

# Essentials *of* Economics

**Twelfth Edition**


**Bradley R. Schiller**

*Professor Emeritus, American University*

**Karen Gebhardt**

*University of Colorado Boulder*

**Mc  
Graw  
Hill**





## ESSENTIALS OF ECONOMICS, TWELFTH EDITION

Published by McGraw Hill LLC, 1325 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019. Copyright ©2023 by McGraw Hill LLC. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Previous editions ©2020, 2017, and 2014. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of McGraw Hill LLC, including, but not limited to, in any network or other electronic storage or transmission, or broadcast for distance learning.

Some ancillaries, including electronic and print components, may not be available to customers outside the United States.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LWI 27 26 25 24 23 22

ISBN 978-1-264-12210-3 (bound edition)  
MHID 1-264-12210-1 (bound edition)  
ISBN 978-1-266-06968-0 (loose-leaf edition)  
MHID 1-266-06968-2 (loose-leaf edition)

Associate Portfolio Manager: *Adam Huenecke*  
Lead Product Developer: *Kelly Delso*  
Marketing Manager: *Bobby Pearson*  
Content Project Managers: *Melissa M. Leick & Emily Windelborn*  
Market Development Manager: *Kelsey Darin*  
Buyer: *Susan K. Culbertson*  
Senior Designer: *Matt Diamond*  
Content Licensing Specialist: *Traci Vaske*  
Cover Image: *RomanYa/Shutterstock*  
Compositor: *Aptara®, Inc.*

All credits appearing on page or at the end of the book are considered to be an extension of the copyright page.

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Schiller, Bradley R., 1943- author. | Gebhardt, Karen, author.  
Title: Essentials of economics / Bradley R. Schiller, Professor Emeritus,  
American University, Karen Gebhardt, University of Colorado Boulder.  
Description: Twelfth edition. | New York, NY : McGraw Hill Education,  
[2023] | Includes index.  
Identifiers: LCCN 2021029373 (print) | LCCN 2021029374 (ebook) | ISBN  
9781264122103 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781266069680 (spiral bound) | ISBN  
9781266068867 (ebook)  
Subjects: LCSH: Economics.  
Classification: LCC HB171.5 .S2923 2023 (print) | LCC HB171.5 (ebook) |  
DDC 330—dc23  
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021029373>  
LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021029374>

The Internet addresses listed in the text were accurate at the time of publication. The inclusion of a website does not indicate an endorsement by the authors or McGraw Hill LLC, and McGraw Hill LLC does not guarantee the accuracy of the information presented at these sites.

[mheducation.com/highered](http://mheducation.com/highered)

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Bradley R. Schiller** has over four decades of experience teaching introductory economics at American University, the University of California (Berkeley and Santa Cruz), the University of Maryland, and the University of Nevada (Reno). He has given guest lectures at more than 300 colleges ranging from Fresno, California, to Istanbul, Turkey. Dr. Schiller's unique contribution to teaching is his ability to relate basic principles to current socioeconomic problems, institutions, and public policy decisions. This perspective is evident throughout *Essentials of Economics*.

Dr. Schiller derives this policy focus from his extensive experience as a Washington consultant. He has been a consultant to most major federal agencies, many congressional committees, and political candidates. In addition, he has evaluated scores of government programs and helped design others. His studies of income inequality, poverty, discrimination, training programs, tax reform, pensions, welfare, Social Security, and lifetime wage patterns have appeared in both professional journals and popular media. Dr. Schiller is also a frequent commentator on economic policy for television, radio, and newspapers.

Dr. Schiller received his PhD from Harvard and his BA degree, with great distinction, from the University of California (Berkeley). When not teaching, writing, or consulting, Professor Schiller is typically on a tennis court, schussing down a ski slope, or enjoying the crystal blue waters of Lake Tahoe.

**Karen Gebhardt** is a faculty member in the Department of Economics and is the Director of the Online Economics Program at the University of Colorado Boulder. Dr. Gebhardt has a passion for teaching economics. She regularly instructs courses in all modalities (online, on campus, hybrid, remote) from introductory courses in macro- and microeconomics, to upper-division courses in microeconomics, international trade, and managerial economics and graduate courses in environmental economics and public finance.

She is an early adopter of teaching with technology and advocates strongly for it because she sees the difference it makes in student engagement and learning. Dr. Gebhardt was the recipient of the Water Pik Excellence in Education Award in 2006 and was awarded the Best Teacher Award in 2015 while she was at Colorado State University.

Dr. Gebhardt's research interests, publications, and presentations involve the economics and online education and the economics of human–wildlife interaction. Before returning to academia, she worked as an economist at the U.S. Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service/Wildlife Services/National Wildlife Research Center, conducting research related to the interactions of humans and wildlife. Her current research focuses on using data to improve student learning outcomes in economics education with an emphasis on improving grades and completion rates in online courses.

In her free time, Dr. Gebhardt enjoys learning about new teaching methods that integrate technology and going rock climbing and camping in the Colorado Rockies and beyond.




Courtesy of Bradley R. Schiller



Dr. Karen Gebhardt



# connect<sup>®</sup>




## Instructors: Student Success Starts with You

### Tools to enhance your unique voice

Want to build your own course? No problem. Prefer to use an OLC-aligned, prebuilt course? Easy. Want to make changes throughout the semester? Sure. And you'll save time with Connect's auto-grading too.

# 65%

Less Time  
Grading



Laptop: McGraw Hill; Woman/dog: George Doyle/Getty Images

### Study made personal

Incorporate adaptive study resources like SmartBook<sup>®</sup> 2.0 into your course and help your students be better prepared in less time. Learn more about the powerful personalized learning experience available in SmartBook 2.0 at [www.mheducation.com/highered/connect/smartbook](http://www.mheducation.com/highered/connect/smartbook)

### Affordable solutions, added value



Make technology work for you with LMS integration for single sign-on access, mobile access to the digital textbook, and reports to quickly show you how each of your students is doing. And with our Inclusive Access program you can provide all these tools at a discount to your students. Ask your McGraw Hill representative for more information.


Padlock: Jobalou/Getty Images

### Solutions for your challenges



A product isn't a solution. Real solutions are affordable, reliable, and come with training and ongoing support when you need it and how you want it. Visit [www.supportateverystep.com](http://www.supportateverystep.com) for videos and resources both you and your students can use throughout the semester.

Checkmark: Jobalou/Getty Images



## Students: Get Learning that Fits You

### Effective tools for efficient studying

Connect is designed to help you be more productive with simple, flexible, intuitive tools that maximize your study time and meet your individual learning needs. Get learning that works for you with Connect.

### Study anytime, anywhere

Download the free ReadAnywhere app and access your online eBook, SmartBook 2.0, or Adaptive Learning Assignments when it's convenient, even if you're offline. And since the app automatically syncs with your Connect account, all of your work is available every time you open it. Find out more at [www.mheducation.com/readanywhere](http://www.mheducation.com/readanywhere)

*"I really liked this app—it made it easy to study when you don't have your textbook in front of you."*

- Jordan Cunningham,  
Eastern Washington University



Calendar: owattaphotos/Getty Images

### Everything you need in one place

Your Connect course has everything you need—whether reading on your digital eBook or completing assignments for class, Connect makes it easy to get your work done.

### Learning for everyone

McGraw Hill works directly with Accessibility Services Departments and faculty to meet the learning needs of all students. Please contact your Accessibility Services Office and ask them to email [accessibility@mheducation.com](mailto:accessibility@mheducation.com), or visit [www.mheducation.com/about/accessibility](http://www.mheducation.com/about/accessibility) for more information.

Top: Jenner Images/Getty Images, Left: Hero Images/Getty Images, Right: Hero Images/Getty Images



## PREFACE

It's hard to imagine a better time to be teaching economics. The world's economies attained solid growth and record living standards in 2015–2019. Then the coronavirus struck. The resulting pandemic and associated lockdowns caused the sharpest, deepest, and—thankfully, shortest—recession in modern times. In the span of less than a year, we experienced both the peak and the trough of the business cycle. In the process, we saw a surge in curiosity about what makes economies “tick.”

Varied responses to the pandemic have also spurred intense debates about what kinds of government intervention are most effective in ending recessions and fostering further growth. Here in the United States, we witnessed a dramatic change in policy priorities when President Biden took the reins from President Trump. The shifts in policy range from micro issues like minimum wages and environmental regulation to the big macro decisions about the size and scope of government stimulus programs.

These two developments have effectively created a unique “laboratory” for identifying basic economic concepts, observing the trajectories of business cycles, and assessing the potential of various policy options. Hopefully, this twelfth edition of *Essentials* delivers the sense of urgency and relevance that the current situation merits.

### WHAT, HOW, FOR WHOM?

The core theme that weaves through the entire text is the need to find the best possible answers to the basic questions of WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM. Is WHAT we produce today the optimal mix of output? Could we do better? And are we producing goods and services in safe, environmentally sound, and efficient ways? Or should we look how to change HOW things get produced? And what about the distribution of those products? Is the current answer to the FOR WHOM question fair? These are the core questions every society must ponder. In Chapter 1, students get an introduction to these questions and the different mechanisms (market and nonmarket) that nations use to answer them. They learn that markets sometimes fail to generate the best-possible answers to these three core questions, but also that government intervention may fail as well. Critical thinking is required from the get-go.

### A GLOBAL PORTRAIT OF THE U.S. ECONOMY

To put some meat on the abstract bones of the economy, *Essentials* offers a unique portrait of the U.S. economy. Few students easily relate to the abstraction of the economy. They hear about specific dimensions of the economy but rarely see all the pieces put together. Chapter 2 fills this void by providing a bird's-eye view of the U.S. economy. This descriptive chapter is organized around the three basic questions of WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM to produce. The current answer to the WHAT question is summarized with data on GDP and its components. Historical and global comparisons are provided to underscore the significance of America's \$23 trillion economy. Similar perspectives are offered on the structure of production and the U.S. distribution of income. An early look at the role of government in shaping economic outcomes is also provided. This colorful global portrait is a critical tool in acquainting students with the broad dimensions of the U.S. economy and is unique to this text.




## REAL-WORLD EMPHASIS

The decision to include a descriptive chapter on the U.S. economy reflects a basic commitment to a real-world context. Students rarely get interested in stories about the mythical widget manufacturers that inhabit so many economics textbooks. But glimmers of interest—even some enthusiasm—surface when real-world illustrations, not fables, are offered.

The real-world approach of *Essentials* is reinforced by the boxed **News Wires** that appear in every chapter. The 72 News Wires offer up-to-date domestic and international applications of economic concepts. Some new examples that will particularly interest your students include:

- The opportunity cost (famine) of North Korea's submarine-based rocket program.
- The impact of lower gas prices on sales of electric vehicles.
- The diversity in starting pay for various college majors.
- Taylor Swift's use of dynamic pricing for concerts.
- Starbucks price hikes and iPhone price cuts.
- Tesla's new gigafactory in Austin, Texas.
- The impact of COVID stimulus payments on consumer spending.
- The price-fixing conspiracy on canned tuna.
- The extraordinary turnout for Amazon's Career Day.
- How the strong dollar has made European vacations cheaper.

This is just a sampling of the stream of real-world applications that cascades throughout this text. Thirty of the News Wires are new to this edition.

NEWS WIRE	INSATIABLE WANTS
<p><b>Never Enough Money!</b> A public opinion poll asked Americans how much money they would need each year to be "happy." In general, people said they needed twice as much income as they had at present to be happy.</p>  <p>Tom B Paye/Shutterstock</p> <p>Source: CNN/ORC Poll, May 29–June 1, 2014.</p> <p><b>POLICY POINT:</b> People always want more than they have. Even multimillionaires say they don't have enough to live "comfortably."</p>	

## POLICY PERSPECTIVES

Every chapter starts out with real-world applications of core concepts. As the chapters unfold, empirical illustrations continue to enliven the text analysis. The chapters end with a **Policy Perspectives** section that challenges the student to apply new concepts to real-world issues. At the end of Chapter 1, students are asked to recognize the opportunity costs of President Biden's "free" health care proposals. At the end of Chapter 3's introduction to supply and demand, students are asked to ponder the consequences of imposing price controls in the wake of natural disasters and pandemics. At the end of the monopoly chapter

(Chapter 7), students are asked to consider the ramifications and desirability of “breaking up Big Tech.” At the end of the fiscal policy chapter (Chapter 12), students are asked to consider the deficit and debt implications of President Biden’s fiscal stimulus packages. The capstone chapter (Theory versus Reality, Chapter 17) requires students to assess the desirability of more or less government intervention in the economy.

## FOCUS ON CORE CONCEPTS

It’s impossible to squeeze all the content—and the excitement—of both micro- and macroeconomics into a one-semester course, much less an abbreviated intro text. But economics is, after all, the science of choice. Instructors who teach a one-term survey of economics know how hard the content choices can be. There are too many topics, too many economic events, and too little time.

Few textbooks confront this scarcity problem directly. Some one-semester books are nearly as long as full-blown principles texts. The shorter ones tend to condense topics and omit the additional explanations, illustrations, and applications that are especially important in survey courses. Students and teachers alike get frustrated trying to pick out the essentials from abridged principles texts.

*Essentials of Economics* lives up to its name by making the difficult choices. The standard table of contents has been pruned to the core. The surviving topics are the essence of economic concepts. In microeconomics, for example, the focus is on the polar models of perfect competition and monopoly. These models are represented as the endpoints of a spectrum of market structures. Intermediate market structures—oligopoly, monopolistic competition, and the like—are noted but not analyzed. The goal here is simply to convey the sense that market structure is an important determinant of market outcomes. The contrast between the extremes of monopoly and perfect competition is sufficient to convey this essential message. The omission of other market structures from the outline also leaves more space for explaining and illustrating *how* market structure affects market behavior.


The same commitment to essentials is evident in the section on macroeconomics. Rather than attempt to cover all the salient macro models, the focus here is on a straightforward presentation of the aggregate supply–demand framework. The classical, Keynesian, and monetarist perspectives on aggregate demand (AD) and aggregate supply (AS) are discussed within that common, consistent framework. There is no discussion of neo-Keynesianism, rational expectations, public choice, or Marxist models. The level of abstraction required for such models is neither necessary nor appropriate in an introductory survey course. Texts that include such models tend to raise more questions than survey instructors can hope to answer. In *Essentials* students are exposed to only the ideas needed for a basic understanding of how macro economies function.

## CENTRAL THEME

The central goal of this text is to convey a sense of how economic *systems* affect economic *outcomes*. When we look back on the twentieth century, we see how some economies flourished while others languished. Even the “winners” had recurrent episodes of slow, or negative, growth. The central analytical issue is how various economic systems influenced those diverse growth records. Was the relatively superior track record of the United States a historical fluke or a by-product of its commitment to market capitalism? Were the long economic expansions of the 1980s and 1990s the result of enlightened macro policy, more efficient markets, or just good luck? What roles did policy, markets, and (bad) luck play in the Great Recession of 2008–2009 and the pandemic crisis and recovery of 2020–2021?

A recurrent theme in *Essentials* is the notion that economic institutions and policies *matter*. Economic prosperity isn’t a random occurrence. The right institutions and policies can foster or impede economic progress. The challenge is to know when and how to intervene.





This central theme is the focus of Chapter 1. Our economic accomplishments and insatiable materialism set the stage for a discussion of production possibilities. The role of economic systems and choices is illustrated with the starkly different “guns versus butter” decisions in North and South Korea, Russia, and the United States. The potential for both market failure (or success) and government failure (or success) is highlighted. After reading Chapter 1, students should sense that “the economy” is relevant to their lives and that our collective choices on how the economy is structured are important.

## THEORY AND REALITY

In becoming acquainted with the U.S. economy, students will inevitably learn about the woes of the business cycle. As the course progresses, they will not fail to notice a huge gap between the pat solutions of economic theory and the dismal realities of occasional recession. This experience will kindle one of the most persistent and perplexing questions students have. If the theory is so good, why is the economy such a mess?

