Reilly ▪ Brown ▪ Leeds

Investment Analysis & Portfolio Management

Eleventh Edition

α	alpha coefficient, a measure of a portfolio's "value added" return.
ABS	asset-backed securities.
APT	arbitrage pricing theory.
AUM	assets under management.
β	beta coefficient, a measure of an asset's systematic riskiness.
C	call option value.
CAPM	capital asset pricing model.
CAR	cumulative average residuals.
CF	cash flow; CF_t is cash flow in period t.
CML	capital market line.
Cov_{ij}	covariance of the returns between assets i and j.
D	dividend per share of stock; D_t is dividend per share during period t.
D_p	Macaulay duration measure of portfolio p.
DDM	dividend discount model.
€	euro currency.
$EBIT$	earnings before interest and taxes.
$EBITDA$	earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization.
EMH	efficient market hypothesis.
EPS	earnings per share.
$E(R)$	expected return; $E(R_t)$ is the expected return during period t.
ETY	equivalent taxable yield.
F	futures or forward contract delivery price.
FV	future value.
$FVIF$	future value interest factor for a lump sum.
$FVIFA$	future value interest factor for an annuity.
FX	foreign exchange.
GM	geometric mean.
g	growth rate in earnings, dividends, or stock prices.
h	hedge ratio.
HML	value-growth ("high minus low") risk factor.
HPR	holding period return.
HPY	holding period yield.
I	rate of inflation. $E(I)$ is the expected rate of inflation.
IR_p	information ratio portfolio performance measure.
MBS	mortgage-backed securities.

k	required rate of return.
£	pound (United Kingdom currency).
NAV	net asset value.
OAS	option-adjusted spread.
P	price of a share of stock or put option; P_0 is the current price.
P/BV	price/book value ratio.
P/CF	price/cash flow ratio.
P/E	price/earnings ratio.
PPP	purchasing power parity.
P/S	price/sales ratio.
PV	present value.
$PVIF$	present value interest factor for a lump sum.
$PVIFA$	present value interest factor for an annuity.
r_{ij}	correlation coefficient between assets i and j.
RFR	rate of return on a risk-free asset.
ROA	return on assets.
ROE	return on equity.
RR	fraction of a firm's earnings retained rather than paid out. It is equal to $(I - D/E)$, where D/E is the ratio of dividends (D) to earnings (E).
S_p	Sharpe ratio portfolio performance measure.
SMB	size ("small minus big") risk factor.
SML	security market line.
Σ	summation sign (capital sigma).
σ	standard deviation (lowercase sigma).
σ_{ij}	covariance between returns for security i and j.
t	tax rate or time when used as a subscript (e.g., D_t is the dividend in a year t).
T	time to expiration.
TE	tracking error.
V	value of an asset; V_j is the value of asset j.
W_i	proportion of portfolio invested in asset i.
$WACC$	weighted average cost of capital.
X	option of exercise price.
¥	yen (Japanese currency).
YTC	yield to call.
YTM	yield to maturity.

MINDTAP
From Cengage

Fit your coursework into your hectic life.

Make the most of your time by learning your way. Access the resources you need to succeed wherever, whenever.

- Get more from your time online with an easy-to-follow five-step learning path.

- Stay focused with an all-in-one-place, integrated presentation of course content.

- Get the free MindTap Mobile App and learn wherever you are.

Break limitations. Create your own potential, and be unstoppable with MindTap.

MINDTAP. POWERED BY YOU.

CENGAGE

Reilly ▪ Brown ▪ Leeds

Investment Analysis & Portfolio Management

Eleventh Edition

Investment Analysis & Portfolio Management

ELEVENTH EDITION

FRANK K. REILLY
University of Notre Dame

KEITH C. BROWN
University of Texas at Austin

SANFORD J. LEEDS
University of Texas at Austin

CENGAGE

Australia • Brazil • Mexico • Singapore • United Kingdom • United States

CENGAGE

To my best friend & wife,
Therese,
and the greatest gifts and
sources of our happiness,
Frank K. III, Charlotte, and Lauren
Clarence R. II, Michelle, Sophie, and Cara
Therese B. and Denise Z.
Edgar B., Lisa, Kayleigh, Madison J. T., Francesca, and Alessandra
—F. K. R.

To Sheryl, Alexander, and Andrew, who make it all worthwhile
—K. C. B.

To Jenny, Jay, John, and Genet, who bring meaning and happiness to my life.
—S. J. L.

Brief Contents

Contents

PART 2   Developments in Investment Theory   123

PART 3   Valuation and Management of Common Stocks   249

Preface

The pleasure of authoring a textbook comes from writing about a subject that we enjoy and find exciting. As authors, we hope that we can pass on to the reader not only knowledge but also the excitement that we feel for the topic. In addition, writing about investments brings an added stimulant because the subject can affect the reader during his or her entire business career and beyond. We hope that what readers derive from this course will help them enjoy better lives through managing their financial resources properly.

The purpose of this book is to help you learn how to manage your money so you will derive the maximum benefit from what you earn. To accomplish this purpose, you need to learn about the many investment alternatives that are available today and, what is more important, to develop a way of analyzing and thinking about investments that will remain with you in the years ahead when new and different investment opportunities become available.

Because of its dual purpose, the book mixes description and theory. The descriptive material discusses available investment instruments and considers the purpose and operation of capital markets in the United States and around the world. The theoretical portion details how you should evaluate current investments and future opportunities to develop a portfolio of investments that will satisfy your risk–return objectives. We feel that this marriage of theory and practice in the exposition will serve you quite well in both your professional careers and personal lives as investors.

Preparing this 11th edition has been challenging for at least two reasons. First, we continue to experience rapid changes in the securities markets in terms of theory, new financial instruments, innovative trading practices, and the effects of significant macroeconomic disruptions and the numerous regulatory changes that inevitably follow. Second, capital markets are continuing to become very global in nature. Consequently, to ensure that you are prepared to function in a global environment, almost every chapter discusses how investment practice or theory is influenced by the globalization of investments and capital markets. This completely integrated treatment is meant to ensure that you develop a broad mindset on investments that will serve you well in the 21st century.

Intended Market

This text is addressed to both graduate and advanced undergraduate students who are looking for an in-depth discussion of investments and portfolio management. The presentation of the material is intended to be rigorous and empirical, without being overly quantitative. A proper discussion of the modern developments in investments and portfolio theory must be rigorous. The discussion of numerous empirical studies reflects the belief that it is essential for alternative investment theories to be exposed to the real world and be judged on the basis of how well they help us understand and explain reality.

Key Features of the 11th Edition

When planning the 11th edition of *Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management*, we wanted to retain its traditional strengths and capitalize on new developments in the investments area to make it the most comprehensive and accessible investments textbook available. To achieve that goal, we have made a number of modifications to this edition.

First and foremost, we have considerably streamlined our presentation of the material from previous editions. Most notably, we have been able to compress our treatment of these important topics into 18 chapters, compared to the 25 chapters contained in the 10th edition. Importantly, we have not removed any content that we consider vital to a thorough understanding of investment management; rather, we have condensed and rearranged our presentations in a more effective way. An example of this is the section on equity valuation and management, which previously spanned six separate chapters but now is contained in Chapters 8–11.

Second, the current edition maintains its unparalleled international coverage. Investing knows no borders, and although the total integration of domestic and global investment opportunities may seem to contradict the need for separate discussions of international issues, it in fact makes the need for specific information on non-U.S. markets, instruments, conventions, and techniques even more compelling.

Third, both technology and regulations have caused more significant changes during the past decade in the functioning and organization of global security markets than during the prior 50 years. Chapter 3 contains a detailed discussion of this evolution and the results for global markets, and Chapter 2 describes how specific security innovations and asset allocation practices have been affected by these changes.

Fourth, today's investing environment includes derivative securities not as exotic anomalies but as standard investment instruments. We felt that *Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management* must reflect that reality. Consequently, our three chapters on derivatives (Chapters 14–16) are written to provide the reader with an intuitive, clear discussion of the different instruments, their markets, valuation, trading strategies, and general use as risk management and return enhancement tools.

Finally, we have updated and expanded the set of questions and problems at the end of each chapter to provide more student practice on executing computations concerned with more sophisticated investment problems. These problems are also available in an interactive format through the online resource described below.

Major Content Changes in the 11th Edition

The text has been thoroughly updated for currency as well as condensed for the sake of brevity. In addition to these time-related revisions, we have also made the following specific changes to individual chapters:

Chapter 1 This introductory discussion has been revised and updated to reflect recent changes in financial market conditions that impact the investment setting.

Chapter 2 This chapter has been completely reworked to combine the discussions of the asset allocation process and the global security markets that had been spread over multiple chapters in previous editions. After establishing the importance of the asset allocation decision to all investors, we focus on the notion of global diversification and provide an updated study on the variety of investment instruments available for the use of global investors, including global index funds and country-specific exchange-traded funds (ETFs).

Chapter 3 Because of the continuing growth in trading volume handled by electronic communications networks (ECNs), this chapter continues to detail the significant changes in the market as well as the results of this new environment. This includes a discussion on the continuing changes on the NYSE during recent years. We also consider the rationale for the continuing consolidation of global exchanges across asset classes of stocks, bonds, and derivatives. In addition, we document recent mergers and discuss several proposed and failed mergers. Finally, we note that the corporate bond market continues to experience major changes in how and when trades are reported and the number of bond issues involved.

Chapter 4 This chapter contains a discussion of fundamental weighted stock and bond indexes that use sales and earnings to weight components rather than market value. Also included is an updated analysis of the relationship among indexes and the myriad ways that investors can actually commit their financial capital to capture the returns on various indexes.

Chapter 5 New studies that both support the efficient market hypothesis and provide new evidence of anomalies are examined in this chapter. There is also discussion of behavioral finance and how it explains many of the anomalies, as well as a consideration of technical analysis. Further, we discuss the implications of the recent changes in the cost of trading (considered in Chapter 3) on some of the empirical results of prior studies.

Chapter 6 The development of modern portfolio theory, starting with a discussion of the risk tolerance of the investor, has been considerably revised and updated in an effort to stress the conceptual nature of the portfolio formation process. An extensive example of global portfolio optimization has also been included. The chapter now concludes with an intuitive discussion of the transition from Markowitz portfolio analysis to capital market theory and the development of the capital market line (CML).

Chapter 7 This chapter has been extensively revised to consider the topic of how asset pricing models evolved conceptually and how they are used by investors in practice. We begin with an extensive discussion of the capital asset pricing model (CAPM) in a more intuitive way, including how this model represents a natural progression from modern portfolio theory. We then describe the theory and practice of using multifactor models of risk and expected return. The connection between the arbitrage pricing theory (APT) and empirical implementations of the APT continues to be stressed, both conceptually and with several revised examples using style classification data.

Chapter 8 This is the first of three entirely new chapters focusing on equity analysis and valuation. We begin with a discussion of how valuation theory is used in practice. We distinguish between valuing the equity portion of the firm (FCFE) and valuing the entire firm (FCFF). Importantly, we show how the sustainable growth formula can be used to estimate the percentage of earnings that can be considered to be free cash flow. In the section on relative valuation, we focus on fundamental multiples so that students will consider the underlying drivers of value.

Chapter 9 This chapter presents a study of the top-down approach to equity analysis and introduces new material designed to link monetary policy and interest rates to stock prices. Most importantly, we describe the importance of the real federal funds rate, the shape of the yield curve, and the risk premium for BBB bonds (versus Treasury bonds). Later in the chapter, we discuss how the Shiller P/E ratio (also known as the cyclically adjusted price–earnings [CAPE] ratio) is applied to the overall market.

Chapter 10 In this completely new chapter we discuss several topics that students need to understand if they intend to enter the asset management industry as a profession. We provide a detailed description of the IPO process, the difference between the buy-side and sell-side, and the importance of management's capital allocation function. The chapter ends with a discussion of how to design and deliver a persuasive stock pitch.

