projects 199 Victims and the Police Victims Interacting with the Criminal Justice System: Cooperation or Conflict? 202 What Would Be Ideal? 202 What Do Victims Want: Punishment? Treatment? Restitution? Make "Them" Suffer 204 Make "Them" Get Treatment 207 Make "Them" Pay for Losses and Expenses 207 Victims and the Police 208 Reporting Incidents 208 Responding Quickly 212 Handling Victims with Care 213 Challenging the Victim's Version of Events Investigating Complaints and Solving Crimes Arresting Suspects 224

	Recovering Stolen Property 226 Measuring Progress toward a Victim-Oriented Police Department 228 Summary 229 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 229 Questions for Discussion and Debate 229 Critical Thinking Questions 230 Suggested Research Projects 230
7	Victims' Rights and the Criminal Justice System 231
	Victims and the Juvenile Justice System 232
	Toward Greater Formal Legal Rights within the Criminal Justice System  The Quest for a Constitutional Amendment Guaranteeing Victims' Rights 235  The Achievements of the Victims' Rights Movement 236  Rights Gained at the Expense of Offenders 237  Rights Gained at the Expense of the Criminal Justice System 238
	Rights Gained at the Expense of Offenders, the System, or Both 239
	Victims and Prosecutors 241
	Assisting Victims and Other Witnesses for the State 243
	Protecting Victims Who Serve as Witnesses for the Prosecution 245
	Dismissing Charges and Rejecting Cases 249
	Negotiating Pleas 250
	Victims and Defense Attorneys 251
	Postponing Hearings 252
	Cross-Examining Witnesses during Trials 253
	Victims and Judges 255
	Granting Bail 255
	Sentencing Offenders 256
	Appealing to the Supreme Court 259
	Victims and Juries 259
	Victims and Corrections Officials 263
	Keeping Track of Offenders and Receiving Reimbursement from Them 263
	Influencing Parole Board Decisions 264
	And Justice for All? 266
	Recognizing "Second-Class" Treatment 266
	Summary 271
	Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 271
	Questions for Discussion and Debate 271
	Critical Thinking Questions 272
	Suggested Research Projects 272
8	Victimized Children 273
•	The Ongoing Debate between Maximalists and Minimalists 274
	Maximalists versus Minimalists 274
	Missing Children 275
	The Rediscovery of the Plight of Kidnapped Children 276
	Fears and Confusion Prevails in the Absence of Data 277

ix

Estimates of the Incidence and Seriousness of the Disappearance Problem 280 Hunting for Children Who Have Vanished The Amber Alert System 285 Protecting Children from Kidnappers Physically and Sexually Abused Children The Rediscovery of Child Abuse How Children Suffer 291 Estimates of the Incidence, Prevalence, and Seriousness of Child Abuse Maximalist versus Minimalist Approaches to the Seriousness of the Problem Differential Risks Children Face of Being Maltreated The Suffering of Sexually Abused Children 299 The Furor over Recalling Repressed Memories of Childhood Sexual Abuse 301 Strange Allegations of Child Sexual Abuse during Rituals Abused Children and Legal Proceedings 308 Taking into Account the Best Interests of the Child The Credibility of Children as Witnesses Devising Child-Friendly Practices Proactive versus Reactive Strategies 314 Additional Forms of Exploitation and Mistreatment of Young People Sibling Abuse 315 Abuse of Adolescents by Parents 315 Statutory Rape of Minors 316 Summary 317 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary Questions for Discussion and Debate Critical Thinking Questions 318 318 Suggested Research Projects Victims of Violence by Lovers and Family Members Violence within Romantic Relationships and Families The Rediscovery of "Wife Beating" Victim Blaming versus Victim Defending How Victims Suffer 324 Estimates of the Incidence, Prevalence, and Seriousness of Intimate Partner Violence 325 Recognizing Warning Signs Fatal Attractions: Slayings of Intimate Partners 332 Explaining Intimate Partner Violence: Why Doesn't She Just Leave Him? Enabling Victims Who Feel Trapped to Escape 337 Battered Women and the Criminal Justice System: Violence Is Violence—or Is It? 339 The Rediscovery of Battered Husbands and Boyfriends Victim Provocation and Murder: When Is the Slaying of a Wife Beater Justified? Intimate Partner Homicides and the Criminal Justice System

Arguments Stressing that the Brutal Man Did Not Deserve to Die

	Arguments Emphasizing that the Brutal Man Provoked the Lethal			
	Response 349			
	Legal Questions 350			
	The Rediscovery of Other Victims of Beatings 351			
	Dating Violence 352			
	Abuse of Parents by Adolescents 354			
	Elder Abuse 354			
	Battering within Same-Sex Relationships 358			
	Preventing Domestic Violence 359			
	Summary 360			
	Key Terms Defined in the Glossary 361			
	Questions for Discussion and Debate 361			
	Critical Thinking Questions 362			
	Suggested Research Projects 362			
10	Victims of Rapes and Other Sexual Assaults 363			
	Sexual Assaults and Rapes: The Social Reaction 364			
	The Rediscovery of the Plight of Rape Victims 365			
	The Consequences of Being Sexually Assaulted 367			
	The Problem of Pregnancies Arising from Rapes 368			
	The Controversy Surrounding Questions of Shared Responsibility 369			
	"Real Rapes" as Compared to "Acquaintance Rapes" and "Date Rapes" 369			
	Victim-Blaming Viewpoints 371			
	Victim-Defending Perspectives 374			
	Estimates of the Incidence, Prevalence, and Seriousness of Rape and Sexual			
	Assault 377			
	Maximalist Perspectives 377			
	Minimalist Perspectives 379			
	Who Faces the Gravest Dangers? Differential Risks of Being Sexually Assaulted			
	and Raped 380			
	How the Criminal Justice System Handles Rape Victims 382			
	The Controversy over Unfounded Accusations 386			
	The Accuser versus the Accused 390			
	Unwanted Publicity and Negative Media Portrayals 391			
	Rape Shield Laws 393			
	Issues Surrounding Force and Resistance 394			
	The Need for Corroboration 395			
	Rape Victims and the Police 395			
	Rape Victims and Prosecutors 399			
	Crisis Centers: Providing Emergency Assistance 402			
	The Rediscovery of More Victims of Rapes and Sexual Assaults 403			
	Drug-Facilitated Sexual Assaults 403			
	Sexual Assaults on Campus 406			
	Maximalist versus Minimalist Perspectives 407			
	Sexual Assaults within the Military 415			
	Sexual Assaults between Males 416			

хi

Sexual Assaults behind Bars 417 Sexual Assaults within Marriages Three Competing Approaches to Reducing the Problem of Rape and Sexual Assault Summary 422 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary Questions for Discussion and Debate 422 Critical Thinking Questions 423 Suggested Research Projects 423 11 Additional Groups of Victims with Special Problems 424 Particular Groups that Face Special Problems which Require Special Solutions 425 Passengers Harmed while on Cruise Ships Individuals Menaced by Stalkers Stalking: A New Word for an Old Problem The Scope of the Problem 428 Cyberstalking: A New Word for a New Problem 430 Victims of Crimes Committed at School Threats Facing Middle and High School Students 432 Threats Facing College Students The Controversy over Hazing on Campus 440 Casualties of Workplace Violence Differential Risks of Being Wounded or Murdered while on the Job Targets of Hate Crimes 445 Rediscovering a Very Old Problem 445 How Many Outbursts of Hatred? 447 Patterns and Trends in Victim-Offender Relationships 448 Criminal Justice System Reforms Violence between Prisoners 451 Discovering Differential Risks of Getting Slain behind Bars Law Enforcement Officers Injured and Slain in the Line of Duty 453 Who, Where, When, and How? Showing Solidarity 456 Casualties of Politically Inspired Violence and Terrorism Assessing the Threat of Terrorism 458 Assistance and Recovery Summary 464 Key Terms Defined in the Glossary Questions for Discussion and Debate Critical Thinking Questions 465 Suggested Research Projects 465

#### 12 Repaying Victims 466

The Costs of Victimizations 467 Gaining Restitution from Offenders 468

m	
The Rise, Fall, and Rediscovery of Re	estitution 469
Divergent Goals, Clashing Philosophie	
-	172
	175
	79
The Revival of Interest in Civil Lawsu	
The Litigation Process 479	
Collecting Damages from Third Parties	483
Achieving Reimbursements from Insura	
Crime Insurance 488	1
Patterns of Loss, Recovery, and Reimb	ursement 490
Recovering Losses through Victim Com	
The History of Victim Compensation l	-
The Debate over Compensation in the	=
How Programs Operate: Similarities ar	
Monitoring and Evaluating Compensat	
Confiscating Profits from Notorious Cr.	
Trying to Make Sure that Crime Does	
Summary 503	
•	03
•	504
Critical Thinking Questions 504	
Suggested Research Projects 504	
Toward Countering Criminal Violence Victims Be Better Off If They Were An The Legitimate Use of Force in Self-D Justifiable Homicides Carried Out by I Officers 510 Acquiring Firearms for Self-Protection	with Forceful Responses: Would rmed? 507 efense 508
Gun Laws Directly Affecting Victims Gun Ownership in the United States Arguments Advanced by Proponents of Counterarguments Advanced by Critics Protection 525 The Potential Contributions of Victime Toward Restorative Justice 540 A Brief History of Restorative Justice The Peacemaking Process: How Reconditions of Evaluating Efforts at Reconciliation 2	Opposed to Arming for Self- clogical Investigations 538  542 ciliation Programs Work 544 548 t of View 549
Gun Laws Directly Affecting Victims Gun Ownership in the United States Arguments Advanced by Proponents of Counterarguments Advanced by Critics Protection 525 The Potential Contributions of Victime Toward Restorative Justice 540 A Brief History of Restorative Justice The Peacemaking Process: How Recome Evaluating Efforts at Reconciliation 2 Pros and Cons from the Victim's Point	518 Arming for Self-Protection 520 Opposed to Arming for Self- plogical Investigations 538  542 ciliation Programs Work 544 548 t of View 549

Questions for Discussion and Debate 553 Critical Thinking Questions 553 Suggested Research Projects 553

GLOSSARY 555
REFERENCES 567
NAME INDEX 639
SUBJECT INDEX 655

# Boxes, Tables, and Figures

#### **BOXES**

Box 1.1	A Sampling of the Wide Range of Studies about the Interaction between Offenders and Victims 7	Box 2.4	A Calendar of Events That Raise Awareness about the Problems of Various Kinds of Victims 57
Box 1.2	What the Police Mean by the Term <i>Victimology</i> 19	Box 2.5	Examples of Pro-Victim Policies and Laws 59
Box 1.3	Victimologists Ask Different Questions than	Box 2.6	The Rediscovery Process Goes On and On 65
Box 1.4	Practitioners 20 Some Striking Examples of "Victimology Bashing" 22	Box 2.7	An Illustration of the Four Stages in the Rediscovery Process: The Plight of Victims
Box 1.5	Some Questions and Issues to Address in a Comprehensive Victim-Centered Research Project 32	Box 3.1	of Human Trafficking 71  The FBI's Instructions about  How to Classify Certain  Complicated Crimes: Guidelines
Box 1.6	An Illustration of How to Analyze a Specific Type of	Box 4.1	from the <i>Uniform Crime</i> Reporting Handbook 99  A Statistical Picture of
Box 2.1	Victimization: Road Rage 34  Highlights in the History of Major Developments in	B0X 4.1	Murders in the United States, 1980–2008 121
	Victimology and Victim Assistance 45	Box 4.2	"Your Money or Your Life!" 129
Box 2.2	Questions to Spur the Development of Survivorology 50	Box 4.3 Box 5.1	Carjacked Drivers 132 Early Expressions of Support for Inquiries into the Victim's
Box 2.3	The Social Reaction to Victimization: A Look at the Interplay between Victims, Offenders, and Bystanders 52	Box 5.2	Role 153 Early Criticisms of the Notion of Shared Responsibility 155

Box 5.3	The Perils of Identity Theft: What to Do and What Not to Do, According to the Experts 168	Box 8.1	Highlights of the Rediscovery of the Missing Children Problem 278 How Often Are Children
Box 5.4	Advice from Security Experts about Burglaries 172	BOX 0.2	Kidnapped, and What Happens to Them? 283
Box 5.5	Advice to Motorists about Preventing Vehicle Theft 174	Box 10.1	The Controversy Surrounding Widely Held Rape Myths 377
Box 5.6	Robbery: What the Experts Recommend 181	Box 10.2	The System's Shortcomings from a Victim's Point of
Box 5.7	Prof Calls for Crackdown on Crime Victims 186	Box 10.3	View 383  The Problem of Untested Rape
Box 6.1	Notable Criticisms of How the Criminal Justice System Handles Victims 203	Box 10.4	Kits 400  Best Practices Guidelines for the Proper Handling of Allegations of Sexual
Box 6.2	Which Individuals Who Claim to Be Victims of Auto Theft		Misconduct on Campuses 414
	Might Be Suspected of Engaging in Fraud by Law	Box 11.1	A Timeline of Some of the Worst Campus Shootings 439
	Enforcement and Insurance Investigators? 217	Box 12.1	Challenges Facing Burglary Victims Who Seek Insurance
Box 7.1	Inspiring Examples of Victim Activism 234	Box 13.1	Reimbursement 489 What Armed Citizens under
Box 7.2	Legislation Introduced in Congress Sponsored by the Crime Victims Caucus 242		Attack Must Consider before Pulling the Trigger 512
Box 7.3	Supreme Court Decisions Directly Affecting Victims 260	Box 13.2	The Debate over Guns on Campus 514
Box 7.4	Which Victims Get Better Treatment? 268	Box 13.3	Questions That Could Be Addressed by Victimological Investigations 539
TABLES			
Table 2.1	Possible Indicators That a Person Is a Trafficking	Table 4.2	Murder Rates in Selected Cities around the World, 2016 115
Table 3.1	Victim 77 Estimated Nationwide Victimization Rates from the UCR and the NCVS, 2016 97	Table 4.3	Yearly Estimates of Murders Committed during Robberies, 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, 2015 129
Table 3.2	Comparing the Risks of Death Posed by Crime, Accidents,	Table 4.4	Robbery Rates for Various Groups, 1993 and 2016 130
	and Certain Diseases, 2015 and 2016 105	Table 4.5	Which Vehicle Owners Suffered the Most Thefts? 137
Table 4.1	Murder Rates across the Globe: Selected Countries, 2016 113	Table 4.6	Vehicle Theft Rates in Selected U.S. Metropolitan Areas, 2016 139