Economists like to pretend that the theory is perfect but politicians aren’t. That’s part of the answer, to be sure. But it isn’t fair to either politicians or economists. In reality, the design and implementation of economic policy are impeded by incomplete information, changing circumstances, goal trade-offs, and politics. Chapter 17 examines these real-world complications. In this signature chapter, students get a more complete explanation of why the real world doesn’t always live up to the promises of economic theory.


## NEW IN THIS EDITION

The dedication of *Essentials* to introducing core economic principles in a real-world context requires every edition to focus on trending policies and front-page developments. As in earlier editions, this twelfth edition strives to arouse interest in economic theories by illustrating them in the context of actual institutions, policy debates, and global developments. The following list highlights both the essential focus of each chapter and the new material that enlivens its presentation:

**Chapter 1: The Challenge of Economics**—The first challenge for any intro econ course is to instill in students the concept of *scarcity*. They surely have experience in making difficult choices among competing goods, but rarely project that experience to the nation as a whole. Political debates hardly advance the cause, with nearly every politician promising free college, free medical care, free child care, free housing, and generous income guarantees. But we know it ain’t so. So, we start with an obligation to convey the essences of scarcity and the inevitability of *opportunity costs*. Chapter 1 illustrates the sacrifice of “butter” implied by North Korea’s stepped-up ballistic missile program and global patterns of military spending. President Biden’s American Rescue Plan, infrastructure proposals, and health care promises are also examined from an opportunity cost perspective.

**Chapter 2: The U.S. Economy**—The purpose of this chapter is to give students a real-world perspective on the relative size and scope of the American economy. Is China’s economy larger than America’s economy? Are we falling behind other world economies? The popular press often gives these impressions. But the reality is very different, as the global comparisons in Chapter 2 confirm. This chapter carefully looks at WHAT nations produce, HOW they produce, and FOR WHOM they produce. News Wires on global happiness rankings, inequality, and manufacturing give added color to the statistical comparisons.

**Chapter 3: Supply and Demand**—This chapter shows how the market mechanism works: how the forces of supply and demand interact to determine both prices and quantities for various goods. Relevant News Wires include the impact of alcohol prices on college drinking, pricing of the Apple iPhone 12 Pro, gasoline prices and EV sales, and price spikes in the wake of natural disasters. The unintended effects of (disequilibrium) price ceilings are illustrated with San Francisco rent controls and price ceilings imposed in natural disasters.



## Micro

**Chapter 4: Consumer Demand**—This chapter starts by looking at patterns of U.S. consumption, then analyzing the demand factors that shape those patterns. The elasticity of demand gets a lot of attention, as illustrated by iPhone 11 price cuts, Oregon's 2021 \$2 per pack cigarette price hike, and Starbucks' 2020 price increases. The Policy Perspective feature examines the calls for more regulation of advertising.

**Chapter 5: Supply Decisions**—The key point of this chapter is the difference between what firms *can* produce (as illustrated by the production function) and what they *want* to produce (as illustrated by profit-maximization calculations). The critical role of marginal costs in the production decision is highlighted. Tesla's decision to build a gigafactory in Austin, Texas, illustrates the distinction between long-run *investment decisions* and short-run *production decisions*.

**Chapter 6: Competition**—This first look at market structure emphasizes the lack of pricing power possessed by small, competitive firms. Perfectly competitive firms must relentlessly pursue cost reductions, quality improvements, and product innovation if they are to survive and prosper. Although few firms are perfectly competitive, competitive dynamics keep all firms on their toes. How firms locate the optimal rate of production with the use of market prices and marginal costs is highlighted. The Policy Perspective considers how competitive forces help rather than hurt society.

**Chapter 7: Monopoly**—As a survey introduction to economics, *Essentials* focuses on the differences between only two market structures: perfect competition and monopoly. Chapter 7 illustrates in detail the differences in structure, behavior, and outcomes of these two polar cases. This two-way contrast underscores the importance of market structures for social welfare. A step-by-step comparison of behavioral decisions and outcomes provides a vivid perspective on this central concern. The Policy Perspective examines recent proposals for breaking up Big Tech.


**Chapter 8: The Labor Market**—The 2020 presidential election brought a lot of labor market issues back onto the front page. President Biden has declared his support for a \$15 minimum wage, easier unionization, expanded private-sector benefits, and significant tax changes. This chapter first illustrates how market forces establish wages and employment levels, then examines how various interventions alter market outcomes. The overwhelming response to Amazon's Career Day showcases labor supply, while 2020–2021 airline layoffs show the consequences of reduced labor demand. Alabama's Nick Saban's 2021 bonus and salary illustrate the link between pay and marginal revenue product.

**Chapter 9: Government Intervention**—In our mixed economy, the purpose and impacts of government intervention must be understood. This chapter identifies the core rationale for government intervention and offers a slew of timely examples, including COVID vaccinations, Israel's 2021 Iron Dome upgrades, and President Biden's decision to halt the Keystone pipeline. The decline in public trust in government is noted.

## Macro

**Chapter 10: The Business Cycle**—The 2020 recession gave students a real-world lesson in how business cycles work. This chapter continues that lesson with a history of cycles and an examination of how they affect employment, inflation, and the distribution of income. The goal here is to get students to recognize why macro instability is a foremost societal concern. News Wires look at the 2020 recession and 2021 recovery, holiday hiring surges, and 2020–2021 tuition hikes.

**Chapter 11: Aggregate Supply and Demand**—This chapter gives students a conceptual overview of how market and nonmarket forces shape macro outcomes. Aggregate supply (AS) and aggregate demand (AD) are explained, with an emphasis on the importance of the shifts that can both foster growth and trigger instability. The message is clear: Either AS or AD must shift if macro outcomes are to change. News Wires on the COVID pandemic (AS and AD shifts), the unemployment surge that resulted, and President Biden's stimulus packages enliven the discussion.



**Chapter 12: Fiscal Policy**—This chapter highlights the potential of changes in government spending and taxes to shift the AD curve. The power of the multiplier is illustrated in the context of the AS/AD framework, an intuitively simpler approach than the traditional Keynesian model. The AS/AD model also offers a straightforward mechanism for illustrating how the multiplier can simultaneously affect both output and price levels, something the Keynesian model can't do. President Trump's 2017 tax cuts and President Biden's several stimulus packages offer plenty of illustrations. The impact of fiscal policy on budget deficits is also examined.

**Chapter 13: Money and Banks**—Apple Pay and bitcoins are used to illustrate differences between *payment services* and *money*. The various methods of payment consumers actually use are noted, again distinguishing payment services from money. The core of the chapter depicts how deposit creation and the money multiplier work, using a step-by-step illustration of each. By the way, the Fed changed its money supply measures in October 2020, simplifying the M concept. The Policy Perspective explains why bitcoins don't satisfy the definition of "money."

**Chapter 14: Monetary Policy**—Students get an overview of how the Federal Reserve is organized and the role that Jerome Powell plays. Then the three basic tools of monetary policy are illustrated, with an emphasis on how open-market operations work. The chapter shows how the various tools of monetary policy can shift the AD curve, ultimately affecting both prices and output. The extraordinary steps taken by both American and Chinese monetary authorities to end the 2020 recession are discussed.

**Chapter 15: Economic Growth**—The ultimate challenge of every society is to grow its economy and raise living standards over time. This chapter reviews the world's growth experiences, then examines the factors that foster or retard growth. The declines in living standards and even longevity caused by the coronavirus pandemic are a grim reminder of why economic growth is so important—and, yes, desirable.

## International

**Chapter 16: International Trade**—Students often wonder why we trade with other nations at all because we can produce just about everything ourselves. Many politicians exploit these doubts by claiming that trade kills jobs and saps America's economic power. In this context, it is critically important that students understand the nature and benefits of comparative advantage. That is the focus of this chapter. The chapter also discusses the sources of resistance to trade and the impact of trade barriers on employment and living standards. President Trump's extended trade war with China and his attacks on NAFTA provide plenty of discussion material.


## Capstone

**Chapter 17: Theory versus Reality**—This unique chapter confronts the perpetual question of why economies don't function better if our economic theories are so perfect. The chapter starts by reviewing the major policy tools and their idealized uses. Then we contrast those expectations with our less-than-perfect economic record. What causes this performance gap? A variety of institutional, political, and implementation constraints are illustrated. President Trump's feuds with the Fed and President Biden's inability to secure bipartisan support are part of the story. The chapter ends by asking students whether they favor more or less policy intervention, given the mixed bag of past successes and failures.

## ASSURANCE OF LEARNING READY

Many educational institutions today are focused on the notion of *assurance of learning*, an important element of some accreditation standards. *Essentials of Economics* is designed specifically to support your assurance of learning initiatives with a simple yet powerful solution.

Each test bank question for *Essentials of Economics* maps to a specific chapter learning objective listed in the text. You can use Connect Economics or our test generator software to easily query for learning objectives that directly relate to the learning objectives for your course.



You can then use the reporting features of Connect to aggregate student results in similar fashion, making the collection and presentation of assurance of learning data simple and easy.

## AACSB STATEMENT

The McGraw Hill Companies is a proud corporate member of AACSB International. Understanding the importance and value of AACSB accreditation, *Essentials of Economics, 12e*, recognizes the curricula guidelines detailed in the AACSB standards for business accreditation by connecting selected questions in the text and the test bank to the six general knowledge and skill guidelines in the AACSB standards.

The statements contained in *Essentials of Economics* are provided only as a guide for the users of this textbook. The AACSB leaves content coverage and assessment within the purview of individual schools, the mission of the school, and the faculty. While *Essentials of Economics* and the teaching package make no claim of any specific AACSB qualification or evaluation, we have within *Essentials of Economics* labeled selected questions according to the six general knowledge and skills areas.

## Tegrity: Lectures 24/7

Tegrity in Connect is a tool that makes class time available 24/7 by automatically capturing every lecture. With a simple one-click start-and-stop process, you capture all computer screens and corresponding audio in a format that is easy to search, frame by frame. Students can replay any part of any class with easy-to-use, browser-based viewing on a PC, Mac, iPod, or other mobile device.

Educators know that the more students can see, hear, and experience class resources, the better they learn. In fact, studies prove it. Tegrity's unique search feature helps students efficiently find what they need, when they need it, across an entire semester of class recordings. Help turn your students' study time into learning moments immediately supported by your lecture. With Tegrity, you also increase intent listening and class participation by easing students' concerns about note-taking. Using Tegrity in Connect will make it more likely you will see students' faces, not the tops of their heads.

## Create

Instructors can now tailor their teaching resources to match the way they teach! With McGraw Hill Create, [www.mcgrawhillcreate.com](http://www.mcgrawhillcreate.com), instructors can easily rearrange chapters, combine material from other content sources, and quickly upload and integrate their own content, such as course syllabi or teaching notes. For those instructors needing additional information on customer service skills of stress and time management and communicating with customers in writing, there are three additional chapters available in Create. Find the right content in Create by searching through thousands of leading McGraw Hill textbooks. Arrange the material to fit your teaching style. Order a Create book and receive a complimentary print review copy in three to five business days or a complimentary electronic review copy via e-mail within one hour. Go to [www.mcgrawhillcreate.com](http://www.mcgrawhillcreate.com) today and register.

## MCGRAW HILL CUSTOMER CARE CONTACT INFORMATION

At McGraw Hill we understand that getting the most from new technology can be challenging. That's why our services don't stop after you purchase our products. You can e-mail our product specialists 24 hours a day to get product training online. Or you can search our knowledge bank of frequently asked questions on our support website. For Customer Support, call **800-331-5094** or visit [www.mhhe.com/support](http://www.mhhe.com/support). One of our technical support analysts will be able to assist you in a timely fashion.

## INSTRUCTOR'S RESOURCE MANUAL

The Instructor's Resource Manual is designed to assist instructors as they cope with the demands of teaching a survey of economics in a single term. The manual has been fully updated for this edition by Larry Olanrewaju of John Tyler Community College. Each chapter of the Instructor's Resource Manual contains the following features:

- *What is this chapter all about?* A brief summary of the chapter.
- *New to this edition* A list of changes and updates to the chapter since the last edition.
- *Lecture launchers* Designed to offer suggestions on how to launch specific topics in each chapter.
- *Common student errors* This provides instructors with a brief description of some of the most common problems that students have when studying the material in each chapter.
- *News Wires* A list of News Wires from the text is provided for easy reference.
- *Annotated outline* An annotated outline for each chapter can be used as lecture notes.
- *Discussion Prompts* Additional chapter-related discussion topics that require individual students to do outside research in preparation. Can be easily assigned as pre-class work in your face-to-face course or as a discussion in your online course.
- *Extending the Discussion* Additional projects are provided, cutting across all the chapters. These include several focus questions and outside research.
- *Answers to the chapter questions and problems* The *Instructor's Resource Manual* provides answers to the end-of-chapter questions and problems in the text, along with explanations of how the answers were derived.
- *Flipped and online class applications* These activities can be used as an in-class assignment or as an integrated part of your online or hybrid course. In many of these assignments, students will interact with websites to gather and interpret data. Also available on the website.
- *Media exercise* Provides a ready-to-use homework assignment using current events that illustrate the specific issues.

## Test Bank

The Test Bank has been rigorously revised to align with the new edition and incorporate a variety of algorithmic problems to further ensure academic integrity and provide an opportunity for students to be assessed on the same content while receiving a variation of questions. Co-author Karen Gebhardt and Alpna Bhatia of the University of Colorado assure a high level of quality and consistency of the test questions and the greatest possible correlation with the content of the text. All questions are coded according to chapter learning objectives, AACSB Assurance of Learning, and Bloom's Taxonomy guidelines. The computerized Test Bank is available through Test Builder in Connect.

Test Builder is a cloud-based tool that enables instructors to format tests that can be printed or administered within an LMS. Test Builder offers a modern, streamlined interface for easy content configuration that matches course needs, without requiring a download. Test Builder allow you to:

- Access all test bank content from a specific title.
- Easily pinpoint the most relevant content through robust filtering options.
- Manipulate the order of questions or scramble questions and/or answers.
- Pin questions to a specific location within a test.
- Determine your preferred treatment of algorithmic questions.
- Choose the layout and spacing.
- Add instructions and configure default settings.



### Remote Proctoring and Browser-Locking Capabilities

New remote proctoring and browser-locking capabilities, hosted by Proctorio within Connect, provide control of the assessment environment by enabling security options and verifying the identity of the student.

Seamlessly integrated within Connect, these services allow instructors to control students' assessment experience by restricting browser activity, recording students' activity, and verifying students are doing their own work.

Instant and detailed reporting gives instructors an at-a-glance view of potential academic integrity concerns, thereby avoiding personal bias and supporting evidence-based claims.

### PowerPoints

Roshan Khattry of Spokane Falls Community College and Stephen Slice of University of South Carolina have prepared and reviewed a concise set of Instructor PowerPoint presentations to complement the new edition. Developed using Microsoft PowerPoint software, these slides are a step-by-step review of the key points in each of the book's chapters. They are equally useful to the student in the classroom as lecture aids or for personal review at home or the computer lab. The slides use animation to show students how graphs build and shift.

### Flipped and Online Class Applications

To keep *Essentials* connected to the real world, flipped and online class applications, updated by Charles Newton of Houston Community College, appear in the Instructor Resources section in Connect for each chapter. These require the student to access data or materials on a website and then use, summarize, or explain this external material in the context of the chapter's core economic concepts. The *Instructor's Resource Manual* provides answers to the web-based activities.

### Digital Image Library

A digital image library of all figures from the textbook is available on the Instructor's Resource section in Connect. Professors can insert the exact images from the textbook into their presentation slides or simply post them for student viewing on their course management site.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to Roshan Khattry of Spokane Falls Community College and Stephen Slice of University of South Carolina for preparing the PowerPoint Presentations, Alpna Bhatia of the University of Colorado and Stephen Slice University of South Carolina for their contributions in revising and accuracy checking the Testbank, Larry Olanrewaju of John Tyler Community College for authoring the Instructor's Resource Manual, and Jody Lotz for her detailed edit of the Connect content, as well as Susan Bell of Seminole State College and Emily Bello for their careful accuracy review. The text itself and all the accompanying supplements could not make it to the marketplace without the prodigious efforts of the production team at McGraw Hill. In this regard, we want to extend special thanks to Kelly Delso, who managed the product development process required to produce a text today. Adam Huenecke served once again as a valued portfolio manager of the entire project. Let us conclude by thanking all the instructors and students who are going to use *Essentials of Economics* as an introduction to economic principles. We will welcome any reactions and suggestions you'd like to pass on for future editions.

