




Eleventh Edition

Introduction to
Mass Communication
MEDIA LITERACY AND CULTURE

Stanley J. Baran
Bryant University





INTRODUCTION TO MASS COMMUNICATION, ELEVENTH EDITION

Published by McGraw-Hill Education, 2 Penn Plaza, New York, NY 10121. Copyright © 2021 by McGraw-Hill Education. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Previous editions © 2019, 2017, and 2015. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of McGraw-Hill Education, including, but not limited to, in any network or other electronic storage or transmission, or broadcast for distance learning.

Some ancillaries, including electronic and print components, may not be available to customers outside the United States.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LWI 24 23 22 21 20

ISBN: 978-1-260-82286-1 (bound edition)

MHID: 1-260-82286-9 (bound edition)

ISBN: 978-1-260-00731-2 (loose-leaf edition)

MHID: 1-260-00731-6 (loose-leaf edition)

Portfolio Manager: *Sarah Remington*

Product Developer: *Victoria DeRosa*

Marketing Manager: *Laura Young*

Content Project Managers: *Lisa Brufflodt, Emily Windelborn*

Buyer: *Susan K. Culbertson*

Designer: *Debra Kubiak*

Content Licensing Specialist: *Brianna Kirschbaum*

Cover Image: *Alexey Blogood/Shutterstock*

Compositor: *Aptara[®], Inc.*

Printer: *LSC Communications*

All credits appearing on page or at the end of the book are considered to be an extension of the copyright page.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Baran, Stanley J., author.

Title: Introduction to mass communication/St Stanley J. Baran.

Description: Eleventh edition. | New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education, [2021] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019029882 (print) | LCCN 2019029883 (ebook) | ISBN 9781260822861 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781260692426 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781260570861 (paperback) | ISBN 9781260007312 (spiral bound) | ISBN 9781260692419 (ebook) | ISBN 9781260692372 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Mass media. | Mass media and culture. | Media literacy.


Classification: LCC P90 .B284 2021 (print) | LCC P90 (ebook) | DDC 302.23—dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2019029882>


LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2019029883>

The Internet addresses listed in the text were accurate at the time of publication. The inclusion of a website does not indicate an endorsement by the authors or McGraw-Hill Education, and McGraw-Hill Education does not guarantee the accuracy of the information presented at these sites.

mheducation.com/highered



In loving memory of my mother,
Margaret Baran—
she gave me life;
and in honor of my wife,
Susan Baran—
she gave that life meaning.





From the Author

Dear Friends,

The media, like sports and politics, are what we talk about, argue over, dissect, and analyze. Those of us who teach media know that these conversations are essential to the functioning of a democratic society. We also know that what moves these conversations from simple chatting and griping to effective public discourse is media education. And regardless of what we might call the course—Introduction to Mass Communication, Introduction to Mass Media, Media and Society, or Media and Culture—media education has been part of the university for more than six decades. From the outset, the course has fulfilled these goals:

- Increasing students' knowledge and understanding of the mass communication process and the mass media industries
- Increasing students' awareness of how they interact with those industries and their content to create meaning
- Helping students become more skilled and knowledgeable consumers of media content and therefore more ethical and confident participants in their worlds

We now call the fulfillment of these goals *media literacy*.



Courtesy of Stanley Baran

A Cultural Perspective

This text's cultural orientation toward mass communication places a great deal of responsibility on media consumers. In the past, people were considered either victims of media influence or impervious to it. The cultural orientation asserts that audience members are as much a part of the mass communication process as are the media technologies and industries. As important agents in the creation and maintenance of their own culture, audience members have a moral obligation not only to participate in the process of mass communication but also to participate critically as better consumers of mass media.

Enriching Students' Literacy

The focus of this book, from the start, has been on media literacy and culture, and those emphases have shaped its content and its various learning aids and pedagogical features. Every chapter's *Cultural Forum* box poses a critical thinking dilemma based on a current social problem and asks students to work through their solution. The *Using Media to Make a Difference* feature offers chapter-specific examples of how people in and outside the media industries have employed technology to meet important cultural and social needs. And each chapter ends with a *Media Literacy Challenge* that asks students to apply what they've learned to a contemporary media issue. Literacy, in this case media literacy, is about living in, interacting with, and making the most of the world that surrounds us. That belief is the central philosophy of this text.



My Thanks to You

Thank you for teaching mass communication. There are few college courses that will mean more to our students' lives now and after they graduate than this one. Thank you, too, for considering *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* for use in your course. I have poured the last 30 years of my career into this text and what it has to say about mass communication and the world that our interaction with the media produces. Your interest in this text confirms my passion.

—Stanley J. Baran



Brief Contents

Preface xv

PART 1 LAYING THE GROUNDWORK 2

- 1 Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy 2
- 2 Convergence and the Reshaping of Mass Communication 30

PART 2 MEDIA, MEDIA INDUSTRIES, AND MEDIA AUDIENCES 50

- 3 Books 50
- 4 Newspapers 76
- 5 Magazines 100
- 6 Film 122
- 7 Radio, Recording, and Popular Music 152
- 8 Television, Cable, and Mobile Video 180
- 9 Video Games 206
- 10 The Internet and Social Media 228

PART 3 STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIES 262

- 11 Public Relations 262
- 12 Advertising 284

PART 4 MASS-MEDIATED CULTURE IN THE INFORMATION AGE 314

- 13 Theories and Effects of Mass Communication 314
- 14 Media Freedom, Regulation, and Ethics 348
- 15 Global Media 374

Glossary G-1

Index I-1





Contents

Preface xv

PART 1 LAYING THE GROUNDWORK 2

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>1 Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy 2</p> <p>What Is Mass Communication? 4</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Communication Defined 4</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Mass Communication Defined 6</p> <p>What Is Culture? 7</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Culture as Socially Constructed Shared Meaning 9</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Functions and Effects of Culture 10</p> <p>Mass Communication and Culture 14</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Mass Media as Cultural Storytellers 14</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Mass Communication as Cultural Forum 15</p> <p>Scope and Nature of Mass Media 15</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Role of Technology 16</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Role of Money 17</p> <p>CULTURAL FORUM Audience as Consumer or Audience as Product? 17</p> <p>Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy 18</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Gutenberg Revolution 18</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Industrial Revolution 20</p> <p>Media Literacy 20</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Elements of Media Literacy 20</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Media Literacy Skills 23</p> <p>MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Recognizing Cultural Values 25</p> <p>Resources for Review and Discussion 25</p> <p>Review Points 25</p> <p>Key Terms 26</p> <p>Questions for Review 26</p> <p>Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 27</p> <p>References 27</p> | <p>2 Convergence and the Reshaping of Mass Communication 30</p> <p>Traditional Media Industries in Transition 32</p> <p>The Good News for Media Industries 33</p> <p>Changes 33</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Concentration of Ownership and Conglomeration 34</p> <p>CULTURAL FORUM Should the Government Subsidize Local Journalism? 36</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Globalization 37</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Audience Fragmentation 37</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Hypercommercialism 38</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Erosion of Distinctions among Media:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Convergence 39</p> <p>The New Mass Communication Process 41</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Interpreter A—The Content Producer 41</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Message 42</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Feedback and Interpreter B—The Audience 43</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Result 43</p> <p>DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Making Our Way in the Meme Culture 43</p> <p>MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE The Fraction of Selection 45</p> <p>Resources for Review and Discussion 45</p> <p>Review Points 45</p> <p>Key Terms 46</p> <p>Questions for Review 46</p> <p>Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 46</p> <p>References 46</p> |
|---|---|

PART 2 MEDIA, MEDIA INDUSTRIES, AND MEDIA AUDIENCES 50

3 Books 50

- A Short History of Books 52
 - Books Come to Colonial North America 53
- Books and Their Audiences 55
 - The Cultural Value of Books 55
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** Books That Changed American Life 56
 - Libraries, Books, and Social Infrastructure 57
 - Censorship 57
 - Aliteracy as Self-Censorship 59
- CULTURAL FORUM** Would You Ban a Book by Adolph Hitler? One by Milo Yiannopoulos? 60
- Scope and Structure of the Book Industry 61
 - Categories of Books 61
- Trends and Convergence in Book Publishing 62
 - Convergence 62
 - Smartphones, Tablets, E-readers, and Audiobooks 64
 - Conglomeration 65
 - Demand for Profits and Hypercommercialism 66
 - Growth of Small Presses 68
 - Restructuring of Book Retailing 68
- DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS** The Lessons of Harry Potter 69
- MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE** Literacy: Limiting Access to Books in School 70
- Resources for Review and Discussion 71
- Review Points 71
- Key Terms 71
- Questions for Review 72
- Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 72
- References 72

4 Newspapers 76

- A Short History of Newspapers 78
 - The Earliest Newspapers 78
 - The Modern Newspaper Emerges 80
- Newspapers and Their Audiences 82
- Scope and Structure of the Newspaper Industry 83
 - Types of Newspapers 83
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** Nonprofit Newsrooms Fill the Void in Journalism 84

- The Newspaper as an Advertising Medium 87
- The News and Feature Services 87
- Trends and Convergence in Newspaper Publishing 87
 - Loss of Competition 87
 - Conglomeration: Hypercommercialism, Erosion of the Firewall, and Loss of Mission 88
 - Convergence with the Internet 90
- CULTURAL FORUM** Attracting Readers with Click Bait 92
 - Smartphones, Tablets, and E-readers 93
 - Changes in Newspaper Readership 93
- DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS** Interpreting Relative Placement of Stories 95
- MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE** Reading the Newspaper: Hard Copy vs. Online vs. Mobile 96
- Resources for Review and Discussion 96
- Review Points 96
- Key Terms 97
- Questions for Review 97
- Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 97
- References 97

5 Magazines 100

- A Short History of Magazines 103
 - The Early Magazine Industry 103
 - The Mass Circulation Era 103
- CULTURAL FORUM** Magazines and #MeToo 105
 - The Era of Specialization 106
- Magazines and Their Audiences 107
- Scope and Structure of the Magazine Industry 107
 - Categories of Consumer Magazines 108
- Magazine Advertising 108
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** No Ads? No Problem: *Consumer Reports* 110
 - Types of Circulation 110
 - Measuring Circulation 111
- Trends and Convergence in Magazine Publishing 112
 - Online Magazines 113
 - Smartphones, Tablets, and E-readers 113
 - Custom Magazines 114
 - Meeting the Competition 115
 - Advertiser Influence over Magazine Content 116

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Recognizing the Power of Graphics 117

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Identifying Digital Alteration 119

Resources for Review and Discussion 119

Review Points 119

Key Terms 120

Questions for Review 120

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 120

References 120

6 Film 122

A Short History of the Movies 125

The Early Entrepreneurs 125

The Coming of Narrative 127

The Big Studios 129

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE African American Response to D. W. Griffith: The Lincoln and Micheaux Film Companies 130
Change Comes to Hollywood 131

Movies and Their Audiences 134

Scope and Nature of the Film Industry 136

Three Component Systems 136

CULTURAL FORUM Will We Continue to Go to the Movies? 137

The Studios 140

Trends and Convergence in Moviemaking 142

Conglomeration and the Blockbuster Mentality 142

Convergence Reshapes the Movie Business 144

Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 145

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Recognizing Product Placements 146

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Product Placement in Movies 148

Resources for Review and Discussion 148

Review Points 148

Key Terms 149

Questions for Review 149

Questions For Critical Thinking and Discussion 149

References 149

7 Radio, Recording, and Popular Music 152

A Short History of Radio and Sound Recording 154

Early Radio 155

Early Sound Recording 155

The Coming of Broadcasting 156

The Coming of Regulation 157

Advertising and the Networks 158

The Golden Age 159

Radio and Its Audiences 160

Scope and Nature of the Radio Industry 161

FM, AM, and Noncommercial Radio 161

Radio Is Local 161

Radio Is Fragmented 162

Radio Is Specialized 162

Radio Is Personal 163

Radio Is Mobile 163

The Business of Radio 164

Radio as an Advertising Medium 164

Deregulation and Ownership 164

Scope and Nature of the Recording Industry 165

The Major Recording Companies 165

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE Rock 'n' Roll, Radio, and Race Relations 166

Trends and Convergence in Radio and Sound Recording 168

The Impact of Television 168

Satellite and Cable 169

Terrestrial Digital Radio 169

Web Radio and Podcasting 170

Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 171

The Internet and the Future of the Recording Industry 172

Streaming and Downloading 172

CULTURAL FORUM Will Streaming Kill Songwriting? 174

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS You Are What You Listen To 175

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Finding New Music 176

Resources for Review and Discussion 176

Review Points 176

Key Terms 177

Questions for Review 177

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 177

References 177

8 Television, Cable, and Mobile Video 180

A Short History of Television 183

Mechanical and Electronic Scanning 183

The 1950s 184

The Coming of Cable 189

xii Contents

- Television and Its Audiences 189
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** The Creation of *Sesame Street* 190
- Scope and Nature of the Broadcast Television Industry 191
- The Networks and Program Content 191
- How a Program Gets on the Air, Traditionally and Otherwise 192
- Cable and Satellite Television 194
- Programming 194
- CULTURAL FORUM** Should You Cut the Cord? 196
- Trends and Convergence in Television and Cable 198
- VCR 198
- DVD 198
- DVR 198
- Streaming Video 199
- Interactive Television 199
- Phone-over-Cable 200
- Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 200
- DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS** Watching Satirical News 201
- MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE** No Video for a Week 202
- Resources for Review and Discussion 202
- Review Points 202
- Key Terms 203
- Questions for Review 203
- Questions For Critical Thinking and Discussion 203
- References 204
- 9 Video Games 206**
- A Short History of Computer and Video Games 208
- Today's Games Emerge 209
- Rapid-Fire Developments 211
- Games and Their Players 212
- What Is a Video Game? 212
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** Using Games for Good 213
- Who Is Playing? 213
- Scope and Nature of the Video-Game Industry 214
- Trends and Convergence in the Video-Game Industry 216
- Convergence Everywhere 216
- Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 218
- Hypercommercialism 219
- CULTURAL FORUM** Using the ESRB Ratings 221
- DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS** The Treatment of Female Gamers 222
- MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE** Masculinity and Femininity in Game World 223
- Resources for Review and Discussion 224
- Review Points 224
- Key Terms 225
- Questions for Review 225
- Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 225
- References 225
- 10 The Internet and Social Media 228**
- A Short History of the Internet 231
- Development of the Computer 231
- Military Applications 232
- The Personal Computer 233
- The Internet Today 235
- The World Wide Web 236
- Smartphones 237
- Social Media 237
- The Debate over Social Media's Value 238
- The Internet, Social Media, and Their Users 240
- Changes in the Mass Communication Process 240
- The Double Edge of Technology 241
- USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE** From Those on the Inside: It's Time to Fix the Internet 242
- Reconceptualizing Life in an Interconnected World 243
- The Internet and Freedom of Expression 243
- Freedom of the Press for Whom? 243
- Fake News 245
- Pornography on the Internet 247
- Copyright (Intellectual Property Ownership) 247
- Privacy 248
- CULTURAL FORUM** Why Not Here? 252
- Virtual Democracy 252
- DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS** The Internet Bill of Rights 254
- MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE** Internet Addiction Self-Diagnosis 255
- Resources for Review and Discussion 256
- Review Points 256
- Key Terms 257
- Questions for Review 257
- Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 258
- References 258