Table 4.7	Chances of Becoming a Victim over a Lifetime 142	Table 7.2	Victims' Rights Gained at the Expense of Criminal
Table 5.1	How Victims of Identity Theft Were Harmed,		Justice Agencies and Officials 239
	United States, Selected Years 162	Table 8.1	Accomplishments of the Amber Alert System,
Table 5.2	States Where Residents Faced the Highest and Lowest Risks of Identity Theft, 2016 164	Table 11.1	2005–2016 286 Victimization Rates per 1,000 Students, 12 to 18 Years Old, at School
Table 6.1	Trends in Reporting Crimes to the Police, Selected Years, 1973–2016 209	Table 11.2	Compared to Away from School, 1992–2016 432 Crimes Committed on College
Table 6.2	Trends in Clearance Rates, United States, Selected		Campuses, United States, 2001–2015 437
Table 6.3	Years, 1953–2016 220 Clearance Rates for Homicide Cases (Murder and Manslaughter) in Major U.S. Cities, Selected Years, 2003–2016 222	Table 12.1	Percentages of Convicted Felons Sentenced to Restitution as an Additional Penalty in the 75 Largest Jurisdictions Nationwide, Selected Years,
Table 6.4	Stolen Property Recovery Rates, United States, Selected Years, 1996–2016 226	Table 12.2	1996–2006 476  Percentage of Convicted Felons Placed on Probation Who Have Restitution Obliga-
Table 7.1	Victims' Rights Gained at the Expense of Suspects, Defendants, Convicts,		tions in the 75 Largest Juris- dictions Nationwide, Selected Years, 1994–2009 477
	Prisoners, Probationers, and Parolees 238	Table 13.1	Comparing and Contrasting Retributive and Restorative Justice 545
FIGURES			
Figure 3.1	The FBI's Crime Clock, 2016 93	Figure 4.2	Trends in Aggravated Assaults, United States,
Figure 3.2	Trends in Violent Victimization Rates, United States, 1973–2016 100	Figure 4.3	1973–2016 123  Trends in Robberies, United States, 1973–2016 127
Figure 3.3	Trends in Property Crime Rates, United States,	Figure 4.4	Trends in Burglaries, United States, 1973–2016 133
Figure 3.4	1973–2016 101  An Historical Overview of Homicide Rates, United	Figure 4.5	Trends in Motor Vehicle Thefts, United States, 1973–2016 136
Figure 4.1	States, 1900–2016 103 Murder Rates in Major Cities, United States, 2016 116	Figure 5.1	Trends in Identity Theft, United States, 2001–2016 159

Figure 8.1	Trends in Child Maltreatment Rates, United States, 1990–2016 297	Figure 11.2	Trends in Work-Related Murders, United States, 1992–2016 443
Figure 9.1	Trends in Murders Due to Intimate Partner Violence, United States, 1977–2015 334	Figure 11.3	Trends in the Murders of Law Enforcement Officers, United States, 1973–2017 454
Figure 10.1	Assault Rates, United	Figure 12.1	Opportunities for Offenders to Make Restitution 473
Figure 11.1	States, 1973–2016 380  Trends in Murders of Students at Elementary,	Figure 12.2	Case Attrition, Funneling, or Shrinkage: The Leaky Net 475
	Middle, and High Schools, United States, School Years 1992–2015 434	Figure 13.1	Trends in Justifiable Homicides, United States, 1988–2016 511

# Preface

#### PREFACE TO THE 10TH EDITION

#### **Victimology Faces Some Daunting Challenges**

This 10th edition of *Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology* was written during the fall of 2017 and the spring of 2018.

Three problems posed challenges: Victimology was being stigmatized with a totally undeserved bad reputation; scientific investigations aimed to uncover what is really happening were under attack; and several previous sources of data were no longer available, even in an era of open access to big data.

#### "Bad-Mouthing" Victimology Is Becoming More Widespread

In June of 2018, a public opinion poll asked registered voters whether they thought the Democratic Party was focused on victimology. About half of the respondents answered, "yes." Now, if only the Republican Party also showed a similar interest in victimology, that would be a positive development, wouldn't it? If both liberals and conservatives focused on victimology, it would alleviate the plight of people whose homes were burglarized or whose cars were stolen and relieve the suffering of individuals who were robbed, or raped, or beaten, or shot, right?

Not really!

For years, the term "victimology" has been misused to refer to what is properly labeled "victimism"—an outlook in which members of a group emphasize how they have been oppressed and exploited throughout history and now demand an end to this mistreatment. These days, the scientific study of criminal victimization often is mixed up with the controversial political ideology of victimism. This misunderstanding of what victimology actually is all about has led some influential commentators who ought to know better to condemn what they brand as victimology when they actually intend to denounce victimism. The unfortunate result of this sloppy phrasing is that the entire discipline of victimology is being tarred with a completely unfair negative reputation.

There is reason to believe that this mixing up of victimology with victimism is intensifying because this disturbing confusion reached a new high (or worse yet, a new low) when pollsters asked respondents, "A Democratic gubernatorial candidate said this week about Democrats that 'our party right now ... is pickled in identity politics and victimology....' Do you agree or disagree?" Just about half of all respondents agreed (including nearly 45 percent of Democrats, as well as over 55 percent of Republicans). Only about 25 percent of the sample answered they were not sure (Rasmussen Reports, 2018). So, that means about 75 percent of the respondents felt they knew what the term "victimology" actually refers to—but do they really? I don't think so.

Students might enter introductory college courses with a preexisting condition that must be confronted and remedied right at the outset: a hostility toward what they mistakenly have been told about victimology. If they believe that victimology is something to be rejected and condemned because it reflects bitterness and can be divisive, then those of us who teach courses in victimology certainly have to dispel any negative misimpressions about this branch of criminology on the first day of classes. An expanded discussion in Chapter 1 tackles this problem right away. It presents a table containing a slew of victimology-bashing quotes drawn from articles and speeches over the years so that students can spot the confusion whenever they come across it. That will enable them to get past this stumbling block and move on to the valuable and interesting insights that researchers have uncovered about the plight of persons harmed by criminals and the efforts that are underway to alleviate their suffering.

#### What Happened to Respect for Evidence and the Pursuit of Truth?

The United States currently is beset by intense political polarization and torn by acrimonious debate. Some partisans seem hostile to the concept of expertise, disregard established facts as inconvenient truths, and dismiss damaging revelations as fake news. Instead, vague impressions, baseless claims, raw prejudices, unfounded fears, uninformed opinions, atypical cases, exaggerated negative stereotypes, and half-truths taken out of context are touted as alternative facts and new realities. As data-driven and evidence-based decision making becomes downgraded as wonkish, policies that are ideologically driven and emotionally appealing become elevated.

To counter this drift away from fact-based insights about the social problems that currently burden us all, including interpersonal violence and theft, I have adopted a new format that emphasizes data, well-grounded estimates, and other statistical measurements, such as the results of victimization surveys. Throughout this 10th edition of *Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology*, the findings unearthed by researchers are highlighted by indenting them with "bullets." This new way of accentuating information derived from investigations is meant to consistently remind students that assertions and generalizations must be backed up by empirical proof carefully derived from the application of

scientific methods. I want to dispel any notion students might harbor that victimology is merely a mix of personal opinions and common sense rather than the accumulation of knowledge derived from the findings of carefully designed research projects and thoroughly tested theories.

#### A Growing Absence of Information in the Age of Big Data

The third challenge I encountered while working on this 10th edition of the textbook is that several sources of data that were monitored and analyzed in previous editions have dried up. Ironically, in this age of collecting big data, the federal government's Bureau of Justice Statistics has phased out its State Court Processing Statistics (SCPS) program, which made it possible to monitor how often judges ordered offenders to pay restitution to their victims. Also, starting with the 2016 annual Uniform Crime Report, the FBI has stopped publishing as many tables of data as it did in the past (e.g., the table about victim—offender relationships that traditionally allowed victimologists to track the number of murders committed by husbands of their wives and by boyfriends of their girlfriends—and vice versa—is no longer being published). Also, it is no longer possible to discover how many murders started out as robberies.

I also have discovered that researchers have not recently tackled some of the most important issues that should be the focus of periodic victimological investigations. For example, no recent figures are available about whether most burglary or motor vehicle victims have insurance coverage and whether it is adequate to reimburse their needs; about whether or not car alarms and burglar alarms really work and are sound investments; whether victim compensation programs run out of money before the fiscal year ends, leaving victims who apply later in the year out of luck; and whether victim—offender programs are effectively handling a greater share of criminal cases per year. In sum, many classic studies carried out decades ago need to be replicated, and several discontinued monitoring systems generating useful data streams ought to be revived.

# Browse the Items Posted to the Companion Website That Supplements This Textbook: www.crimevictimsupdates.com.

For the past six years, I have been maintaining a website to address the issue of new research findings replacing older, stale data. Check out my companion website www.crimevictimsupdates.com periodically, not only for the latest developments but also for links to news videos, radio interviews, government reports, court documents, studies issued by think tanks, and other sources of recently released data and analysis, as well as selections of ongoing media coverage that can enrich class discussions of the subjects, policy issues, and controversies examined in this 10th edition of the book. The items I post to the website are geared to illustrate and enhance the information found on specific pages of the 10th edition and to inspire class discussions about selected topics.

#### WHAT'S NEW IN THIS 10TH EDITION?

In revising this textbook once again, I have maintained a focus on all the groups of victims who appeared in the previous nine editions. Although nothing important has been cut out, I have changed the order of presentation of a few subjects, and I have paid greater attention to a number of timely issues. In response to feedback from reviewers, this edition has an additional number of concise real-life cases culled from high-profile news stories that put a human face on the many empirical generalizations and statistics that are cited in each chapter. These emotionally charged items help to promote students' engagement with the scholarly material that is the backbone of this textbook. These gripping excerpts spark discussions and debates about what happened to real individuals in actual cases, and in the process concretize abstract principles, hypotheticals, and criminal justice procedures. (As in all the past editions, I continue to respect the privacy of persons who have been harmed by criminals by withholding their names and locations. However, the references provide that specific information for those students who might want to delve more deeply into these cases.)

The most useful change in each of the 13 chapters is that I have bulleted all the numerical findings from research studies. By placing this stress on evidence, I am trying to reinforce the idea that victimology is a branch of social science and not just ideas derived from common sense and conventional wisdom, or merely a collection of personal opinions.

This 10th edition also contains learning objectives that have been reformulated to make them more focused and measurable. This should prove useful for professors engaged in outcomes assessment. The questions at the end of each chapter, which encourage discussion and debate as well as critical thinking, also can serve as performance measures. I provide ideas at the end of each chapter for hands-on research projects. These could form the basis for term papers that can serve as additional indicators of what students gained from taking this course.

In preparing this 10th edition, as always, I have thoroughly updated all the statistical evidence that is needed to back up my analyses and conclusions. For those instructors who relish evidence-based claims and sound policy recommendations, plenty of reliable empirical material from official sources of data appear in the many graphs, tables, and boxes.

As in the previous nine editions, I have sought out and highlighted the many controversies that involve victims as they interact with offenders, criminal justice officials and agencies, policy makers, the news media, social movements, and businesses selling security products and services. The pros and cons concerning contested issues make a college course more meaningful and relevant to the real world of competing interests and polarized politics. I strive to be fair and balanced by presenting the strongest arguments of both sides in each controversy. I do not personally endorse some of the points of view that I present or their implications for social policy. But I firmly believe that a textbook ought to call attention, whenever possible, to sharp clashes between well-meaning people with differing evidence-based views and divergent interpretations of the same data. An example of a controversy featured in this 10th edition centers

on whether individuals who believe they face grave risks would fare better if they were armed with handguns for self-protection.

Some highlights of the specific revisions, additions, and improvements I have made in each chapter of this 10th edition, which has grown by about 60 pages, follow.

#### COMPARING THIS 10TH EDITION TO THE 9TH EDITION

#### Throughout the Book

- In every chapter, the learning objectives are reworded to make them more focused and more measureable;
- In every chapter, the presentation of research findings is highlighted by presenting numerical evidence within bulleted items;
- In every chapter, the statistics from various government monitoring systems that are presented in tables and figures (graphs) are updated to the latest available year (usually 2016, sometimes 2015, or even 2017);
- In every chapter, real-life cases and research findings have been removed if they represent situations that are no longer relevant.

#### Chapter 1: What Is Victimology?

Chapter 1 was thoroughly revised to make the introduction to the subject more intriguing and to raise, clarify, and illustrate some major themes and issues that will be examined throughout the book.