The following manuscript reviewers were generous in sharing their teaching experiences and offering suggestions for the revision. We are most grateful to those who have contributed to this and prior editions.

Bob Abadie, *National College*

Vera Adamchik, *University of Houston at Victoria*

Jacqueline Agesa, *Marshall University*





Jeff Ankrom, *Wittenburg University*  
 J. J. Arias, *Georgia College & State University*  
 James Q. Aylsworth, *Lakeland Community College*  
 Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee, *University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee*  
 Ruth Bandzak, *Chaffey College*  
 Nina Banks, *Bucknell University*  
 John S. Banyl, *Central Virginia Community College*  
 Ruth M. Barney, *Edison State Community College*  
 Nancy Bertaux, *Xavier University*  
 John Bockino, *Suffolk County Community College*  
 T. Homer Bonitsis, *New Jersey Institute of Technology*  
 Judy Bowman, *Baylor University*  
 Jeff W. Bruns, *Bacone College*  
 Douglas N. Bunn, *Western Wyoming Community College*  
 Ron Burke, *Chadron State College*  
 Kimberly Burnett, *University of Hawaii-Manoa*  
 Tim Burson, *Queens University of Charlotte*  
 Hanas A. Cader, *South Carolina State University*  
 Raymond E. Chatterton, *Lock Haven University*  
 Hong-yi Chen, *Soka University of America*  
 Howard Chernick, *Hunter College*  
 Porchiung Ben Chou, *New Jersey Institute of Technology*  
 Jennifer L. Clark, *University of Florida*  
 Norman R. Cloutier, *University of Wisconsin-Parkside*  
 Mike Cohick, *Collin County Community College-Plano*  
 James M. Craven, *Clark College*  
 Tom Creahan, *Morehead State University*  
 Kenneth Cross, *Southwest Virginia Community College*  
 Patrick Cunningham, *Arizona Western College*  
 Dean Danielson, *San Joaquin Delta College*  
 Ribhi M. Daoud, *Sinclair Community College*  
 Amlan Datta, *Cisco College & Texas Tech University*  
 Susan Doty, *University of Southern Mississippi*  
 Kevin Dunagan, *Oakton College*  
 Ronald Dunbar, *Madison Area Technical College*  
 James Dyal, *Indiana University of Pennsylvania*  
 Angela Dzata, *Alabama State University*  
 Erick Elder, *University of Arkansas at Little Rock*  
 Vanessa Enoch, *National College*  
 Maxwell O. Eseonu, *Virginia State University*  
 Omar A. Esqueda, *Tarleton State University*  
 Jose Esteban, *Palomar College*  
 Bill Everett, *Baton Rouge Community College*  
 Randall K. Filer, *Hunter College*  
 John Finley, *Columbus State University*  
 Clara Ford, *Northern Virginia Community College*  
 Daisy Foxx, *Fayetteville Technical Community College*





Scott Freehafer, *University of Findlay*  
 Arlene Geiger, *John Jay College*  
 Lisa George, *Hunter College*  
 Gregory Gilpin, *University of Montana*  
 Chris Gingrich, *Eastern Mennonite University*  
 Yong U. Glasure, *University of Houston-Victoria*  
 Devra Golbe, *Hunter College*  
 Emilio Gomez, *Palomar College*  
 Anthony J. Greco, *University of Louisiana-Lafayette*  
 Jack A. Green, *Wentworth Institute of Technology*  
 Staish Gupta, *Tacoma Community College*  
 Cole Gustafson, *North Dakota State University*  
 Sheryl Hadley, *Johnson County Community College*  
 Patrick Hafford, *Wentworth Institute of Technology*  
 John Heywood, *University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee*  
 Jim Holcomb, *University of Texas at El Paso*  
 Philip Holleran, *Radford University*  
 Ward Hooker, *Orangeburg-Calhoun Technical College*  
 Yu Hsing, *Southeastern Louisiana University*  
 Syed Hussain, *University of Wisconsin*  
 Hans Isakson, *University of Northern Iowa*  
 Kosin Isariyawongse, *Edinboro University*  
 Syed H. Jafri, *Tarleton State University*  
 Allan Jenkins, *University of Nebraska-Kearney*  
 Bang Nam Jeon, *Drexel University*  
 Hyojin Jeong, *Lakeland Community College*  
 Derek M. Johnson, *University of Connecticut*  
 Dan Jubinski, *St. Joseph's University*  
 Judy Kamm, *Lindenwood University*  
 Jimmy Kelsey, *Whatcom Community College*  
 R. E. Kingery, *Hawkeye Community College*  
 David Kisel, *St. Phillip's College*  
 Allen Klingenberg, *Ottawa University-Milwaukee*  
 Shon Kraley, *Lower Columbia College*  
 Sean LaCroix, *Tidewater Community College*  
 Richard Langlois, *University of Connecticut*  
 Teresa L. C. Laughlin, *Palomar College*  
 Willis Lewis Jr., *Lander University*  
 Joshua Long, *Ivy Tech Community College*  
 B. Tim Lowder, *Florence-Darlington Technical College*  
 Kjartan T. Magnusson, *Salt Lake Community College*  
 Tim Mahoney, *University of Southern Indiana*  
 Michael L. Marlow, *California Polytechnic State University*  
 Vincent Marra, *University of Delaware*  
 Mike Mathea, *Saint Charles Community College*  
 Richard McIntyre, *University of Rhode Island*  
 Tom Menendez, *Las Positas College*





Amlan Mitra, *Purdue University-Calumet-Hammond*  
 Robert Moden, *Central Virginia Community College*  
 Carl Montano, *Lamar University*  
 Riley Moore, *Saint Martin's University*  
 Daniel Morvey, *Piedmont Technical College*  
 John Mundy, *University of North Florida*  
 Jamal Nahavandi, *Pfeiffer University*  
 Diane R. Neylan, *Virginia Commonwealth University*  
 Henry K. Nishimoto, *Fresno City College*  
 Gerald Nyambane, *Davenport University*  
 Diana K. Osborne, *Spokane Community College*  
 Shawn Osell, *Minnesota State University-Mankato*  
 Lawrence Overlan, *Wentworth Institute of Technology*  
 William M. Penn, *Belhaven College*  
 Diana Petersdorf, *University of Wisconsin-Stout*  
 James Peyton, *Highline Community College*  
 Jeffery Phillips, *Colby-Sawyer College*  
 Ron Presley, *Webster University*  
 Patrick R. Price, *University of Louisiana at Lafayette*  
 Janet Ratliff, *Morehead State University*  
 Mitchell H. Redlo, *Monroe Community College*  
 David Reed, *Western Technical College*  
 Terry L. Riddle, *Central Virginia Community College*  
 Jean Rodgers, *Wenatchee Valley College at Omak*  
 Vicki D. Rostedt, *University of Akron*  
 Terry Rotschafer, *Minnesota West Community and Technical College-Worthington*  
 Matthew Rousu, *Susquehanna University*  
 Sara Saderion, *Houston Community College-Southwest*  
 George Samuels, *Sam Houston State University*  
 Rolando A. Santos, *Lakeland Community College*  
 Mustafa Sawani, *Truman State University*  
 Joseph A. Schellings, *Wentworth Institute of Technology*  
 Lee J. Van Scyoc, *University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh*  
 Gasper Sekelj, *Clarkson University*  
 Dennis D. Shannon, *Southwestern Illinois College*  
 William L. Sherrill, *Tidewater Community College*  
 Gail Shipley, *El Paso Community College*  
 Johnny Shull, *Central Carolina Community College*  
 Kimberly Anne Sims, *University of Tennessee*  
 James Smyth, *San Diego Mesa College*  
 John Somers, *Portland Community College-Sylvania*  
 Rebecca Stein, *University of Pennsylvania*  
 Carol Ogden Stivender, *University of North Carolina-Charlotte*  
 John R. Stoll, *University of Wisconsin-Green Bay*  
 Carolyn Stumph, *Indiana University Purdue University-Fort Wayne*  
 Ariuna Taivan, *University of Minnesota-Duluth*  
 James Tallant, *Cape Fear Community College*





Frank Tenkorang, *University of Nebraska-Kearney*  
Audrey Thompson, *Florida State University*  
Ryan Umbeck, *Ivy Tech Community College*  
Gopala K. Vasudevan, *University of Massachusetts-Dartmouth*  
Darlene Voeltz, *Rochester Community & Technical College*  
Steve Wallen, *Utah Valley University*  
Yongqing Wang, *University of Wisconsin-Waukesha*  
Dale W. Warnke, *College of Lake County*  
Nissan Wasfie, *Columbia College-Chicago*  
Luther G. White, *Central Carolina Community College*  
Mary Lois White, *Albright College*  
Dave Wilderman, *Wabash Valley College*  
Amy Wolaver, *Bucknell University*  
King Yik, *Idaho State University*  
Yongjing Zhang, *Midwestern State University*  
Richard Zuber, *University of North Carolina-Charlotte*

## FINAL THOUGHTS

We are deeply grateful for the enormous success *Essentials* has enjoyed. Since its first publication, it has been the dominant text in the one-semester survey course. We hope that its brevity, content, style, and novel features will keep it at the top of the charts for years to come. The ultimate measure of the book's success, however, will be reflected in student motivation and learning. As authors, we would appreciate hearing how well *Essentials* lives up to that standard.

**Bradley R. Schiller**  
**Karen Gebhardt**

## The McGraw Hill Series

### Economics

#### SURVEY OF ECONOMICS

Brue, McConnell, and Flynn  
**Essentials of Economics**  
*Fifth Edition*

Guell  
**Issues in Economics Today**  
*Ninth Edition*

Mandel  
**M: Economics: The Basics**  
*Fourth Edition*

Schiller and Gebhardt  
**Essentials of Economics**  
*Twelfth Edition*

#### PRINCIPLES OF ECONOMICS

Asarta and Butters  
**Connect Master Principles of Economics**  
*Third Edition*

Colander  
**Economics, Microeconomics, and Macroeconomics**  
*Eleventh Edition*

Frank, Bernanke, Antonovics, and Heffetz  
**Principles of Economics, Principles of Microeconomics, Principles of Macroeconomics**  
*Eighth Edition*

Frank, Bernanke, Antonovics, and Heffetz  
**Streamlined Editions: Principles of Economics, Principles of Microeconomics, Principles of Macroeconomics**  
*Fourth Edition*

Karlan and Morduch  
**Economics, Microeconomics, and Macroeconomics**  
*Third Edition*

McConnell, Brue, and Flynn  
**Economics, Microeconomics, and Macroeconomics**  
*Twenty-second Edition*

McConnell, Brue, and Flynn  
**Brief Editions: Microeconomics and Macroeconomics**  
*Third Edition*

Samuelson and Nordhaus  
**Economics, Microeconomics, and Macroeconomics**  
*Nineteenth Edition*

Schiller and Gebhardt

**The Economy Today, The Micro Economy Today, and The Macro Economy Today**  
*Sixteenth Edition*

Slavin  
**Economics, Microeconomics, and Macroeconomics**  
*Twelfth Edition*

#### ECONOMICS OF SOCIAL ISSUES

Guell  
**Issues in Economics Today**  
*Ninth Edition*

Register, and Grimes  
**Economics of Social Issues**  
*Twenty-First Edition*

#### DATA AND ANALYTICS FOR ECONOMICS

Prince  
**Predictive Analytics for Business Strategy**  
*First Edition*

#### MANAGERIAL ECONOMICS

Baye and Prince  
**Managerial Economics and Business Strategy**  
*Tenth Edition*

Brickley, Smith, and Zimmerman  
**Managerial Economics and Organizational Architecture**  
*Seventh Edition*

Thomas and Maurice  
**Managerial Economics**  
*Thirteenth Edition*

#### INTERMEDIATE ECONOMICS

Bernheim and Whinston  
**Microeconomics**  
*Second Edition*

Dornbusch, Fischer, and Startz  
**Macroeconomics**  
*Thirteenth Edition*

Frank  
**Microeconomics and Behavior**  
*Tenth Edition*

#### ADVANCED ECONOMICS

Romer  
**Advanced Macroeconomics**  
*Fifth Edition*

#### MONEY AND BANKING

Cecchetti and Schoenholtz  
**Money, Banking, and Financial Markets**  
*Sixth Edition*

#### URBAN ECONOMICS

O'Sullivan  
**Urban Economics**  
*Ninth Edition*

#### LABOR ECONOMICS

Borjas  
**Labor Economics**  
*Eighth Edition*

McConnell, Brue, and Macpherson  
**Contemporary Labor Economics**  
*Twelfth Edition*

#### PUBLIC FINANCE

Rosen and Gayer  
**Public Finance**  
*Tenth Edition*

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

Field and Field  
**Environmental Economics: An Introduction**  
*Eighth Edition*

#### INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICS

Appleyard and Field  
**International Economics**  
*Ninth Edition*

Pugel  
**International Economics**  
*Seventeenth Edition*

**CONTENTS IN BRIEF**

Preface vi

**Section I BASICS**

Chapter 1	THE CHALLENGE OF ECONOMICS 2
Chapter 2	THE U.S. ECONOMY 26
Chapter 3	SUPPLY AND DEMAND 46

**Section II MICROECONOMICS**

Chapter 4	CONSUMER DEMAND 74
Chapter 5	SUPPLY DECISIONS 92
Chapter 6	COMPETITION 110
Chapter 7	MONOPOLY 132
Chapter 8	THE LABOR MARKET 150
Chapter 9	GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION 168

**Section III MACROECONOMICS**

Chapter 10	THE BUSINESS CYCLE 188
Chapter 11	AGGREGATE SUPPLY AND DEMAND 210
Chapter 12	FISCAL POLICY 230
Chapter 13	MONEY AND BANKS 250
Chapter 14	MONETARY POLICY 266
Chapter 15	ECONOMIC GROWTH 282

**Section IV INTERNATIONAL**

Chapter 16	INTERNATIONAL TRADE 300
------------	-------------------------

**Section V CAPSTONE**

Chapter 17	THEORY VERSUS REALITY 322
------------	---------------------------

Glossary 341

Index 345



# CONTENTS

About the Authors iii

Preface vi

## Section I BASICS

### Chapter 1

#### THE CHALLENGE OF ECONOMICS 2

How Did We Get So Rich? 3

The Central Problem of Scarcity 6

Three Basic Economic Questions 7

WHAT to Produce 7

HOW to Produce 12

FOR WHOM to Produce 12

The Mechanisms of Choice 14

The Political Process 14

The Market Mechanism 14

Central Planning 15

Mixed Economies 15

What Economics Is All About 15

Market Failure 15

Government Failure 16

Macro versus Micro 16

Theory versus Reality 16

Politics versus Economics 17

Modest Expectations 18

**Policy Perspectives 18**

**Summary 19**

**Appendix—Using Graphs 21**

Slopes 22

Shifts 22

Linear versus Nonlinear Curves 24

Causation 25

**News Wires**

Never Enough Money! 4

Will Your Kids Be Better Off? 5

North Korea Unveils “Most Powerful Weapon” 11

Biden Wants \$1.9 Trillion 13

### Chapter 2

#### THE U.S. ECONOMY 26

What America Produces 27

How Much Output 27

The Mix of Output 31

Changing Industry Structure 33

How America Produces 35

Factors of Production 35

The Private Sector: Business Types 37

The Government’s Role 38

Striking a Balance 40

FOR WHOM America Produces 40

The Distribution of Income 40

Income Mobility 41

Government Redistribution: Taxes and Transfers 41

**Policy Perspectives 43**

**Summary 43**

**News Wires**

Why Are the Finns So Happy? 31

U.S. Manufacturing: Output vs. Jobs Since 1975 35

The Education Gap between Rich and Poor Nations 37

Income Share of the Rich 42

### Chapter 3

#### SUPPLY AND DEMAND 46

Market Participants 47

Goals 47

Constraints 47

Specialization and Exchange 47

Market Interactions 48

The Two Markets 49

Dollars and Exchange 49

Supply and Demand 50

Demand 50

Individual Demand 50

The Demand Schedule and Demand Curve 51

Determinants of Demand 53

*Ceteris Paribus* 54

Shifts in Demand 54

Movements versus Shifts 55

Market Demand 56

The Market Demand Curve 56

The Use of Demand Curves 57

Supply 58

Determinants of Supply 58

The Market Supply Curve 59

Shifts in Supply 60

Equilibrium 61

Market Clearing 62

Market Shortage 62

Market Surplus	63
Changes in Equilibrium	64
<b>Disequilibrium Pricing</b>	<b>66</b>
Price Ceilings	66
Price Floors	68
Laissez Faire	69
<b>Policy Perspectives</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>News Wires</b>	
Campus Drinking and Alcohol Prices	53
Low Gas Prices Threaten EV Sales	55
Rents Rising in Hurricane's Wake	60
Scalpers Profiting Greatly from Pope's Visit	63
Apple iPhone 12 Pro Sells Out	63
San Francisco's Homeless Population Fueled by Rent Controls	67