Chapter 11 This chapter contains an enhanced discussion of the relative merits of passive versus active management techniques for equity portfolio management, focusing on the important role of tracking error. Expanded material on forming risk factor–based equity portfolios has been introduced, along with additional analysis of other equity portfolio investment strategies, including fundamental and technical approaches, as well as a detailed description of equity style analysis.

Chapter 12 This is the first of two new chapters that describe the information, tools, and techniques necessary to analyze fixed-income securities and portfolios. We begin with a discussion of the myriad bond instruments available to global investors, including traditional fixed-coupon securities from sovereign and corporate issuers, securities issued by government-sponsored entities (GSEs), collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), and auction-rate securities. We then develop the intuition and mechanics for how bonds are valued under a variety of market conditions, as well as the relationship that must exist between bond prices and bond yields.

Chapter 13 We continue our development of the quantitative toolkit required of successful bond investors by developing the technical concepts of implied forward rates, duration, and convexity. In particular, we discuss the importance of the duration statistic as a measure of price volatility in terms of both designing and managing bond portfolios. The discussion at the end of the chapter on bond portfolio management strategies has been enhanced and revised to include comparisons of active and passive fixed-income strategies, as well as updated examples of how the bond immunization process functions.

Chapter 14 Expanded discussions of the fundamentals associated with using derivative securities (interpreting price quotations, basic payoff diagrams, basic strategies) are included in this chapter. We also provide updated examples of both basic and intermediate risk management applications using derivative positions, as well as new material on how these contracts trade in the marketplace.

Chapter 15 New and updated examples and applications are provided throughout the chapter, emphasizing the role that forward and futures contracts play in managing exposures to equity, fixed-income, and foreign exchange risk. Also included is an enhanced discussion of how futures and forward markets are structured and operate, as well as how swap contracts can be viewed as portfolios of forward agreements.

Chapter 16 Here we expand the discussion linking valuation and applications of call and put options in the context of investment management. The chapter contains both new and updated examples designed to illustrate how investors use options in practice as well as a discussion of the recent changes to options markets. We also include extensive discussions of two other ways that options can be structured into other financial arrangements: convertible bonds and credit default swaps.

Chapter 17 This chapter includes a revised and updated discussion of the organization and participants in the professional asset management industry. Of particular note is an extensive update of the structure and strategies employed by hedge funds as well as enhanced analysis of how private equity funds function. The discussion of ethics and regulation in the asset management industry that concludes the chapter has also been updated and expanded.

Chapter 18 An updated and considerably expanded application of the performance measurement techniques introduced throughout the chapter is provided, including new material regarding the calculation of both simple and risk-adjusted performance measures. The discussion emphasizes the two main questions of performance measurement, as well as how the concept of downside risk can be incorporated into the evaluation process and the examination of techniques that focus on the security holdings of a manager's portfolio rather than the returns that the portfolio generates.

Supplement Package

Preparation of the 11th edition provided the opportunity to enhance the supplement products offered to instructors and students who use *Investment Analysis and Portfolio*

Management. The result of this examination is a greatly improved package that provides more than just basic answers and solutions. We are indebted to the supplement writers who devoted their time, energy, and creativity to making this supplement package the best it has ever been.

Website

The text's Website, which can be accessed through http://login.cengage.com, includes up-to-date teaching and learning aids for instructors. The *Instructor's Manual*, *Test Bank*, and PowerPoint slides are available to instructors for download. If they choose to, instructors may post, on a *password-protected site only*, the PowerPoint presentation for their students.

Instructor's Manual

The *Instructor's Manual* contains a brief outline of each chapter's key concepts and equations, which can be easily copied and distributed to students as a reference tool.

Test Bank

The *Test Bank* includes an extensive set of new questions and problems and complete solutions to the testing material. The *Test Bank* is available through Cognero, an online, fully customizable version of the *Test Bank*, which provides instructors with all the tools they need to create, author/edit, and deliver multiple types of tests. Instructors can import questions directly from the *Test Bank*, create their own questions, or edit existing questions.

Solutions Manual

This manual contains all the answers to the end-of-chapter questions and solutions to end-of-chapter problems.

Lecture Presentation Software

A comprehensive set of PowerPoint slides is available. Corresponding with each chapter is a self-contained presentation that covers all the key concepts, equations, and examples within the chapter. The files can be used as is for an innovative, interactive class presentation. Instructors who have access to Microsoft PowerPoint can modify the slides in any way they wish, adding or deleting materials to match their needs.

MindTap: Empower Your Students

MindTap is a platform that propels students from memorization to mastery. It gives you complete control of your course, so you can provide engaging content, challenge every learner, and build student confidence. Customize interactive syllabi to emphasize priority topics, then add your own material or notes to the eBook as desired. This outcomes-driven application gives you the tools needed to empower students and boost both understanding and performance.

Access Everything You Need in One Place Cut down on prep with the preloaded and organized MindTap course materials. Teach more efficiently with interactive multimedia, assignments, quizzes, and more. Give your students the power to read, listen, and study on their phones, so they can learn on their terms.

Empower Students to Reach Their Potential Twelve distinct metrics give you actionable insights into student engagement. Identify topics troubling your entire class and instantly communicate with those struggling. Students can track their scores to stay motivated towards their goals. Together, you can be unstoppable.

Control Your Course—And Your Content Get the flexibility to reorder textbook chapters, add your own notes, and embed a variety of content including Open Educational Resources (OER).

Personalize course content to your students' needs. They can even read your notes, add their own, and highlight key text to aid their learning.

Get a Dedicated Team, Whenever You Need Them MindTap isn't just a tool; it's backed by a personalized team eager to support you. We can help set up your course and tailor it to your specific objectives, so you'll be ready to make an impact from day one. Know we'll be standing by to help you and your students until the final day of the term.

Acknowledgments

So many people have helped us in so many ways that we hesitate to list them, fearing that we may miss someone. Accepting this risk, we will begin with the University of Notre Dame and the University of Texas at Austin because of their direct support. We are fortunate to have had the following excellent reviewers for this edition as well as for earlier ones:

JOHN ALEXANDER
Clemson University

ROBERT ANGELL
East Carolina University

GEORGE ARAGON
Boston College

BRIAN BELT
University of Missouri-Kansas City

OMAR M. BENKATO
Ball State University

ARAND BHATTACHARYA
University of Cincinnati

CAROL BILLINGHAM
Central Michigan University

SUSAN BLOCK
University of California, Santa Barbara

GERALD A. BLUM
Babson College

PAUL BOLSTER
Northeastern University

ROBERT E. BROOKS
University of Alabama

ROBERT J. BROWN
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

BOLONG CAO
Ohio University

CHARLES Q. CAO
Pennsylvania State University

ATREYA CHAKRABORTY
University of Massachusetts Boston

HSIU-LANG CHEN
University of Illinois at Chicago

DOSOUNG CHOI
Gachon University

ROBERT CLARK
Husson University

JOHN CLINEBELL
University of Northern Colorado

DONALD L. DAVIS
Golden Gate University

JAMES D'MELLO
Western Michigan University

EUGENE F. DRZYCIMSKI
University of Wisconsin–Oshkosh

WILLIAM DUKES
Texas Tech University

JOHN DUNKELBERG
Wake Forest University

ERIC EMORY
Sacred Heart University

THOMAS EYSSELL
University of Missouri–St. Louis

HEBER FARNSWORTH
Rice University

JAMES FELLER
Middle Tennessee State University

EURICO FERREIRA
Clemson University

MICHAEL FERRI
John Carroll University

GREG FILBECK
Penn State Behrend

JOSEPH E. FINNERTY
University of Illinois

HARRY FRIEDMAN
New York University

R. H. GILMER
University of Mississippi

STEVEN GOLDSTEIN
University of South Carolina

STEVEN GOLDSTEIN
Robinson-Humphrey

KESHAV GUPTA
Oklahoma State University

SALLY A. HAMILTON
Santa Clara University

ERIC HIGGINS
Kansas State University

RONALD HOFFMEISTER
Arizona State University

SHELLY HOWTON
Villanova University

RON HUTCHINS
Eastern Michigan University

A. JAMES IFFLANDER
Arizona State University

STAN JACOBS
Central Washington University

KWANG JUN
Michigan State University

JAROSLAW KOMARYNSKY
Northern Illinois University

MALEK LASHGARI
University of Hartford

DANNY LITT
UCLA

MILES LIVINGSTON
University of Florida

CHRISTOPHER MA
Texas Tech University

ANANTH MADHAVAN
University of California Berkeley

DAVINDER MALHOTRA
Thomas Jefferson University

STEVEN MANN
University of South Carolina

IQBAL MANSUR
Widener University

ANDRAS MAROSI
University of Alberta

LINDA MARTIN
Arizona State University

GEORGE MASON
University of Hartford

JOHN MATHYS
DePaul University

MICHAEL MCBAIN
Marquette University

DENNIS MCCONNELL
University of Maine

JEANETTE MEDEWITZ
University of Nebraska–Omaha

JACOB MICHAELSEN
University of California, Santa Cruz

NICHOLAS MICHAS
Northern Illinois University

THOMAS W. MILLER JR.
University of Missouri–Columbia

LALATENDU MISRA
University of Texas at San Antonio

MICHAEL MURRAY
LaCrosse, Wisconsin

JONATHAN OHN
Bloomsburg University

HENRY OPPENHEIMER
University of Rhode Island

JOHN PEAVY
Southern Methodist University

GEORGE PHILIPPATOS
University of Tennessee

GEORGE PINCHES
University of Missouri Kansas City

ROSE PRASAD
Central Michigan University

LAURIE PRATHER
University of Tennessee at
Chattanooga

GEORGE A. RACETTE
University of Oregon

MURLI RAJAN
University of Scranton

NARENDAR V. RAO
Northeastern Illinois University

STEVE RICH
Baylor University

BRUCE ROBIN
Old Dominion University

JAMES ROSENFELD
Emory University

STANLEY D. RYALS
Investment Counsel, Inc.

JIMMY SENTEZA
Drake University

SHEKAR SHETTY
University of South Dakota

FREDERIC SHIPLEY
DePaul University

DOUGLAS SOUTHARD
Virginia Polytechnic Institute

HAROLD STEVENSON
Arizona State University

LAWRENCE S. TAI
Loyola Marymount College

KISHORE TANDON
The City University of New York,
Baruch College

DRAGON TANG
University of Hong Kong

DONALD THOMPSON
Georgia State University

DAVID E. UPTON
Virginia Commonwealth University

E. THEODORE VEIT
Rollins College

PREMAL VORA
Penn State Harrisburg

BRUCE WARDREP
East Carolina University

RICHARD S. WARR
North Carolina State University

ROBERT WEIGAND
University of South Florida

RUSSELL R. WERMERS
University of Maryland

ROLF WUBBELS
New York University

ELEANOR XU
Seton Hall University

YEXIAO XU
The University of Texas at Dallas

HONG YAN
Shanghai Advanced Institute of
Finance

SHENG-PING YANG
Gustavas Adolphus College

We have received invaluable comments from academic associates, including Jim Gentry (University of Illinois), David Chapman (University of Virginia), Amy Lipton (St. Joseph's University), Donald Smith (Boston University), and David Wright (University of Wisconsin–Parkside). Our university colleagues have also been very helpful

over the years: Rob Batallio and Mike Hemler (University of Notre Dame); and Laura Starks, William Way, and Ken Wiles (University of Texas). Finally, we were once again blessed with bright, dedicated research assistants, such as Aaron Lin and Vincent Ng (Notre Dame) as well as Adam Winegar (University of Texas).

We are convinced that professors who want to write a book that is academically respectable and relevant, as well as realistic, require help from the "real world." We have been fortunate to develop relationships with a number of individuals (including a growing number of former students) whom we consider our contacts with reality. The following individuals have graciously provided important insights and material:

BRENT ADAMS
Kyle Capital

JAMES F. ARENS
Trust Company of Oklahoma

RICK ASHCROFT
Robert W. Baird

BRIAN BARES
Bares Capital Management

CHAD BAUMLER
Nuance Investments

DAVID G. BOOTH
Dimensional Fund Advisors, Inc.