- Greatly expanded a discussion about the importance of research;
- Identified different types of research studies in victimology;
- Illustrated how research findings can be surprising because they contradict common sense answers;
- Added some new real-life examples and injected new statistical evidence into existing discussions;
- Expanded the examination of how victims suffer;
- Clarified the discussion about the need for objectivity and impartiality when examining controversial cases and conflicts;
- Placed greater emphasis on analyzing victim-offender relationships;
- Clarified what victimology is, and what it isn't (by differentiating it from direct services provided by practitioners and criminal investigations carried out by police officers and detectives);
- Added a box showing how victimologists ask different questions than practitioners;
- Illustrated the confusion that may arise when examining conflicting claims about who is the real victim and who is actually the offender, especially in cases involving assertions about self-defense;

- Presented more examples and more data about offenders turning into murder victims and victims transforming into offenders;
- Clarified how victimology differs from the ideology of victimism, which is a political outlook some people mistakenly call "victimology" and then vehemently criticize it;
- Sharpened the section that compares and contrasts criminology and victimology;
- Improved the answers to the question, "Why study victimology?";
- Added a brief discussion about how different disciplinary approaches (psychological, legal, historical, anthropological, economical) can be adopted when researching victim issues;
- Added a discussion about the different levels of research (exploratory, descriptive, explanatory, and evaluative), and used victims of ransomware as an example;
- Provided a box outlining the questions to answer and issues to address when undertaking a victimological investigation;
- Updated the analysis of road rage as an example of a victimological investigation.

#### Chapter 2: The Rediscovery of Crime Victims

- Added some new items to the box about "Highlights in the History of Major Developments in Victimology and Victim Assistance";
- Added an entire box illustrating "Examples of Pro-Victim Policies and Laws";
- Added a new case to illustrate admirable resilience and elaborated on the discussion of survivorology;
- Added a brief discussion of the controversy surrounding Kate's Law and federal efforts to draw attention to offenses committed by "criminal aliens;"
- Added some statistical findings about the victims of human trafficking.

#### Chapter 3: Victimization in the United States: An Overview

- Strengthened the discussion about the importance of statistical evidence;
- Added a brief discussion about the use of statistical evidence in the controversy surrounding victimization by criminal aliens;
- Added a surprising research finding that most of the victims of kidnappings were not children;
- Noted the most recent changes in the definitions of rapes and of sexual assaults;
- Updated FBI UCR statistics, FBI NIBRS statistics, and BJS NCVS survey findings to 2016 numbers and rates in all the tables and both graphs;
- Updated CDC calculations about the leading causes of death.

## Chapter 4: A Closer Look at the Victims of Interpersonal Crimes of Violence and Theft

- Updated the table showing "Murder Rates across the Globe: Selected Countries" along with the table listing "Murder Rates in Various Big Cities around the World";
- Updated the map showing murder rates across the country;
- Added some findings about bystanders who intervened into a crime in progress and were murdered;
- Added a brief description and discussion of the mass murder of concertgoers in Las Vegas by a heavily armed gunman;
- Highlighted and clarified the discussion of differential risks;
- Updated the analysis of the diminishing differences in robbery risks faced by people falling into different demographic groups;
- Simplified the listings of the make, model, and years of the cars stolen by thieves;
- Updated the rankings of the most dangerous and the safest cities in which to park a car;
- Added a section about the theft of sailboats and motorboats, especially
  differential risks of losing a watercraft as well as the chances of recovering a
  stolen boat;
- Moved the discussion of identity theft to Chapter 5.

#### Chapter 5: The Ongoing Controversy over Shared Responsibility

- Replaced a complex table about the frequency of occurrence of identity theft with an easily understood graph;
- Added a discussion that examined the risks and consequences of identity theft more thoroughly and clarified the distinctions between victim-blaming, victim-defending, and system-blaming;
- Strengthened the analysis of victim facilitation in burglary by adding illustrations and research findings;
- Added new research findings to the discussion of the controversy surrounding victim facilitation as a contributing factor to the problem of motor vehicle theft;
- Strengthened the presentation of system-blaming arguments for murders, identity theft, burglary, and vehicle theft.

#### Chapter 6: Victims and the Police

 Expanded the discussion of the functionalist model versus the conflict model as applied to the role of victims in the criminal justice process;

- Added some new quotes from notable sources about shortcomings in the way the criminal justice system handles victims;
- Added some new research findings and some new real-life cases to expand the discussion of whether victims want punishment, treatment, or restitution from offenders;
- Added some new material to the discussion of reporting rates and police response times;
- Added some new cases about false claims of victimization;
- Updated the evidence about the decline in clearance rates;
- Added a table about the decline in stolen property recovery rates.

#### Chapter 7: Victims' Rights and the Criminal Justice System

- Added the founder of the #MeToo movement to the box about victim activism;
- Updated the compilation of victims' rights legislation introduced in Congress over the decades;
- Revised the review of the intimidation problem;
- Sharpened the discussion about double standards and the differential handling of cases, depending upon the victims' characteristics.

#### Chapter 8: Victimized Children

- Added some new material (latest legal developments, recent research findings) to the section on missing children;
- Introduced a typology of four different kinds of kidnappings and illustrated them with real-life cases;
- Updated the table analyzing Amber Alert statistics;
- Added a few new cases of extreme child abuse;
- Updated the research findings cited by maximalists and minimalists who debate the seriousness of the child abuse problem;
- Expanded the coverage of children sexually abused by respected and trusted figures in many different religious groups and also prestigious private schools;
- Noted the rise of a cottage industry handling investigations and civil lawsuits against religious and educational institutions.

#### Chapter 9: Victims of Violence by Lovers and Family Members

- Added a discussion about the controversy surrounding false allegations about intimate partner violence;
- Updated the research findings cited by maximalists and minimalists who debate the seriousness of the intimate partner violence problem;

- Focused more directly on how differing definitions determine the size of estimates of the rate of intimate partner violence;
- Updated research findings about dating violence;
- Updated the research findings cited by maximalists and minimalists who debate the seriousness of the elder abuse problem;
- Added some new research findings to the discussion of intimate partner violence in same-sex relationships.

#### Chapter 10: Victims of Rapes and Other Sexual Assaults

- Spelled out the various offenses that fall under the heading of "sexual assaults";
- Added a discussion of the "#MeToo" movement against sexual assault and other forms of sexual misconduct;
- Added a real-life excerpt from a victim-impact statement that went viral, made public by a woman who was violated while unconscious, and added another real-life case in which the defendant was not convicted and punished because the young woman got herself too intoxicated;
- Sharpened the examination of what some people believe but what others consider to be rape myths;
- Updated the statistics cited by maximalists and minimalists as they debate the seriousness of the current problem of sexual assaults and rapes;
- Expanded the discussion of the controversy surrounding estimates of the frequency of false charges of rape, and presented two new, highly politicized and often-cited real-life cases;
- Presented two new cases in which detectives did not believe the accounts
  of women until they captured a serial rapist who used the same tactics over
  and over again, and cited some new research findings about entire departments routinely dismissing relatively high percentages of rape complaints as
  unfounded;
- Provided new documentation about the consistently low rate of reporting sex crimes to police departments;
- Cited the most recent developments in the problem of untested rape kits;
- Added two recent high-profile cases in which women were deliberately drugged in order to make them incapable of resisting the rapists' advances;
- Thoroughly reviewed the latest developments in the maximalist versus minimalist controversy surrounding sexual assaults on campus;
- Added the latest research findings about sexual assaults in the military;
- Cited some recent developments in the efforts to reduce sexual violence behind bars.

#### Chapter 11: Additional Groups of Victims with Special Problems

- Moved the discussion about crimes against cruise ship passengers here from Chapter 7 and updated it with the latest statistics;
- Clarified the description of what specific behaviors are prohibited by antistalking legislation and presented the latest research findings about their occurrence;
- Updated the statistics from government-monitoring systems about outbreaks of violence in schools, including mass shootings of elementary, middle, and high school students;
- Updated the statistics about outbreaks of violence on university campuses, including mass shootings of college students;
- Injected the latest developments concerning hazing on college campuses;
- Added the latest findings from government monitoring systems about workplace violence, especially murders;
- Presented the latest findings about patterns, trends, and targets from FBI's annual report about hate crimes;
- Added some recent real-life examples of hate crimes and inmate-on-inmate violence;
- Updated statistics about murders of prisoners by other inmates behind bars;
- Expanded and updated the analysis of police officers murdered and injured in the line of duty;
- Replaced a graph about casualties from terrorism with a more detailed review of major attacks motivated by differing political ideologies within the United States.

#### **Chapter 12: Repaying Victims**

- Replaced older cases with new real-life high-profile cases that grabbed headlines and sparked controversies in recent years;
- Added information about the broader coverage now offered by compensation programs to victims in certain states;
- Added some recent research findings about the payment of restitution by offenders to victims and about the amount of reimbursement compensation programs are paying out to applicants;
- Inserted calls for victimologists to carry out much needed research at various junctures: whether civil lawsuits are productive, whether insurance coverage is adequate—the practical problems that are making it difficult for victims to collect restitution from their offenders—and whether compensation programs actually are meeting the needs of victims of violent offenses.

## Chapter 13: Victims in the Twenty-First Century: Alternative Directions

- Thoroughly revised and reorganized the discussion of whether or not victims would be better off if they were armed when they come under attack and whether carrying around handguns for self-protection is a sound social reaction to the threat of victimization;
- Added many new real-life cases;
- Added some quotations from prominent figures in this controversy;
- Presented the latest statistics and research findings about defensive gun uses as well as gun violence, suicide by firearms, and accidental discharges resulting in woundings and deaths;
- Identified many specific research questions where data collected and analyzed by victimologists could make a contribution to a better understanding of the issues that divide the two sides in this fierce debate over arming for self-defense;
- Added two boxes: one about "guns on campus" and the other posing the questions about "defensive gun uses" that researchers should address;
- Updated the latest developments in the movement toward applying the principles of restorative justice to a greater share of criminal cases.

#### USING THIS TEXTBOOK

This 10th edition of *Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology* is intended to meet several distinct needs. The optimal situation is to use this textbook as the foundation for an undergraduate elective course on victimology that runs for an entire term. In fact, more than enough material is provided to sustain even a graduate-level course. A number of chapters of this book can be used to address victim-centered problems, such as violence in American society, that arise in either an advanced criminology class or as selected issues in criminal justice course.

Similarly, other chapters might fit neatly into courses that focus on policy analysis or research methods.

For classes that require a term paper or group project, this edition provides loads of up-to-date references, suggestions for short research projects at the end of each chapter, plenty of graphs and statistics, and numerous observations about problems of measurement and interpretation. For example, the extensive compilation of the types of victimization that recently have been recognized or are just waiting to be rediscovered (see the list at the end of Chapter 2) can serve as a launching pad for exploratory research and term projects. For courses that incorporate writing requirements via essay exams, each chapter has several questions for discussion and debate plus a few that stimulate critical thinking. An instructor's manual and Test Bank is also available, as are Microsoft PowerPoint<sup>®</sup> visual aids.

#### MY GROWING "CREDENTIALS" AS A CRIME VICTIM

Each time I revise this textbook, my credentials (unfortunately) broaden and deepen. Direct experience often is the best teacher and a source of sensitivity and insight about life's problems as well as the challenges imposed by misfortunes. In the preface of each previous editions, I have listed these credentials, which show that I am not only a criminologist and victimologist but also a victim of a wide assortment of interpersonal crimes of violence and theft.

Thankfully, none of these incidents were really serious. In fact, my very first experience was something to laugh at, in retrospect, although it was very aggravating at the time. After I graduated from college, I got my first car: a brand-new 1966 Ford Mustang. I drove it around upstate New York, where I was attending graduate school, for about a week before a thief stole its gleaming wire wheel covers—all four of them in a single night! Amazingly enough, crime was not yet a widespread problem, so my minor misfortune actually appeared in the police blotter of the local newspaper. This incident contributed to my lifelong interest in law-breaking, victimization, and the quest for justice.

Before the first edition was written:

- I was held up twice (in one month!) by pairs of knife-wielding robbers. I handled both of these confrontations as "business transactions." We "negotiated a deal," I handed over the cash in my wallet, they let me keep a watch that I had won in a contest, and nobody got hurt.
- I lost a car to thieves. The police discovered it completely stripped, burned, and abandoned a few blocks away from my apartment.
- I experienced a series of thefts of car radios and batteries.
- I suffered a break-in that left my apartment in disarray.

By the time the second edition of this textbook came out, my already impressive résumé as a street crime victim had grown considerably:

- A thief stole the bicycle that I used to ride to the train station by cutting the fence to which it was chained.
- Someone ran off with a fishing rod I had left unattended for a few minutes on a pier while I was buying more bait. (It surely was not pulled over the railing by a big fish.)
- A teenager singled out my car in a crowded parking lot for some reason and smashed the rear window with a rock. An eyewitness pointed out the young man to the police, and his foster parents volunteered to pay my bills for the damage. (I minimized their expenses by going to a salvage yard to find a low-cost replacement window.)
- A thief broke into the trunk of my car and stole my wallet and my wife's pocketbook while we spent an afternoon at the beach. Our wallets were later recovered from a nearby mailbox, emptied of our cash and credit cards.
- One hot summer night, an intruder entered our kitchen through an unlocked screen door. He ran off with a purse while we talked to guests in the living room.

- A car I was riding in was sideswiped by a vehicle driven by a fugitive who
  was being hotly pursued by a patrol car. No one was hurt, and the offender
  escaped.
- A thief smashed the side window of my car, which was parked at a meter a block away from the college where I teach. Sitting in the passenger seat, he began to pry out the radio. When the alarm went off, he fled, leaving behind his high-quality screwdriver (it continues to be my favorite tool).
  - By the third edition, I had a few more misfortunes to add to the list:
- My car was broken into two more times, on busy streets, during the day. One time, the alarm sounded and apparently scared off the thief, cutting short his depredations and minimizing my losses to a handful of quarters kept for tolls in an ashtray and some items in the glove compartment.