## Section II MICROECONOMICS

### Chapter 4

#### CONSUMER DEMAND 74

##### Patterns of Consumption 75

##### Determinants of Demand 76

- The Sociopsychiatric Explanation 76
- The Economic Explanation 77

##### The Demand Curve 78

- Utility Theory 78
- Price and Quantity 80

##### Price Elasticity 81

- Elastic versus Inelastic Demand 82
- Price Elasticity and Total Revenue 84
- Determinants of Price Elasticity 85
- Other Changes in Consumer Behavior 87
- Changes in Income 87

##### Policy Perspectives 87

##### Summary 89

##### News Wires

- Men versus Women: How They Spend 77
- Apple Slashes the Price of iPhone 11 82
- Oregon Hikes Cigarette Tax by \$2 83
- A Starbucks Java Jolt Just Got More Expensive 86

### Chapter 5

#### SUPPLY DECISIONS 92

##### Capacity Constraints: The Production Function 93

- Efficiency 95
- Capacity 95
- Marginal Physical Product 95
- Law of Diminishing Returns 96
- Short Run versus Long Run 97

##### Costs of Production 98

- Total Cost 98
- Which Costs Matter? 99
- Average Cost 100
- Marginal Cost 101

##### Supply Horizons 103

- The Short-Run Production Decision 103
- The Long-Run Investment Decision 104

##### Economic versus Accounting Costs 104

- Economic Cost 105
- Economic Profit 106

##### Policy Perspectives 106

##### Summary 107

##### News Wires

- "We Pretend to Work; They Pretend to Pay Us" 97
- Tesla Production May Approach 1 Million; Tesla Building Texas Gigafactory 104

### Chapter 6

#### COMPETITION 110

##### Market Structure 111

##### Perfect Competition 113

- No Market Power 113
- Price Takers 114
- Market Demand versus Firm Demand 114

##### The Firm's Production Decision 116

- Output and Revenues 116
- Revenues versus Profits 116

##### Profit Maximization 117

- Price 117
- Marginal Cost 117
- Profit-Maximizing Rate of Output 117
- Total Profit 120

##### Supply Behavior 121

- A Firm's Supply 122
- Market Supply 122

##### Industry Entry and Exit 123

- Entry 124
- Tendency toward Zero Economic Profits 125
- Exit 125
- Equilibrium 126
- Low Barriers to Entry 126
- Market Characteristics 127

##### Policy Perspectives 128

##### Summary 129

##### News Wires

- Catfish Farmers on the Hook 114
- Catfish Farmers Quitting 124
- The T-Shirt Business: Too Much Competition 127

**Chapter 7****MONOPOLY 132****Monopoly Structure 133**

- Monopoly = Industry 133
- Price versus Marginal Revenue 134

**Monopoly Behavior 136**

- Profit Maximization 136
- The Production Decision 136
- The Monopoly Price 137
- Monopoly Profits 137

**Barriers to Entry 138**

- Threat of Entry 139
- Patent Protection: Polaroid versus Kodak 139
- Other Entry Barriers 140

**Comparative Outcomes 142**

- Competition versus Monopoly 142
- Near Monopolies 142
- WHAT Gets Produced 143
- FOR WHOM 144
- HOW 144

**Any Redeeming Qualities? 144**

- Research and Development 144
- Entrepreneurial Incentives 145
- Economies of Scale 145
- Natural Monopolies 146
- Contestable Markets 146
- Structure versus Behavior 146

**Policy Perspectives 147****Summary 147****News Wires**

- Big Legal Bills for Little Golf Balls 140
- Judge Rules Microsoft Abused Monopoly Power 141
- Tuna Firms Guilty of Price-Fixing 143
- Stifling Would-Be Competition 145

**Chapter 8****THE LABOR MARKET 150****Labor Supply 151**

- Income versus Leisure 152
- Market Supply 153

**Labor Demand 153**

- Derived Demand 153
- Marginal Physical Product 156
- Marginal Revenue Product 156
- The Law of Diminishing Returns 156

**The Hiring Decision 159**

- The Firm's Demand for Labor 159

**Market Equilibrium 160**

- Equilibrium Wage 161
- Equilibrium Employment 161

**Changing Market Outcomes 161**

- Changes in Productivity 161
- Changes in Price 162
- Legal Minimum Wages 162
- Labor Unions 164

**Policy Perspectives 165****Summary 166****News Wires**

- Amazon's Career Day Attracts over 300,000 Job Seekers 152
- American Airlines Warns of More Layoffs 154
- Most Lucrative College Degrees 155
- Alabama's Nick Saban Gets \$200,000 Bonus for Title Win 160
- Biden Proposes \$15 Minimum Wage 163

**Chapter 9****GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION 168****Market Failure 169**

- The Nature of Market Failure 170
- Sources of Market Failure 170

**Public Goods 170**

- Joint Consumption 171
- The Free-Rider Dilemma 171

**Externalities 173**

- Consumption Decisions 173
- Production Decisions 176
- Social versus Private Costs 177
- Policy Options 178

**Market Power 181**

- Restricted Supply 181
- Antitrust Policy 182

**Inequity 182****Macro Instability 184****Policy Perspectives 184****Summary 186****News Wires**

- Israel's "Iron Dome" Works! 172
- Secondhand Smoke Kills More Than 600,000 People a Year: Study 174
- Biden Halts Keystone Pipeline 179
- Breathing Easier 180
- Bloomberg: Forced Recycling a Waste 181

**Section III MACROECONOMICS****Chapter 10****THE BUSINESS CYCLE 188****Assessing Macro Performance 190**

**GDP Growth 191**

- Business Cycles 191
- Real GDP 191
- Erratic Growth 192

**Unemployment 194**

- The Labor Force 195
- The Unemployment Rate 195
- The Full Employment Goal 196

**Inflation 199**

- Relative versus Average Prices 199
- Redistributions 200
- Uncertainty 205
- Measuring Inflation 205
- The Price Stability Goal 206

**Policy Perspectives 207****Summary 207****News Wires**

- Market in Panic as Stocks Are Dumped in 12,894,600-Share Day; Bankers Halt It 189
- Lockdowns Hit One-Third of Economy 191
- Depression Slams World Economies 193
- How Unemployment Affects the Family 197
- Shippers, Retailers Hiring Thousands for the Holiday Season 198
- Hyperinflation Brings Weimar Republic to a Standstill 200
- College Costs Keep Rising 201

**Chapter 11****AGGREGATE SUPPLY AND DEMAND 210****A Macro View 211**

- Macro Outcomes 211
- Macro Determinants 212

**Stable or Unstable? 212**

- Classical Theory 212
- The Keynesian Revolution 213

**The Aggregate Supply–Demand Model 214**

- Aggregate Demand 214
- Aggregate Supply 216
- Macro Equilibrium 217

**Macro Failure 218**

- Undesirable Outcomes 218
- Unstable Outcomes 220
- Shift Factors 221

**Competing Theories of Short-Run Instability 223**

- Demand-Side Theories 223
- Supply-Side Theories 224
- Eclectic Explanations 224

**Policy Options 224**

- Fiscal Policy 225
- Monetary Policy 225
- Supply-Side Policy 225

**Policy Perspectives 226****Summary 228****News Wires**

- Job Losses Surge 219
- Consumer Confidence Plunges 222
- Harvey Slams into Houston Area 222

**Chapter 12****FISCAL POLICY 230****Components of Aggregate Demand 231**

- Consumption 231
- Investment 233
- Government Spending 234
- Net Exports 234
- Equilibrium 234

**The Nature of Fiscal Policy 235****Fiscal Stimulus 236**

- More Government Spending 236
- Income Transfers 241
- Tax Cuts 241
- Inflation Worries 243

**Fiscal Restraint 244**

- Budget Cuts 244
- Tax Hikes 244
- Fiscal Guidelines 245

**Policy Perspectives 246****Summary 248****News Wires**

- Recession Looming 232
- Amazon Prime Day Surpasses \$10 Billion in Sales 233
- Congress Passes Biden Relief Package 237
- Trump Tax Cuts Boost Spending 242
- Retailers Bracing for Spending Slowdown 245

**Chapter 13****MONEY AND BANKS 250****The Uses of Money 251**

- Many Types of Money 252

**The Money Supply 252**

- Cash versus Money 252
- Transactions Accounts 253
- Basic Money Supply 253
- Near Money 255
- Aggregate Demand 255

**Creation of Money 255**

- Deposit Creation 255
- A Monopoly Bank 256
- Reserve Requirements 257
- Excess Reserves 258
- A Multibank World 259

**The Money Multiplier 259**

Limits to Deposit Creation 260

Excess Reserves as Lending Power 260

**The Macro Role of Banks 261**

Financing Aggregate Demand 261

Constraints on Money Creation 262

**Policy Perspectives 262****Summary 263****News Wires**

Fish for Flour: Venezuela's Barter Economy 252

How Would You Like to Pay for That? 254

**Chapter 14****MONETARY POLICY 266****The Federal Reserve System 267**

Federal Reserve Banks 268

The Board of Governors 268

The Fed Chair 269

**Monetary Tools 269**

Reserve Requirements 269

The Discount Rate 271

Open Market Operations 273

Powerful Levers 275

**Shifting Aggregate Demand 275**

Expansionary Policy 276

Restrictive Policy 276

Interest Rate Targets 276

**Price versus Output Effects 277**

Aggregate Demand 277

Aggregate Supply 277

**Policy Perspectives 279****Summary 280****News Wires**

China Cuts Reserve Requirements 271

Fed Cuts Key Interest Again 273

**Chapter 15****ECONOMIC GROWTH 282****The Nature of Growth 283**

Short-Run Changes in Capacity Use 283

Long-Run Changes in Capacity 283

Nominal versus Real GDP 285

**Growth Indexes 285**

The GDP Growth Rate 285

GDP per Capita: A Measure of Living Standards 286

GDP per Worker: A Measure of Productivity 288

**Sources of Productivity Growth 290**

Labor Quality 290

Capital Investment 290

Management 290

Research and Development 290

**Policy Levers 291**

Education and Training 292

Immigration Policy 292

Investment Incentives 292

Savings Incentives 293

Government Finances 294

Deregulation 294

Economic Freedom 295

**Policy Perspectives 296****Summary 297****News Wires**What Economic Growth Has Done for  
U.S. Families 287

Americans Save Little 293

Improvement in Economic Freedom and  
Economic Growth 296**Section IV INTERNATIONAL****Chapter 16****INTERNATIONAL TRADE 300****U.S. Trade Patterns 301**

Imports 301

Exports 301

Trade Balances 303

**Motivation to Trade 304**

Production and Consumption without Trade 304

Trade Increases Specialization and World Output 306

**Comparative Advantage 307**

Opportunity Costs 307

Absolute Costs Don't Count 308

**Terms of Trade 308**

Limits to the Terms of Trade 308

The Market Mechanism 308

**Protectionist Pressures 309**

Microeconomic Losers 309

The Net Gain 310

**Barriers to Trade 310**

Tariffs 311

Quotas 311

Nontariff Barriers 313

**Exchange Rates 314**

Global Pricing 314

Appreciation/Depreciation 315

Foreign Exchange Markets 316

**Policy Perspectives 317****Summary 318**

**News Wires**

- Exports in Relation to GDP 302
- Angry French Winemakers Destroy Spanish Wine Stocks 310
- Winners and Losers from Trump Steel Tariff 311
- Mexico Accepts Trump's Offer on Sugar Quotas 314
- Paris Just Got Cheaper for American Tourists 315

**Section V CAPSTONE****Chapter 17****THEORY VERSUS REALITY 322****Policy Tools 323**

- Fiscal Policy 323
- Monetary Policy 326
- Supply-Side Policy 327

**Idealized Uses 329**

- Case 1: Recession 329
- Case 2: Inflation 329

Case 3: Stagflation 330

Fine-Tuning 331

**The Economic Record 331****Why Things Don't Always Work 333**

- Goal Conflicts 333
- Measurement Problems 334
- Design Problems 335
- Implementation Problems 336

**Policy Perspectives 338****Summary 339****News Wires**

- Macro Performance, 2000–2017 333
- One Year Later, NBER Acknowledges Start of Recession 334


**Glossary 341****Index 345**



# Essentials *of* Economics

## CHAPTER

## 1



*It's hard to divine the future—or even imagine the distant past. Progress comes from new ideas, new inventions, new institutions.*

Library of Congress Prints and Photographs Division [LC-DIG-ppmsca-17563]

# The Challenge of Economics

## LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this chapter, you should be able to:

- 1 Explain the meaning of scarcity.
- 2 Define “opportunity cost.”
- 3 Recite society’s three core economic questions.
- 4 Discuss how market and command economies differ.
- 5 Describe the nature of market and government failures.
- 6 **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** Apply the concept of opportunity cost to health care.



It's hard to imagine how much life has changed for the better in a relatively short amount of time. Back in 1900, life was hard and short. Life expectancy in the United States was only 47 years for whites and a shockingly low 33 years for Blacks and other minorities. Americans who survived infancy faced substantial risk of early death from tuberculosis, influenza, pneumonia, or gastritis. Measles, syphilis, whooping cough, malaria, typhoid, and smallpox were all life-threatening diseases back then.

Work was a lot harder back then, too. In 1900 one-third of all U.S. families lived on farms, where the workday began before sunrise and lasted all day. Those who lived in cities typically worked 60 hours a week for wages of only 22 cents an hour. Hours were long, jobs were physically demanding, and workplaces were often dirty and unsafe.

People didn't have much to show for all that work. By today's standards, nearly everyone was poor at that time. The average income per person was less than \$4,000 per year (in today's dollars). Very few people had telephones, and even fewer had cars. There were no television sets, no home freezers, no microwaves, no dishwashers or central air conditioning, and no computers. Even indoor plumbing was a luxury. Only a small elite went to college; an eighth-grade education was the norm.

All this, of course, sounds like ancient history. Today most of us take new cars, central air and heat, remote-control TVs, flush toilets, smartphones, college attendance, and even long weekends for granted. We seldom imagine what life would be like without the abundance of goods and services we encounter daily. Nor do we often ponder how hard work might still be had factories, offices, and homes not been transformed by technology. ■

Work Then:



Work then: Jobs were tough, dirty, and dangerous in the past.

KGPA Ltd/Alamy Stock Photo

Work Now:



Work Now: Jobs today are a lot safer, cleaner, easier—and more productive.

Morsa Images/Getty Images

## HOW DID WE GET SO RICH?

We ought to ponder, however, how we got so affluent. Billions of people around the world are still as poor today as we were in 1900. How did we get so rich? Was it our high moral standards that made us rich? Was it our religious convictions? Did politics have anything to do with it? Did extending suffrage to women, ending prohibition, or repealing the military draft raise our living standards? Did the many wars fought in the twentieth century enhance our material well-being? Was the tremendous expansion of the public sector the catalyst for growth? Were we just lucky?