PART 3 STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION INDUSTRIES 262

11 Public Relations 262

Defining Public Relations 264

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE The MADD Campaign 266

A Short History of Public Relations 267

- Early Public Relations 267
- The Propaganda–Publicity Stage 267
- Early Two-Way Communication 268
- Advanced Two-Way Communication 270
- Shaping the Character of Public Relations 271

Public Relations and Its Audiences 271

Scope and Structure of the Public Relations Industry 272

Public Relations Activities 273

CULTURAL FORUM Protecting a Company’s Good Name in the Era of Social Media 275

- Public Relations’s Management Function 276
- Organization of a Public Relations Operation 276

Trends and Convergence in Public Relations 277

- Globalization, Concentration, and Specialization 277
- Convergence 278
- Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 278
- Trust in Public Relations 279

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Recognizing Fake Online Reviews 280

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Ferreting Out Fake Online Reviews 280

Resources for Review and Discussion 281

Review Points 281

Key Terms 281

Questions for Review 282

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 282

References 282

12 Advertising 284

A Short History of Advertising 286

- Early Advertising 287
- Industrialization and the Civil War 288
- Magazine Advertising 289
- The Advertising Agency and Professionalism 289
- Advertising and Radio 290
- World War II 290
- Advertising and Television 291

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE Effecting Positive Social Change 292

Advertising and Its Audiences 293

- Criticisms and Defenses of Advertising 293
- Specific Complaints 293

CULTURAL FORUM Kids’ Advertising: Is Self-Regulation Enough? 295

Scope and Nature of the Advertising Industry 296

- The Advertising Agency 296
- Types of Advertising 298
- The Regulation of Advertising 299
- Measuring the Effectiveness of Advertising 301

Trends and Convergence in Advertising 302

- New and Converging Technologies 302
- Smartphones, Tablets, and Social Networking Sites 303
- Increased Audience Segmentation 306
- Psychographics 306
- Globalization 307

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Interpreting Intentional Imprecision 308

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Finding Those Little White Lies 309

Resources for Review and Discussion 310

Review Points 310

Key Terms 310

Questions for Review 311

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 311

References 311

PART 4 MASS-MEDIATED CULTURE IN THE INFORMATION AGE 314

13 Theories and Effects of Mass Communication 314

The Effects Debate 316

- Micro- versus Macro-Level Effects 317
- Administrative versus Critical Research 318
- Transmissional versus Ritual Perspective 319

Defining Mass Communication Theory 319

A Short History of Mass Communication Theory 320

- The Era of Mass Society Theory 320
- The Emergence of the Limited Effects Perspective 322
- Cultural Theory—A Return to the Idea of Powerful Effects 328

CULTURAL FORUM Are the News Media Really Liberal? 330

- The Meaning-Making Perspective 332

The Effects of Mass Communication—Four Questions 336

- Does Media Violence Lead to Aggression? 336
- Do Portrayals of Drugs and Alcohol Increase Consumption? 339
- What Is Media's Contribution to Gender and Racial/Ethnic Stereotyping? 340
- Do Media Have Prosocial Effects? 341

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE Television and the Designated Driver 342

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Applying Mass Communication Theory 343

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Be a News Production Researcher 343

Resources for Review and Discussion 343

Review Points 343

Key Terms 344

Questions for Review 344

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 345

References 345

14 Media Freedom, Regulation, and Ethics 348

A Short History of the First Amendment 351

- Early Sentiment for a Free Press 351

CULTURAL FORUM Who Decides Who Has an Online Voice? 352

- Defining and Refining the First Amendment 352
- Ongoing Issues of Freedom and Responsibility 357

Social Responsibility Theory 361

Media Industry Ethics 362

- Defining Ethics 362
- Three Levels of Ethics 362
- Balancing Conflicting Interests 363

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE Should

- Journalists Be Activists? 367
- Codes of Ethics and Self-Regulation 369

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Judging News

- Using Ethical Values 370

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Talk to the FCC 371

Resources for Review and Discussion 371

Review Points 371

Key Terms 372

Questions for Review 372

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 372

References 372

15 Global Media 374

A Short History of Global Media 377

- The Beginning of International Mass Media 377

Global Media Today 379

- Comparative Analyses 381

CULTURAL FORUM We're Number 48! Media Freedom Rankings around the Globe 382

USING MEDIA TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE The Logic of Connective Action 385

- Programming 388

The Global Village and the Debate over Cultural Imperialism 389

- The MacBride Report and the NWICO 390
- The Case for the Global Village 392
- The Case against the Global Village 392

DEVELOPING MEDIA LITERACY SKILLS Making the Invisible Visible: Comparative Analysis 393

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE Do Your Own Comparative Analysis 395

Resources for Review and Discussion 395

Review Points 395

Key Terms 396

Questions for Review 396

Questions for Critical Thinking and Discussion 396

References 396

Glossary G-1

Index I-1

Preface

McGraw-Hill Connect: An Overview

McGraw-Hill Connect offers full-semester access to comprehensive, reliable content and learning resources for the Communication course. Connect's deep integration with most learning management systems (LMSs), including Blackboard and Desire2Learn (D2L), offers single sign-on and deep gradebook synchronization. Data from Assignment Results reports synchronize directly with many LMSs, allowing scores to flow automatically from Connect into school-specific gradebooks, if required.

The following tools and services are available as part of Connect for the Communication course:

Tool	Instructional Context	Description
SmartBook 2.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SmartBook 2.0® is an engaging and interactive reading experience for mastering fundamental communication content. SmartBook 2.0 is now available on all mobile smart devices—both on-line and offline. Instructors can assign homework down to the sub-topic level, providing even more flexibility and control over assignments. With the new review feature, instructors can easily create personalized assignments based on the content that each student struggles with. SmartBook 2.0 was designed with accessibility in mind and developed to support students with visual, auditory, cognitive, and mobility needs, providing a better user experience for all students. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SmartBook 2.0 is an adaptive reading experience designed to change the way students read and learn. It creates a personalized reading experience by highlighting the most impactful concepts a student needs to learn at that moment in time. SmartBook 2.0 allows students to recharge their learning by accessing previously completed assignments with a personalized learning experience focused on areas that need extra attention. The “Learn About This” remediation process has been revamped to give students greater exposure to contextual material. SmartBook 2.0 now includes clear pop-up and text prompts to guide students efficiently through the learning experience.
Connect Insight for Instructors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect Insight for Instructors is an analytics resource that produces quick feedback related to student performance and engagement. It is designed as a dashboard for both quick check-ins and detailed performance and engagement views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect Insight for Instructors offers a series of visual data displays that provide analysis on five key insights: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How are my students doing? 2. How is this one student doing? 3. How is my section doing? 4. How is this assignment doing? 5. How are my assignments doing?

(Continued)

Tool	Instructional Context	Description
Connect Insight for Students	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect Insight for Students is a powerful data analytics tool that provides at-a-glance visualizations to help students understand their performance on Connect assignments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect Insight for Students offers details on each Connect assignment to students. When possible, it offers suggestions for the students on how they can improve scores. This data can help guide students to behaviors that will lead to better scores in the future.
Video Capture Powered by GoReact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Video Capture Powered by GoReact provides instructors with a comprehensive and efficient way of managing in-class and online speech assignments, including student self-reviews, peer reviews, and instructor grading. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Video Capture by GoReact tool allows instructors to easily and efficiently set up speech assignments for their course that can easily be shared and repurposed, as needed, throughout their use of Connect. Customizable rubrics and settings can be saved and shared, saving time and streamlining the speech assignment process from creation to assessment. Video Capture by GoReact allows users, both students and instructors, to view videos during the assessment process. Feedback can be left within a customized rubric or as time-stamped comments within the video playback itself.
Speech Preparation Tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech Preparation Tools provide students with additional support and include Topic Helper, Outline Tool, and access to third-party Internet sites like EasyBib (for formatting citations) and SurveyMonkey (to create audience-analysis questionnaires and surveys). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech Preparation Tools provide students with additional resources to help with the preparation and outlining of speeches, as well as with audience-analysis surveys. Instructors have the ability to make tools either available or unavailable to students.
Instructor Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instructor Reports provide data that may be useful for assessing programs or courses as part of the accreditation process. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect generates a number of powerful reports and charts that allow instructors to quickly review the performance of a given student or an entire section. Instructors can run reports that span multiple sections and instructors, making it an ideal solution for individual professors, course coordinators, and department chairs.
Student Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student Reports allow students to review their performance for specific assignments or for the course. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students can keep track of their performance and identify areas with which they struggle.
Pre- & Post-Tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instructors can generate their own pre- and post-tests from the test bank. Pre- and post-tests demonstrate what students already know before class begins and what they have learned by the end. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instructors have access to two sets of pre- and post-tests (at two levels). Instructors can use these tests to create a diagnostic and post-diagnostic exam via Connect.
Tegrity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tegrity allows instructors to capture course material or lectures on video. Students can watch videos recorded by their instructor and learn course material at their own pace. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instructors can keep track of which students have watched the videos they post. Students can watch and review lectures by their instructor. Students can search each lecture for specific bites of information.
Simple LMS Integration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect seamlessly integrates with every learning management system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students have automatic single sign-on. Connect assignment results sync to the LMS's gradebook.

Instructor's Guide to Connect for *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture*

When you assign **Connect** you can be confident—and have data to demonstrate—that your students, however diverse, are acquiring the skills, principles, and critical processes that constitute effective communication. This leaves you to focus on your highest course expectations.

TAILORED TO YOU. **Connect** offers on-demand, single sign-on access to students—wherever they are and whenever they have time. With a single, one-time registration, students receive access to McGraw-Hill's trusted content.

EASY TO USE. **Connect** seamlessly supports all major learning management systems with content, assignments, performance data, and LearnSmart, the leading adaptive learning system. With these tools you can quickly make assignments, produce reports, focus discussions, intervene on problem topics, and help at-risk students—as you need to and when you need to.

Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture SmartBook 2.0

A PERSONALIZED AND ADAPTIVE LEARNING EXPERIENCE WITH SMARTBOOK 2.0®. SmartBook 2.0 with Learning Resources is the leading adaptive reading and study experience designed to change the way students read and master key course concepts. As a student engages with SmartBook 2.0, the program creates a personalized learning path by highlighting the most impactful concepts the student needs to learn at that moment in time and delivering learning resources—videos, animations, and other interactivities. These rich, dynamic resources help students learn the material, retain more knowledge, and get better grades.

ENHANCED FOR THE NEW EDITION! With a suite of new learning resources and question probes, as well as highlights of key chapter concepts, SmartBook 2.0's intuitive technology optimizes student study time by creating a personalized learning path for improved course performance and overall student success.

READER/eBOOK. Alongside SmartBook 2.0, there is also Connect eBook for simple and easy access to reading materials on smartphones and tablets. Students can study on the go without an Internet connection, highlight important sections, take notes, search for materials quickly, and read in class. Offline reading is available by downloading the eBook app on smartphones and tablets, and any notes and highlights created by students will be synced between devices when they reconnect. Unlike SmartBook 2.0, there is no pre-highlighting, practice of key concepts, or reports on usage and performance.

HUNDREDS OF INTERACTIVE LEARNING RESOURCES. Presented in a range of interactive styles, *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* learning resources support students who may be struggling to master, or simply wish to review, the most important mass communication concepts. Designed to reinforce the most important chapter concepts, every learning resource is presented at the precise moment of need. Whether a video, audio clip, or interactive mini-lesson, each of the 200-plus learning resources was designed to give students a lifelong foundation in strong mass communication skills.

MORE THAN 1,000 TARGETED QUESTION PROBES. Class-tested at colleges and universities nationwide, a treasury of engaging question probes—new and revised, more than 1,000 in all—give students the information on mass communication and media literacy they need to know, at every stage of the learning process, in order to thrive in the course. Designed to gauge students’ comprehension of the most important *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* chapter concepts, and presented in a variety of interactive styles to facilitate student engagement, targeted question probes give students immediate feedback on their understanding of the text. Each question probe identifies a student’s familiarity with the instruction and points to areas where additional remediation is needed.

INFORMED BY THE LATEST RESEARCH. The best insights from today’s leading mass communication scholars infuse every lesson and are integrated throughout the text.

FRESH EXAMPLES ANCHORED IN THE REAL WORLD. Every chapter of *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* opens with a vignette exploring media literacy situations in our everyday lives. Dozens of additional examples appear throughout the text. Whether students are reading the text, responding to question probes, or reviewing key concepts in a learning resource, their every instructional moment is rooted in the real world. McGraw-Hill Education research shows that high-quality examples reinforce academic theory throughout the course. Relevant examples and practical scenarios—reflecting engagement with multiple forms of mass media—demonstrate how effective communication informs and enhances students’ lives and media literacy skills.

BOXED FEATURES. Students must bring media literacy—the ability to critically comprehend and actively use mass media—to the mass communication process. This edition of *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* includes a variety of boxed features to support student learning and enhance media literacy skills.



Using Media to Make a Difference boxes highlight interesting examples of how media practitioners and audiences use the mass communication process to further important social, political, or cultural causes.




Cultural Forum boxes highlight media-related cultural issues that are currently debated in the mass media to help students develop their moral reasoning and critical thinking skills.



Media Literacy Challenge boxes build on ideas from each chapter’s “Developing Media Literacy Skills” section and ask students to think critically about media content they encounter in their daily lives and actually use the skills they’ve learned.

Video Capture Powered by GoReact

With just a smartphone, tablet, or webcam, students and instructors can capture video of presentations with ease. Video Capture Powered by GoReact, fully integrated in McGraw-Hill’s Connect platform, doesn’t require any extra equipment or complicated training. All it takes is five minutes to set up and start recording! Create your own custom Video Capture assignment, including in-class and online speeches and presentations, self-review, and peer review. With our customizable rubrics, time-coded comments, and visual markers, students



will see feedback at exactly the right moment, and in context, to help improve their speaking, presentation skills and confidence!

- Time-coded feedback via text, video & audio
- Visual markers for short-hand, repetitive comments
- Customizable rubrics

Functionality List

- Asynchronous video
- Synchronous screen capture & video (“Live Event”)
- Group assignment/presentation
- Presenter split screen for visual aids or presentation decks
- Customizable rubrics
- Self and peer review
- Time-coded feedback with text, video & audio
- Customizable in-line comment markers
- Rubric placement and comment box next to video for easier grading
- Mobile recording & uploading
- Improved accessibility
- Deep integration with most Learning Management Systems via McGraw-Hill’s Connect


Data Analytics

Connect Insight provides at-a-glance analysis on five key insights, available at a moment’s notice. The first and only analytics tool of its kind, Insight will tell you, in real time, how individual students or sections are doing (or how well your assignments have been received) so that you can take action early and keep struggling students from falling behind.