Shortly before the fourth edition was completed, my family was the victim of a con game that turned out to be a rather common scam:

We picked a moving company out of the Yellow Pages because it advertised low rates and accepted credit cards. I should have been suspicious when they arrived in a rented truck, but I foolishly signed some papers authorizing them to charge me for packing materials. While we loaded computer components, valuables, and pets into our cars and shuttled them to our new house, they quickly used an enormous amount of shrink-wrap and cardboard boxes on our old furniture, cheap picture frames, and clothing. When their rented van arrived at our new home 10 miles away, they presented me with a bill that was inflated by about \$1,000 worth of unnecessary packaging. They demanded immediate payment in cash before they would unload our stuff that Saturday night, or else they would drive away with all our possessions and charge us for unloading and storage. I called the police, but they insisted it was a business dispute and said that they could not intervene. I had no choice but to visit several ATMs, to take out loans from all our credit cards and hand over the cash. On Monday, I contacted some colleagues at John Jay College of Criminal Justice who have close connections with law enforcement agencies. They made inquiries and warned me that this company was known to have mob ties. Because these gangsters literally knew where we lived, I regret to admit that a fear of reprisals intimidated me from pursuing my claims about fraud in civil court or through state regulatory agencies or consumer affairs bureaus. Years later, I read in the newspaper that some victims received protection as witnesses for the prosecution and that this moving scam crew eventually was put out of business and incarcerated.

By the time I completed the fifth edition, my credentials had "improved":

My daughter's backpack was stolen by a thief who pried open the trunk of her automobile after watching her park the car and walk away.

- I received just an introductory taste of what it is like to be a victim of identity theft. The fraud detection unit of a credit card company called one morning and asked if anyone in my family had recently charged exactly \$400 at a department store and \$200 at a computer software store about 40 miles away. When I answered no, and wondered aloud how such round number amounts could be charged for merchandise that is taxed, they simply said, "Don't worry, just fill out an affidavit." When the paperwork finally arrived weeks later, I did what they asked and never heard anything about these peculiar financial transactions again.
- Like many other New Yorkers, I had visited the World Trade Center vicinity on September 9th, just a few days before it was attacked. I knew some victims of this murderous act of terrorism who barely escaped death by evacuating the burning buildings before the Twin Towers collapsed.

By the time the sixth edition came out, nothing much had happened, which probably reflected the nationwide drop in crime that has lowered virtually everyone's risks of being victimized (see Chapter 3).

I began to receive plenty of fraudulent e-mails (called "phishing"—see the
discussion of identity theft in Chapter 5) warning me to immediately update
my account at some bank or credit card company or eBay before it was frozen.

However, while preparing the seventh edition, my family was victimized twice—in other countries!

- My daughter's car was broken into near a museum in Montreal, Canada, and her husband's digital camera was stolen (and we paid a hefty bill for a new door lock and rear window for the damaged vehicle).
- In London's theater district, a pickpocket deftly removed my wife's wallet from her backpack (see Chapter 1 for an analysis of pickpocketing). Fortunately, although she lost some cash and her driver's license, whoever ended up with her credit cards was not able to purchase anything or steal her identity.
- Meanwhile, back home, I suspected that someone entered our car one night while it was parked unlocked in our driveway because the glove compartment was open the next morning. As far as I could tell, nothing was taken. Sure enough, the next night the thief returned and stole the remote for our garage door opener from the car's sun visor while we were eating dinner. Fortunately, just an hour later I discovered that the remote was missing due to my habitual carelessness about not locking my car's doors (see Chapter 5), so I disconnected the garage door opener.
- I did not report these two minor matters to my local police department. The incidents in Montreal and London were reported to the authorities, but they never contacted us, so presumably the car thief and the pickpocket were never caught and our stolen property was not recovered (see Chapter about victims and the police).
- One other incident is worth recounting because it is humorous: I kept my canoe chained to a rack at the town beach during warm weather. I came

down one hot summer day to do some paddling and fishing and discovered that someone had stolen the chain and the padlock—but left the canoe behind, undamaged. Go figure!

After finishing the eighth edition, I had only one additional trivial incident to report.

 Someone stole a small anchor from my motorboat while it was moored in a nearby bay. I reported this petty larceny to the harbor patrol.

While I was working on the ninth edition, I was a victim of a minor act of identity theft once more.

Someone used my credit card to purchase stuff I would never buy and enroll in various costly web-based services of no interest to me. The credit card company's security department flagged these peculiar transactions and notified me. I did not have to pay for the expensive goods and services this impostor charged in my name.

Now that this 10th edition is complete, I have just one more amusing incident of identity theft to share:

• A security officer at a credit card company contacted me and inquired about two transactions charged to my card in El Salvador: one was for a meal at a restaurant and the other later that evening was for a ticket to a movie theater. The grand total was under \$9! Who knew dinner and a show could be so inexpensive anywhere in the world these days? The company issued a new credit card to me and eliminated these fraudulent charges.

Obviously, victimization is rarely a laughing matter and nothing to scoff at. Others have suffered far more severely than I have. Some individuals endure devastating losses and struggle to cope with traumatic ordeals, as this book will point out repeatedly. But taken together, these many brushes with an odd assortment of offenders over five decades have sensitized me to the kinds of unexpected expenses, sudden emotional stresses, and physical injuries that taken together constitute the "victim's plight." I suspect that many victimologists and victim advocates have been drawn to this humanistic discipline largely because their own painful experiences inspired them to try to alleviate the suffering of others.

#### ANCILLARIES

To further enhance the teaching of victimology courses, the following supplements are available to qualified adopters. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

#### MindTap Criminal Justice

MindTap from Cengage Learning represents a new approach to a highly personalized, online learning platform. A fully online learning solution, MindTap combines all of a student's learning tools—readings, multimedia, activities, and

assessments—into a singular Learning Path that guides the student through the curriculum. Instructors personalize the experience by customizing the presentation of these learning tools for their students, allowing instructors to seamlessly introduce their own content into the Learning Path via "apps" that integrate into the Mind-Tap platform. Additionally, MindTap provides interoperability with major Learning Management Systems (LMS) via support for open industry standards and fosters partnerships with third-party educational application providers to provide a highly collaborative, engaging, and personalized learning experience.

#### Online Instructor's Manual

The instructor's manual contains a variety of resources to aid instructors in preparing and presenting text material in a manner that meets their personal preferences and course needs. It presents chapter-by-chapter suggestions and resources to enhance and facilitate learning.

The instructor's manual includes learning objectives, key terms, a detailed lesson plan outline, lecture notes, discussion topics, student activities, and assignments. The learning objectives are correlated with the discussion topics, student activities, and media tools.

#### Cengage Learning Testing Powered by Cognero

This assessment software is a flexible, online system that allows you to import, edit, and manipulate test bank content from the *Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology* test bank or elsewhere, including your own favorite test questions; create multiple test versions in an instant; and deliver tests from your LMS, your classroom, or wherever you want.

#### PowerPoint<sup>®</sup> Lectures

Helping you make your lectures more engaging while effectively reaching your visually oriented students, these handy Microsoft PowerPoint<sup>®</sup> slides outline the chapters of the main text in a classroom-ready presentation. The PowerPoint<sup>®</sup> slides are updated to reflect the content and organization of the new edition of the text and feature some additional examples and discussion questions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to sincerely thank the following people who helped me in so many important ways to prepare this 10th edition of my textbook:

At Cengage Learning: Joshua Parrot, Product Manager; Christy Frame, Content Manager; Cazzie Reyes, Learning Designer; and Mark Linton, Marketing Manager; and at Lumina Datamatics: Flora Emanuel, Project Manager; Copyeditor and Proofreader.

I also would like to express my appreciation to all these faculty members at colleges and universities across the country who reviewed previous editions of this textbook and provided valuable feedback and helpful recommendations:

Kelly Asmussen, Peru State College Sidney Harring, John Jay College of Frankie Bailey, State University of Criminal Justice New York, Albany Matasha Harris, John Jay College of Kevin M. Beaver, Florida State Criminal Justice University Carrie Harter, Sam Houston State Susan Beecher, Aims Community University College Debra Heath-Thornton, Messiah Bonnie Black, Mesa Community College College Scott Hedlund, Pierce College Ashley Blackburn, University of Elizabeth Hegeman, John Jay Col-North Texas lege of Criminal Justice Pam Nielson Boline, Dakota Michael Herbert, Bemidji State Wesleyan University University John Bolinger, MacMurray College Stacey Hervey, Metro State College Willie D. Cain, Campbell Eric W. Hickey, California State University University, Fresno Faith Coburn, University of Lin Huff-Corzine, Kansas State Wisconsin-Milwaukee University Ellen G. Cohn, Florida Interna-Amanda M. Humphrey, Mount tional University Mercy University Andria L. Cooper, Fort Hays State David Johnson, University of University Baltimore Susan Craig, University of Central Dan Jones, Governors State Florida University Greg Dawson, College of Central Lynn Jones, Northern Arizona Florida University Janice Joseph, Richard Stockton Elizabeth DeValve, Fayetteville State University College of New Jersey Rhonda Dobbs, The University of Betsy Kreisel, University of Central Texas at Arlington Missouri William Doerner, Florida State Fred Kramer, John Jay College of University, Tallahassee Criminal Justice John Dussich, California State Janet Lauritsen, University of University, Fresno Missouri-St. Louis Deborah Eckberg, Metropolitan Daniel P. LeClair, Boston State University University Martha Earwood, University of Joseph Linskey, Centenary College Alabama at Birmingham Cheng-Hsien Lin, Lamar Gerald P. Fisher, Georgia College University Karol Lucken, University of Central and State University Linda Fleischer, The Community Florida College of Baltimore County Donal MacNamara, John Jay Gilbert Geis, University of Califor-College of Criminal Justice nia at Irvine Liz Marciniak, University of Alan Harland, Temple University Pittsburgh at Greensburg

Michael G. Maxfield, Rutgers University Thomas McDonald, North Dakota State University Jackye McClure, San Jose State University Markita McCrimmon, Central Carolina Community College Melissa Owens McKenna, Hiwassee College Stephen J. Morewitz, San Jose State University Christine Mouton, University of Central Florida George Muedeking, California State University, Stanislaus Ann Weaver Nichols, Arizona State University Sharon Ostrow, Temple University Leanne Owen, Holy Family University Elicka S. L. Peterson, Florida State University Amy Pinero, Baton Rouge Community College Elizabeth Quinn, Fayetteville State University Roy Roberg, San Jose State University

Kevin Roberts, Grace College Lorie Rubenser, Sul Ross State University Edward Sagarin, John Jay College of Criminal Justice Ken Salmon, Arizona State University Stanley Saxton, University of Dayton Brent Smith, *University of Alabama*, Birmingham David Sternberg, John Jay College of Criminal Justice Mark Stevens, North Carolina Wesleyan College James Stewart, Northeastern Junior College Thomas Underwood, Washburn University Joseph Victor, Mercy College Karen Weiss, West Virginia University Tamara Tucker Wilkins, Minnesota State University, Mankato Janet K. Wilson, University of Central Arkansas Thomas G. Ziesemer, College of Central Florida

Andrew Karmen, August, 2018



1

# What Is Victimology?

### **CHAPTER OUTLINE**

### An Introduction to Victimology

Studying Victimization Scientifically
Focusing on the Plight of Crime Victims
What Kinds of Studies Do Victimologists Carry Out?

### What Victimology Isn't

Victimology versus Detective Work Victimology versus Victim Services

Victimology versus Victimism: A Political Point of View

### **Comparing Victimology to Criminology**

The Many Parallels between Criminology and Victimology

Some Differences and Issues about Boundaries Differing Political Approaches within the Discipline

### Why Study Victimology?

## Why Place Such an Emphasis on Carrying Out Research?

Different Types of Research Studies in Victimology
Different Disciplinary Approaches when Researching
Victim Issues

Sometimes Research Findings Can Be Surprising! How to Structure a Victimological Investigation

#### Summary

Key Terms Defined in the Glossary

Questions for Discussion and Debate Critical Thinking Questions Suggested Research Projects

### LEARNING OBJECTIVES

**L01** Define victim.

**L02** Define victimization.

**L03** Define victimology.

**L04** Describe the subjective approach to the plight of victims.

**L05** Define scientific objectivity.

**L06** Discuss why objectivity is critical when examining the plight of crime victims.

**L07** Differentiate victimology from victimism.

**L08** Compare and contrast victimology and criminology.

**L09** List intellectual and practical reasons for studying victimization.

**L10** Identify different types of research about victims.

**L11** Identify different disciplinary contributions to the study of victims.

**L12** List the steps for conducting a victim-centered analysis.

### AN INTRODUCTION TO VICTIMOLOGY

The concept of a **victim** can be traced back to ancient societies. It was connected to the notion of sacrifice. In the original connotation of the term, a victim was a person or an animal put to death during a religious ceremony in order to appease some supernatural power or deity. Over the centuries, the word has picked up additional meanings. Now it commonly refers to individuals who suffer injuries, losses, or hardships for any reason. People can become victims of accidents, natural disasters, diseases, or social problems such as warfare, discrimination, political witch hunts, and other injustices. Crime victims are harmed by illegal acts.

Victimization is an asymmetrical interpersonal relationship that is abusive, painful, destructive, parasitical, and unfair. While a crime is in progress, offenders temporarily force their victims to play roles (almost as if following a script) that mimic the dynamics between predator and prey, winner and loser, victor and vanquished, and even master and slave. Many types of victimization have been outlawed over the centuries—specific oppressive and exploitative acts, like raping, robbing, and swindling. But not all types of hurtful relationships and deceitful practices are forbidden by law. It is permissible to overcharge a customer for an item that can be purchased for less elsewhere, or to underpay a worker who could receive higher wages for the same tasks from another employer, or to impose exorbitant interest rates and hidden fees on borrowers who use credit cards and take out mortgages, or to deny food and shelter to the hungry and the homeless who cannot pay the required amounts.

### Studying Victimization Scientifically

**Victimology** is the scientific study of the physical, emotional, and financial harm people endure because of illegal activities. Victimologists first and foremost investigate the victims' plight: the impact of the injuries and losses inflicted by offenders on the persons they target. The overtures and responses by the people embroiled in a conflict are the second subjects of

scrutiny. Any prior relationships, exchanges, and dealings between offenders and their victims are of great interest. In addition, victimologists carry out research into the public's political, social, and economic reactions to the suffering of victims. Of particular importance is how victims are handled by officials and agencies within the criminal justice system, especially their interactions with police officers, detectives, prosecutors, defense attorneys, judges, jurors, probation and parole officers, and even members of parole boards.