Some people say America has prospered because our nation is blessed with an abundance of natural resources. But other countries are larger. Many nations have more oil, more arable land, more gold, more people, and more math majors. Yet few nations have prospered as much as the United States.

Students of history can't ignore the role that economic *systems* might have played in these developments. Way back in 1776, the English economist Adam Smith asserted that a free market economy would best promote economic growth and raise living standards. As he saw it, people who own a business want to make a profit. To do so, they have to create new products, improve old ones, reduce costs, lower prices, and advance technology. As this happens, the economy grows, more jobs are created, and living standards rise. *Market capitalism*, Adam Smith reasoned, would foster prosperity.

Karl Marx, a German philosopher, had a very different view of market capitalism. Marx predicted that the *capitalist system* of private ownership would eventually self-destruct. The capitalists who owned the land, the factories, and the machinery would keep wages low and their own lifestyles high. They would continue exploiting the working class until it rose up and overthrew the social order. Long-term prosperity would be possible only if the *state* owned the means of production and managed the economy—a *communist system*.

Subsequent history gave Adam Smith the upper hand. The “working class” that Marx worried so much about now own their own homes, a couple of cars, smart TVs, and phones; exercise in air-conditioned health clubs; have prepared meals delivered; and take expensive vacations they locate on the Internet. By contrast, the nations that adopted Marxist systems—Russia, China, North Korea, East Germany, Cuba—fell behind more market-oriented economies. The gap in living standards between communist and capitalist nations got so wide that communism effectively collapsed. People in those countries wanted a different economic system—one that would deliver the goods capitalist consumers were already enjoying. In the last decade of the twentieth century, formerly communist nations scrambled to transform their economies from centrally planned ones to more market-oriented systems. They sought the rules, the mechanisms, the engine that would propel their living standards upward.

Even in the United States the quest for greater prosperity continues. As rich as we are, we always want more. Our materialistic desires, it seems, continue to outpace our ever-rising incomes. We need to have the newest iPhone, a larger TV, a bigger home, a faster car, and a more exotic vacation. People today seem to think they need twice as much income as they have to be really happy (see the News Wire “Insatiable Wants”). Even multimillionaires say they need much more money than they already have: People with more than \$10 million of net worth say they need at least \$18 million to live “comfortably.”

How can any economy keep pace with these ever-rising expectations? Can the economy keep churning out more goods and services every year like some perpetual motion machine? Or will we run out of goods, basic resources, and new technologies? Will the future bring *more* goods and services—or *less*?

**THE GREAT RECESSION OF 2008–2009** Anxiety about the ability of the U.S. economy to crank out more goods every year spiked in 2008–2009. Indeed, the economic system screeched to a halt in September 2008, raising widespread fears about another 1930s-style Great Depression. Things didn’t turn out nearly that bad, but millions of Americans lost their jobs, their savings, and even their homes in 2008–2009. As the output of the U.S. economy contracted, people’s faith in the capitalist *system* plunged. By the end of 2009, only one out of four American adults expected their income to increase in the next year. Ten years

NEWS WIRE

INSATIABLE WANTS

Never Enough Money!

A public opinion poll asked Americans how much money they would need each year to be “happy.” In general, people said they needed twice as much income as they had at present to be happy.



Tom B Paye/Shutterstock

Source: CNN/ORC Poll, May 29–June 1, 2014.


POLICY POINT: People always want more than they have. Even multimillionaires say they don’t have enough to live “comfortably.”

NEWS WIRE

FUTURE LIVING STANDARDS?

**Will Your Kids Be Better Off?**

**Question:** Will children born these days have a better life than their parents, a worse life, or about the same?



Source: Rasmussen National Survey, September 17–19, 2020.

**POLICY POINT:** Will you be better off than your parents? For living standards to keep rising, the economy must continue to grow. Will that happen? How?

later—after years of economic growth—that kind of fear persisted: Nearly 60 percent of Americans feared another recession would occur in 2020. Worse yet, one out of three Americans also expected their children to have *fewer* goods and services in the future than people now do (see the News Wire “Future Living Standards?”). Could that happen?

People worry not only about the resilience of the economic *system* but also about resource limitations. We now depend on oil, water, and other resources to fuel our factories and irrigate our farms. What happens when we run out of these resources? Do the factories shut down? Do the farms dry up? Does economic growth stop?

An end to world economic growth would devastate people in other nations. Most people in the world have incomes far below American standards. A *billion* of the poorest inhabitants of Earth subsist on less than \$3 per day—a tiny fraction of the \$100,000 a year the average U.S. family enjoys. Even in China, where incomes have been rising rapidly, daily living standards are below those that U.S. families experienced in the Great Depression of the 1930s. To attain current U.S. standards of affluence, these nations need economic systems that will foster economic growth for decades to come.

**THE CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC OF 2020–2021** In early 2020 it seemed that the world might not ever experience the kind of economic growth that was needed to raise global living standards. Indeed, the COVID-19 virus that started spreading around the globe in early 2020 threatened not only economic growth but life itself. In that one year, over 100 *million* people contracted the virus and more than 2 million died.

In the effort to contain the spread of the virus, nations around the world shut down production, education, and transportation facilities. People couldn’t go to work. Children couldn’t go to school. Factories, retail outlets, restaurants, and transportation systems shut down in government-ordered lockdowns. In the United States, the economy suffered one of the worst economic downturns in history. More than 20 million workers lost their jobs and production plummeted by 30 percent in a shockingly short time (April 2020). The fear of total economic collapse was real and widespread.

Fortunately, vaccines were developed quickly. As those vaccines became available, fears of an imminent collapse of the global economy receded. Factories, stores, schools, and

transportation systems gradually reopened, ending the economic downturn and resuming economic growth in nations around the world.

**FUTURE GROWTH?** If nothing else, the COVID-19 pandemic reminded us that neither life nor economic growth is certain. Future living standards depend not only on our medical advances but also on our economic advances. Will we be able to continue our enviable record of economic progress? Will our children be better or worse off than we are today? The News Wire “Future Living Standards?” suggests people weren’t too sure about their children’s future during the midst of the pandemic. How about the rest of the world? Will global living standards rise, stagnate, or fall in future years?

To answer these questions, we need to know what makes economies “tick.” That is the foremost goal of this course. We want to know what kind of system a “market economy” really is. How does it work? Who determines the price of a textbook in a market economy? Who decides how many textbooks will be produced? Will everyone who needs a textbook get one? And why are gasoline prices so high? How about jobs? Who decides how many jobs are available or what wages they pay in a market economy? What keeps an economy growing? Or stops it in its tracks?

To understand how an economy works, we have to ask and answer a lot of questions. Among the most important are these:

- What are the basic goals of an economic system?
- How does a market economy address these goals?
- What role should government play in shaping economic outcomes?

We won’t answer all of these questions in this first chapter. But we will get a sense of what the study of economics is all about and why the answers to these questions are so important.

## THE CENTRAL PROBLEM OF SCARCITY

The land area of the United States stretches over 3.5 million square miles. We have a population of 340 million people, about half of whom work. We also have over \$100 trillion worth of buildings and machinery. With so many resources, the United States produces an enormous volume of output. But it is never enough: Consumers always want more. We want not only faster cars, more clothes, and larger TVs but also more roads, better schools, and more police protection. Why can’t we have everything we want?

The answer is fairly simple: *Our wants exceed our resources*. As abundant as our resources might appear, they are not capable of producing everything we want. The same kind of problem makes doing homework so painful. You have only 24 hours in a day. You can spend it watching movies, shopping, hanging out with friends, sleeping, texting, scrolling your Facebook timeline, or doing your homework. With only 24 hours in a day, you can’t do everything you want to, however: Your time is *scarce*. So you must choose which activities to pursue—and which to forgo.

**Economics** offers a framework for explaining how we make such choices. The goal of economic theory is to figure out how we can use our scarce resources in the *best possible* way.

Consider again your decision to read this chapter right now. Hopefully, you’ll get some benefit from finishing it. You’ll also incur a *cost*, however. The time you spend reading could be spent doing something else. You’re probably missing a good show that is streaming right now. Giving up that show is the *opportunity cost* of reading this chapter. You have sacrificed the opportunity to watch the show in order to finish this homework. In general, whatever you decide to do with your time will entail an **opportunity cost**—that is, the sacrifice of a next-best alternative.

What should you do? The rational thing to do is to weigh the benefits of doing your homework against the implied opportunity cost (watching a show) and then make a choice.

The larger society faces a similar dilemma. For the larger economy, time is also limited. So, too, are the resources needed to produce desired goods and services. To get more houses, more cars, or more movies, we need not only time but also resources to produce

**economics** The study of how best to allocate scarce resources among competing uses.

**opportunity cost** The most desired goods and services that are forgone in order to obtain something else.



these things. These resources—land, labor, capital, and entrepreneurship—are the basic ingredients of production. They are called **factors of production**. The more factors of production we have, the more we can produce in a given period of time.

As we’ve already noted, our available resources always fall short of our output desires. The central problem here again is **scarcity**, a situation where our desires for goods and services exceed our capacity to produce them.

**factors of production** Resource inputs used to produce goods and services (e.g., land, labor, capital, and entrepreneurship).

**scarcity** Lack of enough resources to satisfy all desired uses of those resources.

## THREE BASIC ECONOMIC QUESTIONS

The central problem of scarcity forces every society to make difficult choices. Specifically, every nation must resolve three critical questions about the use of its scarce resources:


- **WHAT** to produce.
- **HOW** to produce.
- **FOR WHOM** to produce.

We first examine the nature of each question and then look at how different countries answer these three basic questions.

### WHAT to Produce

The WHAT question is quite simple. We’ve already noted that there isn’t enough time in the day to do everything you want to. You must decide *what* to do with your time. The economy confronts a similar question: There aren’t enough resources in the economy to produce all the goods and services society desires. *Because wants exceed resources, we have to decide WHAT goods and services we want most, sacrificing less desired products.*

**PRODUCTION POSSIBILITIES** Figure 1.1 illustrates this basic dilemma. Suppose there are only two kinds of goods, “consumer goods” and “military goods.” In this case, the question of WHAT to produce boils down to finding the most desirable combination of these two goods.



**FIGURE 1.1**  
**A Production Possibilities Curve**

A production possibilities curve describes the various combinations of final goods or services that could be produced in a given time period with available resources and technology. It represents a menu of output choices.

Point C indicates that we could produce a *combination* of OD units of consumer goods and the quantity OE of military output. To get more military output (e.g., at point G), we have to reduce consumer output (from OD to OF), ending up at point X on the curve.

We must decide **WHAT** to produce (i.e., pick a single point on the production possibilities curve). Our goal is to select the *best possible mix* of output.

**TABLE 1.1**  
**Specific Production Possibilities**

The choice of WHAT to produce eventually boils down to specific goods and services. Here the choices are defined in terms of missiles or houses. More missiles can be produced only if some resources are diverted from home construction. Only one of these output combinations can be produced in a given time period. Selecting that mix is a basic economic issue.

Output	Possible Output Combinations					
	A	B	C	D	E	F
Missiles	0	50	100	150	200	250
Houses	100	90	75	55	30	0

To make that selection, we first need to know how much of each good we *could* produce. That will depend in part on how many resources we have available. The first thing we need to do, then, is count our factors of production.

**The factors of production include the following:**

- **Land** (including natural resources).
- **Labor** (number and skills of workers).
- **Capital** (machinery, buildings, networks).
- **Entrepreneurship** (skill in creating products, services, and processes).

The more we have of these factors, the more output we can produce. Technology is also critical. The more advanced our technological and managerial abilities, the more output we will be able to produce with available factors of production. If we inventoried all our resources and technology, we could figure out what the physical *limits* to production are.

To simplify the computation, suppose we wanted to produce only consumer goods. How much *could* we produce? Surely not an infinite amount. With *limited* stocks of land, labor, capital, and technology, output would have a *finite* limit. The *limit* is represented by point *A* in Figure 1.1. That is to say, the vertical distance from the origin (point *O*) to point *A* represents the *maximum* quantity of consumer goods that could be produced this year. To produce the quantity *A* of consumer goods, we would have to use *all* available factors of production. At point *A* no resources would be available for producing military goods. The choice of *maximum* consumer output (point *A*) implies *zero* military output.

We don't have to produce at point *A*. There are lots of other choices we could make about WHAT to produce. Point *B* illustrates another extreme. The horizontal distance from the origin (point *O*) to point *B* represents our *maximum* capacity to produce military goods. To get that much military output, we would have to devote *all* available resources to that single task. At point *B*, we wouldn't be producing *any* consumer goods. We would be well protected but ill nourished and poorly clothed (wearing last year's clothes).

Our choices about WHAT to produce are not limited to the extremes of points *A* and *B*. We could instead produce a *combination* of consumer and military goods. Point *C* represents one such combination. To get to point *C*, we have to forsake the maximum consumer goods output (point *A*) and use some of our scarce resources to produce military goods. At point *C* we are producing only *OD* of consumer goods and *OE* of military goods.

Point *C* is just one of many combinations we *could* produce. We could produce *any* combination of output represented by points along the curve in Figure 1.1. For this reason we call it the **production possibilities** curve; it represents the alternative combinations of goods and services that *could* be produced in a given time period with all available resources and technology. It is, in effect, an economic menu from which one specific combination of goods and services must be selected.


The production possibilities curve puts the basic issue of WHAT to produce in graphic terms. The same choices can be depicted in numerical terms as well. Table 1.1, for example, illustrates specific trade-offs between missile production and home construction. The output mix *A* allocates all resources to home construction, leaving nothing to produce missiles. If missiles are desired, the level of home construction must be cut back. To produce 50 missiles (mix *B*), home construction activity must be cut back to 90.

Output mixes *C* through *F* illustrate other possible choices. Notice that every time we increase missile production (moving from *A* to *F*), house construction must be reduced. The question of WHAT to produce boils down to choosing one specific mix of output—a specific combination of missiles and houses.

**production possibilities** The alternative combinations of goods and services that could be produced in a given time period with all available resources and technology.

**FIGURE 1.2 Military Share of Total U.S. Output**

The share of total output devoted to national defense has risen sharply in war years and fallen in times of peace. The defense buildup of the 1980s increased the military share to more than 6 percent of total output. The end of the Cold War reversed that buildup, releasing resources for other uses (the peace dividend). The September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on New York City and Washington, DC, altered the WHAT choice again, increasing the military's share of total output.



Source: Congressional Research Service.


**THE CHOICES NATIONS MAKE** No single point on the production possibilities curve is best for all nations at all times. In the United States, the share of total output devoted to “guns” has varied greatly. During World War II, we converted auto plants to produce military vehicles. Clothing manufacturers cut way back on consumer clothing in order to produce more uniforms for the army, navy, and air force. The government also drafted 12 million men to bear arms. By shifting resources from the production of consumer goods to the production of military goods, we were able to move down along the production possibilities curve in Figure 1.1 toward point X. By 1944 fully 40 percent of all our output consisted of military goods. Consumer goods were so scarce that everything from butter to golf balls had to be rationed.

Figure 1.2 illustrates that rapid military buildup during World War II. The figure also illustrates how quickly we reallocated factors of production to consumer goods after the war ended. By 1948 less than 4 percent of U.S. output was military goods. We had moved close to point A in Figure 1.1.

**PEACE DIVIDENDS** We changed the mix of output dramatically again to fight the Korean War. In 1953 military output absorbed nearly 15 percent of America’s total production. That would amount to nearly \$3 trillion of annual defense spending in today’s dollars and output levels. We’re not spending anywhere near that kind of military money, however. After the Korean War, the share of U.S. output allocated to the military trended sharply downward. Despite the buildup for the Vietnam War (1966–1968), the share of output devoted to “guns” fell from 15 percent in 1953 to a low of 3 percent in 2001. In the process, the U.S. armed forces were reduced by nearly 600,000 personnel. As those personnel found civilian jobs, they increased consumer output. That increase in nonmilitary output is called the *peace dividend*.

**FIGURE 1.3**  
**The Cost of War**

An increase in military output absorbs factors of production that could be used to produce consumer goods. The military buildup associated with the move from point  $R$  to point  $S$  reduces consumption output from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ . The economic cost of war is measured by the implied reduction in nondefense output (“less butter”).