GARY BRINSON
Brinson Foundation

KEVIN CASEY
Casey Capital

STALEY CATES
Southeastern Asset Management

DWIGHT D. CHURCHILL
State Street Global Advisors

ABBY JOSEPH COHEN
Goldman, Sachs

ROBERT CONWAY
Goldman, Sachs

ROBERT J. DAVIS
Highland Capital

PHILIP DELANEY JR.
Northern Trust Bank

PAT DORSEY
Dorsey Asset Management

FRANK J. FABOZZI
Journal of Portfolio Management

PHILIP FERGUSON
Salient Partners

KENNETH FISHER
Fisher Investments

H. GIFFORD FONG
Gifford Fong Associates

MARTIN S. FRIDSON
Lehmann, Livian, Fridson Advisors

M. CHRISTOPHER GARMAN
Bank of America/Merrill Lynch

KHALID GHAYUR
GPS Funds

BEN GIELE
Gearpower Capital

WILLIAM J. HANK
Moore Financial Corporation

RICK HANS
Fred's Inc.

LEA B. HANSEN
Institute for Research of Public Policy

W. VAN HARLOW
Fidelity Investments

BRITT HARRIS
University of Texas Investment Management Company

CRAIG HESTER
Luther King Capital Management

JOANNE HILL
CBOE Vest

BRANDON HOLCOMB
Goldman, Sachs

JOHN W. JORDAN II
The Jordan Company

ANDREW KALOTAY
Kalotay Associates

WARREN N. KOONTZ JR.
Jennison Associates

MARK KRITZMAN
Windham Capital Management

MARTIN LEIBOWITZ
Morgan Stanley

DOUGLAS R. LEMPEREUR
Franklin Templeton Investments

ROBERT LEVINE
Nomura Securities

GEORGE W. LONG
LIM Advisors Ltd.

SCOTT LUMMER
Savant Investment Group

JOHN MAGINN
Maginn Associates

SCOTT MALPASS
University of Notre Dame Endowment

JACK MALVEY
BNY Mellon Investment Management

DOMINIC MARSHALL
Pacific Ridge Capital Partners

TODD MARTIN
Timucuan Asset Management

JOSEPH MCALINDEN
McAlinden Research Partners

RICHARD MCCABE
Bank of America/Merrill Lynch

MICHAEL MCCOWIN
State of Wisconsin Investment Board

MARK MCMEANS
Brasada Capital

OLEG MELENTYEV
Bank of America/Merrill Lynch

About the Authors

Frank K. Reilly is the Bernard J. Hank Professor of Finance and former dean of the Mendoza College of Business at the University of Notre Dame. Holding degrees from the University of Notre Dame (BBA), Northwestern University (MBA), and the University of Chicago (PhD), Professor Reilly has taught at the University of Illinois, the University of Kansas, and the University of Wyoming in addition to the University of Notre Dame. He has several years of experience as a senior securities analyst, as well as experience in stock and bond trading. A chartered financial analyst (CFA), he has been a member of the Council of Examiners, the Council on Education and Research, the grading committee, and was chairman of the board of trustees of the Institute of Charted Financial Analysts and chairman of the board of the Association of Investment Management and Research (AIMR; now the CFA Institute). Professor Reilly has been president of the Financial Management Association, the Midwest Business Administration Association, the Eastern Finance Association, the Academy of Financial Services, and the Midwest Finance Association. He is or has been on the board of directors of the First Interstate Bank of Wisconsin, Norwest Bank of Indiana, the Investment Analysts Society of Chicago, UBS Global Funds (chairman), Fort Dearborn Income Securities (chairman), Discover Bank, NIBCO, Inc., the International Board of Certified Financial Planners, Battery Park High Yield Bond Fund, Inc., Morgan Stanley Trust FSB, the CFA Institute Research Foundation (chairman), the Financial Analysts Seminar, the Board of Certified Safety Professionals, and the University Club at the University of Notre Dame.

As the author of more than 100 articles, monographs, and papers, his work has appeared in numerous publications including *Journal of Finance*, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, *Journal of Accounting Research*, *Financial Management*, *Financial Analysts Journal*, *Journal of Fixed Income*, and *Journal of Portfolio Management*. In addition to *Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management*, 10th ed., Professor Reilly is the coauthor of another textbook, *Investments*, 7th ed. (South-Western, 2006) with Edgar A. Norton. He is editor of *Readings and Issues in Investments, Ethics and the Investment Industry*, and *High Yield Bonds: Analysis and Risk Assessment.*

Professor Reilly was named on the list of *Outstanding Educators in America* and has received the University of Illinois Alumni Association Graduate Teaching Award, the Outstanding Educator Award from the MBA class at the University of Illinois, and the Outstanding Teacher Award from the MBA class and the senior class at Notre Dame. He also received from the CFA Institute both the C. Stewart Sheppard Award for his contribution to the educational mission of the Association and the Daniel J. Forrestal III Leadership Award for Professional Ethics and Standards of Investment Practice. He also received the Hortense Friedman Award for Excellence from the CFA Society of Chicago and a Lifetime Achievement Award from the Midwest Finance Association. He was part of the inaugural group selected as a fellow of the Financial Management Association International. He is or has been a member of the editorial boards of *Financial Management*, *The Financial Review*, *International Review of Economics and Finance*, *Journal of Financial Education*, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, and the *European Journal of Finance*. He is included in *Who's Who in Finance and Industry*, *Who's Who in America*, *Who's Who in American Education*, and *Who's Who in the World.*

Keith C. Brown holds the position of University Distinguished Teaching Professor of Finance and Fayez Sarofim Fellow at the McCombs School of Business, University of Texas. He received a BA in economics from San Diego State University, where he was a member of the Phi Beta Kappa, Phi Kappa Phi, and Omicron Delta Epsilon honor societies. He received his MS and PhD in financial economics from the Krannert Graduate School of Management at Purdue University. Since leaving school in 1981, he has specialized in teaching investment management, portfolio management and security analysis, capital markets, and derivatives courses at the undergraduate, MBA, and PhD levels, and he has received numerous awards for teaching innovation and excellence, including election to the university's prestigious Academy of Distinguished Teachers. In addition to his academic responsibilities, he has also served as president and chief executive officer of The MBA Investment Fund, LLC, a privately funded investment company managed by graduate students at the University of Texas.

Professor Brown has published more than 45 articles, monographs, chapters, and papers on topics ranging from asset pricing and investment strategy to financial risk management. His publications have appeared in such journals as *Journal of Finance, Journal of Financial Economics, Review of Financial Studies, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Review of Economics and Statistics, Journal of Financial Markets, Financial Analysts Journal, Financial Management, Journal of Investment Management, Advances in Futures and Options Research, Journal of Fixed Income, Journal of Retirement, Journal of Applied Corporate Finance,* and *Journal of Portfolio Management*. In addition to coauthoring *Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management,* 11th edition, he is a coauthor of *Interest Rate and Currency Swaps: A Tutorial*, a textbook published through the CFA Institute. He received a Graham and Dodd Award from the Financial Analysts Federation as an author of one of the best articles published by *Financial Analysts Journal* in 1990, a Smith-Breeden Prize from the *Journal of Finance* in 1996, and a Harry M. Markowitz Special Distinction Award from *Journal of Investment Management* in 2016.

In August 1988, Professor Brown received the Chartered Financial Analyst designation from the CFA Institute, and he has served as a member of that organization's CFA Candidate Curriculum Committee and Education Committee and on the CFA Examination Grading staff. For five years, he was the research director of the Research Foundation of the CFA Institute, from which position he guided the development of the research portion of the organization's worldwide educational mission. For several years, he was also associate editor for *Financial Analysts Journal*, and he currently holds that position for *Journal of Investment Management* and *Journal of Behavioral Finance*. In other professional service, Professor Brown has been a regional director for the Financial Management Association and has served as the applied research track chairman for that organization's annual conference.

Professor Brown is the cofounder and senior partner of Fulcrum Financial Group, a portfolio management and investment advisory firm located in Austin, Texas, that currently oversees three fixed-income security portfolios. From May 1987 to August 1988, he was based in New York as a senior consultant to the Corporate Professional Development Department at Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company. He has lectured extensively throughout the world on investment and risk management topics in the executive development programs for such companies as Fidelity Investments, JP Morgan Chase, Commonfund, BMO Nesbitt Burns, Merrill Lynch, Chase Manhattan Bank, Chemical Bank, Lehman Brothers, Union Bank of Switzerland, Shearson, Chase Bank of Texas, The Beacon Group, Motorola, and Halliburton. He is an advisor to the boards of the Teachers Retirement System of Texas and the University of Texas Investment Management Company and has served on the Investment Committee of LBJ Family Wealth Advisors, Ltd.

Sanford J. Leeds is a distinguished senior lecturer at the McCombs School of Business, University of Texas. He graduated summa cum laude from the University of Alabama with a BS in

investment analysis. He has an MBA from The University of Texas Graduate School of Business, where he received the Dean's Award for Academic Excellence. He also has a JD from the University of Virginia, where he was on the editorial board of *The Virginia Tax Review*.

Professor Leeds has been a member of the McCombs faculty for 16 years. For 13 of those years, Professor Leeds also served as president of The MBA Investment Fund, LLC, a privately funded investment company managed by graduate students at the McCombs School. During his time on the faculty, he has taught a wide variety of classes, including Investment Theory and Practice, Portfolio Management, Capital Markets, Macroeconomics, Corporate Finance, and Advanced Corporate Finance. He has received numerous teaching awards, including three school wide awards: the Joe D. Beasley Teaching Award (for teaching in the graduate program), the CBA Foundation Advisory Council Award for Teaching Innovation, and the Jim Nolen Award for Excellence in Graduate Teaching. He has received recognition from his students with the "Outstanding MBA Professor Award" (selected by the full-time MBA students in multiple years, the Evening MBA students, and the Dallas MBA students) and the "Outstanding MSF Professor Award" (in multiple years). In 2015, he was selected (at the university level) to be a Provost Teaching Fellow and then served on the steering committee of that organization. He currently serves as a Senior Provost Fellow.

Professor Leeds received the Chartered Financial Analyst designation in 1998. He has served the CFA Institute as a grader, as a member of the Candidate Curriculum Committee, and as an editor of a candidate reading section. He is also a member of the Texas State Bar.

Prior to joining the faculty, Professor Leeds worked as an attorney and then as a money manager. After starting his career at a large law firm, he left to become a prosecutor. Then he attended business school and was one of four managers at a firm that had $1.6 billion under management. In recent years, he has served on the investment committee of the Austin Community Foundation (a $100 million endowment) and has also been the vice-chair of The Girls' School of Austin. He is frequently a speaker at industry conferences, normally discussing the economy and the markets.

The Investment Background

The chapters in this section will provide a background for your study of investments by answering the following questions:

- Why do people invest?
- How do you measure the returns and risks for alternative investments?
- What factors should you consider when you make asset allocation decisions?
- What investments are available?
- How do securities markets function?
- How and why are securities markets in the United States and around the world changing?
- What are the major uses of security market indexes?
- How can you evaluate the market behavior of common stocks and bonds?
- What factors cause differences among stock and bond market indexes?

In the first chapter, we consider why an individual would invest, how to measure the rates of return and risk for alternative investments, and what factors determine an investor's required rate of return on an investment. The latter point will be important when we work to understand investor behavior, the markets for alternative securities, and the valuation of various investments.

Because the ultimate decision facing an investor is the makeup of his or her portfolio, Chapter 2 deals with the all-important asset allocation decision. As we will see, to minimize risk, investment theory asserts the need to diversify, which leads to a discussion of the specific steps in the portfolio management process and factors that influence the makeup of an investor's portfolio over his or her life cycle. We also begin our exploration of investments available for investors to select by making an overpowering case for investing globally rather than limiting choices to only U.S. securities. Building on this premise, we discuss several global investment instruments used in global markets. We conclude the chapter with a review of the historical returns and measures of risk for a number of different asset class groups.