Instructors can see how many learners have completed an assignment, how long they spent on the task, and how they scored.



Instructors can see, at a glance, individual learner performance: Analytics showing learner investment in assignments, and success at completing them, help instructors identify, and aid, those who are at risk.



LearnSmart Instructor Reports allow instructors to quickly monitor students' activity, making it easy to identify which students are struggling and to provide immediate help to ensure those students stay enrolled in the course and improve their performance. The Instructor Reports also highlight the concepts and learning objectives that the class as a whole is having difficulty grasping. This essential information lets you know exactly which areas to target for review during your limited class time.


Some key LearnSmart reports are listed here.

Progress Overview report—View student progress for all LearnSmart modules, including how long students have spent working in the module, which modules they have used outside of any that were assigned, and individual student progress through LearnSmart.

Missed Questions report—Identify specific LearnSmart probes, organized by chapter, that are problematic for students.

Most Challenging Learning Objectives report—Identify the specific topic areas that are challenging for your students; these reports are organized by chapter and include specific page references. Use this information to tailor your lecture time and assignments to cover areas that require additional remediation and practice.

Metacognitive Skills report—View statistics showing how knowledgeable your students are about their own comprehension and learning.



Classroom Preparation Tools


Whether before, during, or after class, there is a suite of Baran products designed to help instructors plan their lessons and to keep students building upon the foundations of the course.

POWERPOINT SLIDES. The PowerPoint presentations for *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* provide chapter highlights that help instructors create focused yet individualized lesson plans.

TEST BANK. The *Introduction to Mass Communication: Media Literacy and Culture* Test Bank is a treasury of more than 1,000 examination questions based on the most important mass communication concepts explored in the text; more than 100 of the questions are new or revised for this edition.

MEDIA LITERACY WORKSHEETS. Media literacy worksheets provide students with thought-provoking questions and exercises based on the most important concepts addressed in each chapter. Students are encouraged to examine their own experiences with media and form their own opinions.

INSTRUCTOR'S MANUAL. Written by the author, this comprehensive guide to teaching from *Introduction to Mass Communication* contains lecture suggestions and resources for each chapter.



Support to Ensure Success

- **Digital Success Academy**—The Digital Success Academy on Connect offers a wealth of training and course creation guidance for instructors and students alike. Instructor support is presented in easy-to-navigate, easy-to-complete sections. It includes the popular *Connect* video shorts, step-by-step *Click through Guides*, and *First Day of Class* materials that explain how to use both the Connect platform and its course-specific tools and features.
- **Implementation Team**—Our team of Implementation Consultants are dedicated to working online with instructors—one-on-one—to demonstrate how the Connect platform works and to help incorporate Connect into a customer’s specific course design and syllabus. Contact your Learning Specialist to learn more.
- **Learning Specialists**—Learning Specialists are local resources who work closely with your McGraw-Hill learning technology consultants. They can provide face-to-face faculty support and training.
- **Digital Faculty Consultants**—Digital Faculty Consultants are experienced instructors who use Connect in their classrooms. These instructors are available to offer suggestions, advice, and training about how best to use Connect in your class. To request a Digital Faculty Consultant to speak with, please e-mail your McGraw-Hill learning technology consultant.
- **National Training Webinars**—McGraw-Hill offers an ongoing series of webinars for instructors to learn and master the Connect platform as well as its course-specific tools and features. We hope you will refer to our online schedule of national training webinars and sign up to learn more about Connect!

CONTACT OUR CUSTOMER SUPPORT TEAM

McGraw-Hill is dedicated to supporting instructors and students. To contact our customer support team, please call us at 800-331-5094 or visit us online at <http://mpss.mhhe.com/contact.php>

Changes to the New Edition: Highlights

The new edition maintains its commitment to enhancing students’ critical thinking and media literacy skills. New and updated material in this edition reflects the latest developments in new digital technologies and highlights the most current research in the field.

Chapter 1 Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy: New discussion of news literacy, fake news, and confirmation bias; new example regarding the significance of casting the first non-binary TV character; new discussion of the Nike/Colin Kaepernick controversy.

Chapter 2 Convergence and the Reshaping of Mass Communication: New Cultural Forum box discussion of government subsidy for journalism and the formation of Community Information Districts to revitalize local journalism.

Chapter 3 Books: New discussion of the popularity of audiobooks; added coverage of the growing public affection and use of public libraries and the Little Free Library movement,

as well as the “adaptation explosion” created by streaming networks; new Using Media to Make a Difference box on important books that changed American life.

Chapter 4 Newspapers: New Using Media to Make a Difference box on growing philanthropic support for saving journalism and newspapers; added discussion of the return of micropayments for individual articles and the gutting of local newspapers by vulture funds.

Chapter 5 Magazines: New Cultural Forum discussion of changes in women’s magazines post #MeToo to become more politically and socially conscious; added coverage of paid memberships, magazine brand licensing, and the marriage of augmented reality and QR codes.

Chapter 6 Film: New discussion of special-effects fatigue, what constitutes a “movie” as a case study on the disruption wrought by convergence, and movies based on intellectual property (IP).

Chapter 7 Radio, Recording, and Popular Music: New Cultural Forum box on the threat streaming poses to songwriters; added discussion of the explosion in the number of professionally produced podcasts and podcast series, the remarkable staying power of radio, streaming making up for loss of recording sales, and noncommercial FM stations hiring journalists; a new look at the growth of songwriting camps and artificial intelligence (AI) songwriting and what that means for the quality of contemporary music

Chapter 8 Television, Cable, and Mobile Video: New discussion of today’s “Era of Prime TV” (a new golden age of video) and the decline of cable subscriptions as everyone—including the traditional broadcast networks—is getting into streaming; additional Cultural Forum discussion on people’s willingness to cord-cut.

Chapter 9 Video Games: Expanded coverage of the video-game addiction debate; new discussion of big-budget AAA games, the obsolescence of the console, and the drive for in-game profits raising ethical issues, especially the collection of children’s data and the use of loot boxes.

Chapter 10 The Internet and Social Media: New discussion of the Internet Bill of Rights; new Using Media to Make a Difference box on the dissatisfaction with the Internet shared by users and expressed by Web and social networking pioneers; updated coverage on the Internet and hate, social networking and subjective well-being, connective and collective action, the European Union’s (EU) General Data Protection Regulation, Trump’s twitter feed as an official government source, facial recognition, and the growth of surveillance capitalism.

Chapter 11 Public Relations: Expanded discussion in the Cultural Forum box of maintaining an organization’s reputation and managing crisis in an era of ubiquitous smartphone video and social networking.

Chapter 12 Advertising: New discussion of the movement of online advertising away from “toxic content,” the growth of performance guarantees across all media, the growth of in-house agencies, consumer resistance to tracking, and frequency capping.

Chapter 13 Theories and Effects of Mass Communication: New Cultural Forum box about whether the media is liberal or not; introduction of second-order agenda setting; updated coverage of the positive effects of entertainment education and the parasocial contact hypothesis (increased racial and cultural understanding as audiences “meet” those unlike themselves).

Chapter 14 Media Freedom, Regulation, and Ethics: New discussion of the vanishing ombudsman and public editor position and the ethics of posting of mugshot galleries; new Cultural Forum discussion of banning hate sites from the Internet; new Making a Difference

box raising the question of whether or not journalists should be activists; updated coverage of evaluating news using ethical values.

Chapter 15 Global Media: New discussion of Great Britain's Independent Press Standards Organisation; new Making a Difference box on the logic of connective action; updated Cultural Forum discussion of the decline of U.S. ranking in global press freedom; new coverage of the debate over American tech giants participating in Chinese censorship of the Internet; new discussion of the logic of the hybridization hypothesis of globalization's influence on world cultures.

Acknowledgments

A project of this magnitude requires the assistance of many people. For this latest edition I benefited from several e-mails from readers—instructors and students—making suggestions and offering advice. This book is better for those exchanges. Rather than run the risk of failing to include one of these essential correspondents, I'll simply say, "Thanks. You know who you are."

Reviewers are an indispensable part of the creation of a good textbook. In preparing for the eleventh edition, I was again impressed with the thoughtful comments made by my colleagues in the field. Although I didn't know them by name, I found myself in long-distance, anonymous debate with several superb thinkers, especially about some of the text's most important concepts. Their collective keen eye and questioning attitude sharpened each chapter to the benefit of both writer and reader. Now that I know who they are, I would like to thank the reviewers by name.

James Burton, *Salisbury University*

Jason Genovese, *Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania*

Sidney Gottlieb, *Sacred Heart University*

Dr. M. Delwar Hossain, *University of South Alabama*

Elena Jarvis, *Daytona State College*

Dr. Edward Lordan, *West Chester University*

Jodi Hallsten Lyczak, *Illinois State University*

Libby McGlone, *Columbus State Community College*

Timothy Molina, *Northwest Vista College*

Katherine Spina, *Westchester Community College*

Margot A. Susca, *American University*

Chris Willis, *Chattanooga State Community College*

I would also like to thank the reviewers of the first 10 editions. **Tenth Edition Reviewers:** Shira Chess, The University of Georgia; Phillip Cunningham, Quinnipiac University; Robert F. Darden, Baylor University; Meredith Guthrie, University of Pittsburgh; Kelli Marshall, DePaul University; Elizabeth Behm-Morawitz, University of Missouri-Columbia; Pamela Hill Nettleton, Marquette University; Rick Stevens, University of Colorado Boulder; Matthew R. Turner, Radford University. **Ninth Edition Reviewers:** Cathy Ferrand Bullock, Utah State University; Alta Carroll, Worcester State University; Antoinette Countryman, McHenry County College; Adrienne E. Hacker Daniels, Illinois College; Lori Dann, Eastfield College; Jessica M. Farley, Delaware Technical Community College; Jeffrey Goldberg, Mass Bay Community College; Barbara J. Irwin, Canisius College; Christopher Leigh, University of Charleston; Katherine Lockwood, University of Tampa; Jodi Hallsten Lyczak, Illinois State University; Susan McGraw, Henry Ford College; Larry Moore, Auburn University at Montgomery; Travice Baldwin Obas, Georgia Highlands College-Cartersville; Luis Lopez-Preciado, Lasell College; Terri F. Reilly, Webster University; Joseph M. Sirianni, Niagara University; Sandra Luzzi Sneesby, Community College of Rhode Island; Martin D. Sommerness, Northern Arizona University; Pamela Stovall, University of New Mexico-Gallup; Joanne A. Williams, Olivet College; Joe Wisinski, University of Tampa; Robert Wuagneux, Castleton State College. **Eighth Edition Reviewers:** Lee Banville, University of

Montana; Rick Bebout, West Virginia University; Bob Britten, West Virginia University; Cathy Bullock, Utah State University; James Burton, Salisbury University; Yolanda Cal, Loyola University–New Orleans; Nathan Claes, State University of New York–Buffalo; Helen Fallon, Point Park University; Ray Fanning, University of Montana; Richard Ganahl, Bloomsburg University; Paul Hillier, University of Tampa; Daekyung Kim, Idaho State University; Charles Marsh, University of Kansas–Lawrence; Susan McGraw, Henry Ford Community College; Bob Mendenhall, Southwestern Adventist University; Bruce Mims, Southeast Missouri State University; Jensen Moore, West Virginia University; Timothy Pasch, University of North Dakota; Kenneth Ross, Eastern Connecticut State University; Siobhan Smith, University of Louisville; Jeff South, Virginia Commonwealth University; Richard Taflinger, Washington State University–Pullman; Clifford Vaughn, Belmont University; Kimberly Vaupel, Henry Ford Community College; Joe Wisinski, University of Tampa. **Seventh Edition Reviewers:** Kwasi Boateng, University of Arkansas at Little Rock; Mike Igoe, Buffalo State College; Joe Marre, Buffalo State College; Sonya Miller, University of North Carolina–Asheville; Yuri Obata, Indiana University–South Bend; Danny Shipka, Louisiana State University. **Sixth Edition Reviewers:** Chris Cakebread, Boston University; Cynthia Chris, College of Staten Island; Laurie Hayes Fluker, Texas State University; Jacob Podber, Southern Illinois University–Carbondale; Biswarup Sen, University of Oregon; Lisa A. Stephens, University of Buffalo; Denise Walters, Front Range Community College. **Fifth Edition Reviewers:** Jennifer Aubrey, University of Missouri; Michael Boyle, Wichita State University; Tim Coombs, Eastern Illinois University; Denise Danford, Delaware County Community College; Tim Edwards, University of Arkansas at Little Rock; Junhao Hong, State University of New York at Buffalo; Mark Kelly, University of Maine; Alyse Lancaster, University of Miami; Carol S. Lomick, University of Nebraska at Kearney; Susan Dawson-O’Brien, Rose State College; Alicia C. Shepard, University of Texas at Austin; Tamala Sheree Martin, Oklahoma State University; Stephen D. Perry, Illinois State University; Selene Phillips, University of Louisville. **Fourth Edition Reviewers:** Kristen Barton, Florida State University; Kenton Bird, University of Idaho; Katia G. Campbell, University of Colorado; Paul A. Creasman, Azusa Pacific University; Annette Johnson, Georgia State University; James Kelleher, New York University; Polly McLean, University of Colorado; Anthony A. Olorunnisola, Pennsylvania State University; Stephen D. Perry, Illinois State University; Michael Porter, University of Missouri; Stephen J. Resch, Indiana Wesleyan University; Christopher F. White, Sam Houston State University. **Third Edition Reviewers:** Roger Desmond, University of Hartford; Jules d’Hemecourt, Louisiana State University; Deborah A. Godwin-Starks, Indiana University–Purdue University Fort Wayne; Junhao Hong, State University of New York at Buffalo; Alyse Lancaster, University of Miami; Carol S. Lomicky, University of Nebraska at Kearney; Jenny L. Nelson, Ohio University; Enid Sefcovic, Florida Atlantic University; Kevin R. Slaughter, George Mason University; Terri Toles Patkin, Eastern Connecticut State University; David Whitt, Nebraska Wesleyan University; Gary J. Wingenbach, Texas A&M University. **Second Edition Reviewers:** Rob Bellamy, Duquesne University; Beth Grobman Burruss, DeAnza College; Stephen R. Curtis, Jr., East Connecticut State University; Lyombe Eko, University of Maine; Junhao Hong, State University of New York at Buffalo; Carol Liebler, Syracuse University; Robert Main, California State University, Chico; Stephen Perry, Illinois State University; Eric Pierson, University of San Diego; Ramona Rush, University of Kentucky; Tony Silvia, University of Rhode Island; and Richard Welch, Kennesaw State University. **First Edition Reviewers:** David Allen, Illinois State University; Sandra Braman, University of Alabama; Tom Grimes, Kansas State University; Kirk Hallahan, Colorado State University; Katharine Heintz-Knowles, University of Washington; Paul Husselbee, Ohio University; Seong Lee, Appalachian State University; Rebecca Ann Lind, University of Illinois at Chicago; Maclyn McClary, Humboldt State University; Guy Meiss, Central Michigan University; Debra Merskin, University of Oregon; Scott R. Olsen, Central Connecticut State University; Ted Pease, Utah State University; Linda Perry, *Florida Today* newspaper; Elizabeth Perse, University of Delaware; Tina Pieraccini, State University of New York–College at Oswego; Michael Porter, University of Missouri; Peter Pringle, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga; Neal Robison, Washington State University; Linda Steiner, Rutgers University; and Don Tomlinson, Texas A&M University.