**THE COST OF WAR** The 9/11 terrorist attacks on New York City and Washington, DC, moved the mix of output in the opposite direction. Military spending increased by 50 percent in the three years after 9/11. The wars in Iraq and Afghanistan absorbed even more resources. The *economic* cost of those efforts is measured in lost consumer output. The money spent by the government on war might otherwise have been spent on schools, highways, or other nondefense projects. The National Guard personnel called up for the war would otherwise have stayed home and produced consumer goods. These costs of war are illustrated in Figure 1.3. Notice how consumer goods output declines (from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ ) when military output increases (from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$ ).

In some countries the opportunity cost of military output seems far too high. North Korea, for example, has the fourth largest army in the world. Yet North Korea is a relatively small country. Consequently it must allocate a huge share of its resources to feed, clothe, and arm its military. As Figure 1.4 illustrates, nearly 20 percent of North Korean output consists of military goods and services. That compares with a military share of only 3.4 percent in the United States and 1.9 percent in China (which has the world’s largest army).

**FIGURE 1.4** The Military Share of Output

The share of output allocated to the military indicates the opportunity cost of maintaining an army. North Korea has the highest cost, using nearly 20 percent of its resources for military purposes. Although China’s army is twice as large, its military *share* of output is much smaller (1.9 percent).



Source: Central Intelligence Agency, *The World FactBook*, 2019–2020.

## NEWS WIRE

## OPPORTUNITY COST

**North Korea Unveils “Most Powerful Weapon”**

Pyongyang—North Korea today unveiled what it is calling “the world’s most powerful weapon.” The Pukguksong-3 is a submarine-launched ballistic missile (SLBM) capable of carrying nuclear warheads. It follows the unveiling of the super-large, land-based Pukguksong-4 missile unveiled at last October’s military parade. According to a United Nations Security Council report, North Korea has accelerated its missile and nuclear programs, despite U.N. sanctions. Critics point out that Kim Jong-un’s missile ambitions are not only a threat to world peace but also a burden on North Korea’s population. A single missile test costs more than \$1.3 billion, enough money to purchase 5 million tons of corn on the world market—food the Korean people desperately need.

Source: United Nations and media reports of January–February 2021.

**POLICY POINT:** North Korea’s inability to feed itself is due in part to its large army and missile program. Resources used for the military aren’t available for producing food.

North Korea’s military has a high price tag. North Korea is a very poor country, with output per capita in the neighborhood of \$1,000 per year. That is substantially less than the American standard of living was in 1900 and a tiny fraction of today’s U.S. output per capita (around \$55,000). Although one-third of North Korea’s population lives on farms, the country cannot grow enough food to feed its population. The farm sector needs more machinery, seeds, and fertilizer; better-trained labor; and improved irrigation systems. So long as the military absorbs one-fifth of total output, however, North Korea can’t afford to modernize its farm sector. The implied shortfall in food and other consumer goods is the *opportunity cost* of a large military sector (see the News Wire “Opportunity Cost”).

**THE BEST POSSIBLE MIX** Ultimately the designation of any particular mix of output as “best” rests on the value judgments of a society. A militaristic society would prefer a mix of output closer to point *B* in Figure 1.1. By contrast, Iceland has no military and so produces at point *A*. In general, *one specific mix of output is optimal for a country*—that is, a mix that represents the *best* possible allocation of resources across competing uses. Locating and producing that *optimal* mix of output is the essence of the WHAT challenge.

The same desire for an optimal mix of output drives your decisions on the use of scarce time. There is only one *best* way to use your time on any given day. If you use your time in that way, you will maximize your well-being. Other uses won’t necessarily kill you, but they won’t do you as much good.

**ECONOMIC GROWTH** The selection of an optimal mix depends in part on how future-oriented one is. If you had no concern for future jobs or income, there would be little point in doing homework now. You might as well play video games all day if you’re that present-oriented. On the other hand, if you value future jobs and income, it makes sense to allocate some present time to studying. Then you’ll have more human capital (knowledge and skills) later to pursue job opportunities.

The larger society confronts the same choice between present and future consumption. We *could* use all our resources to produce consumer goods this year. If we did, however, there wouldn’t be any factors of production available to build machinery, factories, or telecommunications networks. Yet these are the kinds of **investment** that enhance our capacity to produce. If we want the economy to keep growing—and our living standards to rise—we must allocate some of our scarce resources to investment rather than current consumption. The resultant **economic growth** will expand our production possibilities outward, allowing us to produce *more* goods

**investment** Expenditures on (production of) new plant and equipment (capital) in a given time period, plus changes in business inventories.

**economic growth** An increase in output (real GDP); an expansion of production possibilities.




*Resources used by the military are not available for producing food.*

PEDRO UGARTE/AFP/Getty Images



### FIGURE 1.5 Economic Growth

Since 1900 the U.S. population has quadrupled. Investment in machinery and buildings has increased our capital stock even faster. These additional factors of production, together with advancing technology, have expanded (shifted outward) our production possibilities.



in future years. The phenomenon of economic growth is illustrated in Figure 1.5 by the outward *shift* of the production possibilities curve. Such shifts occur when we acquire *more* resources (e.g., more machinery) or *better* technology. Our decision about **WHAT** to produce must take future growth into account.

### HOW to Produce

The second basic economic question concerns **HOW** we produce output. Should this class be taught in an auditorium or in small discussion sections? Should it meet twice a week or only once? Should the class be taught online? Should the instructor assign more electronic supplements and homework? Should exams be open book? Should, heaven forbid, this textbook be replaced with online text files? There are numerous ways of teaching a course. Of these many possibilities, one way is presumably best, given the resources and technology available. That best way is **HOW** we want the course taught. Educational researchers and a good many instructors spend a lot of time trying to figure out the best way of teaching a course.



*Should pig farmers be free to breed pigs any way they desire? Or should the government regulate how pigs are produced?*

DN1988/Shutterstock

Pig farmers do the same thing. They know they can fatten pigs up with a lot of different grains and other food. They can also vary breeding patterns, light exposure, and heat. They can use more labor in the feeder process or more machinery. Faced with so many choices, pig farmers try to find the *best* way of raising pigs.

The **HOW** question isn't just an issue of getting more output from available inputs. It also encompasses our use of the environment. Should the waste from pig farms be allowed to contaminate the air, groundwater, or local waterways? Or do we want to keep the water clean for other uses? Humanitarian concerns may also come into play. Should live pigs be processed without any concern for their welfare? Or should the processing be designed to minimize trauma? The **HOW** question encompasses all such issues. Although people may hold different views on these questions, everyone shares a common goal: *to find the optimal method of producing goods and services*. The best possible answer to the **HOW** question will entail both efficiency in the use of factors of production and adequate safeguards for the environment and other social concerns. Our goal is to find that answer.

### FOR WHOM to Produce

The third basic economic question every society must confront is **FOR WHOM**? The answers to the **WHAT** and **HOW** questions determine how large an economic pie we'll bake and how we'll bake it. Then we have to slice it up. Should everyone get an equal slice of the pie? Or can some people have big pieces of the pie while others get only crumbs? In other words, *the **FOR WHOM** question focuses on how an economy's output is distributed across members of society*.



A pie can be divided up in many ways. Personally, I like a distribution that gives me a big slice even if that leaves less for others. Maybe you feel the same way. Whatever your feelings, however, there is likely to be a lot of disagreement about what distribution is best. Maybe we should just give everyone an equal slice. But should everyone get an equal slice even if some people helped bake the pie while others contributed nothing? The Little Red Hen of the children's fable felt perfectly justified eating all the bread she made herself after her friends and neighbors refused to help sow the seeds, harvest the grain, or bake it. Should such a work-based sense of equity determine how all goods are distributed?

Karl Marx's communist vision of utopia entailed a very different FOR WHOM answer. The communist ideal is "From each according to his ability, to each according to his need." In that vision, all pitch in to bake the pie according to their abilities. Slices of the pie are distributed, however, based on need (hunger, desire) rather than on productive contributions. In a communal utopia there is no direct link between work and consumption.

**INCENTIVES** There is a risk entailed in distributing slices of the pie based on need rather than work effort. People who work hard to bake the pie may feel cheated if nonworkers get just as large a slice. Worse still, people may decide to exert less effort if they see no tangible reward to working. If that happens, the size of the pie may shrink, and everyone will be worse off.

This is the kind of problem income transfer programs create. Government-paid income transfers (e.g., welfare, unemployment benefits, Social Security) are intended to provide a slice of the pie to people who don't have enough income to satisfy basic needs. As benefits rise, however, the incentive to work diminishes. If people choose welfare checks over paychecks, total output will decline. This was the kind of dilemma that president Biden's "American Rescue Plan" had to grapple with in early 2021 (see News Wire "Budget Trade-offs").

## NEWS WIRE

## BUDGET TRADE-OFFS

### Biden Wants \$1.9 Trillion

Washington, DC—President Biden's "American Rescue Plan" calls for \$1.9 trillion in added spending to help the American economy recover from the COVID-19 devastation. Included in his plan is \$800 billion in direct payments to individuals: an additional \$1,400 per person in stimulus payments per person and an additional \$400 per week for unemployed workers. Critics say that is too much money. The stimulus payments and higher unemployment benefits will make going back to work less necessary and less profitable. Republicans in the Senate want lower direct payments and smaller unemployment insurance supplements.



Christos S/Shutterstock

Source: Media reports of January–February 2021.

**POLICY POINT:** Providing more income assistance helps people in need but also dampens incentives for people to work and help themselves. The HOW question entails difficult trade-offs.

The same problem emerges in the tax system. If Paul is heavily taxed to provide welfare benefits to Peter, Paul may decide that hard work and entrepreneurship don't pay. To the extent that taxes discourage work, production, or investment, they shrink the size of the pie that feeds all of us.

The potential trade-offs between taxes, income transfers, and work don't compel us to dismantle all tax and welfare programs. They do emphasize, however, how difficult it is to select the right answer to the FOR WHOM question. The *optimal* distribution of income must satisfy our sense of fairness as well as our desire for more output.

## THE MECHANISMS OF CHOICE

By now, two things should be apparent. First, every society has to make choices about WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM to produce. Second, those choices are difficult. ***Every choice involves conflicts and trade-offs.*** More of one good implies less of another. A more efficient production process may pollute the environment. Helping the poor may dull work incentives. In every case, society has to weigh the alternatives and try to find the best possible answer to each question.

How does "society" actually make such choices? What are the mechanisms we use to decide WHAT to produce, HOW, and FOR WHOM?

### The Political Process

Many of these basic economic decisions are made through the political process. Consider again the decision to increase the military share of output after 9/11. Who made that decision? Not me. Not you. Not the mass of consumers who were streaming through real and virtual malls. No, the decisions on military buildups and build-downs are made in the political arena: The U.S. Congress makes those decisions. Congress also makes decisions about how many interstate highways to build, how many Head Start classes to offer, and how much space exploration to pursue.

Should *all* decisions about WHAT to produce be made in the political arena? Should Congress also decide how much ice cream will be produced and how many Bluetooth earbuds? What about essentials like food and shelter? Should decisions about the production of those goods be made in Washington, DC, or should the mix of output be selected some other way?

### The Market Mechanism

The market mechanism offers an alternative decision-making process. In a market-driven economy, the process of selecting a mix of output is as familiar as grocery shopping. If you desire ice cream and have sufficient income, you simply buy ice cream. Your purchases signal to producers that ice cream is desired. By expressing the *ability and willingness to pay* for ice cream, you are telling ice cream producers that their efforts are going to be rewarded. If enough consumers feel the same way you do—and are able and willing to pay the price of ice cream—ice cream producers will churn out more ice cream.

The same kind of interaction helps determine which crops we grow. There is only so much good farmland available. Should we grow corn or beans? If consumers prefer corn, they will buy more corn and shun the beans. Farmers will get the market's message: They will devote more of their land to corn, cutting back on bean production. In the process, the mix of output will change—moving us closer to the choice consumers have made.

The central actor in this reshuffling of resources and outputs is the **market mechanism**. ***Market sales and prices send a signal to producers about what mix of output consumers want.*** If you want something and have sufficient income, you buy it. If enough people do the same thing, total sales of that product will rise, and perhaps its price will as well. Producers, seeing sales and prices rise, will want to increase production. To do so, they will acquire more resources and use them to change the mix of output. No direct communication between us and the producer is required; we don't need Twitter or Facebook to get our message transmitted. Instead, market sales and prices convey the message and direct the market, much like an "invisible hand."

**market mechanism** The use of market prices and sales to signal desired outputs (or resource allocations).

It was this ability of “the market” to select a desirable mix of output that so impressed the eighteenth-century economist Adam Smith. He argued that nations would prosper with less government interference and more reliance on the invisible hand of the marketplace. As he saw it, markets were efficient mechanisms for deciding what goods to produce, how to produce them, and even what wages to pay. Smith’s writings (*The Wealth of Nations*, 1776) urged government to pursue a policy of **laissez faire**—leaving the market alone to make basic economic decisions.

**laissez faire** The doctrine of “leave it alone,” of nonintervention by government in the market mechanism.

## Central Planning

Karl Marx saw things differently. In his view, a freewheeling marketplace would cater to the whims of the rich and neglect the needs of the poor. Rich consumers would get all the ice cream, while poor families survived on gruel. Workers would be exploited by industrial barons and great landowners. To “leave it to the market,” as Smith had proposed, would encourage exploitation. In the mid-nineteenth century, Karl Marx proposed a radical alternative: Overturn the power of the elite and create a communist state in which everyone’s needs would be fulfilled. Marx’s writings (*Das Kapital*, 1867) encouraged communist revolutions and the development of central planning systems. The (people’s) government, not the market, would decide what goods were produced, at what prices they were sold, and even who got them.

Central planning is still the principal mechanism of choice in some countries. In North Korea and Cuba, for example, the central planners decide how many cars and how much bread to produce. They then assign workers and other resources to those industries to implement their decisions. They also decide who will get the bread and the cars that are produced. The government also decides who goes to college and what subjects they can study. Private ownership of land and other resources is discouraged, even forbidden. The WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM outcomes are all directed by the central government.

## Mixed Economies

Few countries still depend so fully on central planners (government) to make basic economic decisions. China, Russia, and other formerly communist nations have turned over many decisions to the market mechanism. Likewise, no nation relies exclusively on markets to fashion economic outcomes. In the United States, for example, we let the market decide how much ice cream will be produced and how many cars. We use the political process, however, to decide how many highways to construct, how many schools to build, and how much military output to produce.

Because most nations use a combination of government directives and market mechanisms to determine economic outcomes, they are called **mixed economies**. There is huge variation in that mix, however. The government-dominated economic systems in North Korea, Cuba, Laos, and Libya are starkly different from the freewheeling economies of Singapore, Bahrain, New Zealand, and the United States.

**mixed economy** An economy that uses both market and nonmarket signals to allocate goods and resources.

## WHAT ECONOMICS IS ALL ABOUT

The different economic systems employed around the world are all intended to give the right answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions. It is apparent, however, that they don’t always succeed. We have too much poverty and too much pollution. There are often too few jobs and pitifully small paychecks. A third of the world’s population still lives in abject poverty.

Economists try to explain how these various outcomes emerge. Why are some nations so much more prosperous than others? What forces cause economic downturns in both rich and poor nations? What causes prices to go up and down so often? How can economies grow without destroying the environment?

## Market Failure

In studying these questions, economists recognize that neither markets nor governments always have the right answers. On the contrary, we know that a completely private market



*An unregulated market might generate too much pollution. Such a market failure requires government intervention.*

Paul Rushton/123RF

**market failure** A situation in which the market mechanism generates suboptimal economic outcomes; an imperfection in the market mechanism that prevents optimal outcomes.