Just like other social sciences, victimology must be evidence-based. That means that opinions and impressions cannot substitute for facts, carefully recorded observations, and reliably measured statistics. Unlike any other branch of social science, investigations in victimology are victim-focused. This orientation puts the injured parties under a microscope, or in the spotlight, rather than on the margins or in the shadows. What victims say, do, need, want, and actually experience is the center of attention, first and foremost, not as an afterthought.

Victimology almost always zooms in on the harm experienced by real flesh and blood people, rather than the damage done by criminals to vague collectivities like taxpayers, consumers, or the general public, or to abstractions like public safety, neighborhood property values, or the common good. The subjects of studies are the individuals and groups negatively impacted by acts of interpersonal violence and theft: victims of murders, rapes, robberies, shootings, stabbings, other types of assaults, burglaries, car thefts, identity thefts, swindles and frauds, other kinds of stealing, kidnappings, hate crimes, and terrorist attacks.

## Focusing on the Plight of Crime Victims

The suffering of victims and of the people who are very close to them always has been a popular theme for artists and writers to interpret and for political and religious leaders to address. But this long and rich tradition embodies what might be categorized as the **subjective approach** to the plight of victims, since issues are approached from the standpoint of morality, ethics, philosophy, personalized

reactions, and intense emotions. Victimologists examine these same topics and incidents from a fresh, new angle: through a social science lens. This **objective approach** is the hallmark of any social scientific endeavor. Objectivity requires that researchers put aside their own views and transcend their personal feelings and experiences, and draw conclusions from solid evidence and verified facts.

What Criminals Do, and Ways of Responding to the Victim's Plight A subjective approach usually wells up whenever offenders show callous disregard and depraved indifference toward the human beings they have targeted as depersonalized objects. It is easy to be swept away by strong emotional currents. Consider how natural it is to identify with those on the receiving end of violent attacks, to feel sympathy toward them and their grieving families, and to bristle with hostility toward the aggressors, as in the following four real-life vicious murders of college students:

A 22-year-old student government president is carjacked and kidnapped by two armed young men, 21 and 17 years old, and forced to withdraw money from an ATM. Next, they drive their hostage to a remote location in the woods, molest her, and then decide to kill her since she could identify them. She pleads for her life and urges them to pray with her. Instead, one shoots her four times. But she still can move and talk, so he blasts her with a shotgun to finish her off. The two assailants are caught and convicted of murder. (Velliquette, 2011)

---

A sophomore attends a campus party and leaves alone around midnight. About 2 am, footage from a surveillance camera shows her walking in a downtown pedestrian mall followed by a man. After that she disappears, and her family, friends and volunteers undertake the largest hunt for a missing person in the state's history. Over a month later, her remains are discovered on an abandoned property about 8 miles away from the mall, and the police arrest the man in the video, who is linked by forensic evidence to other attacks. Students at her university organize a

memorial during homecoming weekend, and her parents thank the police and the volunteers who searched for her, but add, "We are devastated by the loss of our beautiful daughter." (Martinez, 2014)

A newly arrived 26 year old doctoral student from China just misses a bus to her campus so she accepts a lift from a 28 year old graduate student who recently completed his masters degree in physics. Unfortunately, he has been visiting a website with discussions about abduction fantasies, planning a kidnapping, and suggestions about ideal victims. She is never seen again and her remains are not found. Her parents travel to the United States and her father stands in front of the place where she had been staying before she disappeared nearly every day for over four months. He tells a reporter "It brings peace and comfort to my heart." Her mother says, "I don't know how to spend the rest of my life without my daughter." Based on intercepted conversations in which the physics major describes how she fought and resisted, he is arrested and charged with kidnapping resulting in death "in an especially heinous, cruel or depraved manner, in that it involved torture or serious physical abuse." (AP, 2017a)

A 19 year-old college sophomore returns home to visit his family during winter break. He rides around with a 20 year-old classmate from high school who suddenly brutally stabs him more than 20 times. He is missing for over a week before his body is discovered in a deserted park. It turns out that his classmate has become a staunch member of a neo-Nazi group that idolizes Adolph Hitler and Charles Manson, views itself as the radical vanguard of the white supremacist movement, and as the frontline soldiers of an imminent race war. Describing her son as small, Jewish, and openly gay, the victim's mother laments, "I was concerned sending him out into the big world. But at some point you have to let go and they leave the nest and fly. I couldn't protect him from everything." (Thompson, 2018)

Unavoidably, victimization is all about horror, suffering, loss, and pain. Approaching these problems

in a subjective manner leads to expressions of intense emotions, strong personal opinions, and assertions about the importance of common sense and a reliance on conventional wisdom. Subjective reactions to grisly murders such as these four would center on expressions of distress, disgust, and despair about the depths of human depravity; raise concerns about public safety and the threats posed by homicidal strangers; and perhaps even provoke strident demands to take drastic steps to hopefully avoid further outbreaks of such tragedies.

An objective approach to these cold-blooded murders also could and should start off with expressions of horror, empathy for the grieving families, and a commitment to "do something." Objectivity doesn't preclude compassion. But a scientific approach then would proceed to the next stage: analysis of the problem and an evaluation of the various remedies. That requires gathering the facts, in order to generate evidence-based decisions that could help to reduce the risks of such vicious attacks. To devise solutions and effective preventive measures, research projects must start off by addressing questions like these:

- How often are college students slain?
- Is the murder rate for college students higher or lower than the rate for young adults who do not go to college?
- Are the murders of college students becoming more or less frequent as time passes?
- Under what circumstances or situations are college students slain?
- Are college students killed for similar reasons or for different reasons than others of the same age range?
- In what ways, if any, are college students unusually vulnerable to attack?
- Are the murders of college students taken more seriously or less seriously than other murders by the authorities, the media, and the general public?
- Are the killings of college students solved at the same rate, or at a higher or lower rate than other killings?

Are male college students targeted as often, more often, or less often than female students?

In other words, the objective approach in victimology depends upon facts, evidence, data, and other forms of proof in order to develop useful insights, constructive remedies, countermeasures that really work, and forms of treatment and support that genuinely relieve suffering.

Researchers want to know whether and to what degree individuals who are on the receiving end of violence sustain physical wounds, experience economic hardships, and/or endure emotional turmoil. The immediate purpose of documenting the extent of harm and assessing their needs is to enable victims to make a case for reparations, so that they can recover their losses. And the larger goal of understanding how victims suffer is to help devise ways to alleviate their distress.

In some incidents, the crime can be so minor—such as an attempted break-in of a vehicle that is cut short by the wailing of a car alarm—that the harm is minimal, at least in terms of monetary expenses.

But at the other end of the spectrum, vicious violent outbursts can cause devastating losses and terrible anguish. For example, consider the deadliest mass shooting in modern U.S. history, which drew a great deal of worldwide attention but led to no direct and immediate legislative reforms:

A 64 year-old high-stakes gambler, armed with an arsenal of automatic weapons, opens fire on a crowd of totally innocent people who were in the wrong place at the wrong time: enjoying a country music concert beneath the mass murderer's hotel window. Fiftyeight people he never met perish, and another 161 strangers are struck by bullets before the mass murderer commits suicide. A reporter sums up how their lives were shattered both physically and emotionally, forcing them to undergo a process of deconstructing their old selves and reconstructing new ones: "The journey—as the survivors of so many other American mass shootings will say—is one full of chronic pain, fights with insurance companies, ruined marriages, lost jobs, anguished parents and children, and the injustice of being forced into a new identity: victim." (Turkewitz, 2017)

Those who live through terrible ordeals may be saddened, depressed, frightened, terrorized, traumatized, infuriated, and embittered. Victimologists want to find out how effectively the injured parties are being assisted, supported, served, accommodated, rehabilitated, and educated to avoid further trouble.

Victimologists are equally curious to determine the extent to which their suffering is being totally ignored, largely neglected, belittled, manipulated, and commercially or politically exploited. Some individuals who experience severe injuries and devastating losses might be memorialized, honored, and even idolized, while others might be shunned, mocked, discredited, defamed, demeaned, socially stigmatized, and even condemned for bringing about their own misfortunes. Why is that?

Victimologists also examine how some severely injured parties find their horrific experiences life transforming, usually for the worst, but occasionally for the better (see the discussion of survivorology in Chapter 2). Some might react to their fear and fury by seeking out fellow sufferers, building alliances, and discovering ways to exercise their "agency"—to assess their options and make wise decisions, take advantage of opportunities, regain control of their lives, rebuild their self-confidence, and restore a sense of trust and security. Others become deeply alienated and withdraw from social relationships. They may become burdened by bouts of depression, sleep disorders, panic attacks, and stress-related illnesses. Healing requires overcoming feelings of helplessness, frustration, and self-blame. Why do people experience such a wide range of responses? Do personality factors or social support services primarily determine how a person responds and then recovers?

Direct or primary victims experience the criminal act and its consequences firsthand. Indirect or secondary victims (e.g., family members and loved ones) are not immediately involved or physically injured in confrontations. But they might be burdened, even devastated, as the following two examples illustrate:

A teenager who shot and killed a high school athlete is about to be sentenced to prison. The distraught father

of the murdered boy tells the judge, "We always hope our little guy will come through the door, and it will never be. We don't have lives. We stay in every day. We can't function." (MacGowan, 2007)

As an argument with a stranger escalates and he pulls out a gun, a wife is wounded when she puts out her hand to try to shield her husband from the bullet that causes his death. She tells an interviewer, "I was just so excited and looking forward to spending the day with the love of my life.... And just to think that in the blink of an eye, my whole world just got shattered into a million pieces. And now I'm left trying to pick them all up and putting them back together." (Gutman, 2014)

First responders and rescue workers who race to crime scenes (e.g., police officers, forensic evidence technicians, paramedics, and firefighters) are exposed to emergencies and trauma on such a routine basis that they also can be considered secondary or indirect victims who periodically might need emotional support themselves to prevent burnout (see Regehr and Bober, 2005; and Abel, 2013).

## What Kinds of Studies Do Victimologists Carry Out?

Victimologists study the ways in which victims suffer from the harm criminals inflict upon them. They also examine the social reaction to this suffering. In particular, researchers explore how victims are handled by the legal system. And often, victimologists investigate the connections, if any, between injured parties and the offenders who attacked them.

In the aftermath of a crime, individuals who turn to the authorities for help invariably ask officers and detectives, "Why me? What—if anything—did I do to bring this on? Was it my appearance? Did I say something wrong? Was I belligerent? Too trusting? Careless? Or was I just unlucky to be at the wrong place at the wrong time?" In response to these concerns, police departments often promote lists of recommended steps

crime-conscious individuals should consider integrating into their lifestyles. These defensive measures are mostly a compilation of do's and don'ts that are gleaned from case files documenting other people's misfortunes. The intent of disseminating these tips and advice is to help people to avoid attracting would-be offenders on the prowl for an easy mark, to safeguard their valuables, and perhaps even to save their lives (see Bryan, 2017). But victimologists want to go beyond piecing together what happened to a particular individual, and why the incident took place. Victimologists want to discover why certain groups face much higher risks than others of experiencing interpersonal theft and violence. They want to develop theories that explain and predict why some people are targeted by criminals much more often than others.

The first criminologists who gained an interest in victims asked the same kinds of questions. They questioned the positive stereotypes that pictured victims as unsuspecting, unwitting, vulnerable, maybe even helpless persons who were pounced upon—through no fault of their own—by nasty, violence-prone persons. Perhaps some individuals who found themselves on the receiving end of vicious attacks were not simply "innocent lambs" preyed upon by "predatory wolves." Maybe this totally innocent/completely guilty dichotomy doesn't always apply in real-life incidents.

Investigating the Interactions between Victims and Their Offenders Right from the outset, studies have focused upon the initiatives and responses between offenders and victims, and their prior relationships and dealings, if any. Were they complete strangers, or did they know each other as casual acquaintances, coworkers, neighbors, trusted associates, friends, family members, intimates, or former lovers? Did their conflict that crossed a threshold into a violation of the law flare up all of a sudden, or was it the outgrowth of smoldering tensions and intensifying animosities? Researchers became intrigued by the possibility that in some instances, the victim might have done something that resulted in being targeted. Studies addressed these questions: Did the victim in any way make a thief's tasks easier? Did the injured party attract the attacker's attention? And worst of all, did the person who got hurt—or killed—say or do anything that incited or provoked a violent response? (See Chapter 5 for a full discussion of these highly controversial lines of inquiry, which fall under the headings of "shared responsibility" and "victim blaming.")

Some of the earliest inquiries into victim—offender relationships are still very informative and relevant, despite the passage of time. A selection of some of these insightful studies carried out decades ago appears in Box 1.1.

Why Objectivity Is Desirable Scientific objectivity requires that the observer try to be fair, dispassionate, and unbiased. Objectivity means not showing favoritism, not allowing personal prejudices to sidetrack analyses, not permitting emotion to cloud reasoning, and not letting the dominant views of the times dictate conclusions and recommendations. Prescriptions to remain disinterested and uninvolved are easier to abide by when the incidents under scrutiny happened long ago and far away. It is much harder to maintain social distance when investigating the plight of real people right here and right now. These scientific tenets are extremely difficult to live up to when the subject matter—the depredations inflicted by lawbreakers—connects to widely held beliefs about good and evil, right and wrong, and justice and unfairness.

Shouldn't victimologists consistently be "provictim"? Why should they strive to be openminded and evenhanded and hence "objective?"

At first glance, the importance of reserving judgments, refraining from jumping to conclusions, and resisting the urge to side with those who are in pain might not be self-evident. But there is a simple and direct answer to the question "Why shouldn't victimologists be unabashedly pro-victim?" The reason is that in many situations this formula offers no real guidance.