The eleventh edition was written with the usual great support (and patience) of my McGraw-Hill Education team. The Internet may make producing a book more efficient, but it does have a big drawback—despite spending hundreds of hours “working together,” I have yet to meet my teammates face-to-face. This, certainly, is my loss. Still, I have had few better colleagues than Bridget Belfiore, Danielle Bennett, Lisa Brufloft, Mary Ellen Curley, Victoria DeRosa, Brianna Kirschbaum, Marianne Musni, Sarah Remington, Emily Windelborn, and Laura Young. An author cannot surround himself with better people than those McGraw-Hill Education has given me.

Finally, my most important inspiration throughout the writing of this book has been my family. My wife, Susan, is educated in media literacy and a strong disciple of spreading its lessons far and wide—which she does with zest. Her knowledge and assistance in my writing is invaluable; her love in my life is sustaining; her fire—for improved media literacy and for our marriage—is empowering. My children—Jordan and Matthew—simply by their existence require that I consider and reconsider what kind of world we will leave for them. I’ve written this text in the hope that it helps make the future for them and their friends better than it might otherwise have been.

S.J.B.

Eleventh Edition

Introduction to
Mass Communication
MEDIA LITERACY AND CULTURE



Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy

◀ We know the world, and the world knows us, through mass communication.

Sean Gallup/Getty Images

Learning Objectives

Mass communication, mass media, and the culture that shapes us (and that we shape) are inseparable. After studying this chapter, you should be able to

- ▶ Define *communication*, *mass communication*, *mass media*, and *culture*.
- ▶ Describe the relationships among communication, mass communication, culture, and those who live in the culture.
- ▶ Evaluate the impact of technology and economics on those relationships.
- ▶ List the components of media literacy.
- ▶ Identify key skills required for developing media literacy.

CHAPTER 1 Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy 3

- c 600 Wooden block printing press invented in China
- c 1000 The Chinese develop movable clay type
- c 1200 Simple movable metal printing press invented in Korea



- 1446 Gutenberg printing press perfected
- 1456 ▶ First Gutenberg Bible printed

1400




North Wind Picture Archives/Alamy Stock Photo

- 1800s Availability of printed materials spreads knowledge that leads to the Industrial Revolution; the Industrial Revolution leads to the creation of the first mass audiences
- 1830s Publishers begin selling newspapers for a penny and profiting from ad sales, selling readers rather than papers



1800




TJ Photography/PhotoEdit

- 1948 Harold Laswell defines communication in its simplest, linear form
- 1954 Osgood–Schramm model of communication and mass communication developed
- 1962 ▶ Marshall McLuhan publishes *The Gutenberg Galaxy* and argues the advent of print is the key to modern consciousness
- 1975 Carey's cultural definition of communication



1900



Showtime Networks/Photofest

- 2008 Art Silverblatt identifies elements of media literacy
- 2013 Term “binge viewing” enters mainstream use
- 2016 Annual global Internet traffic passed the zettabyte threshold
- 2017 ▶ First gender non-binary character on American TV appears in *Billions*
- 2018 ▶ Nike's Colin Kaepernick ad



2000



Robert Alexander/Archive Photos/ Getty Images

YOUR SMARTPHONE'S RADIO ALARM SINGS YOU AWAKE. It's Drake, the last few bars of "God's Plan." The upbeat DJ shouts at you that it's 7:41 and you'd better get going. But before you do, she adds, listen to a few words from your friends at Best Buy electronics, home of fast, friendly, courteous service—"Expert Service. Unbeatable Price!"

Before you get up, though, you take a quick pass at Facebook and Instagram. But you have to get going now. In the living room, you find your roommate has left the television on. You stop for a moment and listen: Interest rates are rising, which may affect the availability of student loans; several states are considering providing free high-speed Internet to all students to improve their access to the digital world; a massive storm, not yet a hurricane, is working its way up the coast; and you deserve a break today at McDonald's. As you head toward the bathroom, your bare feet slip on some magazines littering the floor—*Wired*, *Fast Company*, *People*. You need to talk to your roommate about cleaning up!

After showering, you quickly pull on your Levi's, lace up your Nike cross-trainers, and throw on an Under Armour jacket. No time for breakfast; you grab a Nature Valley granola bar and your tablet and head for the bus stop. As the bus rolls up, you can't help but notice the giant ad on its side: another *Transformers* movie. Rejecting that as a film choice for the weekend, you sit down next to a teenager listening to music on his Beats headphones and playing a video game. You fire up your tablet and bury yourself in Instagram, Twitter, and your favorite news app, scanning the lead stories and the local news and then checking out *Zits* and *Garfield*.

Hopping off the bus at the campus stop, you run into your friend Chris. You walk to class together, talking about last night's *The Walking Dead* episode. It's not yet 9:00, and already you're involved in mass communication. In fact, like 80% of smartphone owners, you're involved with media first thing in the morning, checking your device even before brushing your teeth (Fullerton, 2017).

In this chapter we define *communication*, *interpersonal communication*, *mass communication*, *media*, and *culture* and explore the relationships among them and how they define us and our world. We investigate how communication works, how it changes when technology is introduced into the process, and how differing views of communication and mass communication can lead to different interpretations of their power. We also discuss the opportunities mass communication and culture offer us and the responsibilities that come with those opportunities. Always crucial, these issues are of particular importance now, when we find ourselves in a period of remarkable development in new communication technologies. This discussion inevitably leads to an examination of media literacy, its importance, and its practice.

What Is Mass Communication?

"Does a fish know it's wet?" influential cultural and media critic Marshall McLuhan would often ask. The answer, he would say, is "No." The fish's existence is so dominated by water that only when water is absent is the fish aware of its condition.

So it is with people and mass media. The media so fully saturate our everyday lives that we are often unconscious of their presence, not to mention their influence. Media inform us, entertain us, delight us, annoy us. They move our emotions, challenge our intellects, insult our intelligence. Media often reduce us to mere commodities for sale to the highest bidder. Media help define us; they shape our realities.

A fundamental theme of this book is that media do none of this alone. They do it *with* us as well as *to* us through mass communication, and they do it as a central—many critics and scholars say *the* central—cultural force in our society.


Communication Defined

In its simplest form, **communication** is the transmission of a message from a source to a receiver. For more than 70 years now, this view of communication has been identified with the writing of political scientist Harold Lasswell (1948). He said that a convenient way to describe communication is to answer these questions:

- *Who?*
- *Says what?*

- Through *which* channel?
- To *whom*?
- With *what effect*?

Expressed in terms of the basic elements of the communication process, communication occurs when a source sends a message through a medium to a receiver, producing some effect (Figure 1.1).




◀ **Figure 1.1** The Basic Communication Process.

This idea is straightforward enough, but what if the source is a professor who insists on speaking in a technical language far beyond the receiving students' level of skill? Obviously, communication does not occur. Unlike mere message-sending, communication requires the response of others. Therefore, there must be a *sharing* (or *correspondence*) of meaning for communication to take place.

A second problem with this simple model is that it suggests that the receiver passively accepts the source's message. However, if our imaginary students do not comprehend the professor's words, they respond with "Huh?" or look confused or yawn. This response, or **feedback**, is also a message. The receivers (the students) now become a source, sending their own message to the source (the offending professor), who is now a receiver. Hence, communication is a *reciprocal* and *ongoing process* with all involved parties more or less engaged in creating shared meaning. Communication, then, is better defined as *the process of creating shared meaning*.

Communication researcher Wilbur Schramm, using ideas originally developed by psychologist Charles E. Osgood, developed a graphic way to represent the reciprocal nature of communication (Figure 1.2). This depiction of **interpersonal communication**—communication between two or a few people—shows that there is no clearly identifiable source or receiver. Rather, because communication is an ongoing and reciprocal process, all the participants, or "interpreters," are working to create meaning by **encoding** and **decoding** messages. A message is first *encoded*, that is, transformed into an understandable sign and symbol system. Speaking is encoding, as are writing, printing, and filming a television program. Once received, the message is *decoded*; that is, the signs and symbols are interpreted. Decoding occurs through listening, reading, or watching that television show.

The Osgood-Schramm model demonstrates the ongoing and reciprocal nature of the communication process. There is, therefore, no source, no receiver, and no feedback. The



◀ **Figure 1.2** Osgood and Schramm's Model of Communication.

Source: Schramm, Wilbur Lang, *The Process and Effects of Mass Communication*. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press, 1954, 586.

reason is that, as communication is happening, both interpreters are simultaneously source and receiver. There is no feedback because all messages are presumed to be in reciprocation of other messages. Even when your friend starts a conversation with you, for example, it can be argued that it was your look of interest and willingness that communicated to her that she should speak. In this example, it is improper to label either you or your friend as the source—who really initiated this chat?—and, therefore, it is impossible to identify who is providing feedback to whom.


Not every model can show all aspects of a process as complex as communication. Missing from this representation is **noise**—anything that interferes with successful communication. Noise is more than screeching or loud music when you are trying to work online. Biases that lead to incorrect decoding, for example, are noise, as is a page torn out of a magazine article you want to read or that spiderweb crack in your smartphone’s screen.

Encoded messages are carried by a **medium**, that is, the means of sending information. Sound waves are the medium that carries our voice to friends across the table; the telephone is the medium that carries our voice to friends across town. When the medium is a technology that carries messages to a large number of people—as the Internet carries text, sounds, and images and radio conveys the sound of news and music—we call it a **mass medium** (the plural of medium is *media*). The mass media we use regularly include radio, television, books, magazines, newspapers, movies, sound recordings, and computer networks. Each medium is the basis of a giant industry, but other related and supporting industries also serve them and us—advertising and public relations, for example. In our culture we use the words *media* and *mass media* interchangeably to refer to the communication industries themselves. We say, “The media entertain” or “The mass media are too conservative (or too liberal).”

Mass Communication Defined

We speak, too, of mass communication. **Mass communication** is the process of creating shared meaning between the mass media and their audiences. Schramm recast his and Osgood’s general model of communication to help us visualize the particular aspects of the mass communication process (Figure 1.3). This model and the original Osgood–Schramm model have much in common—interpreters, encoding, decoding, and messages—but it is their differences that are most significant for our understanding of how mass communication differs from other forms of communication. For example, whereas the original model includes “message,” the mass communication model offers “many identical messages.” In addition, the mass communication model specifies “feedback,” whereas the interpersonal communication model does not. When two or a few people communicate face-to-face, the participants can immediately and clearly recognize the feedback residing in the reciprocal

► **Figure 1.3** Schramm’s Model of Mass Communication.
 Source: Schramm, Wilbur Lang, *The Process and Effects of Mass Communication*. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press, 1954, 586.



messages (our boring professor can see and hear the students' disenchantment as they listen to the lecture). Things are not nearly as simple in mass communication.

In Schramm's mass communication model, feedback is represented by a dotted line labeled "delayed **inferential feedback**." This feedback is indirect rather than direct. Television executives, for example, must wait a day, at the very minimum, and sometimes a week or a month, to discover the ratings for new programs. Even then, the ratings measure only how many sets are tuned in, not whether people liked or disliked the programs. As a result, these executives can only infer what they must do to improve programming, hence the term *inferential feedback*. Mass communicators are also subject to additional feedback, usually in the form of criticism in other media, such as a television critic writing a column in a newspaper.

The differences between the individual elements of interpersonal and mass communication change the very nature of the communication process. How those alterations influence the message itself and how the likelihood of successfully sharing meaning differs are shown in Figure 1.4. For example, the immediacy and directness of feedback in interpersonal communication free communicators to gamble, to experiment with different approaches. Their knowledge of one another enables them to tailor their messages as narrowly as they wish. As a result, interpersonal communication is often personally relevant and possibly even adventurous and challenging. In contrast, the distance between participants in the mass communication process, imposed by the technology, creates a sort of "communication conservatism." Feedback comes too late to enable corrections or alterations in communication that fails. The sheer number of people in many mass communication audiences makes personalization and specificity difficult. As a result, mass communication tends to be more constrained, less free. This does not mean, however, that it is less potent than interpersonal communication in shaping our understanding of ourselves and our world.

Media theorist James W. Carey (1975) recognized this and offered a **cultural definition of communication** that has had a profound impact on the way communication scientists and others have viewed the relationship between communication and culture. Carey wrote, "Communication is a symbolic process whereby reality is produced, maintained, repaired and transformed" (p. 10).

Carey's (1989) definition asserts that communication and reality are linked. Communication is a process embedded in our everyday lives that informs the way we perceive, understand, and construct our view of reality and the world. Communication is the foundation of our culture. Its truest purpose is to maintain ever-evolving, "fragile" cultures; communication is that "sacred ceremony that draws persons together in fellowship and commonality" (p. 43).

What Is Culture?

Culture is the learned behavior of members of a given social group. Many writers and thinkers have offered interesting expansions of this definition. Here are four examples, all from anthropologists. These definitions highlight not only what culture *is* but also what culture *does*:

Culture is the learned, socially acquired traditions and lifestyles of the members of a society, including their patterned, repetitive ways of thinking, feeling, and acting. (Harris, 1983, p. 5)

Culture lends significance to human experience by selecting from and organizing it. It refers broadly to the forms through which people make sense of their lives, rather than more narrowly to the opera or art of museums. (Rosaldo, 1989, p. 26)

Culture is the medium evolved by humans to survive. Nothing is free from cultural influences. It is the keystone in civilization's arch and is the medium through which all of life's events must flow. We are culture. (Hall, 1976, p. 14)

Culture is an historically transmitted pattern of meanings embodied in symbolic forms by means of which [people] communicate, perpetuate, and develop their knowledge about and attitudes toward life. (Geertz, as cited in Taylor, 1991, p. 91)

8 PART 1 Laying the Groundwork



Interpersonal Communication

You invite a friend to lunch.

Mass Communication

Idiot Box Productions produces *The Walking Dead*.