**government failure** Government intervention that fails to improve economic outcomes.

**macroeconomics** The study of aggregate economic behavior, of the economy as a whole.

**microeconomics** The study of individual behavior in the economy, of the components of the larger economy.

economy can give us the *wrong* answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions on occasion. A completely free market economy might produce too many luxury cars and too few hospitals. Unregulated producers might destroy the environment. A freewheeling market economy might neglect the needs of the poor. When the market mechanism gives us these kinds of suboptimal answers, we say the market has *failed*. **Market failure** occurs when the market mechanism does not generate the best possible (optimal) answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions.

### Government Failure

When market failure occurs, there is usually a call for the government to “fix” the failure. This may or may not be a good response. Government intervention doesn’t always make things better. Indeed, economists warn that government intervention can fail as well. **Government failure** occurs when intervention fails to improve—or actually worsens—economic outcomes. The possibility of government failure is sufficient warning that *there is no guarantee that the visible hand of government will be any better than the invisible hand of the marketplace*.

Economists try to figure out when markets work well and when they are likely to fail. We also try to predict whether specific government interventions will improve economic outcomes—or make them worse.

### Macro versus Micro

The study of economics is typically divided into two parts: macroeconomics and microeconomics. Macroeconomics focuses on the behavior of an entire economy—the big picture. In macroeconomics we study such national goals as full employment, control of inflation, and economic growth, without worrying about the well-being or behavior of specific individuals or groups. The essential concern of **macroeconomics** is to understand and improve the performance of the economy as a whole.

**Microeconomics** is concerned with the details of this big picture. In microeconomics we focus on the individuals, firms, and government agencies that actually make up the larger economy. Our interest here is in the behavior of individual economic actors. What are their goals? How can they best achieve these goals with their limited resources? How will they respond to various incentives and opportunities?

A primary concern of macroeconomics, for example, is to determine the impact of aggregate consumer spending on total output, employment, and prices. Very little attention is devoted to the actual content of consumer spending or its determinants. Microeconomics, on the other hand, focuses on the specific expenditure decisions of individual consumers and the forces (tastes, prices, incomes) that influence those decisions.

The distinction between macro- and microeconomics is also reflected in discussions of business investment. In macroeconomics we want to know what determines the aggregate rate of business investment and how those expenditures influence the nation’s total output, employment, and prices. In microeconomics we focus on the decisions of individual businesses regarding the rate of production, the choice of factors of production, and the pricing of specific goods.

The distinction between macro- and microeconomics is a matter of convenience. In reality, macroeconomic outcomes depend on micro behavior, and micro behavior is affected by macro outcomes. Hence, we cannot fully understand how an economy works until we understand how all the participants behave and why they behave as they do. But just as you can drive a car without knowing how its engine is constructed, you can observe how an economy runs without completely disassembling it. In macroeconomics we observe that the car goes faster when the accelerator is depressed and that it slows when the brake is applied. That is all we need to know in most situations. There are times, however, when the car breaks down. When it does, we have to know something more about how the pedals work. This leads us into micro studies. How does each part work? Which ones can or should be fixed?

### Theory versus Reality

The distinction between macroeconomics and microeconomics is one of many simplifications we make in studying economic behavior. The economy is much too vast and complex



to describe and explain in one course (or one lifetime). Accordingly, we focus on basic relationships while ignoring unnecessary detail. What this means is that we formulate theories, or *models*, of economic behavior and then use those theories to evaluate and design economic policy.

The economic models that economists use to explain market behavior are like maps. To get from New York to Los Angeles, you don't need to know all the details of topography that lie between those two cities. Knowing where the interstate highways are is probably enough. An interstate route map therefore provides enough information to get you to your destination.

The same kind of simplification is used in economic models of consumer behavior. Such models assert that when the price of a good increases, consumers will buy less of it. In reality, however, people *may* buy *more* of a good at increased prices, especially if those high prices create a certain snob appeal or if prices are expected to increase still further. In predicting consumer responses to price increases, we typically ignore such possibilities by *assuming* that the price of the good in question is the *only* thing that changes. This assumption of "other things remaining equal (unchanged)" (in Latin, *ceteris paribus*) allows us to make straightforward predictions. If instead we described consumer responses to increased prices in any and all circumstances (allowing everything to change at once), every prediction would be accompanied by a book full of exceptions and qualifications. We would look more like lawyers than economists.

***ceteris paribus*** The assumption that nothing else changes.

Although the assumption of *ceteris paribus* makes it easier to formulate economic theory and policy, it also increases the risk of error. Obviously, if other things do change in significant ways, our predictions (and policies) may fail. But like weather forecasters, we continue to make predictions, knowing that occasional failure is inevitable. In so doing, we are motivated by the conviction that it is better to be approximately right than to be dead wrong.

## Politics versus Economics

Politicians cannot afford to be quite so complacent about predictions. Policy decisions must be made every day. And a politician's continued tenure in office may depend on being more than approximately right. Economists contribute to those policy decisions by offering measures of economic impact and predictions of economic behavior. But in the real world, those measures and predictions always contain a substantial margin of error.

Even if the future were known, economic policy could not rely completely on economic theory. There are always political choices to be made. The choice of more consumer goods ("butter") or more military hardware ("guns"), for example, is not an economic decision. Rather it is a sociopolitical decision based in part on communal preferences and in part on economic trade-offs (opportunity costs). The "need" for more butter or more guns must be expressed politically—ends versus means again. Political forces (societal preferences) are a necessary ingredient in economic policy decisions.

Both politics and economics are involved in the continuing debate regarding the merits of a laissez faire approach versus government intervention. The pendulum has swung from laissez faire (Adam Smith) to central government control (Karl Marx) and to an ill-defined middle ground where the government assumes major responsibilities for economic stability (John Maynard Keynes) and for answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions. In the 1980s, the Reagan administration pushed the pendulum a bit closer to laissez faire by cutting taxes, reducing government regulation, and encouraging market incentives.

President Clinton thought the government should play a more active role in resolving basic economic issues. His "Vision for America" spelled out a bigger role for government in ensuring health care, providing skills training, protecting the environment, and regulating working conditions. In this vision, well-intentioned government officials could correct market failures. President George W. Bush favored less government intervention and more reliance on the market mechanism. President Obama moved the pendulum back: He made it clear that he believed *more* government intervention and *less* market reliance were needed to attain the right WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM answers. The pendulum reversed direction again with the election of Donald Trump in 2016. Trump believed that government interference in market decisions made the economy worse off, not better. He dismantled a slew of

government regulations and increased incentives for private investment. President Biden moved the pendulum in the opposite direction yet again, believing that the government could improve on the market's answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions.

The debate over markets versus government persists in part because of gaps in our economic understanding. For over 200 years economists have been arguing about what makes the economy tick. None of the competing theories have performed spectacularly well. Indeed, few economists have successfully predicted major economic events with any consistency. Even annual forecasts of inflation, unemployment, and output are regularly in error. Worse still, there are never-ending arguments about what caused a major economic event long after it occurred. In fact, economists are still arguing over the causes of not only the Great Recession of 2008–2009 but even the Great Depression of the 1930s! Did government failure or market failure cause and deepen those economic setbacks?

### Modest Expectations

In view of all these debates and uncertainties, you should not expect to learn everything there is to know about the economy in this text or course. Our goals are more modest. We want you to develop a fresh perspective on economic behavior and an understanding of basic principles. With this foundation, you should acquire a better view of how the economy works. Daily news reports on economic events should start to make more sense. Political debates on tax, budget, and environmental policies should take on more meaning. You may even develop some insights that you can apply toward running a business or planning a career.

## POLICY PERSPECTIVES

### Is “Free” Health Care Really Free?

Everyone wants more and better health care, and nearly everyone agrees that even the poorest members of society need reliable access to doctors and hospitals. President Biden made health care a priority in his first year. He wanted the federal government to provide enough money to get everyone vaccinated against COVID-19. Looking beyond that immediate crisis, he proposed to expand health care for seniors, veterans, Native Americans, substance abusers, and low-income families. In his view, every American should be assured fair and affordable access to health care.

Were health care a free good, everyone would have welcomed President Biden's proposals. But the most fundamental concept in economics is this: **There is no free lunch.** Resources used to prepare and serve even a “free” lunch could be used to produce something else. So it is with health care. The resources used to expand health care services could be used to produce something else. The **opportunity costs** of expanded health care are the other goods we could have produced (and consumed) with the same resources.

Figure 1.6 illustrates the basic policy dilemma. In 2020 health care services absorbed about 18 percent of total U.S. output. So the *mix* of output resembled point  $X_1$ , where  $H_1$  amount of health care is produced and  $O_1$  of other goods. At  $H_1$  millions of Americans had no health insurance and were not receiving adequate care. So, President Biden wanted to *increase* health care access and services. His goal was to increase the quantity of health services from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ .


If health care were a free good, no one would object to raising the quantity of health care from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . But health care isn't a free good: It absorbs resources that could be used to produce other goods. We can't move the mix of output from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  (i.e., get more health care without giving up other goods). The production possibilities curve tells us we can get more health care only by reducing the output of other goods (i.e., by moving from the output mix  $X_1$  to the mix  $X_3$ ). At  $X_3$  we have more health care ( $H_2$ ) but fewer other goods ( $O_2$ ) than we had before. That's the policy dilemma. What other goods will be sacrificed, and who will absorb the loss?



Health care isn't free.

Royalty-Free/CORBIS





**FIGURE 1.6**  
**No Free Health Care**

Health care absorbs resources that can be used to produce other goods. Increasing health care services from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  requires a reduction in the production of other goods from  $O_1$  to  $O_2$ .

## SUMMARY

- Every nation confronts the three basic economic questions of WHAT to produce, HOW, and FOR WHOM. **LO3**
- The need to select a single mix of output (WHAT) is necessitated by our limited capacity to produce. Scarcity results when our wants exceed our resources. **LO1**
- The production possibilities curve illustrates the limits to output dictated by available factors of production and technology. Points on the curve represent the different output mixes that we may choose. **LO1**
- All production entails an opportunity cost: We can produce more of output  $A$  only if we produce less of output  $B$ . The implied reduction in output  $B$  is the opportunity cost of output  $A$ . **LO2**
- The HOW question focuses on the choice of what inputs and technologies to use in production. It also encompasses choices made about environmental protection. **LO3**
- The FOR WHOM question concerns the distribution of output among members of society. **LO3**
- The goal of every society is to select the best possible (optimal) answers to the WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM questions. The optimal answers will vary with social values and production capabilities. **LO3**
- The three questions can be answered by the market mechanism, by a system of central planning, or by a mixed system of market signals and government intervention. **LO4**
- Price signals are the key feature of the market mechanism. Consumers signal their desires for specific goods by paying a price for those goods. Producers respond to the price signal by assembling factors of production to produce the desired output. **LO4**
- Market failure occurs when the market mechanism generates the wrong mix of output, undesirable methods of production, or an inequitable distribution of income. Government intervention may fail, too, however, by not improving (or even worsening) economic outcomes. **LO5**
- The study of economics focuses on the broad question of resource allocation. Macroeconomics is concerned with allocating the resources of an entire economy to achieve broad economic goals (e.g., full employment). Microeconomics focuses on the behavior and goals of individual market participants. **LO3**

## TERMS TO REMEMBER

Define the following terms:

economics	production possibilities	laissez faire	macroeconomics
opportunity cost	investment	mixed economy	microeconomics
factors of production	economic growth	market failure	<i>ceteris paribus</i>
scarcity	market mechanism	government failure	

## QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. As rich as America is, how can our resources possibly be “scarce”? **LO1**
2. What opportunity costs did you incur in reading this chapter? **LO2**
3. How would you answer the question in the News Wire “Future Living Standards”? Why? **LO3**
4. How can China’s army be twice as large as North Korea’s when China spends only 1.9 percent of its output on the military and North Korea spends 19 percent? **LO1**
5. In a purely private market economy, how is the FOR WHOM question answered? Is that optimal? **LO3**
6. Why doesn’t North Korea reduce its military and put more resources into food production (News Wire “Opportunity Cost”)? What is the optimal mix of “guns” and “butter” for a nation? **LO3**
7. Why would anyone object to giving unemployed workers an extra \$400 a week, as President Biden proposed in early 2021? **LO3**
8. What kind of knowledge must central planners possess to manage an economy efficiently? **LO4**
9. **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** Why can’t we produce at point  $X_2$  in Figure 1.6? Will we ever get there? **LO5**
10. **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** To get from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  in Figure 1.6, per President Biden’s proposals, entails a reduction in the output of “other goods.” How do higher taxes help that transition? **LO2**

## PROBLEMS



1. Iceland has no military. **LO2**
  - (a) So, at what point in Figure 1.1 is Iceland producing?
  - (b) If Iceland decided to produce the quantity  $OE$  of military goods, how much consumer output would it have to give up?
2. What percentage of total U.S. output consisted of military goods? **LO2**
  - (a) in 1944? (Figure 1.2)
  - (b) in 2020? (Figure 1.2)
3. Draw a production possibilities curve based on Table 1.1, labeling combinations  $A$ – $F$ . What is the opportunity cost of increasing missile production **LO2**
  - (a) from 50 to 100?
  - (b) from 100 to 150?
4. Assume that it takes four hours of labor time to paint a room and two hours to sand a floor. If 24 hours were spent painting: **LO1**
  - (a) How many rooms could be painted?
  - (b) If a decision were made to sand two floors, how many painted rooms would have been given up?
  - (c) Illustrate with a production possibilities curve.
5. Assume that it takes four hours of labor time to paint a room and two hours to sand a floor. If two workers each spends 24 hours painting: **LO2**
  - (a) How many rooms could be painted?
  - (b) If a decision were made to only sand floors, how many floors could be sanded?
  - (c) Illustrate with a production possibilities curve.
6. North Korea has a population of 26 million people, of whom 1.3 million are in the military. South Korea has an army of 560,000 out of a population of 52 million. What percentage of the population is in the military in: **LO2**
  - (a) North Korea?
  - (b) South Korea?
7. The table below describes the production possibilities confronting an economy. Using that information: **LO3**
  - (a) Calculate the opportunity costs of building hospitals.
  - (b) Draw the production possibilities curve.
  - (c) Why can’t more of both outputs be produced?
  - (d) Which point on the curve is the most desired one?

Potential Output Combinations	Homeless Shelters	Hospitals
$A$	20	0
$B$	17	1
$C$	13	2
$D$	7	3
$E$	0	4

8. In 2020, the dollar value of total output was roughly \$40 billion in North Korea and \$1,600 billion in South Korea. South Korea devoted 2.5 percent of its output to defense, and North Korea devoted 19.0 percent of its output to defense. **LO3**
  - (a) How much does North Korea spend on its military (in dollars)?
  - (b) Which nation spends more (in dollars)?
9. According to the News Wire “Opportunity Cost,” what is the opportunity cost of a single missile test in terms of corn for each of North Korea’s 26 million people? **LO4**
10. **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** In Figure 1.6, **LO5**
  - (a) If as much health care as possible is provided, how many other goods will be provided?
  - (b) What is the opportunity cost of increasing health care from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ ?

11. **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** Suppose the following data reflect the production possibilities for providing health care and education: **LO5**

	Units per Year						
Health care	400	370	330	270	190	100	0
Education	0	20	40	50	60	70	80

- (a) Graph the production possibilities curve.  
 (b) If maximum health care is provided, how much education will be provided?  
 (c) What is the opportunity cost of increasing health care from 190 to 270 units?

## APPENDIX—USING GRAPHS

Economists like to draw graphs. In fact, we didn't even make it through the first chapter without a few graphs. The purpose of this appendix is to look more closely at the way graphs are drawn and used.

The basic purpose of a graph is to illustrate a relationship between two *variables*. Consider, for example, the relationship between grades and studying. In general, you expect that additional hours of study time will result in higher grades. If true, you should be able to see a distinct relationship between hours of study time and grade point average. In other words, there should be some empirical evidence that study time matters.

Suppose we actually tracked study times and grades for all the students taking this course. The resulting information might resemble the data in Table A.1.

According to the table, students who don't study at all can expect an F in this course. To get a C, the average student apparently spends eight hours a week studying. All those who study 16 hours a week end up with an A in the course.

These relationships between grades and studying can also be illustrated on a graph. Indeed, the whole purpose of a graph is to summarize numerical relationships in a visual way.