Impartiality is called for when the injured parties turn out to be undeniable lawbreakers themselves. What could it possibly mean to be pro-victim in situations in which criminals hurt other criminals? The designations "victim" and "offender" are not

## BOX 1.1 A Sampling of the Wide Range of Studies about the Interaction between Offenders and Victims

### Identifying the Cues That Trigger a Mugger into Action

Pedestrians, through their body language, may signal to prowling robbers that they are "easy marks." Men and women walking down a city street were secretly videotaped for several seconds, about the time it takes a criminally inclined person to size up a potential victim. The tapes were then shown to a panel of "experts"—prisoners convicted of assaulting strangers—who sorted out those who looked as if they would be easy to corner from those who might give them a hard time. Individuals who received high muggability ratings tended to move along awkwardly, unaware that their nonverbal communication might cause them trouble (Grayson and Stein, 1981).

## Explaining Public Indifference toward Victims of Fraud and Con Games

People who have lost money to swindlers often are pictured as undeserving of sympathy in the media, and they may encounter callousness, suspicion, or contempt when they turn to the police or consumer affairs bureaus for help. This second-class treatment seems to be due to negative stereotypes and ambivalent attitudes that are widely held by the public as well as criminal justice officials. A number of aphorisms place blame on the "suckers" themselves—"fraud only befalls those of questionable character," "an honest man can't be cheated," and "people must have larceny in their hearts to fall for a con game."

For example, white-collar crime investigators picture even sophisticated investors who lose their money to scammers in Ponzi schemes as being so blinded by their greed for suspiciously high returns that they ignore the red flags that should have alerted them to the likelihood that they were being drawn into a too-good-to-be-true business arrangement (Goldstein, 2011).

Con artists count on exploiting the anticipated behavior of their "marks." Their targets may get so preoccupied with some "convincer" (e.g., a large sum of money awaiting them) that they are too distracted to realize what is really going on. Marks could be socially compliant to someone impersonating an authority figure (e.g., they reveal their password in response to an e-mail allegedly from a bank's security officer and subsequently are taken in by a "phishing" scheme). They may let their guard down and assume there is safety in numbers if it seems that lots of other people are willing to take a chance on some risky venture. They may be willing to do something illegal (e.g., to buy stolen goods) and end up too compromised to go to the police. They could be so trusting and naïve that they fall for tear-jerking emotional appeals for

financial help. And under pressure to "act now or it will be too late," they could make impulsive decisions they later regret. In well-planned con games pulled off by professionals, nothing is what it seems to be (Stajano and Wilson, 2011).

The stereotype of defrauded parties is that they disregarded the basic rules of sensible conduct regarding financial matters. They don't read contracts before signing and don't demand that guarantees be put in writing before making purchases. Their apparent foolishness, carelessness, or complicity undermines their appeals for redress and makes others reluctant to activate the machinery of the criminal justice system and regulatory agencies on their behalf. Their claims to be treated as authentic victims worthy of support may be rejected if they are scorned as money-hungry "dupes" who were merely outsmarted (Walsh and Schram, 1980; Moore and Mills, 1990; and Shichor, Sechrest, and Doocy, 2000).

Using a broad definition of fraudulent schemes (including various rip-offs such as dishonest home, auto, and appliance repairs and inspections; useless warranties; fake subscription, insurance, credit, and investment scams; phony charities, contests, and prizes; and expensive 900-number telephone ploys), a nationwide survey found victimization to be widespread. More than half the respondents had been caught up in some scam or an attempt at deception at least once in their lives, costing an average loss of more than \$200. Contrary to the prevailing negative stereotype, the elderly were not any more trusting and compliant; in fact, they were deceived less often than younger people (Titus, Heinzelmann, and Boyle, 1995).

#### **Examining How Pickpockets View Their Targets**

According to a sample of 20 "class cannons" (professional pickpockets) working the streets of Miami, Florida, their preferred marks (victims) are tourists who are relaxed, off guard, loaded with money, and lacking in clout with criminal justice officials. Some pickpockets choose "paps" (elderly men) because their reaction time is slower, but others favor "bates" (middle-aged men) because they tend to carry fatter wallets. A "moll buzzer" or "hanger binger" (sneak thief who preys on women) is looked down on in the underworld fraternity as a bottom feeder who acts without skill or courage. Interaction with victims is kept to a minimum. Although pickpockets may "trace a mark" (follow a potential target) for some time, they need just a few seconds to "beat him of his poke" (steal his wallet). This is done quietly and deftly, without a commotion or any jostling. They rarely "make a score" (steal a lot in a single incident). The class cannon

(Continued)

### BOX 1.1 (Continued)

"passes" (hands over) "the loot" (wallet, wad of bills) to a member of his "mob" (an accomplice) and swiftly leaves the scene of the crime. Only about one time in a hundred do they get caught by the mark. And on those rare occasions when the theft is detected, they can usually persuade their victims not to call the police. They give back what they took (maybe more than they stole) and point out that pressing charges can ruin a vacation because of the need to surrender the wallet as evidence, plus waste precious time in court appearances. Cannons show no hatred or contempt for their marks. In general, they rationalize their crimes as impersonal acts directed at targets who can easily afford the losses or who would otherwise be fleeced by businesses or allow their money to be taken from them in other legally permissible ways (Inciardi, 1976).

#### Exploring the Bonds between Captives and Their Captors

Hostages (of suicidal terrorists, home-invading gunmen, kidnappers, bank robbers, or rebellious prisoners) are used by their captors to exert leverage on a third party—perhaps a wealthy family, the police, or a government agency. These captives could react in an unanticipated way to being trapped and held against their will. Instead of showing anger and seeking revenge, these pawns in a larger drama may emerge from a siege with positive feelings for, and attachments to, their keepers. Their outrage is likely to be directed at the authorities who rescued them for acting with apparent indifference to their well-being during the protracted negotiations. This surprising emotional realignment has been termed the Stockholm syndrome because it was first noted after a 1973 bank holdup in Sweden. Several psychological explanations for this "pathological transference" are plausible. The hostages could be identifying with the aggressor, and they might have become sympathetic to acts of defiance aimed at the power structure. As survivors, they might harbor intense feelings of gratitude toward their keepers for sparing their lives. As helpless dependents, they might cling to the powerful figures who controlled their every action because of a primitive emotional response called "traumatical infantilism." After the ordeal, terrorized hostages need to be welcomed back and reassured that they did nothing wrong during-and right after-their captivity. People in occupations that place them at high risk of being taken prisonerranging from convenience store clerks and bank tellers to airline personnel and diplomats—need to be trained about how to act, what to say, and what not to do if they are held and used as a bargaining chip during a stand-off. Law enforcement agencies need to set up and train hostage negotiation units as an alternative to solely relying on heavily armed SWAT teams whose military-style assaults endanger the lives of the captives they are trying to save. Crisis negotiators no longer consider the bonding that may occur between captives and captors to be detrimental. The development of the Stockholm syndrome actually can increase the hostages' chances of surviving the ordeal. However, it could also mean that law enforcement cannot count on the victims' cooperation in working for their own release and for later prosecuting their violent and dangerous kidnappers in court. In terms of frequency of occurrence, it is likely that this type of coping mechanism by captives has been overemphasized and inaccurately assumed in cases that were diagnosed by commentators in the media. Identifying with the aggressor and seeing rescuers as adversaries rarely takes place, according to an analysis of the narratives contained in the FBI's Hostage/Barricade Database System (see Ochberg, 1978; Fattah, 1979; Symonds, 1980a; Turner, 1990; Louden, 1998; Fuselier, 1999; and De Fabrique, Romano, Vecchi, and Van Hasselt, 2007).

always at opposite poles. Sometimes two people can be viewed as sharing responsibility for what happened between them. And sometimes, conflicts arise not only between victims and their offenders but also between injured parties and other groups that claim to be on their side.

### Scrutinizing the Victim-Offender Relationship

Victimologists are quick to point out that the status of being a "legitimate victim" is socially defined. Why is it that only certain people who suffer physical, emotional, or economic harm are designated and treated as full-fledged, bona fide, and officially recognized victims who are eligible for aid, and are encouraged to exercise their rights within the criminal justice process? Why in other cases are the injured parties condemned as wrongdoers and left to fend for themselves, or even arrested and prosecuted? One key question is, "Which aspects of the social standing (e.g., race/ethnicity, class, sex, and age) of each of the two parties are taken into account when government officials as well as members of the general public decide whether one person should get into legal trouble for what happened

and the other should be granted assistance and support?"

Clearly, the status of being an officially recognized victim of a crime is **socially constructed**. The determination of who is included and who is excluded from this privileged category is carried out by actors within the criminal justice process (police officers and detectives, prosecutors, judges, even juries) and is heavily influenced by legislators (who formulate criminal laws) and the media coverage that shapes public opinion about specific incidents.

Because being officially designated as a victim is socially constructed, researchers need to strive to be evenhanded and open-minded when examining incidents that don't have unambiguous and obvious totally innocent parties.

Sometimes It Is Difficult to Distinguish Victims from Villains Real-life conflicts do not always involve simple, clear-cut cases that neatly fall into the dichotomies of good and evil, innocence and guilt. Not all victims were weak, defenseless, unsuspecting, and upstanding citizens who, through tragic or ironic circumstances or just plain bad luck, were pounced upon by cunning, vicious "predators." In some instances, observers may have reasonable doubts and honest disagreements over which party in a conflict should be labeled the victim and which should be stigmatized as the villain. These complicated situations dramatize the need for impartiality when untangling convoluted relationships in order to make a rational argument and a sound legal determination that one person should be arrested, prosecuted, and punished, and the other defended, supported, and assisted. Some messy incidents reported in the news and processed by the courts embody shades of gray. Clashes frequently take place between two people who, to varying degrees, are simultaneously both victims but also both wrongdoers. Consider the following two accounts of iconic, highly publicized incidents from past decades that illustrate just how difficult it can be to try to establish exactly who really is the victim and who actually is the offender:

A wealthy couple are at home in their mansion watching television and eating ice cream when someone shoots the man point-blank in the back of the head and

then blasts his wife with a shotgun a number of times in the face. The police search for the killers for six months before the couple's two sons, 21 and 18, concede that they did it. In a nationally televised trial for first-degree murder and facing possible execution, the sons give emotionally compelling (but uncorroborated) testimony describing how their father sexually molested and mentally abused them when they were little boys. The brothers contend they acted in selfdefense, believing that their parents were about to murder them to keep the alleged incestuous acts a family secret. The prosecution argues that these boys killed their parents in order to get their hands on their \$14 million inheritance (they had quickly spent \$700,000 on luxury cars, condos, vacations, and fashionable clothing before they were arrested). The jurors become deadlocked over whether to find them guilty of murder or only of the lesser charge of voluntary manslaughter, and the judge declares a mistrial. In the second trial, the prosecution ridicules their "abuse excuse" defense. The jury convicts them of premeditated murder and sentences them to life in prison without parole. Soon afterwards, each brother gets married (the older one marries a model but divorces her and then has a second wedding behind bars) even though the prison system does not permit conjugal visits for lifers. One brother runs a support group for fellow inmates who have endured sexual abuse. The other works with prisoners who are physically challenged and terminally ill. (Berns, 1994; Mydans, 1994; Associated Press, 1996; Hubbard, 2012; and Menza, 2017)

...

An ex-Marine who works as a bouncer in a bar wakes up in his bed and discovers to his horror that his wife has sliced off his penis with a kitchen knife. Arrested for "malicious wounding," she tells the police that she mutilated him because earlier that evening in a drunken stupor he forced himself upon her. He is put on trial for marital sexual abuse but is acquitted by a jury that does not believe her testimony about a history of beatings, involuntary rough sex, and other humiliations. When she is indicted on felony charges (ironically, by the same prosecutor) for the bloody bedroom assault, many people rally

to her side. To her supporters, she is clearly a long suffering victim of domestic violence that culminated in marital rape. But then she undercut the debilitating stereotype of female passivity. Six calls to the police failed to deliver the necessary protection, so she literally took matters into her own hands and disarmed him with a single stroke and threw the symbol of male sexual dominance out the window. Her detractors picture her as a crazed and vengeful woman who has perpetrated every man's worst nightmare. She is portrayed as a master of manipulation, publicly playing the role of a sobbing battered wife deserving of sympathy to divert attention from her act of rage against a sleeping husband who had lost his sexual interest in her. A survey reveals that 60 percent of the American public was following the case. Facing up to 20 years in prison, she declines to plead guilty to a lesser charge and demands her day in court. The jury accepts her defense that she acted in a fit of temporary insanity—that she was traumatized, deeply depressed, beset by flashbacks, and susceptible to "irresistible impulses" because of years of cruelty and abuse—and finds her not guilty. After 45 days under observation in a mental hospital, she is released. Soon afterwards, the couple divorces, and then they each take financial advantage of all the international media coverage, sensationalism, titillation, voyeurism, and sexual politics surrounding their deeply troubled relationship. Over the years, he is arrested seven times, gets married three more times, stars in porn movies, and brags that about 70 women have been sexually attracted to him because of his ordeal and re-attachment surgery. She is arrested for punching her mother but then sets up a charitable organization that attempts to prevent domestic violence, and collects toys annually for children taking refuge with their mothers in shelters for battered women. (Margolick, 1994; Sachs, 1994; Moye, 2013; and Jeltsen, 2016a)

Both of these high-profile cases were resolved by the criminal justice system years ago in ways that caused quite an uproar and still provoke many heated discussions. In each trial, the persons officially designated as the victims by the police and prosecutors the dead parents, the slashed husband—arguably could be considered to be wrongdoers who "got what was coming to them." Indeed, the reputedly abusive parents and the husband accused of repeated brutality against his wife were viewed just that way by substantial segments of the public and by some jurors. The defendants who got in trouble with the law—the shotgun-toting brothers, the knife-wielding wife—insisted that they should not be portrayed as criminals. On the contrary, they contended that they actually were the genuine victims who should not be punished: sons sexually molested by their father, a battered woman who was subjected to marital rape.