	Nature	Consequences	Nature	Consequences
Message	Highly flexible and alterable	You can change it in midstream. If feedback is negative, you can offer an alternative. Is feedback still negative? Take a whole new approach.	Identical, mechanically produced, simultaneously sent Inflexible, unalterable The completed <i>The Walking Dead</i> episode that is aired	Once production is completed, <i>The Walking Dead</i> cannot be changed. If a plotline or other communicative device isn't working with the audience, nothing can be done.
Interpreter A	One person—in this case, you	You know your mind. You can encode your own message to suit yourself, your values, and your likes and dislikes.	A large, hierarchically structured organization—in this case, Idiot Box Productions and the AMC television network	Who really is Interpreter A? Production's executives? The writers? The director? The actors? The network and its standards and practices people? The sponsors? All must agree, leaving little room for individual vision or experimentation.
Interpreter B	One or a few people, usually in direct contact with you and, to a greater or lesser degree, known to you—in this case, your friend	You can tailor your message specifically to Interpreter B. You can make relatively accurate judgments about B because of information present in the setting. Your friend is a vegetarian; you don't suggest a steak house.	A large, heterogeneous audience known to Interpreter A only in the most rudimentary way, little more than basic demographics—in this case, several million viewers of <i>The Walking Dead</i>	Communication cannot be tailored to the wants, needs, and tastes of all audience members or even those of all members of some subgroup. Some more or less generally acceptable standard is set.
Feedback	Immediate and direct yes or no response	You know how successful your message is immediately. You can adjust your communication on the spot to maximize its effectiveness.	Delayed and inferential Even overnight ratings are too late for this episode of <i>The Walking Dead</i> . Moreover, ratings are limited to telling the number of sets tuned in.	Even if the feedback is useful, it is too late to be of value for this episode. In addition, it doesn't suggest how to improve the communication effort.
Result	Flexible, personally relevant, possibly adventurous, challenging, or experimental		Constrained by virtually every aspect of the communication situation A level of communication most likely to meet the greatest number of viewers' needs A belief that experimentation is dangerous A belief that to challenge the audience is to risk failure	

▲ **Figure 1.4** Elements of Interpersonal Communication and Mass Communication Compared.

(photo left) Image Source; (photo right) AF archive/Alamy Stock Photo

Culture as Socially Constructed Shared Meaning

Virtually all definitions of culture recognize that culture is *learned*. Recall the opening vignette. Even if this scenario does not exactly match your early mornings, you probably recognize its elements. Moreover, all of us are familiar with most, if not every, cultural reference in it. *Transformers*, *The Walking Dead*, McDonald's, Under Armour, *Garfield*—all are points of reference, things that have some meaning for all of us. How did this come to be?

Creation and maintenance of a more or less common culture occurs through communication, including mass communication. When we talk to our friends, when a parent raises a child, when religious leaders instruct their followers, when teachers teach, when grandparents pass on recipes, when politicians campaign, and when media professionals produce content that we read, listen to, or watch, meaning is being shared and culture is being constructed and maintained.



▲ These images have meaning for all of us—meaning that is socially constructed through communication in our culture. How many can you recognize? What specific meaning or meanings does each have for you? How did you develop each meaning? How closely do you think your meanings match those of your friends? Of your parents? What value is there—if any—in having shared meaning for these things in our everyday lives?

(top left) ZUMA Press, Inc./Alamy Stock Photo; (top right) John Flournoy/McGraw-Hill Education; (bottom left) Joe Drivas/Getty Images; (bottom right) Rich Polk/Getty Images

Functions and Effects of Culture

Culture serves a purpose. It helps us categorize and classify our experiences; it helps define us, our world, and our place in it. In doing so, culture can have a number of sometimes conflicting effects.

LIMITING AND LIBERATING EFFECTS OF CULTURE A culture’s learned traditions and values can be seen as patterned, repetitive ways of thinking, feeling, and acting. Culture limits our options and provides useful guidelines for behavior. For example, when conversing, you do not consciously consider, “Now, how far away should I stand? Am I too close?” You simply stand where you stand. After a hearty meal with a friend’s family, you do not engage in mental self-debate, “Should I burp? Yes! No! Argghhh. . . .” Culture provides information that helps us make meaningful distinctions about right and wrong, appropriate and inappropriate, good and bad, attractive and unattractive, and so on. How does it do this?

Obviously, it does so through communication. Through a lifetime of communication, we have learned just what our culture expects of us. The two examples given here are positive results of culture’s limiting effects. But culture’s limiting effects can be negative, such as when we are unwilling or unable to move past patterned, repetitive ways of thinking, feeling, and acting or when we entrust our “learning” to teachers whose interests are selfish, narrow, or otherwise inconsistent with our own.

U.S. culture, for example, values thinness and beauty in women. How many women endure weeks of unhealthy diets and succumb to potentially dangerous surgical procedures in search of a body that for most is physically unattainable? How many women are judged by the men and other women around them for not conforming to our culture’s standards of thinness and beauty? Why is the expression “fat shaming” in our language? Why are 40% to 60% of girls aged 6 to 12 concerned about their weight or about becoming too fat? Why do 42% of girls in first, second, and third grade want to be thinner, and why are 81% of 10-year-olds—girls *and* boys—afraid of being overweight? Why do over one-half of teenage girls and nearly one-third of teenage boys use unhealthy weight control behaviors like skipping meals, fasting, smoking cigarettes, vomiting, and taking laxatives (National Eating Disorders Association, 2018)?

Now consider how this situation may have come about. Our parents did not bounce us on their knees when we were babies, telling us that thin was good and heavy was bad. Think back, though, to the stories you were told and the television shows and movies you watched growing up. The heroines (or, more often, the beautiful love interests of the heroes) were invariably tall, beautiful, and thin. The bad guys were usually mean and fat. From Disney’s depictions of Snow White, Cinderella, Belle, Jasmine, and Pocahontas to the impossible dimensions of most video-game and comic book heroines, the message is embedded in the conscious (and unconscious) mind of every girl and boy: You can’t be too thin or too beautiful! As it is, 69% of women and 65% of girls cite constant pressure from advertising and media to reach unrealistic standards of beauty as a major factor fueling their anxiety about their appearance (Dove, 2016). And it does not help that these messages are routinely reinforced throughout the culture—for example, in the recent explosion of plastic surgery game apps that let kids do perfect nose jobs, perform cosmetic surgery, and give face-lifts, all with brightly colored graphics and questionable setups. One, before it was removed, offered, “This unfortunate girl has so much extra weight that no diet can help her. . . . We’ll need to make small cuts on problem areas and suck out the extra fat. Will you operate [on] her, doctor?” (Yan, 2018).

This message and millions of others come to us primarily through the media, and although the people who produce these media images are not necessarily selfish or mean, their motives are undeniably financial. Their contribution to our culture’s repetitive ways of thinking, feeling, and acting is most certainly not primary among their concerns when preparing their communication.

Culture need not only limit. The fact that media representations of female beauty often meet with debate and disagreement points out that culture can be liberating as well. This is so because cultural values can be *contested*. In fact, today, we’re just as likely to see strong, intelligent female characters who save the day, such as *Brave*’s Merida, *Mulan*’s Fa Mulan, and *Cloudy with a Chance of Meatballs*’s Sam Sparks, as we are movie princesses who need to be saved by the hero.

Especially in a pluralistic, democratic society such as ours, the **dominant culture** (or **mainstream culture**)—the one that seems to hold sway with the majority of people—is often openly challenged. People do meet, find attractive, like, and love people who do not fit the standard image of beauty. In addition, media sometimes present images that suggest different ideals of beauty and success. Actors Sofia Vergara, Dascha Polanco, and Mindy Kaling; singers/actors Beyoncé and Jennifer Lopez; and comedian Amy Schumer all represent alternatives to our culture’s idealized standards of beauty, and all have undeniable appeal (and power) on the big and small screens. Liberation from the limitations imposed by culture resides in our ability and willingness to learn and use *new* patterned, repetitive ways of thinking, feeling, and acting; to challenge existing patterns; and to create our own.



▲ Culture can be contested. Actor Asia Kate Dillon plays Taylor Mason in the hit HBO series *Billions*. They (Dillon’s preferred self-referencing pronoun) identify as non-binary, in stark contrast to the actors typically chosen for prime roles on big-budget TV shows. Dillon’s role on *Billions* is the first gender non-binary main character on North American television and challenges the culture’s everyday assumption of what a TV star should be and look like, as you can clearly see when looking at the casts of other video fare such as those of *Riverdale*, *The Good Place*, and *Chicago Fire*. *Showtime Networks/Photofest*

DEFINING, DIFFERENTIATING, DIVIDING, AND UNITING EFFECTS OF CULTURE

Have you ever made the mistake of calling a dolphin, porpoise, or even a whale a fish? Maybe you have heard others do it. This error occurs because when we think of fish, we think “lives in the water” and “swims.” Fish are defined by their “aquatic culture.” Because water-residing, swimming dolphins, porpoises, and whales share that culture, we sometimes forget that they are mammals, not fish.

We, too, are defined by our culture. We are citizens of the United States; we are Americans. If we travel to other countries, we will hear ourselves labeled “American,” and this label will conjure up stereotypes and expectations in the minds of those who use and hear it. The stereotype, whatever it may be, will probably fit us only incompletely, or perhaps hardly at all—perhaps we are dolphins in a sea full of fish. Nevertheless, being American defines us in innumerable important ways, both to others (more obviously) and to ourselves (less obviously).

12 PART 1 Laying the Groundwork



▲ *Riverdale*, *The Good Place*, and *Chicago Fire*—these three television programs are aimed at different audiences, yet in each the characters share certain traits that mark them as attractive. Must people in real life look like these performers to be considered attractive? Successful? Good? The people shown are all slender, tall, and attractive. Yes, they are just make-believe television characters, but the producers of the shows on which they appear chose these people—as opposed to others—for a reason. What do you think it was? How well do you measure up to the cultural standard of beauty and attractiveness represented here? Do you ever wish that you could be just a bit more like these people? Why or why not?

(top) The CW Television Network/Photofest; (bottom left) Fremulon, 3 Arts Entertainment, Universal Television/Album/Alamy Stock Photo; (bottom right) NBC/Photofest

Within this large, national culture, however, there are many smaller, **bounded cultures** (or **co-cultures**). For example, we speak comfortably of Italian neighborhoods, fraternity row, the South, and the suburbs. Because of our cultural understanding of these categories, each expression communicates something about our expectations of these places. We think we can

predict with a good deal of certainty the types of restaurants and shops we will find in the Italian neighborhood, even the kind of music we will hear escaping from open windows. We can predict the kinds of clothes and cars we will see on fraternity row, the likely behavior of shop clerks in the South, and the political orientation of the suburbs' residents. Moreover, the people within these cultures usually identify themselves as members of those bounded cultures. An individual may say, for example, "I'm Italian American" or "I'm from the South." These smaller cultures unite groups of people and enable them to see themselves as different from other groups around them. Thus culture also serves to differentiate us from others.

In the United States, we generally consider this a good thing. We pride ourselves on our pluralism, on our diversity, and on the richness of the cultural heritages represented within our borders. We enjoy moving from one bounded culture to another or from a bounded culture to the dominant national culture and back again.

Problems arise, however, when differentiation leads to division. All Americans are traumatized by horrific events such as the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, and the 2016 mass shooting at the Pulse nightclub in Orlando, but those tragedies are compounded for the millions of Muslim Americans whose patriotism is challenged simply because they belong to the same bounded cultures as the attackers in both tragedies. Not only have anti-Muslim hate crimes increased 99% between 2014 and 2016 with assaults reaching a record level in 2016 (Levin, Nolan, & Reitzel, 2018), but the Department of Homeland Security reports that incidents of Muslim American terrorism continue to be far less common than right-wing or antigovernment terrorism, and cooperation from the Muslim American community has been essential in its efforts to investigate domestic threats (Shane, 2015); still we continue to see examples of overt discrimination. For example, 61% of Muslims, a higher proportion than that of any other religious group, report experiencing religious discrimination, and 37% of Americans support the idea of a surveillance program targeting mosques in the United States (Mogahed & Chouhoud 2018). Muslim Americans' religion, skin color, and clothing "communicate" disloyalty to the United States to many of their fellow citizens. Just as culture is constructed and maintained through communication, it is also communication (or miscommunication) that turns differentiation into division.

Yet U.S. citizens of all colors, ethnicities, genders, nationalities, places of birth, economic strata, and intelligence levels often get along; in fact, we *can* communicate, *can* prosper, and *can* respect one another's differences. Culture can divide us, but culture also unites us. Our culture represents our collective experience. We converse easily with strangers because we share the same culture. We automatically know when a handshake is appropriate, use titles or first or last names appropriately, know how much to say, and know how much to leave unsaid. Through communication with people in our culture, we internalize cultural norms and values—those things that bind our many diverse bounded cultures into a functioning, cohesive society.

From this discussion comes the definition of culture on which the remainder of this book is based: Culture is the world made meaningful; it is socially constructed and maintained through communication. It limits as well as liberates us; it differentiates as well as unites us. It defines our realities and thereby shapes the ways we think, feel, and act.



▲ There is nothing about this young Muslim American woman that should "communicate disloyalty" to the United States, yet too many people cannot see beyond her bounded culture.

Alexandros Michailidis/Shutterstock

Mass Communication and Culture

Despite the fact that culture can limit and divide, it can also liberate and unite. As such, it offers us infinite opportunities to use communication for good—if we choose to do so. James Carey (1975) wrote:

Because we have looked at each new advance in communication technology as opportunities for politics and economics, we have devoted them, almost exclusively, to government and trade. We have rarely seen them as opportunities to expand [our] powers to learn and exchange ideas and experience. (pp. 20–21)

Who are “we” in this quote? *We* are the people involved in creating and maintaining the culture that defines us. *We* are the people involved in mass media industries and the people who compose their audiences. Together we allow mass communication not only to occur but also to contribute to the creation and maintenance of culture.

Everyone involved has an obligation to participate responsibly. For people working in the media industries, this means professionally and ethically creating and transmitting content. For audience members, it means behaving as critical and thoughtful consumers of that content. Two ways to understand our opportunities and our responsibilities in the mass communication process are to view the mass media as our cultural storytellers and to conceptualize mass communication as a cultural forum.

▼ Spurred by the media’s coverage of Colin Kaepernick, audiences pushed back, and new stories were told. The public debate over the “real” story of Mr. Kaepernick’s protests fueled and enriched an important conversation in the cultural forum.