In Chapter 3, we examine how markets work in general and then specifically focus on the purpose and function of primary and secondary bond and stock markets. During the past two decades, significant changes have occurred in the operation of the securities market, including a trend toward a global capital market, electronic trading markets, and substantial worldwide consolidation. After discussing these changes and the rapid development of new capital markets around the world, we speculate about how global markets will continue to consolidate and will increase available investment alternatives.

Investors, market analysts, and financial theorists generally gauge the behavior of securities markets by evaluating the return and risk implied by various market indexes and evaluate portfolio performance by comparing a portfolio's results to an appropriate benchmark. Because these indexes are used to make asset allocation decisions and then to evaluate portfolio performance, it is important to have a deep understanding of how they are constructed and the numerous alternatives available. Therefore, in Chapter 4, we examine and compare a number of stock market and bond market indexes available for the domestic and global markets.

This initial section provides the framework for you to understand various securities, how to allocate among alternative asset classes, the markets where these securities are bought and sold, the indexes that reflect their performance, and how you might manage a collection of investments in a portfolio using *index funds*, which are an investable form of the security index.

The Investment Setting

After you read this chapter, you should be able to answer the following questions:

- Why do individuals invest?
- What is an investment?
- How do investors measure the rate of return on an investment?
- How do investors measure the risk related to alternative investments?
- What factors contribute to the rates of return that investors require on alternative investments?
- What macroeconomic and microeconomic factors contribute to changes in the required rates of return for investments?

This initial chapter discusses several topics that are basic to the subsequent chapters. We begin by defining the term *investment* and discussing the returns and risks related to investments. This leads to a presentation of how to measure the expected and historical rates of returns for an individual asset or a portfolio of assets. In addition, we consider how to measure risk not only for an individual investment but also for an investment that is part of a portfolio.

The third section of the chapter discusses the factors that determine the required rate of return for an individual investment. The factors discussed are those that contribute to an asset's *total* risk. Because most investors have a portfolio of investments, it is necessary to consider how to measure the risk of an asset when it is a part of a large portfolio of assets. The risk that prevails when an asset is part of a diversified portfolio is referred to as its *systematic risk.*

The final section deals with what causes *changes* in an asset's required rate of return over time. Notably, changes occur because of both macroeconomic events that affect all investment assets and microeconomic events that affect only the specific asset.

1.1 What Is an Investment?

For most of your life, you will be earning and spending money. Rarely, though, will your current money income exactly balance with your consumption desires. Sometimes, you may have more money than you want to spend; at other times, you may want to purchase more than you can afford based on your current income. These imbalances will lead you either to borrow or to save to maximize the long-run benefits from your income.

When current income exceeds current consumption desires, people tend to save the excess, and they can do any of several things with these savings. One possibility is to put the money under a mattress or bury it in the backyard until some future time when consumption desires

exceed current income. When they retrieve their savings from the mattress or backyard, they have the same amount they saved.

Another possibility is that they can give up the immediate possession of these savings for a future larger amount of money that will be available for future consumption. This trade-off of *present* consumption for a higher level of *future* consumption is the reason for saving. What you do with the savings to make them increase over time is *investment*.[1]

Those who give up immediate possession of savings (that is, defer consumption) expect to receive in the future a greater amount than they gave up. Conversely, those who consume more than their current income (that is, borrow) must be willing to pay back in the future more than they borrowed.

The rate of exchange between *future consumption* (future dollars) and *current consumption* (current dollars) is the *pure rate of interest*. Both people's willingness to pay this difference for borrowed funds and their desire to receive a surplus on their savings (that is, some rate of return) give rise to an interest rate referred to as the *pure time value of money*. This interest rate is established in the capital market by a comparison of the supply of excess income available (savings) to be invested and the demand for excess consumption (borrowing) at a given time. If you can exchange $100 of certain income today for $104 of certain income one year from today, then the pure rate of exchange on a risk-free investment (that is, the time value of money) is said to be 4 percent (104/100 − 1).

The investor who gives up $100 today expects to consume $104 of goods and services in the future. This assumes that the general price level in the economy stays the same. This price stability has rarely been the case during the past several decades, when inflation rates have varied from 1.1 percent in 1986 to as much as 13.3 percent in 1979, with a geometric average of 4.2 percent a year from 1970 to 2016. If investors expect a change in prices, they will require a higher rate of return to compensate for it. For example, if an investor expects a rise in prices (that is, he or she expects inflation) at an annual rate of 2 percent during the period of investment, he or she will increase the required interest rate by 2 percent. In our example, the investor would require $106 in the future to defer the $100 of consumption during an inflationary period (that is, a 6 percent *nominal*, risk-free interest rate will be required instead of 4 percent).

Further, if the future payment from the investment is not certain (the borrower may not be able to pay off the loan when it is due), the investor will demand an interest rate that exceeds the nominal risk-free interest rate. The uncertainty of the payments from an investment is the *investment risk*. The additional return added to the nominal, risk-free interest rate is called a *risk premium*. In our previous example, the investor would require more than $106 one year from today to compensate for the uncertainty. As an example, if the required amount were $110, $4 (4 percent) would be considered a risk premium.

1.1.1 Investment Defined

From our discussion, we can specify a formal definition of investment. Specifically, an **investment** is the current commitment of dollars for a period of time in order to derive future payments that will compensate the investor for (1) the time the funds are committed, (2) the expected rate of inflation during this time period, and (3) the uncertainty of the future payments. The "investor" can be an individual, a government, a pension fund, or a corporation. Similarly, this definition includes all types of investments, including investments by corporations in plant and equipment and investments by individuals in stocks, bonds, commodities, or real estate. This text emphasizes investments by individual investors. In all cases, the investor is trading a *known* dollar amount today for some *expected* future stream of payments that will be greater than the current dollar amount today.

---

[1]In contrast, when current income is less than current consumption desires, people borrow to make up the difference. Although we will discuss borrowing on several occasions, the major emphasis of this text is how to invest savings.

At this point, we have answered the questions about why people invest and what they want from their investments. They invest to earn a return from savings due to their deferred consumption. They want a rate of return that compensates them for the time period of the investment, the expected rate of inflation, and the uncertainty of the future cash flows. This return, the investor's **required rate of return**, is discussed throughout this book. A central question of this book is how investors select investments that will give them their required rates of return.

The next section describes how to measure the expected or historical rate of return on an investment and also how to quantify the uncertainty (risk) of expected returns. You need to understand these techniques for measuring the rate of return and the uncertainty of these returns to evaluate the suitability of a particular investment. Although our emphasis will be on financial assets, such as bonds and stocks, we will refer to other assets, such as art and antiques. Chapter 2 discusses the range of financial assets and also considers some nonfinancial assets.

1.2 MEASURES OF RETURN AND RISK

The purpose of this book is to help you understand how to choose among alternative investment assets. This selection process requires that you estimate and evaluate the expected risk–return trade-offs for the alternative investments available. Therefore, you must understand how to measure the rate of return and the risk involved in an investment accurately. To meet this need, in this section we examine ways to quantify return and risk. The presentation will consider how to measure both *historical* and *expected* rates of return and risk.

We consider historical measures of return and risk because this book and other publications provide numerous examples of historical average rates of return and risk measures for various assets, and understanding these presentations is important. In addition, these historical results are often used by investors to estimate the *expected* rates of return and risk for an asset class.

The first measure is the historical rate of return on an individual investment over the time period the investment is held (that is, its holding period). Next, we consider how to measure the *average* historical rate of return for an individual investment over a number of time periods. The third subsection considers the average rate of return for a *portfolio* of investments.

Given the measures of historical rates of return, we will present the traditional measures of risk for a historical time series of returns (that is, the variance and standard deviation of the returns over the time period examined).

Following the presentation of measures of historical rates of return and risk, we turn to estimating the *expected* rate of return for an investment. Obviously, such an estimate contains a great deal of uncertainty, and we present measures of this uncertainty or risk.

1.2.1 Measures of Historical Rates of Return

When you are evaluating alternative investments for inclusion in your portfolio, you will often be comparing investments with widely different prices or lives. As an example, you might want to compare a $10 stock that pays no dividends to a stock selling for $150 that pays dividends of $5 a year. To properly evaluate these two investments, you must accurately compare their historical rates of returns. A proper measurement of the rates of return is the purpose of this section.

When we invest, we defer current consumption in order to add to our wealth so that we can consume more in the future. Therefore, when we talk about a return on an investment, we are concerned with the *change in wealth* resulting from this investment. This change in wealth can be either due to cash inflows, such as interest or dividends, or caused by a change in the price of the asset (positive or negative).

If you commit $200 to an investment at the beginning of the year and you get back $220 at the end of the year, what is your return for the period? The period during which you own an investment is called its *holding period*, and the return for that period is the **holding period return (HPR)**. In this example, the HPR is 1.10, calculated as follows:

1.1

$$\text{HPR} = \frac{\text{Ending Value of Investment}}{\text{Beginning Value of Investment}}$$

$$= \frac{\$220}{\$200} = 1.10$$

This HPR value will always be zero or greater—that is, it can never be a negative value. A value greater than 1.0 reflects an increase in your wealth, which means that you received a positive rate of return during the period. A value less than 1.0 means that you suffered a decline in wealth, which indicates that you had a negative return during the period. An HPR of zero indicates that you lost all your money (wealth) invested in this asset.

Although HPR helps us express the change in value of an investment, investors generally evaluate returns in *percentage terms on an annual basis.* This conversion to annual percentage rates makes it easier to directly compare alternative investments that have markedly different characteristics. The first step in converting an HPR to an annual percentage rate is to derive a percentage return, referred to as the **holding period yield (HPY)**. The HPY is equal to the HPR minus 1.

1.2
$$\text{HPY} = \text{HPR} - 1$$

In our example:

$$\text{HPY} = 1.10 - 1 = 0.10$$
$$= 10\%$$

To derive an *annual* HPY, you compute an **annual HPR** and subtract 1. Annual HPR is found by:

1.3
$$\text{Annual HPR} = \text{HPR}^{1/n}$$

where:

n = number of years the investment is held

Consider an investment that cost $250 and is worth $350 after being held for two years:

$$\text{HPR} = \frac{\text{Ending Value of Investment}}{\text{Beginning Value of Investment}} = \frac{\$350}{\$250}$$

$$= 1.40$$

$$\text{Annual HPR} = 1.40^{1/n}$$

$$= 1.40^{1/2}$$

$$= 1.1832$$

$$\text{Annual HPY} = 1.1832 - 1 = 0.1832$$

$$= 18.32\%$$

If you experience a decline in your wealth value, the computation is as follows:

$$\text{HPR} = \frac{\text{Ending Value}}{\text{Beginning Value}} = \frac{\$400}{\$500} = 0.80$$

$$\text{HPY} = 0.80 - 1.00 = -0.20 = -20\%$$

A multiple-year loss over two years would be computed as follows:

$$\text{HPR} = \frac{\text{Ending Value}}{\text{Beginning Value}} = \frac{\$750}{\$1,000} = 0.75$$

$$\text{Annual HPY} = (0.75)^{1/n} = 0.75^{1/2}$$

$$= 0.866$$

$$\text{Annual HPY} = 0.866 - 1.00 = -0.134 = -13.4\%$$

In contrast, consider an investment of $100 held for only six months that earned a return of $12:

$$\text{HPR} = \frac{\$112}{100} = 1.12 \ (n = 0.5)$$

$$\text{Annual HPR} = 1.12^{1/.5}$$

$$= 1.12^2$$

$$= 1.2544$$

$$\text{Annual HPY} = 1.2544 - 1 = 0.2544$$

$$= 25.44\%$$

Note that we made some implicit assumptions when converting the six-month HPY to an annual basis. This annualized holding period yield computation assumes a constant annual yield for each year. In the two-year investment, we assumed an 18.32 percent rate of return each year, compounded. In the partial year HPR that was annualized, we assumed that the return is compounded for the whole year. That is, we assumed that the rate of return earned during the first half of the year is likewise earned on the value at the end of the first six months. The 12 percent rate of return for the initial six months compounds to 25.44 percent for the full year.[2] Because of the uncertainty of being able to earn the same return in the future six months, institutions will typically *not* compound partial year results.