Now consider three confusing and controversial cases in recent years that made headlines and provoked heated public debates about who seriously misbehaved and who acted appropriately. The answer to the question "Which party is truly the victim?" depends upon one's interpretation of the doctrine of self-defense:

A 17-year-old boy wearing a hooded sweatshirt on a rainy night is on the phone with his girlfriend as he walks home from a store after buying a can of soda and some candy. A member of a neighborhood watch group on patrol in a gated community of townhouses that has recently suffered a rash of break-ins drives by, spots him, and calls the police, voicing his suspicions that, "He is up to no good...". The 911 dispatcher tells the 28-year-old man, who had taken some criminal justice courses at a community college, not to follow and confront the youth. But he does, and after he gets out of his SUV, they exchange words and become embroiled in a fistfight. Neighbors hear someone screaming and pleading for help, and call 911. When officers arrive, they find the man bloodied and the teenager dead from a bullet to his heart. The man claims that he was the actual victim and that he had a right to fire his licensed handgun in self-defense. When the news spreads that the local police department has decided not to arrest the shooter, demonstrations erupt across the country, demanding his arrest as an overzealous police wannabe and vigilante who engaged in racial profiling because he trailed after the black teenager whom he stereotyped as a "suspicious outsider." The local police chief steps down, the county prosecutor and the

*Justice Department re-open the investigation, and* President Obama identifies with the unarmed youth who was tragically and needlessly killed, telling journalists that, "If I had a son, he'd look like {the victim \}." A jury of six women acquits the defendant of charges of second degree murder, and even of the lesser charge of manslaughter. The jurors reject the prosecution's version of the events: that the man had deliberately pursued the hoodie-clad black teenager and instigated the fight that led to the fatal shooting. The jury accepts the injured man's contention that the teenager knocked him to the ground, punched him and repeatedly slammed his head against the sidewalk; and that he was justified in firing to protect himself because he feared grave bodily harm or death. The testimony and evidence at the trial does not clearly resolve key questions about what really happened that rainy night: who initiated the confrontation and started the fight by throwing the first punch, who screamed for help, and at what point was the handgun drawn? After the controversial "not guilty" verdict, the man has several brushes with the law because of his violent outbursts. But because of his notoriety, he is also is punched in the face in a restaurant, and shot at in a road rage incident while driving. The ruling that the teenager's death was a justifiable homicide is one of several controversial killings of unarmed persons that inspires activists to mobilize a "Black Lives Matter" movement. The boy's parents write a book and take part in campaigns against gun violence. (Alvarez and Buckley, 2013; Jauregui, 2014; Hayden, 2016; Jacobo, 2016; and Pitts and James, 2017)

At around 4:30 am, a 55-year-old white man hears loud pounding and shouting at his front door and then at his side door. He grabs a shotgun and fires a blast through his locked screen door into the face of a teenage black girl standing on his front porch, killing her instantly. He is arrested and put on trial. Although he initially told the police that his weapon discharged accidentally, he tells the jury that he thought his home was about to be invaded by several intruders and, fearing for his life, vowed that "I wasn't going to cower in my house,

I didn't want to be a victim." The prosecution contends that he went to the door armed because he wanted to confront and frighten vandals who had defaced his vehicle with paintballs a few weeks earlier. The jury rejects his claim of firing in self-defense, and finds the man guilty of second degree murder as well as manslaughter. The young woman he killed turned out to be 19, unarmed, and intoxicated. Apparently she was making a commotion because she was seeking help after being involved in a car crash nearby, several hours earlier. The man in this "porch shooting" case begins serving a 15 to 30 year sentence but the state's supreme court agrees to consider his appeal. (Abby-Lambertz, 2014 and Brand-Williams, 2017)

A 29-year-old mother of 3 enters her home to gather her belongings so she can escape from her abusive estranged husband, whose periodic beatings have inflicted injuries that have sent her to a hospital. But he returns home unexpectedly, accompanied by two of her stepsons. The 10-year-old and 13-year-old watch in horror as he beats and strangles her. She runs into the garage to get into her car but finds herself trapped, so she grabs her licensed handgun and returns to their house. When he curses and charges towards her, she fires what she contends are three warning shots into the kitchen wall to ward him off. But he calls the police, and her shots are viewed as angry attempts to hurt or kill him and his son. She rejects a plea offer and is put on trial, and after the jury deliberates for a mere 12 minutes, she is convicted of three counts of aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, which could keep her in prison for 20 years. A grassroots movement of supporters fights for her release and for the charges to be dropped, viewing her as a battered woman who used a weapon to defend herself from imminent bodily injury. When her conviction is overturned because of faulty jury instructions, the prosecution vows to retry her and to seek the stiffest possible sentence (Shepeard, 2014). So she accepts a plea agreement that sends her to prison for three years followed by two years of confinement within her house for committing an aggravated assault against her husband. Upon her

release, she works to pass laws that will aid victims of domestic violence. (Hauser, 2017)

In all three of these high-profile cases, considerable debate erupted in the courtroom and also in the court of public opinion, about who really was the victim and who actually was the offender. In each confrontation, an individual perceiving a threat of imminent bodily harm reached for a gun, triggering heated exchanges between advocates of armed self-defense and supporters of gun control legislation (the arguments of both sides of this controversy are presented in depth in Chapter 13). Sharply different points of view were aired in dinner table discussions, news media columnists' interpretations, courtroom proceedings, and even political rallies about the role of race in perceptions about dangerousness and about the value of lethal weapons for self-protection. These are exactly the kind of issues that victimologists need to study open-mindedly and evenhandedly.

(Note that when a bank robber is slain during a shoot-out with the police, he is not a murder victim but rather a dead offender. Similarly, an armed home invader who barges through the door and is shot by the resident in self-defense with a legally possessed firearm also is not a victim but rather a deceased criminal. Both of these situations would be categorized as justifiable homicides committed against offenders during a crime in progress. [For a more extensive examination of justifiable homicides, see Chapter 13].)

Whenever different interpretations of the facts lead to sharply divergent conclusions about who is actually the guilty party and who really is the injured party, knee-jerk pro-victim impulses provide no useful guidance for action. Simplistic labels of 100 percent culpable criminal and 100 percent innocent victim often don't apply. This complexity underscores the need for objectivity when trying to figure out who is primarily responsible for whatever lawbreaking took place. Clearly, the dynamics between victims and victimizers need to be sorted out in an impartial manner, not only by victimologists but also by journalists, police officers, prosecutors, judges, and juries.

In rare instances, even the authorities can't make up their minds, as this unresolved serious incident demonstrates:

A pizza parlor chef and a mob henchman become embroiled in a knife fight that spills out on to a city street. They stab and slash each other and wind up in different hospitals. The police arrest both of the injured parties on charges of attempted murder as well as other offenses. However, each of the combatants refuses to testify in front of a grand jury against his adversary, fearing self-incrimination if he has to explain his motives and actions. The district attorney's office declines to grant immunity from prosecution to either of the two parties because detectives cannot figure out who was the attacker and who fought back in self-defense. As a result, neither is indicted, and a judge dismisses all the charges pending from the melee. Both wounded men, and the lawyers representing them, walk out of court pleased with the outcome—that no one will get in trouble for an assault with a deadly weapon. (Robbins, 2011)

Most people would consider an individual to be an innocent victim only when the following conditions apply (what sociologists would call the ideal type or positive stereotype): The person who suffered harm was defenseless, or at least weaker in comparison to the aggressor, was engaged in conventional activities, and was not looking for trouble or breaking any laws; the wrongdoer was a complete stranger whose predatory behavior or violent outburst obviously was illegal and unprovoked. Using the language of sociology, the status of being a legitimate or bona fide victim deserving of assistance and empowerment within the criminal justice decisionmaking process is socially constructed and conferred only to those who meet the criteria listed earlier (see Christie, 1986; and Dignan, 2005).

Victims Can Be Victimizers and Victimizers Can Be Victims Too In many real-life cases, it is not so obvious who the innocent party is. Sometimes it is very challenging to sort out the victims from the villains. Frequently, criminals can wind up as victims. Sometimes victims turn out to be wounded or

murdered criminals. As the expression goes, "Hurt people hurt people."

Obviously, some victims and criminals temporarily have switched roles. This has been termed the victim-offender overlap (see Mancini and Pickett, 2017). Researchers noted long ago (see Singer, 1981; and Fattah, 1990) that people who routinely engage in illegal activities are more likely to get hurt than their law-abiding counterparts. To put it bluntly, predators prey upon each other as well as upon unsuspecting members of the general public. Some of the bloodiest assaults, robberies, and slavings surely can be characterized as "criminal-on-criminal." When an organized crime syndicate "puts out a contract" on a rival faction's chieftain, the gangster who gets "whacked" in a "mob rubout" is not an upstanding citizen struck down by an act of randomly directed violence. Similarly, when a turf battle erupts between drug dealers and one vanquishes the other, it must be remembered that the loser aspired to be the victor. When youth gangs feud with each other by carrying out "drive-by" shootings, the young members who get gunned down are casualties of their own brand of retaliatory "street justice." Hustlers, con men, high-stakes gamblers, pimps, prostitutes, fences, swindlers, smugglers, traffickers, and others living life in the fast lane of the underworld often get hurt because they enter into showdowns with volatile persons known to be armed and dangerous. Victimologists want to know, "Do large segments of the public feel that these victimized criminals are 'reaping what they sow?'"

The following are some evidence dug up by researchers that illustrates how some of today's targets of lethal violence might have been yesterday's offenders.

- In New York City during the years 2003 through 2005, more than 90 percent of the accused killers had criminal records; and of those whom they murdered, more than half had been previously arrested, according to an analysis of NYPD files (McGinty, 2006).
- About half of all violent deaths in the nation's two "gang capitals," Chicago and Los

- Angeles, were classified as "street gang related" during the period 2007–2012. For the entire United States, about 13 percent of all homicides were gang-related during that time span. However, in most (75 percent) small towns and rural areas, no murders were considered gang-related, according the federal government's National Gang Center (2015). During 2016, when shootings and murders soared in Chicago, the police attributed 90 percent of the violence to beefs involving members of rival street gangs (Saul, 2016).
- In Newark New Jersey, about 85 percent of the 165 murder victims between 2009 and 2010 had been arrested at least once before they were killed; their average number of prior arrests was 10 times (O'Neill, 2011).
- An analysis of the nearly 345 people murdered in violence-plagued Baltimore during 2015 revealed that almost 90 percent were previously caught up in crime. Eighty percent had been arrested for a drug offense, over 60 percent had been apprehended for a violent crime, and about half had a prior gun charge. The average homicide victim had been arrested 13 times before, and over 25 percent were suspected street gang members, according to a report issued by the police department (Rector, 2016).
- In Baltimore during 2017, most homicides were characterized by police sources as acts of retaliation that were carried out by repeat offenders, as in previous years. The victims had been arrested on average 11 times; nearly half had been apprehended for violent crimes, and almost three-quarters for drug charges. Their suspected killers had similar rap sheets but tended to have fewer prior arrests (Williams, 2018).

These data make it clear that some proportion of current victims, especially those who died violently, formerly were engaged in serious lawbreaking themselves. They often were slain by people in their crime-oriented social networks, whom they knew and quarreled with repeatedly.

Next, consider the opposite time sequence: Some of today's offenders might have been yesterday's victims.

- A study of three cities with high crime rates revealed that more than half of the young men from poverty-stricken neighborhoods who were arrested for a gun-related crime had previously been a victim of a shooting (The Trace, 2016). In an earlier study, about half of all inmates in state prisons who were serving time for felonies told interviewers that they had been shot at during their past lives on the street, and more than a fifth had been wounded by gunfire (Harlow, 2001).
- Interviews with female prisoners revealed that about 90 percent considered themselves to be victims of physical or sexual abuse when they were children; and roughly 75 percent had endured violence from an intimate partner when they were adults (see Recktenwald and Currens, 2017).
- Similarly, as many as 80 percent of the teenage girls caught up in the juvenile justice system of several states for committing various offenses (including prostitution) had previously suffered physical or sexual abuse (Williams, 2015). Many prostitutes who are considered to be lawbreakers by criminal justice officials previously suffered from all sorts of victimizations that inflicted injuries, especially if they had drug habits (Finn, Muftic, and Marsh, 2015).

Of course, it is possible for people engaged in illicit activities to be genuine victims qualifying for protection and redress through the courts. For example, prostitutes who trade sexual favors for money are frequently beaten by sadistic johns, robbed of their earnings by exploitative pimps (see Boyer and James, 1983; and Brents and Hausbeck, 2005), and occasionally targeted by serial killers. The harms they suffer are far more serious than the "offenses" they commit (see Coston, 2004). Similarly, drug addicts who steal to pay for their habits merit assistance when they get beaten or robbed. Researchers need to determine whether the public believes that only innocent victims are worthy of deep sympathy and unqualified support,

and to what degree police and prosecutors take the victims' prior involvement in illegal activities into account when handling their cases.

Next, consider the possibility of the intergenerational transmission of using force abusively—a cycle of violence over time that transforms a victim into a victimizer (see Fagan, Piper, and Cheng, 1987). For example, a child subjected to periodic beatings might grow up to parent his sons in the same excessively punitive way he was raised.

- A study that tracked the fortunes of boys and girls known to have been physically and sexually abused over a follow-up period of several decades concluded that being harmed at an early age substantially increased the odds of future delinquency and violent criminality (Widom and Maxfield, 2001).
- Another longitudinal study of molested males estimated that although most did not become pedophiles, more than 10 percent grew up to become sexual aggressors and exploiters (Skuse et al., 2003).
- Similarly, the results of a survey of convicts revealed that they were much more likely to have been abused physically or sexually as children than their law-abiding counterparts (Harlow, 1999).