We begin to construct a graph by drawing horizontal and vertical boundaries, as in Figure A.1. These boundaries are called the *axes* of the graph. On the vertical axis we measure one of the variables; the other variable is measured on the horizontal axis.

In this case, we shall measure the grade point average on the vertical axis. We start at the *origin* (the intersection of the two axes) and count upward, letting the distance between horizontal lines represent half (0.5) a grade point. Each horizontal line is numbered, up to the maximum grade point average of 4.0.

**TABLE A.1**  
Hypothetical  
Relationship of Grades  
to Study Time

These data suggest that grades improve with increased study time.

Study Time (Hours per Week)	Grade Point Average
16	4.0 (A)
14	3.5 (B+)
12	3.0 (B)
10	2.5 (C+)
8	2.0 (C)
6	1.5 (D+)
4	1.0 (D)
2	0.5 (F+)
0	0 (F)



**FIGURE A.1**  
The Relationship of  
Grades to Study Time

The upward (positive) slope of the curve indicates that additional studying is associated with higher grades. The average student (2.0, or C grade) studies eight hours per week. This is indicated by point M on the graph.

The number of hours each week spent doing homework is measured on the horizontal axis. We begin at the origin again, and count to the right. The *scale* (numbering) proceeds in increments of 1 hour, up to 20 hours per week.

When both axes have been labeled and measured, we can begin to illustrate the relationship between study time and grades. Consider the typical student who does eight hours of homework per week and has a 2.0 (C) grade point average. We illustrate this relationship by first locating eight hours on the horizontal axis. We then move up from that point a distance of 2.0 grade points, to point *M*. Point *M* tells us that eight hours of study time per week is typically associated with a 2.0 grade point average.

The rest of the information in Table A.1 is drawn (or *plotted*) on the graph in the same way. To illustrate the average grade for people who study 12 hours per week, we move upward from the number 12 on the horizontal axis until we reach the height of 3.0 on the vertical axis. At that intersection, we draw another point (point *N*).

Once we have plotted the various points describing the relationship of study time to grades, we may connect them with a line or curve. This line (curve) is our summary. In this case, the line slopes upward to the right—that is, it has a *positive* slope. This slope indicates that more hours of study time are associated with *higher* grades. Were higher grades associated with *less* study time, the curve in Figure A.1 would have a *negative* slope (downward from left to right)—a puzzling outcome.

## Slopes

The upward slope of Figure A.1 not only tells us that more studying raises your grade, it also tells us *by how much* grades rise with study time. According to point *M* in Figure A.1, the average student studies eight hours per week and earns a C (2.0 grade point average). In order to earn a B (3.0 grade point average), a student apparently needs to study an average of 12 hours per week (point *N*). Hence, an *increase* of four hours of study time per week is associated with a 1-point *increase* in grade point average. This relationship between *changes* in study time and *changes* in grade point average is expressed by the steepness, or *slope*, of the graph.

The slope of any graph is calculated as

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{\text{vertical distance between two points}}{\text{horizontal distance between two points}}$$

Some people simplify this by saying

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{\text{the rise}}{\text{the run}}$$


In our example, the vertical distance (the “rise”) between points *M* and *N* represents a change in grade point average. The horizontal distance (the “run”) between these two points represents the change in study time. Hence, the slope of the graph between points *M* and *N* is equal to

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{3.0 \text{ grade} - 2.0 \text{ grade}}{12 \text{ hours} - 8 \text{ hours}} = \frac{1 \text{ grade point}}{4 \text{ hours}}$$

In other words, a four-hour increase in study time (from 8 to 12 hours) is associated with a 1-point increase in grade point average (see Figure A.1).

## Shifts

The relationship between grades and studying illustrated in Figure A.1 is not inevitable. It is simply a graphical illustration of student experiences, as revealed in our hypothetical survey. The relationship between study time and grades could be quite different.



**FIGURE A.2**  
**A Shift**

When a relationship between two variables changes, the entire curve *shifts*. In this case a tougher grading policy alters the relationship between study time and grades. To get a C, one must now study 12 hours per week (point R), not just 8 hours (point M).

Suppose that the university decided to raise grading standards, making it more difficult to achieve good grades. To achieve a C, a student now would need to study 12 hours per week, not just 8 (as in Figure A.1). To get a B, you now have to study 16 hours, not the previous norm of only 12 hours per week.

Figure A.2 illustrates the new grading standards. Notice that the new curve lies to the right of the earlier curve. We say that the curve has *shifted* to reflect a change in the relationship between study time and grades. Point R indicates that 12 hours of study time now “produces” a C, not a B (point N on the old curve). Students who now study only four hours per week (point S) will fail. Under the old grading policy, they could have at least gotten a D. *When a curve shifts, the underlying relationship between the two variables has changed.*

A shift may also change the slope of the curve. In Figure A.2, the new grading curve is parallel to the old one; it therefore has the same slope. Under either the new grading policy or the old one, a four-hour increase in study time leads to a 1-point increase in grades. Therefore, the slope of both curves in Figure A.2 is

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{\text{vertical change}}{\text{horizontal change}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

This, too, may change, however. Figure A.3 illustrates such a possibility. In this case, zero study time still results in an F. But now the payoff for additional studying is reduced. Now it takes six hours of study time to get a D (1.0 grade point), not four hours as before. Likewise, another four hours of study time (to a total of 10) raises the grade by only two-thirds of a point.


It takes six hours to raise the grade a full point. The slope of the new line is therefore

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{\text{vertical change}}{\text{horizontal change}} = \frac{1}{6}$$

The new curve in Figure A.3 has a smaller slope than the original curve and so lies below it. What all this means is that it now takes a greater effort to *improve* your grade.

**FIGURE A.3**  
**A Change in Slope**

When a curve shifts, it may change its slope as well. In this case, a new grading policy makes each higher grade more difficult to achieve. To raise a C to a B, for example, one must study six additional hours (compare points J and K). Earlier it took only four hours to move up the grade scale a full point. The slope of the line has declined from  $0.25 (= 1 \div 4)$  to  $0.17 (= 1 \div 6)$ .




### Linear versus Nonlinear Curves

In Figures A.1–A.3, the relationship between grades and studying is represented by a straight line—that is, a *linear* curve. A distinguishing feature of linear curves is that they have the same (constant) slope throughout. In Figure A.1, it appears that *every* four-hour increase in study time is associated with a 1-point increase in average grades. In Figure A.3, it appears that every six-hour increase in study time leads to a 1-point increase in grades.

In reality, the relationship between studying and grades may not be linear. Higher grades may be more difficult to attain. You may be able to raise a C to a B by studying six hours more per week. But it may be harder to raise a B to an A. According to Figure A.4,

**FIGURE A.4**  
**A Nonlinear Relationship**

Straight lines have a constant slope, implying a constant relationship between the two variables. But the relationship (and slope) may vary. In this case it takes six extra hours of study to raise a C (point W) to a B (point X) but eight extra hours to raise a B to an A (point Y). The slope is decreasing as we move up the curve.






it takes an additional *eight* hours of studying to raise a B to an A. Thus, the relationship between study time and grades is *nonlinear* in Figure A.4; the slope of the curve *changes* as study time increases. In this case, the slope decreases as study time increases. Grades continue to improve, but not so fast, as more and more time is devoted to homework. You may know the feeling.

### Causation

Figure A.4 does not itself guarantee that your grade point average will rise if you study four more hours per week. In fact, the graph drawn in Figure A.4 does not prove that additional study ever results in higher grades. The graph is only a summary of empirical observations. It says nothing about cause and effect. It could be that students who study a lot are smarter to begin with. If so, then less able students might not get higher grades if they studied harder. In other words, the *cause* of higher grades is debatable. At best, the empirical relationship summarized in the graph may be used to support a particular theory (e.g., that it pays to study more). Graphs, like tables, charts, and other statistical media, rarely tell their own stories; rather, they must be *interpreted* in terms of some underlying theory or expectation. That's when the real fun starts.

## CHAPTER

## 2



*The world's largest economy produces millions of products.*

Olga Serdyuk/Microolga/123RF

# The U.S. Economy

## LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this chapter, you should be able to:

- 1 Explain how an economy's size is measured.
- 2 Describe the absolute and relative size of the U.S. economy.
- 3 Explain why the U.S. economy can produce so much.
- 4 Recount how the mix of U.S. output has changed over time.
- 5 **POLICY PERSPECTIVES** Describe how (un)equally incomes are distributed.

We are surrounded by the economy but never really see it. We see only fragments, never the entirety. We see boutiques at the mall, never total retail sales. We visit virtual stores in cyberspace but can't begin to describe the dimensions of e-commerce. We pump gas at the service station but have no notion of how many millions of barrels of oil are consumed each day. We know every detail on our paychecks but don't have a clue about how much income the entire workforce earns. Most of us have no idea how our own income stacks up against that of the average U.S. household, much less that of earlier generations or other nations. Such details simply aren't a part of our daily agendas. For most people, the "economy" is just a vague reference to a mass of meaningless statistics.

The intent of this chapter is to provide a more user-friendly picture of the U.S. economy. This profile of the economy is organized around the three core questions of WHAT, HOW, and FOR WHOM. Our interest here is to see how these questions are answered at present in the United States—that is,

- WHAT goods and services does the United States produce?
- HOW is that output produced?
- FOR WHOM is the output produced?

We focus on the big picture without going into too much statistical detail. Along the way, we'll see how the U.S. economy stacks up against other nations. ■

## WHAT AMERICA PRODUCES

In Chapter 1 we used the two-dimensional production possibilities curve to describe WHAT output combinations can be produced. In reality, the mix of output includes so many different products that we could never fit them on a graph. We can, however, sketch what the U.S. mix of output looks like and how it has changed over the years.

### How Much Output

The first challenge in describing the actual output of an economy is to somehow add up the millions of different products produced each year into a meaningful summary. The production possibilities curve in Chapter 1 did this in *physical* terms for only two products (see Figure 1.1). We ended up at a specific mix of output with precise quantities of two goods. In principle we could list all of the millions of products produced each year. But such a list would be longer than this textbook and a lot less useful. We need a summary measure of how much is produced.

The top panel of Table 2.1 illustrates the problem of obtaining a summary measure of output. Even if we produced only three products—oranges, disposable razors, and video

Output	Amount
Measuring output	
... in physical terms	
Oranges	6 billion
Disposable razors	3 billion
Video games	70 million
Total	?
... in monetary terms	
6 billion oranges @ 20¢ each	\$1.2 billion
3 billion razors @ 30¢ each	0.9 billion
70 million games @ \$30 each	2.1 billion
Total	\$4.2 billion

**TABLE 2.1**  
Measuring Output

It is impossible to add up all output when it is counted in *physical terms*. Accordingly, total output is measured in *monetary terms*, with each good or service valued at its market price.

GDP refers to the total market value of all goods and services produced in a given time period. According to the numbers in this table, the total *value* of the oranges, razors, and video games produced is \$4.2 billion.



**gross domestic product (GDP)** The total value of final goods and services produced within a nation's borders in a given time period.

**nominal GDP** The total value of goods and services produced within a nation's borders, measured in current prices.

**real GDP** The inflation-adjusted value of GDP; the value of output measured in constant prices.

games—there is no obvious way of summarizing total output in *physical* terms. Should we count *units* of output? In that case oranges would appear to be the most important good produced. Should we count the *weight* of different products? In that case video game software would not count at all. Should we tally their *sizes*? Clearly *physical* measures of output aren't easy to aggregate.

If we use monetary *value* instead of physical units to compute total output, the accounting chore is much easier. In a market economy, every product commands a specific price. Hence, the value of each product can be observed easily. *By multiplying the physical output of each good by its price, we can determine the total value of each good produced.* Notice in the bottom panel of Table 2.1 how easily the separate values for the output of oranges, razors, and video games can be added up. The resultant sum (\$4.2 billion, in this case) is a measure of the *value* of total output.

**GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT** The summary measure of output most frequently used is called **gross domestic product (GDP)**. *GDP refers to the total value of all final goods and services produced in a country during a given time period: It is a summary measure of a nation's output.* GDP enables us to add oranges and razors and even video games into a meaningful summary of economic activity (see Table 2.1). The U.S. Department of Commerce actually does this kind of accounting every calendar quarter. Those quarterly GDP reports tell us how much output the economy is producing.

**REAL GDP** Although GDP is a convenient summary of how much output is being produced, it can be misleading. GDP is based on both physical output and prices. Accordingly, from one year to the next either rising prices or an increase in physical output could cause **nominal GDP** to increase.

Notice in Table 2.2 what happens when all prices double. The measured value of total output also doubles—from \$4.2 to \$8.4 billion. That sounds like an impressive jump in output. In reality, however, no more goods are being produced; *physical quantities* are unchanged. So the apparent jump in *nominal* GDP is an illusion caused by rising prices (inflation).

To provide a clearer picture of how much output we are producing, GDP numbers must be adjusted for inflation. These inflation adjustments delete the effects of rising prices by valuing output in *constant* prices. The end result of this effort is referred to as **real GDP**, an inflation-adjusted measure of total output.

In 2020 the U.S. economy produced \$21 *trillion* of output. That was a lot of oranges, razors, and video games—not to mention the tens of thousands of other goods and services produced.

**INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS** The \$21 trillion of output that the United States produced in 2020 looks particularly impressive in a global context. The output of the entire world in that year was only \$88 trillion. Hence, the U.S. economy produces more than

**TABLE 2.2**  
**Inflation Adjustments**

If prices rise, so does the *value* of output. In this example, the *nominal* value of output doubles from Year 1 to Year 2 solely as a result of price increases; physical output remains unchanged. *Real* GDP corrects for such changing price levels. In this case *real* GDP in Year 2, measured in Year 1 prices, is unchanged at \$4.2 billion.

Product	Physical Output		Unit Prices		Value of Output (billions)		
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 2
					(@Year 1 Prices)	(@Year 2 Prices)	(@Year 1 Prices)
Oranges	6 billion	6 billion	\$0.20	\$0.40	\$1.2	\$2.4	\$1.2
Razors	3 billion	3 billion	0.30	0.60	0.9	1.8	0.9
Video games	70 million	70 million	30.00	60.00	2.1	4.2	2.1
					\$4.2	\$8.4	\$4.2
						Nominal Value	Real Value

Year 1 total = \$4.2 billion

Year 2 total = \$8.4 billion

20 percent of the entire planet's output. With less than 5 percent of the world's population, that's a remarkable feat. It clearly establishes the United States as the world's economic giant.

Figure 2.1 provides some specific country comparisons for a recent year. The U.S. economy is four times larger than Japan's, which is the world's third largest. It is more than 16 times larger than Mexico's. In fact, the U.S. economy is so large that its output exceeds by a wide margin the *combined* production of *all* the countries in Africa and South America.


**PER CAPITA GDP** Another way of putting these trillion-dollar figures into perspective is to relate them to individuals. This can be done by dividing a nation's total GDP by its population, a calculation that yields **per capita GDP**. Per capita GDP tells us how much output is potentially available to the average person. If we think of GDP again as a massive pie, per capita GDP would reveal the size of the average slice we could dole out. It doesn't tell us how large or small a slice anyone will get; it just tells us what the *average* person *could* get if the pie were sliced evenly. *Per capita GDP is an indicator of how much output each person would get if all output were divided evenly among the population.*

**per capita GDP** Total GDP divided by total population; average GDP.

In 2020 per capita GDP in the United States was approximately \$63,000—more than five times the world average. Individual country comparisons are even more startling. In Sierra Leone, Afghanistan, and Haiti, per capita incomes are less than \$2,000—less than \$6 per day. *Homeless* people in the United States fare better than that—typically *much* better. Americans classified as poor have more food, more shelter, and more amenities than most people in the less developed nations even hope for. That is the reality depicted in the statistics of Table 2.3 and the accompanying photos.

### FIGURE 2.1 How Much Output Nations Produce

The United States is by far the world's largest economy. America's annual output of goods and services is four times that of Japan and equal to all of Western Europe. The output of less developed countries is only a tiny fraction of U.S. output.



Source: *Gross Domestic Product 2020*, The World Bank Group, March 2021.