Remember one final point: The ending value of the investment can be the result of a positive or negative change in price for the investment alone (for example, a stock going from $20 a share to $22 a share), income from the investment alone, or a combination of price change and income. Ending value includes the value of everything related to the investment.

1.2.2 Computing Mean Historical Returns

Now that we have calculated the HPY for a single investment for a single year, we want to consider **mean rates of return** for a single investment and for a portfolio of investments. Over a number of years, a single investment will likely give high rates of return during some years and low rates of return, or possibly negative rates of return, during others. Your analysis should consider each of these returns, but you also want a summary figure that indicates this investment's typical experience, or the rate of return you might expect to receive if you owned this investment over an extended period of time. You can derive such a summary figure by computing the mean annual rate of return (its HPY) for this investment over some period of time.

Alternatively, you might want to evaluate a portfolio of investments that might include similar investments (for example, all stocks or all bonds) or a combination of investments (for example, stocks, bonds, and real estate). In this instance, you would calculate the mean rate of return for this portfolio of investments for an individual year or for a number of years.

---

[2]To check that you understand the calculations, determine the annual HPY for a three-year HPR of 1.50. (Answer: 14.47 percent.) Compute the annual HPY for a three-month HPR of 1.06. (Answer: 26.25 percent.)

Single Investment   Given a set of annual rates of return (HPYs) for an individual invest-ment, there are two summary measures of return performance. The first is the arithmetic mean return, the second is the geometric mean return. To find the **arithmetic mean (AM)**, the sum (Σ) of annual HPYs is divided by the number of years (n) as follows:

1.4 $$AM = \Sigma HPY/n$$

where:

   ΣHPY = sum of annual holding period yields

An alternative computation, the **geometric mean (GM)**, is the nth root of the product of the HPRs for n years minus one.

1.5 $$GM = [\pi HPR]^{1/n} - 1$$

where:

   π = product of the annual holding period returns as follows:

$$(HPR_1) \times (HPR_2) \dots (HPR_n)$$

To illustrate these alternatives, consider an investment with the following data:

Year	Beginning Value	Ending Value	HPR	HPY
1	100.0	115.0	1.15	0.15
2	115.0	138.0	1.20	0.20
3	138.0	110.4	0.80	−0.20

$$AM = [(0.15) + (0.20) + (-0.20)]/3$$
$$= 0.15/3$$
$$= 0.05 = 5\%$$
$$GM = [(1.15) \times (1.20) \times (0.80)]^{1/3} - 1$$
$$= (1.104)^{1/3} - 1$$
$$= 1.03353 - 1$$
$$= 0.03353 = 3.353\%$$

Investors are typically concerned with long-term performance when comparing alternative investments. GM is considered a superior measure of the long-term mean rate of return because it indicates *the compound annual rate of return* based on the ending value of the investment ver-sus its beginning value.[3] Specifically, using the prior example, if we compounded 3.353 percent for three years, $(1.03353)^3$, we would get an ending wealth value of 1.104.

   Although the arithmetic average provides a good indication of the expected rate of return for an investment during a future individual year, it is biased upward if you are attempting to measure an asset's long-term performance. This is obvious for a volatile security. Consider, for example, a security that increases in price from $50 to $100 during year 1 and drops back to $50 during year 2. The annual HPYs would be:

Year	Beginning Value	Ending Value	HPR	HPY
1	50	100	2.00	1.00
2	100	50	0.50	−0.50

---

[3]Note that the GM is the same whether you compute the geometric mean of the individual annual holding period yields or the annual HPY for a three-year period, comparing the ending value to the beginning value, as discussed earlier under annual HPY for a multiperiod case.

This would give an AM rate of return of:

$$[(1.00) + (-0.50)]/2 = .50/2$$
$$= 0.25 = 25\%$$

This investment brought no change in wealth and therefore no return, yet the AM rate of return is computed to be 25 percent.

The GM rate of return would be:

$$(2.00 \times 0.50)^{1/2} - 1 = (1.00)^{1/2} - 1$$
$$= 1.00 - 1 = 0\%$$

This answer of a 0 percent rate of return accurately measures the fact that there was no change in wealth from this investment over the two-year period.

When rates of return are the same for all years, the GM will be equal to the AM. If the rates of return vary over the years, the GM will always be lower than the AM. The difference between the two mean values will depend on the year-to-year changes in the rates of return. Larger annual changes in the rates of return—that is, more volatility—will result in a greater difference between the alternative mean values. We will point out examples of this in subsequent chapters.

An awareness of both methods of computing mean rates of return is important because most published accounts of long-run investment performance or descriptions of financial research will use both the AM and the GM as measures of average historical returns. We will also use both throughout this book with the understanding that the AM is best used as an expected value for an individual year, while the GM is the best measure of long-term performance since it measures the compound annual rate of return for the asset being measured.

A Portfolio of Investments The mean historical rate of return (HPY) for a portfolio of investments is measured as the weighted average of the HPYs for the individual investments in the portfolio, or the overall percent change in value of the original portfolio. The weights used in computing the averages are the relative *beginning* market values for each investment; this is referred to as *dollar-weighted* or *value-weighted* mean rate of return. This technique is demonstrated by the examples in Exhibit 1.1. As shown, the HPY is the same (9.5 percent) whether you compute the weighted average return using the beginning market value weights or if you compute the overall percent change in the total value of the portfolio.

Although the analysis of historical performance is useful, selecting investments for your portfolio requires you to predict the rates of return you *expect* to prevail. The next section

Exhibit 1.1 Computation of Holding Period Yield for a Portfolio

Investment	Number of Shares	Beginning Price	Beginning Market Value	Ending Price	Ending Market Value	HPR	HPY	Market Weight[a]	Weighted HPY
A	100,000	$10	$1,000,000	$12	$1,200,000	1.20	20%	0.05	0.01
B	200,000	20	4,000,000	21	4,200,000	1.05	5	0.20	0.01
C	500,000	30	15,000,000	33	16,500,000	1.10	10	0.75	0.075
Total			$20,000,000		$21,900,000				0.095

$$HPR = \frac{21,900,000}{20,000,000} = 1.095$$

$$HPY = 1.095 - 1 = 0.095$$
$$= 9.5\%$$

[a]Weights are based on beginning values.

discusses how you would derive such estimates of expected rates of return. We recognize the great uncertainty regarding these future expectations, and we will discuss how one measures this uncertainty, which is referred to as the risk of an investment.

1.2.3 Calculating Expected Rates of Return

Risk is the uncertainty that an investment will earn its expected rate of return. In the examples in the prior section, we examined *realized* historical rates of return. In contrast, an investor who is evaluating a future investment alternative expects or anticipates a certain rate of return. The investor might say that he or she *expects* the investment will provide a rate of return of 10 percent, but this is actually the investor's most likely estimate, also referred to as a *point estimate*. Pressed further, the investor would probably acknowledge the uncertainty of this point estimate return and admit the possibility that, under certain conditions, the annual rate of return on this investment might go as low as −10 percent or as high as 25 percent. The point is, the specification of a larger range of *possible* returns from an investment reflects the investor's uncertainty regarding what the *actual* return will be. Therefore, a larger range of possible returns implies that the investment is riskier.

An investor determines how certain the expected rate of return on an investment is by analyzing estimates of possible returns. To do this, the investor assigns probability values to all *possible* returns. These probability values range from zero, which means no chance of the return, to one, which indicates complete certainty that the investment will provide the specified rate of return. These probabilities are typically subjective estimates based on the historical performance of the investment or similar investments modified by the investor's expectations for the future. As an example, an investor may know that about 30 percent of the time the rate of return on this particular investment was 10 percent. Using this information along with future expectations regarding the economy, one can derive an estimate of what might happen in the future.

The *expected* return from an investment is defined as:

$$\text{Expected Return} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{Probability of Return}) \times (\text{Possible Return})$$

1.6
$$E(R_i) = [(P_1)(R_1) + (P_2)(R_2) + (P_3)(R_3) + \cdots + (P_nR_n)]$$
$$E(R_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i)(R_i)$$

Let us begin our analysis of the effect of risk with an example of perfect certainty wherein the investor is absolutely certain of a return of 5 percent. Exhibit 1.2 illustrates this situation.

Perfect certainty allows only one possible return, and the probability of receiving that return is 1.0. Few investments provide certain returns and would be considered risk-free investments. In the case of perfect certainty, there is only one value for P_iR_i:

$$E(R_i) = (1.0)(0.05) = 0.05 = 5\%$$

In an alternative scenario, suppose an investor believed an investment could provide several different rates of return depending on different possible economic conditions. As an example, in a strong economic environment with high corporate profits and little or no inflation, the investor might expect the rate of return on common stocks during the next year to reach as high as 20 percent. In contrast, if there is an economic decline with a higher-than-average rate of inflation, the investor might expect the rate of return on common stocks during the next year to be −20 percent. Finally, with no major change in the economic environment, the rate of return during the next year would probably approach the long-run average of 10 percent.

Exhibit 1.2 Probability Distribution for Risk-Free Investment

The investor might estimate probabilities for each of these economic scenarios based on past experience and the current outlook as follows:

Economic Conditions	Probability	Rate of Return
Strong economy, no inflation	0.15	0.20
Weak economy, above-average inflation	0.15	−0.20
No major change in economy	0.70	0.10

This set of potential outcomes can be visualized as shown in Exhibit 1.3.

The computation of the expected rate of return $[E(R_i)]$ is as follows:

$$E(R_i) = [(0.15)(0.20)] + [(0.15)(-0.20)] + [(0.70)(0.10)]$$
$$= 0.07$$

Exhibit 1.3 Probability Distribution for Risky Investment with Three Possible Rates of Return

Exhibit 1.4 Probability Distribution for Risky Investment with 10 Possible Rates of Return

Obviously, the investor is less certain about the expected return from this investment than about the return from the prior investment with its single possible return.

A third example is an investment with 10 possible outcomes ranging from −40 percent to 50 percent with the same probability for each rate of return. A graph of this set of expectations would appear as shown in Exhibit 1.4.

In this case, there are numerous outcomes from a wide range of possibilities. The expected rate of return $[E(R_i)]$ for this investment would be:

$$E(R_i) = (0.10)(-0.40) + (0.10)(-0.30) + (0.10)(-0.20) + (0.10)(-0.10) + (0.10)(0.0)$$
$$+ (0.10)(0.10) + (0.10)(0.20) + (0.10)(0.30) + (0.10)(0.40) + (0.10)(0.50)$$
$$= (-0.04) + (-0.03) + (-0.02) + (-0.01) + (0.00) + (0.01) + (0.02) + (0.03)$$
$$+ (0.04) + (0.05)$$
$$= 0.05$$

The *expected* rate of return for this investment is the same as the certain return discussed in the first example; but, in this case, the investor is highly uncertain about the *actual* rate of return. This would be considered a risky investment because of that uncertainty. We would anticipate that an investor faced with the choice between this risky investment and the certain (risk-free) case would select the certain alternative. This expectation is based on the belief that most investors are **risk averse**, which means that if everything else is the same, they will select the investment that offers greater certainty (that is, less risk).

1.2.4 Measuring the Risk of Expected Rates of Return

We have shown that we can calculate the expected rate of return and evaluate the uncertainty, or risk, of an investment by identifying the range of possible returns from that investment and assigning each possible return a weight based on the probability that it will occur. Although the graphs help us visualize the dispersion of possible returns, most investors want to quantify this dispersion using statistical techniques. These statistical measures allow you to compare the return and risk measures for alternative investments directly. Two possible measures of risk (uncertainty) have received support in theoretical work on portfolio theory: the *variance* and the *standard deviation* of the estimated distribution of expected returns.