Even more dramatically, consider the situations of certain groups of people who continuously switch roles as they lead their deeply troubled daily lives. For instance, desperate heroin addicts are repeatedly subjected to consumer fraud (dealers constantly cheat them by selling heavily adulterated packets of this forbidden powder). Nevertheless, after being swindled over and over again by their suppliers, they routinely go out and steal other people's property to raise the cash that pays for their habits (see Kelly, 1983).

To further complicate matters, offenders can morph into victims right under the noses of the authorities, especially in jails and prisons, but also right on the meanest streets of big-city neighborhoods:

 For example, when delinquents are thrown in with older and tougher inmates in adult jails,

- these teenagers face grave risks of being physically and sexually assaulted ("New study," 2008).
- In penal institutions, convicts are entitled to press charges and to receive protection when they are assaulted, gang-raped, or robbed by other more vicious inmates (who seek to stifle any complaining and reporting by branding it as "snitching").
- In Chicago, the police department has assembled a list of "at-risk" individuals who are likely to wind up killing someone or being killed. The secret "Strategic Subject List" is derived from an algorithm that generates scores based on prior involvement in shootings and deadly assaults as either a suspect or an injured person. This controversial pro-active "predictive policing" policy sends officers out to personally warn these high-risk individuals that they could lose their freedom (via incarceration) or their lives to violence, if they continue to behave recklessly (see Kunichoff and Sier, 2017).

Violence begets violence, to the extent that those who suffer today may inflict pain on others tomorrow. For example, a group of picked-upon students might band together to ambush their bullying tormentors; or a battered wife might launch a vengeful surprise attack against her brutal husband, wounding or killing him. What could it possibly mean to be "pro-victim" in these situations?

Victims Can Find Themselves at Odds with the "Good Guys" Striving for objectivity is important for yet another reason. Crime victims can and do become embroiled in conflicts with persons and groups besides the perpetrators who have directly inflicted physical wounds and economic losses. Injured parties might nurse grievances and lash out against journalists reporting about their cases; police officers and detectives investigating their complaints; prosecutors ostensibly representing them in court; defense attorneys working on behalf of the accused; juries and judges deciding how to resolve their cases; probation, parole, and corrections officers supervising convicts who harmed

them; lawyers handling their lawsuits in civil court; governmental agencies and legislative bodies shaping their legal rights; social movements either speaking on their behalf or opposing their wishes; and businesses viewing them as eager customers for security products and services. Impartiality helps social scientists to understand why friction can develop in these situations and how to find solutions if these relationships become antagonistic.

First, consider how victims of highly publicized crimes could be outraged by the way the news media portrays them. Rather than side with the injured parties or with the journalists covering their cases, shouldn't a victimologist adopt the stance of a detached and disinterested observer who investigates these charges of insensitivity and inaccuracy perhaps by carrying out a fine-grained content analysis of press coverage in those high-profile cases?

Next, consider those situations in which wellintentioned criminal justice officials put forward competing policies that they claim are "pro-victim." For instance, prosecutors' offices have adopted one or the other of two alternative ways of responding to violence between intimate partners. One policy enables a battered woman to remain in control of "her" case and ultimately decide if she wants to press charges against her husband or lover whom she had arrested for assaulting her. Advocates of letting her choose whether to prosecute or not emphasize that this approach empowers her to weigh her alternatives and take her personal safety into account. The other policy mandates that the prosecution of the arrestee should go forward on the basis of the available evidence (police officer testimony, photos of bruises, eyewitness accounts, hospital records, and 911 recordings), even if the injured party wants to drop the charges (because she either fears reprisals or seeks rapprochement). Supporters of this policy believe that when batterers know they surely will be held responsible and punished, domestic violence will subside as a societal problem. In other words, her ability to determine what she wants to do about her individual situation must be sacrificed for the "greater good," which is to use cases like hers to generally

deter would-be batterers from assaulting their partners. Only an impartial analysis of scientifically gathered evidence can determine which of these two ostensibly pro-victim approaches best serves the long-term interests of most domestic violence victims (see O'Sullivan, Davis, Farole, and Rempel, 2007; and Nichols, 2014).

The Pentagon has tried for several decades to reduce the number of sexual assaults inflicted by members of the marines, army, navy, air force, and even the coast guard upon their comrades in arms in service academies, barracks, military bases, and even foreign battlefields. After the U.S. Senate debated alternative ways to bring the problem under control, two competing bills, both claiming to be pro-victim, came up for a vote. Supporters of one proposal argued that soldiers, sailors, and marines who are sexually assaulted fear that if they dare to file a complaint, their superiors may not act in their behalf. So they urged legislation that would have stripped commanding officers of their ability to decide which cases reported to them should lead to a court martial and would have empowered military prosecutors to make that decision about pressing charges or not. But the majority voted against this proposal, and instead the Senate passed the Victims Protection Act of 2014 that provides complainants with special counsels to advise them about the pros and cons of pursuing their cases in the military as opposed to the civilian criminal justice system (Jordan, 2014). Which of these two competing approaches would have been better for victims of sexual assaults? Will the new reform bring about substantial improvements? Objectivity, not partisanship, is needed to answer these questions.

The previous examples underscore how important it is for researchers to remain neutral at the outset of a study. Now consider the dilemmas many everyday people face because of their competing loyalties: their desire to back crime victims in their struggle for justice versus remaining true to their other commitments. The following example illustrates how objectivity and impartiality are sorely needed whenever pro-victim impulses must be balanced against other priorities and allegiances—for instance, staunch support for the police.

The mission of police departments is to protect and serve the public, and most people respect and admire the courage of officers who risk their lives to rescue hostages taken by kidnappers. But who would a person who is pro-victim as well as pro-police side with when these well-intentioned officers accidentally kill by "friendly fire" a captive they are seeking to free from the clutches of a captor? Would they agree with the distraught relatives who launch civil lawsuits for damages that criticize the department for inadequate training and an overreliance on military-style SWAT tactics rather than hostage negotiation techniques? Or would they stand shoulder to shoulder with the police fraternal organizations that predictably insist that the courageous officer did nothing wrong? Clearly, objectivity is called for when examining the effectiveness of existing law enforcement strategies and departmental policies whenever tragedies surrounding failed attempts to rescue hostages seize the attention of the news media and the public (e.g., see Dewan, 2005; Rubin, 2008; Murphy, 2014; and Haake, 2014).

Sources of Bias That Thwart Objectivity To sum up the arguments presented in earlier sections, when choosing projects to research and when gathering and interpreting data, researchers must put aside their personal political orientations toward criminal justice policies (e.g., conservatism or liberalism); their allegiances to causes (e.g., preserving civil liberties or advancing women's rights or outlawing abortion); and any positive or negative feelings toward entire groups (e.g., being pro-police or hostile to gun owners). Advocacy, whether for or against some policy or practice, should be kept separate from assessing the facts or drawing conclusions based on the available data. Scientific skepticism in the face of claims ("Prove it! Where is the evidence?")—not self-interest or preconceived notions—must prevail when evaluating whether victims' rights legislation, prevention strategies, antitheft hardware, and recovery programs genuinely work or are ineffective or even counterproductive in reaching their stated goals. Expert opinion, in reports, in court testimony, or in the classroom, must be based on facts, not faith. Research, policy analyses, and program evaluations must tell the whole truth, no matter who is disappointed or insulted.

Three types of biases undermine the ability of any social scientists (not just victimologists) to achieve objectivity and draw conclusions based on solid evidence (see Myrdal, 1944). The first may arise from personal experiences, taking the form of individual preferences and prejudices. For example, researchers who have been personally harmed in some way (e.g., beaten by a lover, robbed, or raped) might become so sensitized to the plight of their fellow victims that they can see issues only from that point of view. Conversely, those who have never been through such an ordeal might be unable to truly grasp what the injured parties must endure. In either case, the victimologist may develop a bias, whether it be oversensitivity and overidentification or insensitivity and lack of identification.

A second type of bias derives from the legacy of the discipline itself. The language, concepts, theories, and research priorities can reflect the collective preferences and priorities of its founders and their followers. For instance, it is widely acknowledged that the pioneers in this field of study introduced a victimblaming orientation into the new discipline, but over the decades the tide has decisively turned. Today, the vast majority of victimologists make no secret of their opposite commitments: not to find fault with those who are suffering but rather to devise more effective means of aid, support, and recovery.

Although subtle, a third type of bias can be traced back to the mood of the times. Victimologists, like all other members of a society, are influenced by their social environment. The events that shape public opinion during different periods of time can also affect scientific thought. During the 1960s and early 1970s, for example, many people demanded that the government devise ways to help victims get back on their feet financially, medically, and emotionally. This insistence about expanding the social safety net to cushion the blows inflicted not only by corporations laying off workers and hospitals and doctors charging exorbitant fees for medical treatments but also by criminals reflected the spirit of egalitarianism and mutual aid of this stage in American history. The belief that society—through

the instrument of the government—could and should do more to help out inspired a great deal of research and policy advocacy. But these ambitious goals have been voiced less often ever since the 1980s, when the themes of "strive for self-reliance," "reduce social spending by government," and "cut taxes" gained popularity. This emphasis on individuals taking responsibility for their own well-being as opposed to holding the socioeconomic system accountable for its shortcomings and failings (especially chronically high rates of unemployment and a growing gap between the super rich and the desperately poor) has become the dominant ideology since the financial meltdown of 2008 and the onset of the "Great Recession." Consequently, research projects and proposals about government-funded victim assistance programs have shifted their focus to matters such as only providing seed money for demonstration projects, imposing "sunset provisions" (to phase out efforts that don't rapidly produce results), stressing cost effectiveness, and exploring the feasibility of self-help, privately financed, or faith-based charitable alternatives.

Clearly, inquiries into how victims suffer at the hands of criminals as well as other groups such as journalists and criminal justice officials are unavoidably a value-laden pursuit that arouses intense passions and sharply dissenting views. As a result, some have argued that objectivity is an impossible and unrealistic goal that should be abandoned in favor of a forthright affirmation of values and allegiances. They say that victimologists (and other social scientists) should acknowledge their biases at the outset to alert their audiences to the slant that their analyses and policy recommendations will take. Others argue that objectivity is worth striving for because subjectivity thwarts attempts to accurately describe, understand, and explain what is happening, why it came about, and how conditions can be improved.

For the purposes of a textbook, the best course of action is to present all sides of controversial issues. Nevertheless, space limitations impose hard choices. This book focuses almost entirely on victims of interpersonal violence and theft (street crimes such as murder, rape, robbery, assault, kidnapping, burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft). There are many other categories of lawbreaking: crimes in the

suites involving a betrayal of trust and an abuse of power by high government officials against their rivals or to the detriment of the general public, and by corporate executives who can illegally inflict massive losses and injuries upon their company's workers, customers, stock owners, or competitors. White-collar crimes such as embezzlement by employees against their employers or fraud by citizens against government programs also impose much greater financial costs than street crimes. Organized rackets run by mobsters (drug smuggling, gun trafficking, counterfeiting of documents and currency, gambling, extortion) generate millions of dollars, undermine everyday life, and stimulate official corruption (bribes to look the other way). Crimes without complainants—victimless activities to some, vice to others—are controversial because the social reaction and criminal justice response might be worse than the original deviant behavior involving transactions between consenting adults (e.g., prostitution, illegal wagering, and streetlevel drug selling and buying). Clearly, these other categories of crimes are as serious and merit attention from scholars, law enforcement agencies, and concerned citizens. But they are not the types of lawless deeds that come to mind when people talk about "the crime problem" or express fears about being harmed. Street crime scares the public, preoccupies the media, keeps police departments busy, and captures the notice of politicians. These conventional, ordinary, depressingly familiar, and all-too-common predatory acts have tangible, visible, and readily identifiable victims who are directly affected and immediately aware of their injuries and losses.

In contrast, in the other categories of crime, especially white-collar crime and crime in the suites, the deleterious consequences are experienced by abstractions (e.g., "a competitive economy" or "national security"), impersonal entities (e.g., the U.S. Treasury or multinational corporations), or vaguely defined collectivities (e.g., voters, taxpayers, investors, shareholders, or consumers). It is difficult to grasp precisely who has suffered in these cases, and it is nearly impossible to describe or measure the background characteristics or reactions of the injured parties. It is extremely tough to establish in court specifically

who the flesh-and-blood victims are in cases of drug smuggling, money laundering, insurance scams, false advertising, bribe taking, software piracy, counterfeiting of trademarked goods, dumping of toxic wastes, insider trading, electoral fraud, illegal campaign contributions, and income tax evasion. But individuals hurt by assailants, robbers, and rapists can be easily identified, observed, contacted, interviewed, studied, counseled, assisted legally, and treated medically. As a result, a wealth of statistical data has accumulated about their wounds, losses, and emotional reactions. For these reasons, victims of interpersonal violence and theft will be the primary focus of attention and concern throughout this text, even though many of the illegal activities cited previously inflict much more severe social and economic damage (see Naim, 2005). But note that this decision immediately introduces a bias into this introduction to the field of victimology, one that reflects the experiences of authors of articles and textbooks, the collective priorities of the discipline's founders and most prolific researchers, and the mood of the times!

### WHAT VICTIMOLOGY ISN'T

Now that it is becoming clear what victimology is, it is time to discuss what it is not.

Detectives are not scientifically studying victims when they perform what they call a "victimology" as part of an effort to track down a killer.

People in the helping professions are not "victimologists carrying out research into the victims' plight" when they provide direct assistance to injured persons.

And when political pundits and commentators declare that they despise "victimology," surely they are referring to something else, and are mixing that up with what is really victimology: researchers objectively examining the plight of persons who have been hurt by criminals.

### Victimology versus Detective Work

In police work, the term *victimology* can mean something much narrower and more specific than "the