Robert Alexander/Archive Photos/Getty Images



Mass Media as Cultural Storytellers

A culture’s values and beliefs reside in the stories it tells. Who are the good guys? Who are the bad guys? How many of your childhood heroines were even slightly overweight? How many good guys dressed in black? How many heroines lived happily ever after without marrying Prince Charming? Probably not very many. How many news accounts of demonstrations in our streets take the vantage point of the protesters? Again, probably not very many. Our stories help define our realities, shaping the ways we think, feel, and act. “Stories are sites of observations about self and society,” explains media theorist Hanno Hardt (2007, p. 476). The

media’s stories—real, imaginary, an intentional or unintentional mixture of the two—become the material for our cultural conversations. Therefore, the “storytellers” have a responsibility to tell their stories in as professional and ethical a way as possible.

At the same time, we, the audience for these stories, also have opportunities and responsibilities. We use these stories to learn about the world around us, to understand the values, the way things work, and how the pieces fit together. We have a responsibility to question the tellers and their stories, to interpret the stories in ways consistent with larger or more important cultural values and truths, to be thoughtful, and to reflect on the stories’ meanings and what they say about us and our culture. To do less is to miss an opportunity to construct our own meaning and, thereby, culture.

For example, in 2018, video-game maker EA Sports edited Colin Kaepernick’s name out of rap artist YG’s song “Big Bank,” heard on the *Madden NFL 19* soundtrack (Jones, 2018). A few months earlier, the ABC television network refused to air an episode of the show *Black-ish* that featured a family debate over whether Kaepernick and fellow NFL players should kneel during the national anthem played before games (Dicker, 2018). Kaepernick’s fans (many of whom agreed with him that NFL teams were conspiring to keep him unemployed because of his protest),

free-speech and civil rights activists, and thousands of others pushed back against the negative versions of the Kaepernick story, as well as media's attempts to erase it. They registered their disapproval on social media and in the press. Then, partly in response to the cultural debate surrounding Kaepernick's decision to protest police brutality, especially the shooting of young black men, sports apparel giant Nike made Kaepernick the face of its 30th anniversary "Just Do It" ad campaign. Nike told a different Colin Kaepernick story, debuting a two-minute commercial on the opening telecast of the professional football season, voiced entirely by Kaepernick and bearing the slogan "Believe in something. Even if it means sacrificing everything." Boycotts, online protests, and YouTube videos of shoe and jersey burnings were challenged by praise for Nike and Kaepernick (Fortin & Haag, 2018). The media told one version of the Kaepernick story, people demanded a different version, media responded, and the meaning making continued. Regardless of where you may stand on Mr. Kaepernick's protests, the culture was enriched by a deeper, more inclusive conversation about patriotism, protest, race, and policing.

Mass Communication as Cultural Forum


Imagine a giant courtroom in which we discuss and debate our culture—what it is, and what we want it to be. What do we think about welfare? Single motherhood? Labor unions? Nursing homes? What is the meaning of "successful," "good," "loyal," "moral," "honest," "beautiful," or "patriotic"? We have cultural definitions or understandings of all these things and more. Where do they come from? How do they develop, take shape, and mature?

Mass communication has become a primary forum for the debate about our culture. Logically, then, the most powerful voices in the forum have the most power to shape our definitions and understandings. Where should that power reside—with the media industries or with their audiences? If you answer "media industries," you will want members of these industries to act professionally and ethically. If you answer "audiences," you will want individual audience members to be thoughtful and critical of the media messages they consume. The forum is only as good, fair, and honest as those who participate in it.

Scope and Nature of Mass Media

No matter how we choose to view the process of mass communication, it is impossible to deny that an enormous portion of our lives is spent interacting with mass media. The average American household watches 8 hours and 55 minutes of TV per day; TV watching is the country's dominant leisure activity (Madrigal, 2018). People spend nearly half of their day, 11 hours and 6 minutes, interacting with media of some sort ("Time Flies," 2018). A large majority of TV viewers admit to **binge watching**, watching five or more episodes of a series in one sitting. As for those 18 to 29 years old, 76% stay up all night to do so; 51% will watch an entire new season of a show within 24 hours of its release; 45% cancel social plans to binge; and 42% will watch at work to finish a series (Feldman, 2018). On any given day on YouTube alone, people will watch more than a billion hours of video (Weprin, 2017). Ninety-three percent of Americans will tune in to the radio every week, logging 188,627,040,000 minutes ("As the Audio," 2018), and we spend more than \$11 billion a year at the movies, buying just over 1.24 billion tickets (Edelstein, 2018). If Facebook were a country, its 2.27 billion users would make it the largest in the world. Seventy-nine percent of online American adults are Facebook users. Since 2009 Facebook has been the most-visited website in the United States, accounting for 20% of all Internet page views in America (Noyes, 2018). Sixty-four percent of American households are home to at least one person who plays video games three or more hours a week, and 60% of Americans play video games every day (Entertainment Software Association, 2018).

More than 326 million North Americans, 89% of the population, use the Internet. Globally, 4.3 billion people are online, 56% of the world's people and a 1,104% increase since 2000 ("Internet Users," 2019). Annual global Internet traffic passed the zettabyte (that's one sextillion bytes) threshold in 2016 and will reach 3.3 zettabytes per year by 2021. Worldwide Internet traffic will increase fivefold over the next five years. Traffic from wireless and mobile devices will account for more than 63% of total Internet traffic by 2021. By 2021 nearly a



▲ **Figure 1.5** Average Daily Minutes an Adult Spends with Selected Media, 2018.

(alarm clock): Digital Vision/Photo-disc/Getty Images

Source: “Time flies: U.S. adults now spend nearly half a day interacting with media,” The Nielsen Company (US), LLC, July 31, 2018. www.nielsen.com.

million minutes of video content will cross the Internet every second, and it would take you more than 5 million years to watch all the video that will travel the Internet each month (Cisco Systems, 2018). You can see how Americans spend their time with media in Figure 1.5.

Despite the pervasiveness of mass media in our lives, many of us are dissatisfied with or critical of the media industries’ performance and much of the content provided. For example, only 45% of Americans report “a great deal” or “a fair amount” of trust in the media (Richter, 2018), and only 23% have “a great deal” or “quite a lot” of confidence in newspapers; the number is even lower for TV news at 20% (Gallup, 2018). Trust in the news media in general has declined over the last 10 years for 69% of American adults (Knight Foundation, 2018). Ninety-one percent think advertising has become more intrusive in the last few years (Nettles, 2018), and even advertising professionals hate commercials: 27% block digital ads, 79% skip TV commercials on their DVRs, and 98% stream ad-free content (Whitman, 2018).

Our ambivalence—we criticize, yet we consume—comes in part from our uncertainties about the relationships among the elements of mass communication. What is the role of technology? What is the role of money? And what is *our* role in the mass communication process?

Our ambivalence—we criticize, yet we consume—comes in part from our uncertainties about the relationships among the elements of mass communication. What is the role of technology? What is the role of money? And what is *our* role in the mass communication process?

The Role of Technology

To some thinkers, it is machines and their development that drive economic and cultural change. This idea is referred to as **technological determinism**. Certainly there can be no doubt that movable type contributed to the Protestant Reformation and the decline of the Catholic Church’s power in Europe or that television changed the way members of American families interact. Those who believe in technological determinism would argue that these changes in the cultural landscape were the inevitable result of new technology.

But others see technology as more neutral and claim that the way people *use* technology is what gives it significance. This perspective accepts technology as one of many factors that shape economic and cultural change; technology’s influence is ultimately determined by how much power it is given by the people and cultures that use it.

This disagreement about the power of technology is at the heart of the controversies that always seem to spring up with the introduction of new communication technologies. Are we more or less powerless in the wake of advances such as the Internet, the World Wide Web, and instant global audio and visual communication? If we are at the mercy of technology, the culture that surrounds us will not be of our making, and the best we can hope to do is make our way reasonably well in a world outside our control. But if these technologies are indeed neutral and their power resides in *how* we choose to use them, we can utilize them responsibly and thoughtfully to construct and maintain whatever kind of culture we want. As film director and technophile Steven Spielberg explained, “Technology can be our best friend, and technology can also be the biggest party pooper of our lives. It interrupts our own story, interrupts our ability to have a thought or daydream, to imagine something wonderful because we’re too busy bridging the walk from the cafeteria back to the office on the cell phone” (quoted in Kennedy, 2002, p. 109). Or, as the character Dr. Ian Malcolm (played by Jeff Goldblum) said in Spielberg’s 1997 *The Lost World: Jurassic Park*, “Oooh! Ahhh! That’s how it always starts. Then later there’s running and screaming.”

Technology does have an impact on communication. At the very least it changes the basic elements of communication (see Figure 1.4). But what technology does not do is relieve us of our obligation to use mass communication responsibly and wisely.

The Role of Money

Money, too, alters communication. It shifts the balance of power; it tends to make audiences products rather than consumers.

The first newspapers were financially supported by their readers; the money they paid for the paper covered its production and distribution. But in the 1830s a new form of newspaper financing emerged. Publishers began selling their papers for a penny—much less than it cost to produce and distribute them. Because so many more papers were sold at this bargain price, publishers could “sell” advertising space based on their readership. However, what they were actually selling to advertisers was not space on the page—it was readers. How much they could charge advertisers was directly related to how much product (how many readers) they could produce for them.

This new type of publication changed the nature of mass communication. The goal of the process was no longer for audience and media to create meaning together. Rather, it was to sell those readers to a third participant: advertisers.

Some observers think this was a devastatingly bad development, not only in the history of mass communication but also in the history of democracy. It robbed people of their voices, or at least made the voices of the advertisers more powerful. Others think it was a huge advance for both mass communication and democracy because it vastly expanded the media, broadening and deepening communication. Models showing these two different ways of viewing mass communication are presented in the box “Audience as Consumer or Audience as Product?”

People base their judgments of media performance and content on the way they see themselves fitting into the economics of the media industry. Businesses operate to serve their consumers and make a profit. The consumer comes first, then, but who *is* the consumer in our mass media system? This is a much-debated issue

CULTURAL FORUM

Audience as Consumer or Audience as Product?

among media practitioners and media critics. Consider the following models.

BUSINESS MODEL	PRODUCER	PRODUCT	CONSUMERS
Basic U.S. Business Model	A manufacturer . . .	produces a product . . .	for consumers who choose to buy or not. The manufacturer must satisfy the consumer. Power resides here.
Basic U.S. Business Model for Cereal: Rice Krispies as Product, Public as Consumer	Kellogg’s . . .	produces Rice Krispies . . .	for us, the consumers. If we buy Rice Krispies, Kellogg’s makes a profit. Kellogg’s must satisfy the consumer. Power resides here.
Basic U.S. Business Model for Television (A): Audience as Product, Advertisers as Consumer	NBC . . .	produces audiences (using its programming) . . .	for advertisers. If they buy NBC’s audiences, NBC makes a profit from ad time. NBC must satisfy its consumers, the advertisers. Power resides here.
Basic U.S. Business Model for Television (B): Programming as Product, Audience as Consumer	NBC . . .	produces (or distributes) programming . . .	for us, the audience. If we watch NBC’s shows, NBC makes a profit from ad sales. NBC must satisfy its audience. Power resides here.

The first three models assume that the consumer *buys* the product; that is, the consumer is the one with the money and therefore the one who must be satisfied. The last model makes a different assumption. It sees the audience, even though it does not buy anything, as

sufficiently important to NBC’s profit-making ability to force NBC to consider the audience’s interests above others’ (even those of advertisers). Which model do you think best represents the economics of U.S. mass media?

Which model makes more sense to you? Which do you think is more accurate? ABC journalist Ted Koppel once explained to *The Washington Post*, “[Television] is an industry. It’s a business. We exist to make money. We exist to put commercials on the air. The programming that is put on between those commercials is simply the bait we put in the mousetrap” (in “Soundbites,” 2005, p. 2). Do you think Koppel is unnecessarily cynical, or is he correct in his analysis of television?

The goals of media professionals will be questioned repeatedly throughout this book. For now, keep in mind that ours is a capitalist economic system and that media industries are businesses. Movie producers must sell tickets, book publishers must sell books, and even public broadcasters have bills to pay.

This does not mean, however, that the media are or must be slaves to profit. Our task is to understand the constraints placed on these industries by their economics and then demand that, within those limits, they perform ethically and responsibly. We can do this only by being thoughtful, critical consumers of the media.

Mass Communication, Culture, and Media Literacy

Culture and communication are inseparable, and mass communication, as we’ve seen, is a particularly powerful, pervasive, and complex form of communication. Our level of skill in the mass communication process is therefore of utmost importance. This skill is not necessarily a simple one to master (it is much more than booting up the computer, turning on the television, or flipping through the pages of your favorite magazine). But it is, indeed, a learnable skill, one that can be practiced. This skill is **media literacy**—the ability to effectively and efficiently comprehend and use any form of mediated communication. But let’s start with the first mass medium, books, and the technology that enabled their spread, the printing press.

The Gutenberg Revolution

As it is impossible to overstate the importance of writing, so too is it impossible to overstate the significance of Johannes Gutenberg’s development of movable metal type. Historian S. H. Steinberg (1959) wrote in *Five Hundred Years of Printing*:

Neither political, constitutional, ecclesiastical, and economic, nor sociological, philosophical, and literary movements can be fully understood without taking into account the influence the printing press has exerted upon them. (p. 11)

Marshall McLuhan expressed his admiration for Gutenberg’s innovation by calling his 1962 book *The Gutenberg Galaxy*. In it he argued that the advent of print is the key to our modern consciousness because although **literacy**—the ability to effectively and efficiently comprehend and use written symbols—had existed since the development of the first alphabets more than 5,000 years ago, it was reserved for very few, the elites. Gutenberg’s invention was world-changing because it opened literacy to all; that is, it allowed *mass* communication.

THE PRINTING PRESS Printing and the printing press existed long before Gutenberg perfected his process in or around 1446. The Chinese were using wooden block presses as early as 600 C.E. and had movable clay type by 1000 C.E. A simple movable metal type was even in use in Korea in the 13th century. Gutenberg’s printing press was a significant leap forward, however, for two important reasons.

Gutenberg was a goldsmith and a metallurgist. He hit on the idea of using metal type crafted from lead molds in place of type made from wood or clay. This was an important advance. Not only was movable metal type durable enough to print page after page, but letters could be arranged and rearranged to make any message possible, and Gutenberg was able to produce virtually identical copies.

In addition, Gutenberg’s advance over Korean metal mold printing was one of scope. The Korean press was used to produce books for a very small, royal readership. Gutenberg saw his invention as a way to produce many books for profit. He was, however, a poor businessman.

He stressed quality over quantity, in part because of his reverence for the book he was printing, the Bible. He used the highest-quality paper and ink and turned out far fewer volumes than he otherwise could have.

Other printers, however, quickly saw the true economic potential of Gutenberg's invention. The first Gutenberg Bible appeared in 1456. By the end of that century, 44 years later, printing operations existed in 12 European countries, and the Continent was flooded with 20 million volumes of 7,000 titles in 35,000 different editions (Drucker, 1999).

THE IMPACT OF PRINT Although Gutenberg developed his printing press with a limited use in mind, printing Bibles, the cultural effects of mass printing have been profound.