In this section, we demonstrate how variance and standard deviation measure the dispersion of possible rates of return around the expected rate of return. We will work with the examples discussed earlier. The formula for variance is as follows:

1.7

$$\text{Variance } (\sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{Probability}) \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Possible} \\ \text{Return} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Expected} \\ \text{Return} \end{array} \right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i)[R_i - E(R_i)]^2$$

Variance The larger the **variance** for an expected rate of return, the greater the dispersion of expected returns and the greater the uncertainty, or risk, of the investment. The variance for the perfect-certainty (risk-free) example would be:

$$(\sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i[R_i - E(R_i)]^2$$

$$= 1.0\,(0.05 - 0.05)^2 = 1.0(0.0) = 0$$

Note that, in perfect certainty, there is *no variance of return* because there is no deviation from expectations and, therefore, *no risk* or *uncertainty*. The variance for the second example would be:

$$(\sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i[R_i - E(R_i)]^2$$

$$= [(0.15)(0.20 - 0.07)^2 + (0.15)(-0.20 - 0.07)^2 + (0.70)(0.10 - 0.07)^2]$$

$$= [0.010935 + 0.002535 + 0.00063]$$

$$= 0.0141$$

Standard Deviation The **standard deviation** is the square root of the variance:

1.8

$$\text{Standard Deviation} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i[R_i - E(R_i)]^2}$$

For the second example, the standard deviation would be:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{0.0141}$$

$$= 0.11874 = 11.874\%$$

Therefore, when describing this investment example, you would contend that you expect a return of 7 percent, but the standard deviation of your expectations is 11.87 percent.

A Relative Measure of Risk In some cases, an unadjusted variance or standard deviation can be misleading. If conditions for two or more investment alternatives are not similar—that is, if there are major differences in the expected rates of return—it is necessary to use a measure of *relative variability* to indicate risk per unit of expected return. A widely used relative measure of risk is the **coefficient of variation (CV)**, calculated as follows:

1.9

$$\text{Coefficient of Variation (CV)} = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation of Returns}}{\text{Expected Rate of Return}}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_i}{E(R)}$$

The CV for the preceding example would be:

$$CV = \frac{0.11874}{0.07000}$$
$$= 1.696$$

This measure of relative variability and risk is used by financial analysts to compare alternative investments with widely different rates of return and standard deviations of returns. As an illustration, consider the following two investments:

	Investment A	Investment B
Expected return	0.07	0.12
Standard deviation	0.05	0.07

Comparing absolute measures of risk, investment B appears to be riskier because it has a standard deviation of 7 percent versus 5 percent for investment A. In contrast, the CV figures show that investment B has less *relative* variability or lower risk per unit of expected return because it has a substantially higher expected rate of return:

$$CV_A = \frac{0.05}{0.07} = 0.714$$

$$CV_B = \frac{0.07}{0.12} = 0.583$$

1.2.5 Risk Measures for Historical Returns

To measure the risk for a series of historical rates of returns, we use the same measures as for expected returns (variance and standard deviation) except that we consider the historical holding period yields (HPYs) as follows:

1.10
$$\sigma^2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} [HPY_i - E(HPY)]^2 \right] \Big/ n$$

where:

σ^2 = variance of the series
HPY_i = holding period yield during period i
$E(HPY)$ = expected value of the holding period yield that is equal to the arithmetic mean (AM) of the series
n = number of observations

The standard deviation is the square root of the variance. Both measures indicate how much the individual HPYs over time deviated from the expected value of the series. An example computation is contained in the appendix to this chapter. As is shown in subsequent chapters where we present historical rates of return for alternative asset classes, presenting the standard deviation as a measure of risk (uncertainty) for the series or asset class is fairly common.

1.3 DETERMINANTS OF REQUIRED RATES OF RETURN

In this section, we continue our discussion of factors that you must consider when selecting securities for an investment portfolio. You will recall that this selection process involves finding securities that provide a rate of return that compensates you for (1) the time value of money during the period of investment, (2) the expected rate of inflation during the period, and (3) the risk involved.

Exhibit 1.5 Promised Yields on Alternative Bonds							
Type of Bond	**2004**	**2005**	**2006**	**2007**	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**
U.S. government 3-month Treasury bills	0.14%	3.16%	4.73%	4.48%	1.37%	0.15%	0.14%
U.S. government 10-year bonds	3.22	3.93	4.77	4.94	3.66	3.26	3.22
Aaa corporate bonds	4.94	5.24	5.59	5.56	5.63	5.31	4.94
Baa corporate bonds	6.04	6.06	6.48	6.47	7.44	7.29	6.04

Source: *Federal Reserve Bulletin,* various issues.

The summation of these three components is called the *required rate of return*. This is the minimum rate of return that you should accept from an investment to compensate you for deferring consumption. Because of the importance of the required rate of return to the total investment selection process, this section contains a discussion of the three components and what influences each of them.

The analysis and estimation of the required rate of return are complicated by the behavior of market rates over time. First, a wide range of rates is available for alternative investments at any point in time. Second, the rates of return on specific assets change dramatically over time. Third, the difference between the rates available (that is, the spread) on different assets changes over time.

The yield data in Exhibit 1.5 for alternative bonds demonstrate these three characteristics. First, even though all these securities have promised returns based upon bond contracts, the promised annual yields during any year differ substantially. As an example, during 2009 the average yields on alternative assets ranged from 0.15 percent on T-bills to 7.29 percent for Baa corporate bonds. Second, the changes in yields for a specific asset are shown by the three-month Treasury bill rate that went from 4.48 percent in 2007 to 0.15 percent in 2009. Third, an example of a change in the difference between yields over time (referred to as a spread) is shown by the Baa–Aaa spread.[4] The yield spread in 2007 was 91 basis points (6.47–5.56), but the spread in 2009 increased to 198 basis points (7.29–5.31). (A basis point is 0.01 percent.)

Because differences in yields result from the riskiness of each investment, you must include and understand the risk factors that affect the required rates of return. Because the required returns on all investments change over time, and because large differences separate individual investments, you need to be aware of the several components that determine the required rate of return, starting with the risk-free rate. In this chapter we consider the three components of the required rate of return and briefly discuss what affects these components. The presentation in Chapter 8 on valuation theory will discuss the factors that affect these components in greater detail.

1.3.1 The Real Risk-Free Rate

The **real risk-free rate (RRFR)** is the basic interest rate, assuming no inflation and no uncertainty about future flows. An investor in an inflation-free economy who knew with certainty what cash flows he or she would receive at what time would demand the RRFR on an investment. Earlier, we called this the *pure time value of money* because the only sacrifice the

---

[4]Bonds are rated by rating agencies based upon the credit risk of the securities, that is, the probability of default. Aaa is the top rating Moody's (a prominent rating service) gives to bonds with almost no probability of default. (Only U.S. Treasury bonds are considered to be of higher quality.) Baa is a lower rating Moody's gives to bonds of generally high quality that have some possibility of default under adverse economic conditions.

investor made was deferring the use of the money for a period of time. This RRFR of interest is the price charged for the risk-free exchange between current goods and future goods.

Two factors, one subjective and one objective, influence this exchange price. The subjective factor is the time preference of individuals for the consumption of income. When individuals give up $100 of consumption this year, how much consumption do they want a year from now to compensate for that sacrifice? The strength of the human desire for current consumption influences the rate of compensation required. Time preferences vary among individuals, and the market creates a composite rate that includes the preferences of all investors. This composite rate changes gradually over time because it is influenced by all the investors in the economy, whose changes in preferences may offset one another.

The objective factor that influences the RRFR is the set of investment opportunities available in the economy. The investment opportunities available are determined in turn by the *long-run real growth rate of the economy.* A rapidly growing economy produces more and better opportunities to invest funds and experience positive rates of return. A change in the economy's long-run real growth rate causes a change in all investment opportunities and a change in the required rates of return on all investments. Just as investors supplying capital should demand a higher rate of return when growth is higher, those looking to borrow funds to invest should be willing and able to pay a higher rate of return to use the funds for investment because of the higher growth rate and better opportunities. Thus, a *positive* relationship exists between the real growth rate in the economy and the RRFR.

1.3.2 Factors Influencing the Nominal Risk-Free Rate (NRFR)

Earlier, we observed that an investor would be willing to forgo current consumption in order to increase future consumption at a rate of exchange called the *risk-free rate of interest.* This rate of exchange was measured in real terms because we assume that investors want to increase the consumption of actual goods and services rather than consuming the same amount that had come to cost more money. Therefore, when we discuss rates of interest, we need to differentiate between *real* rates of interest that adjust for changes in the general price level, as opposed to *nominal* rates of interest that are stated in money terms. That is, nominal rates of interest that prevail in the market are determined by real rates of interest, *plus* factors that will affect the nominal rate of interest, such as the expected rate of inflation and the monetary environment.

Notably, the variables that determine the RRFR change only gradually because we are concerned with *long-run* real growth. Therefore, you might expect the required rate on a risk-free investment to be quite stable over time. As discussed in connection with Exhibit 1.5, rates on three-month T-bills were *not* stable over the period from 2004 to 2010. This is demonstrated with additional observations in Exhibit 1.6, which contains promised yields on T-bills for the period 1987–2016.

Investors view T-bills as a prime example of a default-free investment because the government has unlimited ability to derive income from taxes or to create money from which to pay interest. Therefore, one could expect that rates on T-bills should change only gradually. In fact, the data in Exhibit 1.6 show a highly erratic pattern. Specifically, yields increased from 4.64 percent in 1999 to 5.82 percent in 2000 before declining by over 80 percent in three years to 1.01 percent in 2003, followed by an increase to 4.73 percent in 2006, and concluding at 0.20 percent in 2016. Clearly, the *nominal* rate of interest on a default-free investment is *not* stable in the long run or the short run, even though the underlying determinants of the RRFR are quite stable because two other factors influence the nominal risk-free rate (NRFR): (1) the relative ease or tightness in the capital markets and (2) the expected rate of inflation.

Conditions in the Capital Market You will recall from prior courses in economics and finance that the purpose of capital markets is to bring together investors who want to invest

Year	3-Month T-bills (%)	Rate of Inflation (%)	Year	3-Month T-bills (%)	Rate of Inflation (%)
1987	5.78	4.40	2002	1.61	2.49
1988	6.67	4.40	2003	1.01	1.87
1989	8.11	4.65	2004	1.37	3.26
1990	7.50	6.11	2005	3.16	3.42
1991	5.38	3.06	2006	4.73	2.54
1992	3.43	2.90	2007	4.48	4.08
1993	3.33	2.75	2008	1.37	0.09
1994	4.25	2.67	2009	0.15	2.72
1995	5.49	2.54	2010	0.14	1.50
1996	5.01	3.32	2011	0.04	2.96
1997	5.06	1.70	2012	0.06	1.74
1998	4.78	1.61	2013	0.02	1.51
1999	4.64	2.70	2014	0.02	0.76
2000	5.82	3.40	2015	0.02	0.73
2001	3.40	1.55	2016	0.20	2.07

Exhibit 1.6 Three-Month Treasury Bill Yields and Rates of Inflation

Source: *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, various issues; *Economic Report of the President*, various issues.

savings with companies that need capital to expand or to governments that need to finance budget deficits. The cost of funds at any time (the interest rate) is the price that equates the current supply and demand for capital. Beyond this long-run equilibrium, there are short-run changes in the relative ease or tightness in the capital market caused by temporary disequilibrium in the supply and demand of capital.

As an example, disequilibrium could be caused by an unexpected change in monetary policy (for example, a change in the target federal funds rate) or fiscal policy (for example, a change in the federal deficit). Such changes will produce a change in the NRFR of interest, but the change should be short-lived because, in the longer run, the higher or lower interest rates will affect capital supply and demand. As an example, an increase in the federal deficit caused by an increase in government spending (easy fiscal policy) will increase the demand for capital and lead to an increase in interest rates. In turn, this increase in interest rates should cause an increase in savings and a decrease in the demand for capital by corporations or individuals. These changes in market conditions should bring rates back to the long-run equilibrium, which is based on the long-run growth rate of the economy.