Handwritten or hand-copied materials were expensive to produce, and the cost of an education, in time and money, had made reading an expensive luxury. However, with the spread of printing, written communication was available to a much larger portion of the population, and the need for literacy among the lower and middle classes grew. The ability to read became less of a luxury and more of a necessity; eventually literacy spread, as did education. Soldiers at the front needed to be able to read the emperor's orders. Butchers needed to understand the king's shopping list. So the demand for literacy expanded, and more (and more types of) people learned to read.

Tradespeople, soldiers, clergy, bakers, and musicians all now had business at the printer's shop. They talked. They learned of things, both in conversation and by reading printed material. As more people learned to read, new ideas germinated and spread, and cross-pollination of ideas occurred.

More material from various sources was published, and people were freer to read what they wanted when they wanted. Dominant authorities—the Crown and the Church—were now less able to control communication and, therefore, the people. New ideas about the world appeared; new understandings of the existing world flourished.

In addition, duplication permitted standardization and preservation. Myth and superstition began to make way for standard, verifiable bodies of knowledge. History, economics, physics, and chemistry all became part of the culture's intellectual life. Literate cultures were now on the road to modernization.

Printed materials were the first mass-produced product, speeding the development and entrenchment of capitalism. We live today in a world built on these changes. Use of the printing press helped fuel the establishment and growth of a large middle class. No longer must societies be composed of rulers and subjects; printing sped the rise of democracy. No longer were power and wealth solely functions of birth; power and wealth could now be created by the industrious. No longer was political discourse limited to accepting the dictates of Crown and Church; printing had given ordinary people a powerful voice.



▲ Johannes Gutenberg takes the first proof from his printing press.
North Wind Picture Archives



▲ This page from a Gutenberg Bible shows the exquisite care the printer used in creating his works. The artwork in the margins is hand painted, but the text is mechanically printed.
North Wind Picture Archives/Alamy Stock Photo

The Industrial Revolution

By the mid-18th century, printing and its libraries of science and mathematics had become powerful engines driving the Industrial Revolution. Print was responsible for building and disseminating bodies of knowledge, leading to scientific and technological developments and the refinement of new machines. In addition, industrialization reduced the time necessary to complete work, and this created something previously unknown to most working people—leisure time.

Industrialization had another effect as well. As workers left their sunrise-to-sunset jobs in agriculture, the crafts, and trades to work in the newly industrialized factories, not only did they have more leisure time, but they also had more money to spend on their leisure. Farmers, fishermen, and tile makers had to put their profits back into their jobs. But factory workers took their money home; it was spendable. Combine leisure time and expendable cash with the spread of literacy, and the result is a large and growing audience for printed *information* and *entertainment*. By the mid-19th century, a mass audience and the means to reach it existed.

Media Literacy

Television influences our culture in innumerable ways. One of its effects, according to many people, is that it has encouraged violence in our society. For example, American television viewers overwhelmingly say there is too much violence on television. Yet, almost without exception, the local television news program that has the largest proportion of violence in its nightly newscast is the ratings leader. “If it bleeds, it leads” has become the motto for much of local television news. It leads because people watch.

So, although many of us are quick to condemn improper media performance or to identify and lament its harmful effects, we rarely question our own role in the mass communication process. We overlook it because we participate in mass communication naturally, almost without conscious effort. We possess high-level interpretive and comprehension skills that make even the most sophisticated television show, movie, or magazine story understandable and enjoyable. We are able, through a lifetime of interaction with the media, to *read media texts*.

Media literacy is a skill we take for granted, but like all skills, it can be improved. And if we consider how important the mass media are in creating and maintaining the culture that helps define us and our lives, it is a skill that *must* be improved.

Hunter College media professor Stuart Ewen (2000) emphasized this point in comparing media literacy with traditional literacy. “Historically,” he wrote, “links between literacy and democracy are inseparable from the notion of an informed populace, conversant with the issues that touch upon their lives, enabled with tools that allow them to participate actively in public deliberation and social change. . . . Literacy was about crossing the lines that had historically separated men of ideas from ordinary people, about the enfranchisement of those who had been excluded from the compensations of citizenship” (p. 448). To Ewen, and others committed to media literacy, media literacy represents no less than the means to full participation in the culture.

Elements of Media Literacy

Media scholar Art Silverblatt (2008) identifies seven fundamental elements of media literacy. To these we will add an eighth. Media literacy includes these characteristics:

1. *A critical thinking skill enabling audience members to develop independent judgments about media content.* Thinking critically about the content we consume is the very essence of media literacy. Why do we watch what we watch, read what we read, listen to what we listen to? Is that story you saw on Twitter real? If we cannot answer these questions, we have taken no responsibility for ourselves or our choices. As such, we have taken no responsibility for the outcome of those choices.
2. *An understanding of the process of mass communication.* If we know the components of the mass communication process and how they relate to one another, we can form

expectations of how they can serve us. How do the various media industries operate? What are their obligations to us? What are the obligations of the audience? How do different media limit or enhance messages? Which forms of feedback are most effective, and why?

3. *An awareness of the impact of media on the individual and society.* Writing and the printing press helped change the world and the people in it. Mass media do the same. If we ignore the impact of media on our lives, we run the risk of being caught up and carried along by that change rather than controlling or leading it.
4. *Strategies for analyzing and discussing media messages.* To consume media messages thoughtfully, we need a foundation on which to base thought and reflection. If we make meaning, we must possess the tools with which to make it (for example, understanding the intent and impact of film and video conventions, such as camera angles and lighting, or the strategy behind the placement of images on a newspaper's website). Otherwise, meaning is made for us; the interpretation of media content will then rest with its creator, not with us.
5. *An understanding of media content as a text that provides insight into our culture and our lives.* How do we know a culture and its people, attitudes, values, concerns, and myths? We know them through communication. For modern cultures like ours, media messages increasingly dominate that communication, shaping our understanding of and insight into our culture.
6. *The ability to enjoy, understand, and appreciate media content.* Media literacy does not mean living the life of a grump, liking nothing in the media, or always being suspicious of harmful effects and cultural degradation. We take high school and college classes to enhance our understanding and appreciation of novels; we can do the same for media texts.

Learning to enjoy, understand, and appreciate media content includes the ability to use **multiple points of access**—to approach media content from a variety of directions and derive from it many levels of meaning. Thus, we control meaning making for our own enjoyment or appreciation. For example, we can enjoy the hit show *The Handmaid's Tale* on Hulu as an action-laden adventure full of intrigue, danger, and romance, perfect for binge watching. But as TV buffs we might see it as a feminist manifesto, a story of an oppressed woman taking on a powerful man. Or we might read it as a cautionary tale for what might happen in America if women lose the right to control their bodies. Maybe it's a history lesson disguised as dystopian fiction, reminding us that women have always had to fight for their rightful place in society. Or maybe it's just a fun way to spend a Saturday night, entertained by the same streaming video industry that so delights us with other prestige programming, such as *Orange Is the New Black*, *13 Reasons Why*, and *Stranger Things*.

In fact, television programs such as *Black-ish*, *The Daily Show*, *The Simpsons*, *Game of Thrones*, and *Family Guy* are specifically constructed to appeal to the media literacy skills of sophisticated viewers while providing entertaining fare for less-skilled consumers. *Black-ish* and *The Daily Show* are produced as television comedies designed to make people laugh. However, they are also intentionally produced to provide more sophisticated, media-literate viewers with opportunities to make personally interesting or relevant meaning. Anyone can laugh while watching these programs, but some people can empathize with the daily travails of an upper-middle-class African American family working to deal with race while they pursue the American Dream (*Black-ish*), or they can examine the failings and foibles of contemporary politics and journalism (*The Daily Show*).

7. *Development of effective and responsible production skills.* Traditional literacy assumes that people who can read can also write. Media literacy also makes this assumption. Our definition of literacy (of either type) calls not only for effective and efficient comprehension of content but also for its effective and efficient *use*. Therefore, media-literate individuals should develop production skills that enable them to create useful media messages. If you have ever tried to make a narrative home video—one that tells a story—you know that producing content is much more difficult than consuming it. If you have ever posted to Snapchat or Instagram or uploaded a video to

YouTube, you are indeed a media content producer; why not be a good media content producer?

8. *An understanding of the ethical and moral obligations of media practitioners.* To make informed judgments about the performance of the media, we also must be aware of the competing pressures on practitioners as they do their jobs. We must understand the media's official and unofficial rules of operation. In other words, we must know, respectively, their legal and ethical obligations. Return, for a moment, to the question of televised violence. It is legal for a station to air graphic violence. But is it ethical? If it is unethical, what power, if any, do we have to demand its removal from our screens? Dilemmas such as this are discussed at length in Chapter 14.

► *Family Guy* has all the things you would expect from a television situation comedy—an inept dad, a precocious daughter, a slacker son, a loving wife, and zany situations. Yet it also offers an intellectual, philosopher dog and an evil-genius, scheming baby. Why do you think the producers have gone to the trouble to populate this show with the usual trappings of a sitcom but then add other, bizarre elements? And what's going on in *The Handmaid's Tale*? Is it an action-laden adventure full of intrigue, danger, and romance? A feminist manifesto? A history lesson disguised as dystopian fiction. Or maybe it's just a fun way to spend a Saturday night binge watching.

(top) FOX/Getty Images; (bottom) Calla Kessler for The Washington Post/Getty Images



Media Literacy Skills

Consuming media content is simple. Push a button and you have images on a television or music on your car radio. Come up with enough cash and you can see a movie or buy an e-book. Media-literate consumption, however, requires a number of specific skills.

1. *The ability and willingness to make an effort to understand content, to pay attention, and to filter out noise.* As we saw earlier, anything that interferes with successful communication is called noise, and much of the noise in the mass communication process results from our own consumption behavior. When we watch television, often we are also doing other things, such as eating, reading, or checking Instagram. We drive while we listen to the radio. We text while we read. Obviously, the quality of our meaning making is related to the effort we give it.
2. *An understanding of and respect for the power of media messages.* We are surrounded by mass media from the moment we are born. Just about every one of us can enjoy them. Their content is either free or relatively inexpensive. Much of the content is banal and a bit silly, so it is easy to dismiss media content as beneath serious consideration or too simple to have any influence.

We also disregard media's power through the **third-person effect**—the common attitude that others are influenced by media messages but that we are not. That is, we are media literate enough to understand the influence of mass communication on the attitudes, behaviors, and values of others but not self-aware or honest enough to see its influence on our lives.

3. *The ability to distinguish emotional from reasoned reactions when responding to content and to act accordingly.* Media content is often designed to touch us at the emotional level. We enjoy losing ourselves in a good song or in a well-crafted movie or television show; this is among our great pleasures. But because we react emotionally to these messages does not mean they don't have serious meanings and implications for our lives. Television images, for example, are intentionally shot and broadcast for their emotional impact. Reacting emotionally is appropriate and proper. But then what? What do these images tell us about the larger issue at hand? We can use our feelings as a point of departure for meaning making. We can ask, "Why does this content make me feel this way?"
4. *The development of heightened expectations of media content.* We all use media to tune out, waste a little time, and provide background noise. When we decide to watch television, we are more likely to turn on the set and flip channels until we find something passable than we are to read the listings to find a specific program to view. When we search for online video, we often settle for the "10 most shared today," or we let Netflix choose for us. When we expect little from the content before us, we tend to give meaning making little effort and attention.
5. *A knowledge of genre conventions and the ability to recognize when they are being mixed.* The term **genre** refers to the categories of expression within the different media, such as "evening news," "documentary," "horror movie," or "entertainment magazine." Each genre is characterized by certain distinctive, standardized style elements—the **conventions** of that genre. The conventions of the evening news, for example, include a short, upbeat introductory theme and one or two good-looking people sitting at a large, modern desk. When we hear and see these style elements, we expect the evening news. We can tell a documentary film from an entertainment movie by its more serious tone and a number of talking heads. We know by their appearance—the use of color, the types of images, and the amount of text on the cover—which magazines offer serious reading and which provide entertainment.

Knowledge of these conventions is important because they cue or direct our meaning making. For example, we know to accept the details in a documentary film about the first moon landing as more credible than those found in *First Man*, the 2018 Hollywood movie about the life of astronaut Neil Armstrong.

This skill is also important for another reason. Sometimes, in an effort to maximize audiences (and therefore profits) or for creative reasons, media content makers mix genre conventions. Is *Deepwater Horizon* fact or fiction? Is Meredith Vieira a journalist, a talk show host, or a showperson? *Extra!* and *E! News* look much like CNN's reporting

and the *CBS Evening News*. Reading media texts becomes more difficult as formats are co-opted.

6. *The ability to think critically about media messages, no matter how credible their sources.* It is crucial that media be credible in a democracy in which the people govern because the media are central to the governing process. This is why the news media are sometimes referred to as the fourth branch of government, complementing the executive, judicial, and legislative branches. This does not mean, however, that we should accept uncritically everything they report. But it is often difficult to arrive at the proper balance between wanting to believe and accepting what we see and hear unquestioningly, especially when frequently we are willing to suspend disbelief and are encouraged by the media themselves to see their content as real and credible.

But media-literate people know not to discount *all* news media; they must be careful to avoid the **hostile media effect**, the idea that people see media coverage of important topics of interest as less sympathetic to their position, more sympathetic to the opposing position, and generally hostile to their point of view regardless of the quality of the coverage (Tsfati & Cohen, 2013). There are indeed very good media sources, just as there are those not deserving of our respect. Media literacy, as you'll read throughout this text, helps us make that distinction.

As of late, a new form of media literacy, *news literacy*, has become indispensable for interaction with seemingly credible online news. The Internet has made possible the wide spread of **fake news**, intentionally and verifiably false news stories designed to be spread and to deceive. Disguised to appear authentic, its real intention is to sow confusion and damage political discourse. Fake news is successful because its arresting headlines easily catch our attention and because our own **confirmation bias**, our tendency to accept information that confirms our beliefs and dismiss information that does not, encourages us to pass it on with little evaluation. How do we combat fake news? *First, vet the publisher's credibility.* Does the report meet traditional journalistic standards of evidence and corroboration? Has the author published anything else? What's the domain name? Check out the "About Us" page for indicators of bias. *Second, pay attention to quality and timeliness.* Is the story current, or is it recycled? Are there a lot of spelling errors, ALL CAPS, or dramatic punctuation????!! *Third, check sources and citations.* How did you come upon the article? Who is or is not quoted? Is there supporting information on other sites? Can you perform reverse searches for sources and images? *Finally, ask a pro.* There are several good fact-checking sites such as FactCheck.org, International Fact-Checking Network, PolitiFact, and Snopes (Nagler, 2018).