Expected Rate of Inflation Previously, it was noted that if investors expect an increase in the inflation rate during the investment period, they will require the rate of return to include compensation for the expected rate of inflation. Assume that you require a 4 percent real rate of return on a risk-free investment, but you expect prices to increase by 3 percent during the investment period. In this case, you should increase your required rate of return by this expected rate of inflation to about 7 percent $[(1.04 \times 1.03) - 1]$. If you do not increase your required return, the $104 you receive at the end of the year will represent a real return of about 1 percent, not 4 percent. Because prices have increased by 3 percent during the year, what previously cost $100 now costs $103, so you can consume only about 1 percent more at the end of the year $[(\$104/\$103) - 1]$. If you had required a 7.12 percent nominal return, your real consumption could have increased by 4 percent $[(\$107.12/\$103) - 1]$. Therefore, an investor's nominal required rate of return on a risk-free investment should be:

1.11 $NRFR = [(1 + RRFR) \times (1 + \text{Expected Rate of Inflation})] - 1$

Rearranging the formula, you can calculate the RRFR of return on an investment as follows:

1.12
$$\text{RRFR} = \left[\frac{(1 + \text{NRFR of Return})}{(1 + \text{Rate of Inflation})}\right] - 1$$

To see how this works, assume that the nominal return on U.S. government T-bills was 9 percent during a given year, when the rate of inflation was 5 percent. In this instance, the RRFR of return on these T-bills was 3.8 percent, as follows:

$$\text{RRFR} = [(1 + 0.09)/(1 + 0.05)] - 1$$
$$= 1.038 - 1$$
$$= 0.038 = 3.8\%$$

Clearly, the nominal rate of interest on a risk-free investment is not a good estimate of the RRFR because the nominal rate can change dramatically in the short run in reaction to temporary ease or tightness in the capital market or because of changes in the expected rate of inflation. As indicated in Exhibit 1.6, the significant changes in the average yield on T-bills typically were related to large changes in the rates of inflation.

The Common Effect All the factors discussed thus far regarding the required rate of return affect all investments equally. Whether the investment is in stocks, bonds, real estate, or machine tools, if the expected rate of inflation increases from 2 percent to 6 percent, the investor's required rate of return for *all* investments should increase by 4 percent. Similarly, if a decline in the expected real growth rate of the economy causes a decline in the RRFR of 1 percent, the required return on all investments should decline by 1 percent.

1.3.3 Risk Premium

A risk-free investment was defined as one for which the investor is certain of the amount and timing of the expected returns. The returns from most investments do not fit this pattern. An investor typically is not completely certain of the income to be received or when it will be received. Investments can range in uncertainty from basically risk-free securities, such as T-bills, to highly speculative investments, such as the common stock of small companies engaged in high-risk enterprises.

Most investors require higher rates of return on investments if they perceive that there is any uncertainty about the expected rate of return. This increase in the required rate of return over the NRFR is the **risk premium (RP)**. Although the required risk premium represents a composite of all uncertainty, it is possible to consider several fundamental sources of uncertainty. In this section, we identify and discuss briefly the major sources of uncertainty, including: (1) business risk, (2) financial risk (leverage), (3) liquidity risk, (4) exchange rate risk, and (5) country (political) risk.

Business risk is the uncertainty of income flows caused by the nature of a firm's business. The less certain the income flows of the firm, the less certain the income flows to the investor. Therefore, the investor will demand a risk premium that is based on the uncertainty caused by the basic business of the firm. As an example, a retail food company would typically experience stable sales and earnings growth over time and would have low business risk compared to a firm in the auto or airline industry, where sales and earnings fluctuate substantially over the business cycle, implying high business risk.

Financial risk is the uncertainty introduced by the method by which the firm finances its investments. If a firm uses only common stock to finance investments, it incurs only business risk. If a firm borrows money to finance investments, it must pay fixed financing charges (in the form of interest to creditors) prior to providing income to the common stockholders, so the uncertainty of returns to the equity investor increases. This increase in uncertainty because

of fixed-cost financing is called *financial risk* or *financial leverage*, and it causes an increase in the stock's risk premium. For an extended discussion on this, see Brigham (2010).

Liquidity risk is the uncertainty introduced by the secondary market for an investment. When an investor acquires an asset, he or she expects that the investment will mature (as with a bond) or that it will be salable to someone else. In either case, the investor expects to be able to convert the security into cash and use the proceeds for current consumption or other investments. The more difficult it is to make this conversion to cash, the greater the liquidity risk. An investor must consider two questions when assessing the liquidity risk of an investment: How long will it take to convert the investment into cash? How certain is the price to be received? Similar uncertainty faces an investor who wants to acquire an asset: How long will it take to acquire the asset? How uncertain is the price to be paid?[5]

For example, a U.S. government Treasury bill has almost no liquidity risk because it can be bought or sold in seconds at a price almost identical to the quoted price. In contrast, examples of illiquid investments include a work of art, an antique, or a parcel of real estate in a remote area. Such investments may require a long time to find a buyer and the selling prices could vary substantially from expectations. Evaluating liquidity risk is critical when investing in foreign securities depending on the country and the liquidity of its stock and bond markets.

Exchange rate risk is the uncertainty of returns to an investor who acquires securities denominated in a currency different from his or her own. This risk is becoming greater as investors buy and sell assets from around the world, as opposed to only assets within their own countries. A U.S. investor who buys Japanese stock denominated in yen must consider not only the uncertainty of the return in yen but also any change in the exchange value of the yen relative to the U.S. dollar. That is, in addition to considering the foreign firm's business and financial risk and the security's liquidity risk, you must consider the additional uncertainty of the return on this Japanese stock when it is converted from yen to U.S. dollars.

As an example of exchange rate risk, assume that you buy 100 shares of Mitsubishi Electric at 1,050 yen when the exchange rate is 105 yen to the dollar. The dollar cost of this investment would be about $10.00 per share (1,050/105). A year later you sell the 100 shares at 1,200 yen when the exchange rate is 115 yen to the dollar. When you calculate the HPY in yen, you find the stock has increased in value by about 14 percent (1,200/1,050) − 1, but this is the HPY for a Japanese investor. A U.S. investor receives a much lower rate of return because during this period the yen has weakened relative to the dollar by about 9.5 percent (that is, it requires more yen to buy a dollar—115 versus 105). At the new exchange rate, the stock is worth $10.43 per share (1,200/115). Therefore, the return to you as a U.S. investor would be only about 4 percent ($10.43/$10.00) versus 14 percent for the Japanese investor. The difference in return for the Japanese investor and U.S. investor is caused by exchange rate risk—that is, the decline in the value of the yen relative to the dollar. Clearly, the exchange rate could have gone in the other direction, the dollar weakening against the yen—for example, assume the exchange rate declined from 105 yen to 95 yen to the dollar. In this case, as a U.S. investor, you would have experienced the 14 percent return measured in yen, as well as a currency gain from the exchange rate change that you should compute.[6]

The more volatile the exchange rate between two countries, the less certain you would be regarding the exchange rate, the greater the exchange rate risk, and the larger the exchange rate risk premium you would require. For an analysis of pricing this risk, see Jorion (1991).

---

[5]You will recall from prior courses that the overall capital market is composed of the primary market and the secondary market. Securities are initially sold in the primary market, and all subsequent transactions take place in the secondary market. These concepts are discussed in Chapter 3.

[6]At the new exchange rate, the value of the stock in dollars is $12.63 (1,200/95), which implies a dollar return of about 26 percent and a return of 14 percent in yen plus about 12 percent from the currency gain.

There can also be exchange rate risk for a U.S. firm that is extensively multinational in terms of sales and expenses. In this case, the firm's foreign earnings can be affected by changes in the exchange rate. As will be discussed, this risk can generally be hedged at a cost.

Country risk, also called *political risk*, is the uncertainty of returns caused by the possibility of a major change in the political or economic environment of a country. The United States is acknowledged to have the smallest country risk in the world because its political and economic systems are the most stable. During the spring of 2017, prevailing examples of political risk included the development of nuclear weapons in North Korea that threatened South Korea and Japan, chemical attacks in Syria against its own citizens and sanctioned by Russia, and Chinese attempts to colonize small islands in the South China Sea. In addition, deadly earthquakes in Japan have disturbed numerous global corporations and the currency markets. When you invest in countries that have unstable political or economic systems, you must add a country risk premium when determining their required rates of return.

When investing globally (which is emphasized throughout the book, based on a discussion in Chapter 2), investors must consider these additional uncertainties. How liquid are the secondary markets for stocks and bonds in the country? Are any of the country's securities traded on major stock exchanges in the United States, London, Tokyo, or Germany? What will happen to exchange rates during the investment period? What is the probability of a political or economic change that will adversely affect your rate of return? Exchange rate risk and country risk differ among countries. A good measure of exchange rate risk would be the absolute variability of a country's exchange rate relative to a composite exchange rate. The analysis of country risk is much more subjective and is generally based on the history and current political environment of the country.

This discussion of risk components can be considered a security's *fundamental risk* because it deals with the intrinsic factors that should affect a security's volatility of returns over time. In subsequent discussion, the standard deviation of returns for a security is referred to as a measure of the security's *total risk*, which considers only the individual stock—that is, the stock is not considered as part of a portfolio.

Risk Premium $= f$ (Business Risk, Financial Risk, Liquidity Risk, Exchange Rate Risk, Country Risk)

1.3.4 Risk Premium and Portfolio Theory

An alternative view of risk has been derived from extensive work in portfolio theory and capital market theory by Markowitz (1952, 1959) and Sharpe (1964). These theories are dealt with in greater detail in Chapters 6 and 7, but their impact on a stock's risk premium should be mentioned briefly at this point. These prior works by Markowitz and Sharpe indicated that investors should use an *external market* measure of risk. Under a specified set of assumptions, all rational, profit-maximizing investors want to hold a completely diversified market portfolio of risky assets, and they borrow or lend to arrive at a risk level that is consistent with their risk preferences. Under these conditions, these authors showed that the relevant risk measure for an individual asset is its *comovement with the market portfolio*. This comovement, which is measured by an asset's covariance with the market portfolio, is referred to as an asset's **systematic risk**, which is the portion of an individual asset's total variance that is attributable to the variability of the total market portfolio. In addition, individual assets have variance that is unrelated to the market portfolio (the asset's nonmarket variance) that is due to the asset's unique features. This nonmarket variance is called *unsystematic risk*, and it is generally considered unimportant because it is eliminated in a large, diversified portfolio. Therefore, under these assumptions, *the risk premium for an individual earning asset is a function of the asset's*

systematic risk with the aggregate market portfolio of risky assets. The measure of an asset's systematic risk is referred to as its *beta*:

$$\text{Risk Premium} = f(\text{Systematic Market Risk})$$

1.3.5 Fundamental Risk versus Systematic Risk

Some might expect a conflict between the market measure of risk (systematic risk) and the fundamental determinants of risk (business risk, and so on). A number of studies have examined the relationship between the market measure of risk (systematic risk) and accounting variables used to measure the fundamental risk factors, such as business risk, financial risk, and liquidity risk. The authors of these studies (especially Thompson, 1976) have generally concluded that *a significant relationship exists between the market measure of risk and the fundamental measures of risk.* Therefore, the two measures of risk can be complementary. This consistency seems reasonable because one might expect the market measure of risk to reflect the fundamental risk characteristics of the asset. For example, you might expect a firm that has high business risk and financial risk to have an above-average beta. At the same time, as we discuss in Chapters 6 and 7, a firm that has a high level of fundamental risk and a large standard deviation of returns can have a lower level of systematic risk simply because the variability of its earnings and its stock price is not related to the aggregate economy or the aggregate market; that is, a large component of its total risk is due to unique unsystematic risk. Therefore, one can specify the risk premium for an asset as either:

$$\text{Risk Premium} = f(\text{Business Risk, Financial Risk, Liquidity Risk, Exchange Rate Risk, Country Risk})$$

or

$$\text{Risk Premium} = f(\text{Systematic Market Risk})$$

1.3.6 Summary of Required Rate of Return

The overall required rate of return on alternative investments is determined by three variables: (1) the economy's RRFR, which is influenced by the investment opportunities in the economy (that is, the long-run real growth rate); (2) variables that influence the NRFR, which include short-run ease or tightness in the capital market and the expected rate of inflation. Notably, these variables, which determine the NRFR, are the same for all investments; and (3) the risk premium on the investment. In turn, this risk premium can be related to fundamental factors, including business risk, financial risk, liquidity risk, exchange rate risk, and country risk, or it can be a function of an asset's systematic market risk (beta).