7. *A knowledge of the internal language of various media and the ability to understand its effects, no matter how complex.* Just as each media genre has its own distinctive style and conventions, each medium also has its own specific internal language. This language is expressed in **production values**—the choice of lighting, editing, special effects, music, camera angle, location on the page, and size and placement of headlines. To be able to read a media text, you must understand its language. We learn the grammar of this language as early as childhood—for example, we know that when the television image goes "all woozy," the character is dreaming.

Let's consider two versions of the same movie scene. In the first, a man is driving a car. Cut to a woman lying tied up on a railroad track. What is the relationship between the man and the woman? Where is he going? With no more information than these two shots, you know automatically that he cares for her and is on his way to save her. Now, here is the second version. The man is driving the car. Fade to black. Fade back up to the woman on the tracks. Now what is the relationship between the man and the woman? Where is he going? It is less clear that these two people even have anything to do with each other. We construct completely different meanings from exactly the same two scenes because the punctuation (the quick cut/fade) differs.

Media texts tend to be more complicated than these two scenes. The better we can handle their grammar, the more we can understand and appreciate texts. The more we understand texts, the more we can be equal partners with media professionals in meaning making.



◀ *The Daily Show with Trevor Noah* offers all the conventions we'd expect from the news—background digital graphics, an anchor behind his desk, and a well-known interviewee. But it also contains conventions we'd expect from a comedy program—a satirist as host and an unruly, loud audience. Why does this television show mix the conventions of these two very different genres? Does your knowledge of those conventions add to your enjoyment of this hit program? Jason Kempin/Getty Images Entertainment/Getty Images

MEDIA LITERACY CHALLENGE

Recognizing Cultural Values



Media-literate people develop *an understanding of media content as a text that provides insight into our culture and our lives*, and they have *an awareness of the impact of media on the individual and society*. So, challenge your own media literacy skills. You can do this exercise with a parent or another person older than you, or you can speculate after using the Internet to view movies and television shows from 20 years ago. Compare your childhood heroes and heroines with those of someone older. What differences are there between the generations in what you consider heroic qualities? What are some similarities and differences between the heroic qualities you and people from an earlier generation identify? Are the good qualities of your personal heroes something you can find in today's movies or TV? If so, where on TV or in film can you find the qualities you consider heroic? Which cultural values, attitudes, and beliefs, if any, do you think have influenced how heroes and heroines have changed throughout the last few decades? How have the media helped establish the values you identify as important qualities in people?

Resources for Review and Discussion

REVIEW POINTS: TYING CONTENT TO LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- ▶ **Define *communication*, *mass communication*, *mass media*, and *culture*.**
 - Communication is the process of creating shared meaning.
 - Mass communication is the process of creating shared meaning between the mass media and their audiences.

- *Mass media* is the plural of *mass medium*, a technology that carries messages to a large number of people.
- *Culture* is the world made meaningful. It resides all around us; it is socially constructed and maintained through communication. It limits as well as liberates us; it differentiates as

26 PART 1 Laying the Groundwork

well as unites us. It defines our realities and shapes the ways we think, feel, and act.

► **Describe the relationships among communication, mass communication, culture, and those who live in the culture.**

- Mass media are our culture's dominant storytellers and the forum in which we debate cultural meaning.

► **Evaluate the impact of technology and economics on those relationships.**

- Technological determinism argues that technology is the predominant agent of social and cultural change. But it is not technology that drives culture; it is how people use technology.
- With technology, money, too, shapes mass communication. Audiences can be either the consumer or the product in our mass media system.

► **List the components of media literacy.**

- Media literacy, the ability to effectively and efficiently comprehend and use any form of mediated communication, consists of eight components:
 1. A critical thinking skill enabling the development of independent judgments about media content
 2. An understanding of the process of mass communication
 3. An awareness of the impact of the media on individuals and society

4. Strategies for analyzing and discussing media messages
5. An awareness of media content as a “text” providing insight into contemporary culture
6. A cultivation of enhanced enjoyment, understanding, and appreciation of media content
7. The development of effective and responsible production skills
8. The development of an understanding of the ethical and moral obligations of media practitioners

► **Identify key skills required for developing media literacy.**

- Media skills include the following:
 - The ability and willingness to make an effort to understand content, to pay attention, and to filter out noise
 - An understanding of and respect for the power of media messages
 - The ability to distinguish emotional from reasoned reactions when responding to content and to act accordingly
 - The development of heightened expectations of media content
 - A knowledge of genre conventions and the recognition of their mixing
 - The ability to think critically about media messages
 - A knowledge of the internal language of various media and the ability to understand its effects

KEY TERMS

communication, 4
 feedback, 5
 interpersonal communication, 5
 encoding, 5
 decoding, 5
 noise, 6
 medium (pl. media), 6
 mass medium, 6
 mass communication, 6

inferential feedback, 7
 cultural definition of communication, 7
 culture, 7
 dominant culture (mainstream culture), 11
 bounded culture (co-culture), 12
 binge watching, 15
 technological determinism, 16
 media literacy, 18
 literacy, 18

multiple points of access, 21
 third-person effect, 23
 genre, 23
 conventions, 23
 hostile media effect, 24
 fake news, 24
 confirmation bias, 24
 production values, 24

QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW

1. What is culture? How does culture define people?
2. What is communication? What is mass communication?
3. What are encoding and decoding? How do they differ when technology enters the communication process?
4. What does it mean to say that communication is a reciprocal process?
5. What is James Carey's cultural definition of communication? How does it differ from other definitions of that process?
6. What do we mean by mass media as cultural storyteller?
7. What do we mean by mass communication as cultural forum?
8. What is media literacy? What are its components?
9. What are some specific media literacy skills?
10. What is the difference between genres and production conventions? What do these have to do with media literacy?

QUESTIONS FOR CRITICAL THINKING AND DISCUSSION

1. Who were your childhood heroes and heroines? Why did you choose them? What cultural lessons did you learn from them?
2. The arrival of the printing press dramatically changed history. Traditional seats of power lost influence, science flourished, and the seeds were planted for capitalism and the growth of a middle class. What effects has the Internet had on how you live different aspects of your life? Will its ultimate impact be less than, equal to, or greater than the impact wrought by the printing press? Defend your answer.
3. How media literate do you think you are? What about those around you—your parents, for example, or your best friend? What are your weaknesses as a media-literate person?

REFERENCES

1. "As the audio landscape evolves, broadcast radio remains the king." (2018, February 14). Nielsen. Retrieved from <https://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/news/2018/as-the-audio-landscape-evolves-broadcast-radio-remains-the-comparable-king.html>
2. Carey, J. W. (1975). A cultural approach to communication. *Communication*, 2, 1–22.
3. Carey, J. W. (1989). *Communication as culture*. Boston: Unwin Hyman.
4. Cisco Systems. (2018, November 28). The zettabyte era: Trends and analysis. Retrieved from <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white-paper-c11-741490.html>
5. Dicker, R. (2018, March 12). Here's why ABC shelved "Blackish" episode on NFL anthem protests. *Huffington Post*. Retrieved from https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/blackish-abc-nfl-anthem-protest_us_5aa6a2fde4b03c9edfae4a2d
6. Dove. (2016, June 21). New Dove research finds beauty pressures up, and women and girls calling for change. *PR Newswire*. Retrieved from <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/new-dove-research-finds-beauty-p pressures-up-and-women-and-girls-calling-for-change-583743391.html>
7. Drucker, P. E. (1999, October). Beyond the information revolution. *The Atlantic*, pp. 47–57.
8. Edelstein, B. (2018, January 24). Domestic Top 10 of 2017: "Jedi," Disney lead slow year. *Variety*, p. 30.
9. Entertainment Software Association. (2018). Essential facts about the computer and video game industry. Retrieved from http://www.theesa.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/EF2018_FINAL.pdf
10. Ewen, S. (2000). Memoirs of a commodity fetishist. *Mass Communication and Society*, 3, 439–452.
11. Feldman, S. (2018, November 7). Young adults keep pressing play. *Statista*. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/chart/16023/binge-watching-young-adults/?utm_source=Statista+Global&utm_campaign=97bcb13bb6-All-InfographTicker_daily_COM_PM_KW462018__WED&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_afecd219f5-97bcb13bb6-300053297
12. Fortin, J., & Haag, M. (2018, September 5). Some salute the swoosh; others burn it. *The New York Times*, p. B10.
13. Fullerton, L. (2017, January 17). Four out of five smartphone users check their phones within 15-minutes of waking up, reports suggests. *The Drum*. Retrieved from <https://www.thedrum.com/news/2017/01/18/four-out-five-smartphone-users-check-their-phones-within-15-minutes-waking-up>
14. Gallup. (2018). Confidence in institutions. Retrieved from <https://news.gallup.com/poll/1597/confidence-institutions.aspx>
15. Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond culture*. New York: Doubleday.
16. Hardt, H. (2007, December). Constructing photography: Fiction as cultural evidence. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, pp. 476–480.
17. Harris, M. (1983). *Cultural anthropology*. New York: Harper & Row.
18. "Internet users in the world by regions—March, 2019." (2019). *Internet Society*. Retrieved from <https://www.internetworld-stats.com/stats.htm>
19. Jones, T. (2018, August 3). Colin Kaepernick reference causes controversy in Madden game song. *Page Six*. Retrieved from <https://pagesix.com/2018/08/03/colin-kaepernick-reference-causes-controversy-in-madden-game-song/>
20. Kennedy, L. (2002, June). Spielberg in the Twilight Zone. *Wired*, pp. 106–113, 146.
21. Knight Foundation. (2018, September 11). Indicators of news media trust. Retrieved from <https://knightfoundation.org/reports/indicators-of-news-media-trust>
22. Lasswell, H. D. (1948). The structure and function of communication in society. In L. Bryson (Ed.), *The communication of ideas*. New York: Harper.
23. Levin, B., Nolan, J. J., & Reitzel, J. D. (2018, June 26). New data shows U.S. hate crimes continued to rise in 2017. *CBS News*. Retrieved from <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/new-data-shows-us-hate-crimes-continued-to-rise-in-2017/>
24. *The Lost World: Jurassic Park*. (1997). Directed by Steven Spielberg for Universal Studios.
25. Madrigal, A. (2018, May 30). When did TV watching peak? *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2018/05/when-did-tv-watching-peak/561464/>
26. McLuhan, M. (1962). *The Gutenberg galaxy: The making of typographic man*. London: Routledge.
27. Mogahed, D., & Chouhoud, Y. (2018). American Muslim poll 2018: Pride and prejudice. Institute for Social Policy and

28 PART 1 Laying the Groundwork

- Understanding. Retrieved from <https://www.ispu.org/public-policy/american-muslim-poll/>
28. Nagler, C. (2018). 4 tips for spotting a fake news story. President and Fellows of Harvard College. Retrieved from <https://www.summer.harvard.edu/inside-summer/4-tips-spotting-fake-news-story>
 29. National Eating Disorders Association. (2018). Get the facts on eating disorders. *NEDA.org*. Retrieved from <https://www.nationaleatingdisorders.org/blog/get-facts-eating-disorders-infographics>
 30. Nettles, L. (2018, September 6). New data on why people hate ads: Too many, too intrusive, too creepy. *Vieo Design*. Retrieved from <https://www.vieodesign.com/blog/new-data-why-people-hate-ads>
 31. Noyes, D. (2018, February). The top 20 valuable Facebook statistics—Updated February 2018. *Zephoria*. Retrieved from <https://zephoria.com/top-15-valuable-facebook-statistics/>
 32. Richter, F. (2018, November 8). Americans' trust in media recovers from historic low. *Statista*. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/chart/5883/trust-in-mass-media/?utm_source=Blog&utm_medium=RSS
 33. Rosaldo, R. (1989). *Culture and truth: The remaking of social analysis*. Boston: Beacon Press.
 34. Schramm, W. L. (1954). *The process and effects of mass communication*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
 35. Shane, S. (2015, June 25). Most U.S. attacks are homegrown and not jihadist. *The New York Times*, p. A1.
 36. Silverblatt, A. (2008). *Media literacy* (3rd ed.). Westport, CT: Praeger.
 37. "Soundbites." (2005, December). A better mousetrap. *Extra! Update*, p. 2.
 38. Steinberg, S. H. (1959). *Five hundred years of printing*. London: Faber & Faber.
 39. Taylor, D. (1991). Transculturating transculturation. *Performing Arts Journal*, 13, 90–104.
 40. "Time flies: U.S. adults now spend nearly half a day interacting with media." (2018, July 31). *Nielsen*. Retrieved from <https://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/news/2018/time-flies-us-adults-now-spend-nearly-half-a-day-interacting-with-media.html>
 41. Tsfati, Y., & J. Cohen. (2013). The third-person effect, trust in media, and hostile media perceptions. *International Encyclopedia of Media Studies: Media Effects/Media Psychology*, 1, 1–19.
 42. Weprin, A. (2017, October 27). YouTube's milestone: Users now watch 100 million hours per day on TVs. *MediaPost*. Retrieved from <https://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/309389/youtubes-milestone-users-now-watch-100-million-h.html>
 43. Whitman, R. (2018, August 23). It turns out that advertisers hate ads too. *MediaPost*. Retrieved from <https://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/324059/it-turns-out-that-advertisers-hate-ads-too.html>
 44. Yan, L. (2018, April 7). These plastic surgery apps are stirring up serious controversy. *Popular Mechanics*. Retrieved from <https://www.popularmechanics.com/culture/a19709962/these-plastic-surgery-apps-are-stirring-up-serious-controversy/>

Cultural Forum Blue Column icon, Media Literacy Red Torch Icon, Using Media Green Gear icon, Developing Media book in starburst icon: ©McGraw-Hill Education



Convergence and the Reshaping of Mass Communication

2

◀ Netflix's *Bird Box* premiered not online but in theaters—a departure for the streaming video service and a sign of significant change in how we interact with media.

Netflix/PictureLux/The Hollywood Archive/Alamy Stock Photo

Learning Objectives

The mass media system we have today has existed more or less as we know it since the 1830s. It is a system that has weathered repeated significant change with the coming of increasingly sophisticated technologies—the penny press newspaper was soon followed by mass market books and mass circulation magazines. As the 1800s made way for the 1900s, these popular media were joined by motion pictures, radio, and sound recording. A few decades later came television, combining news and entertainment, moving images, and sound all in the home and all, ostensibly, for free. The traditional media found new functions and prospered side by side with television. Then came the Internet, the World Wide Web, and mobile technologies such as smartphones, tablets, and of course social media. Now, because of these new technologies, all the media industries are facing profound alterations in how they are structured, how they do business, the nature of their content, and how they interact with and respond to their audiences. Naturally, as these changes unfold, the very nature of mass communication and our role in that process will evolve. After studying this chapter, you should be able to

- ▶ Summarize broad current trends in mass media, especially concentration of ownership and conglomeration, globalization, audience fragmentation, hypercommercialization, and convergence.
- ▶ Describe in broad terms how the mass communication process itself will evolve as the role of the audience in this new media environment is altered.