Measures and Sources of Risk   In this chapter, we have examined both measures and sources of risk arising from an investment. The *measures* of market risk for an investment are:

- Variance of rates of return
- Standard deviation of rates of return
- Coefficient of variation of rates of return (standard deviation/means)
- Covariance of returns with the market portfolio (beta)

The *sources* of fundamental risk are:

- Business risk
- Financial risk
- Liquidity risk
- Exchange rate risk
- Country risk

1.4 Relationship between Risk and Return

Previously, we showed how to measure the risk and rates of return for alternative investments and we discussed what determines the rates of return that investors require. This section discusses the risk-return combinations that might be available at a point in time and illustrates the factors that cause *changes* in these combinations.

Exhibit 1.7 graphs the expected relationship between risk and return. It shows that investors increase their required rates of return as perceived risk (uncertainty) increases. The line that reflects the combination of risk and return available on alternative investments is referred to as the **security market line (SML)**. The SML reflects the risk-return combinations available for all risky assets in the capital market at a given time. Investors would select investments that are consistent with their risk preferences; some would consider only low-risk investments, whereas others welcome high-risk investments.

Beginning with an initial SML, three changes in the SML can occur. First, individual investments *can change positions on the SML* because of changes in the perceived risk of the investments. Second, *the slope of the SML can change* because of a change in the attitudes of investors toward risk; that is, investors can change the returns they require per unit of risk. Third, *the SML can experience a parallel shift* due to a change in the RRFR or the expected rate of inflation—that is, anything that can change in the NRFR. These three possibilities are discussed in this section.

1.4.1 Movements along the SML

Investors place alternative investments somewhere along the SML based on their perceptions of the risk of the investment. Obviously, if an investment's risk changes due to a change in one of its fundamental risk sources (business risk, financial risk and such), it will move along (up or down) the SML. For example, if a firm increases its financial risk by selling a large bond issue that increases its financial leverage, investors will perceive its common stock as riskier and the stock will move up the SML to a higher risk position implying that investors will require a higher rate of return. As the common stock becomes riskier, it changes its position on the SML. Any change in an asset that affects one or several of its fundamental risk factors or its market risk (that is, its beta) will cause the asset to move *along* the SML, as shown in Exhibit 1.8. Note that the SML does not change; only the positions of specific assets on the SML change.

Exhibit 1.7 Relationship between Risk and Return

Exhibit 1.8 Changes in the Required Rate of Return Due to Movements along the SML

1.4.2 Changes in the Slope of the SML

The slope of the SML indicates the return per unit of risk required by all investors. Assuming a straight line, it is possible to select any point on the SML and compute a risk premium (RP) for an asset through the equation:

1.13
$$RP_i = E(R_i) - NRFR$$

where:

RP_i = risk premium for asset i

$E(R_i)$ = expected return for asset i

$NRFR$ = nominal return on a risk-free asset

If a point on the SML is identified as the portfolio that contains all the risky assets in the market (referred to as the *market portfolio*), it is possible to compute a market RP as follows:

1.14
$$RP_m = E(R_m) - NRFR$$

where:

RP_m = risk premium on the market portfolio

$E(R_m)$ = expected return on the market portfolio

$NRFR$ = nominal return on a risk-free asset

This market RP is *not constant* because the slope of the SML changes over time. Although we do not understand completely what causes these changes in the slope, we do know that there are changes in the *yield* differences between assets with different levels of risk even though the inherent risk differences are relatively constant.

These differences in yields are referred to as **yield spreads**, and these yield spreads change over time. As an example, if the yield on a portfolio of Aaa-rated bonds is 6.50 percent, and the yield on a portfolio of Baa-rated bonds is 8.00 percent, we would say that the yield spread is 1.50 percent. This 1.50 percent is referred to as a credit risk premium because the Baa-rated bond is considered to have higher credit risk—that is, it has a higher probability of default. This Baa–Aaa yield spread is *not* constant over time, as shown by the substantial volatility in the yield spreads shown in Exhibit 1.9.

Although the underlying business and financial risk characteristics for the portfolio of bonds in the Aaa-rated bond index and the Baa-rated bond index would probably not change dramatically over time, it is clear from the time-series plot in Exhibit 1.9 that the difference in yields (that is, the yield spread that reflects credit risk) has experienced increases of more than

Exhibit 1.9 Barclays Capital U.S. Credit Monthly Yield Spreads in Basis Points (U.S. Credit Aaa–U.S. Credit Baa) January 1973–December 2010

**Source**: Barclays Capital data; computations by authors.

100 basis points (1 percent) in a short period of time (for example, increases in 1974–1975 and 1981–1983, an increase of over 200 basis points 2001–2002, an increase of over 500 basis points in 2008–2009, and subsequent dramatic declines in yield spread during 1975, 1983–1984, 2003–2004, and the second half of 2009). Such significant changes in the yield spread during a period when there is no major change in the fundamental risk characteristics of Baa bonds relative to Aaa bonds would imply a change in the market RP. Specifically, although the intrinsic financial risk characteristics of the bonds remain relatively constant, investors changed the yield spreads (that is, the credit risk premiums) they demand to accept this difference in financial risk.

This change in the RP implies a change in the slope of the SML. Such a change is shown in Exhibit 1.10. The exhibit assumes an increase in the market risk premium, which means an increase in the slope of the market line. Such a change in the slope of the SML (the market risk premium) will affect the required rate of return for all risky assets. Irrespective of where an investment is on the original SML, its required rate of return will increase by different amounts, although its intrinsic risk characteristics remain unchanged.

1.4.3 Changes in Capital Market Conditions or Expected Inflation

The graph in Exhibit 1.11 shows what happens to the SML when there are changes in one or more of the following factors: (1) expected real growth in the economy, (2) capital market conditions, or (3) the expected rate of inflation. For example, an increase in expected real growth, temporary tightness in the capital market, or an increase in the expected rate of inflation will cause the SML to experience a parallel shift upward as shown in

Exhibit 1.11. The parallel shift occurs because changes in one or more of expected real growth or changes in capital market conditions or a change in the expected rate of inflation affect the economy's nominal risk-free rate (NRFR) that impacts all investments, irrespective of their risk levels.

Exhibit 1.10 Change in Market Risk Premium

Exhibit 1.11 Capital Market Conditions, Expected Inflation, and the Security Market Line

1.4.4 Summary of Changes in the Required Rate of Return

The relationship between risk and the required rate of return for an investment can change in three ways:

1. A movement *along* the SML demonstrates a change in the risk characteristics of a specific investment, such as a change in its business risk, its financial risk, or its systematic risk (its beta). This change affects only the individual investment.
2. A change in the *slope* of the SML occurs in response to a change in the attitudes of investors toward risk. Such a change demonstrates that investors want either higher or lower rates of return for the same intrinsic risk. This is also described as a change in the market risk premium (R_m − NRFR). A change in the market risk premium will affect all risky investments.
3. A *shift* in the SML reflects a change in expected real growth, a change in market conditions (such as ease or tightness of money), or a change in the expected rate of inflation. Again, such a change will affect all investments.

SUMMARY

The purpose of this chapter is to provide background that can be used in subsequent chapters. To achieve that goal, we covered several topics:

- We discussed why individuals save part of their income and why they decide to invest their savings. We defined *investment* as the current commitment of these savings for a period of time to derive a rate of return that compensates for the time involved, the expected rate of inflation, and the uncertainty of the investment returns.
- We examined ways to quantify historical return and risk to help analyze alternative investment opportunities. We considered two measures of mean return (arithmetic and geometric) and applied these to a historical series for an individual investment and to a portfolio of investments during a period of time.
- We considered the concept of uncertainty and alternative measures of risk (the variance, standard deviation, and a relative measure of risk—the coefficient of variation).
- Before discussing the determinants of the required rate of return for an investment, we noted that the estimation of the required rate of return is complicated because the rates on individual investments change over time, because there is a wide range of rates of return available on alternative investments,

and because the differences between required returns on alternative investments (for example, the yield spreads) likewise change over time.

- We examined the specific factors that determine the required rate of return: (1) the real risk-free rate, which is based on the real rate of growth in the economy, (2) the nominal risk-free rate, which is influenced by capital market conditions and the expected rate of inflation, and (3) a risk premium, which is a function of fundamental factors, such as business risk, or the systematic risk of the asset relative to the market portfolio (that is, its beta).
- We discussed the risk-return combinations available on alternative investments at a point in time (illustrated by the SML) and the three factors that can cause changes in this relationship. First, a change in the inherent risk of an individual investment (that is, its fundamental risk or market risk) will cause a movement along the SML. Second, a change in investors' attitudes toward risk will cause a change in the required return per unit of risk—that is, a change in the market risk premium caused by a change in the slope of the SML. Finally, a change in expected real growth, in capital market conditions, or in the expected rate of inflation will cause a parallel shift of the SML.

SUGGESTED READINGS

Fama, Eugene F., and Merton H. Miller. *The Theory of Finance*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972.

Fisher, Irving. *The Theory of Interest*. New York: Macmillan, 1930, reprinted by Augustus M. Kelley, 1961.

QUESTIONS

1. Define *investment* and discuss the overall purpose people have for investing.
2. As a student, are you saving or borrowing? Why?
3. Divide a person's life from ages 20 to 70 into 10-year segments and discuss the likely saving or borrowing patterns during each period.
4. Discuss why you would expect the saving–borrowing pattern to differ by occupation (for example, for a doctor versus a plumber).
5. *The Wall Street Journal* reported that the yield on common stocks is about 2 percent, whereas a study at the University of Chicago contends that the annual rate of return on common stocks since 1926 has averaged about 10 percent. Reconcile these statements.
6. Some financial theorists consider the variance of the distribution of expected rates of return to be a good measure of uncertainty. Discuss the reasoning behind this measure of risk and its purpose.
7. Discuss the three components of an investor's required rate of return on an investment.
8. Discuss the two major factors that determine the market nominal risk-free rate (NRFR). Explain which of these factors would be more volatile over the business cycle.
9. Briefly discuss the five fundamental factors that influence the risk premium of an investment.
10. You own stock in the Gentry Company, and you read in the financial press that a recent bond offering has raised the firm's debt/equity ratio from 35 percent to 55 percent. Discuss the effect of this change on the variability of the firm's net income stream, other factors being constant. Discuss how this change would affect your required rate of return on the common stock of the Gentry Company.
11. Draw a properly labeled graph of the security market line (SML) and indicate where you would expect the following investments to fall along that line. Discuss your reasoning.
    a. Common stock of large firms
    b. U.S. government bonds
    c. U.K. government bonds
    d. Low-grade corporate bonds
    e. Common stock of a Japanese firm
12. Explain why you would change your nominal required rate of return if you expected the rate of inflation to go from 0 (no inflation) to 4 percent. Give an example of what would happen if you did not change your required rate of return under these conditions.
13. Assume the expected long-run growth rate of the economy increased by 1 percent and the expected rate of inflation increased by 4 percent. What would happen to the required rates of return on government bonds and common stocks? Show graphically how the effects of these changes would differ between these alternative investments.
14. You see in *The Wall Street Journal* that the yield spread between Baa corporate bonds and Aaa corporate bonds has gone from 350 basis points (3.5 percent) to 200 basis points (2 percent). Show graphically the effect of this change in yield spread on the SML and discuss its effect on the required rate of return for common stocks.
15. Give an example of a liquid investment and an illiquid investment. Discuss why you consider each of them to be liquid or illiquid.

PROBLEMS

1. On February 1, you bought 100 shares of stock in the Francesca Corporation for $34 a share and a year later you sold it for $39 a share. During the year, you received a cash dividend of $1.50 a share. Compute your HPR and HPY on this Francesca stock investment.