

THE PSYCHIATRIC INTERVIEW

THE PSYCHIATRIC INTERVIEW

Fourth Edition

Daniel J. Carlat, M.D.

Publisher

The Carlat Psychiatry Report

The Carlat Child Psychiatry Report

The Carlat Addiction Treatment Report

Associate Clinical Professor of Psychiatry

Tufts University School of Medicine

Boston, Massachusetts

Practical Guides in Psychiatry

Daniel J. Carlat, M.D.

Founding Editor

 **Wolters Kluwer**

Philadelphia • Baltimore • New York • London
Buenos Aires • Hong Kong • Sydney • Tokyo

Acquisitions Editor: Jamie Elfrank
Product Development Editor: Andrea Vosburgh
Production Project Manager: Kim Cox
Design Coordinator: Stephen Druding
Manufacturing Coordinator: Beth Welsh
Marketing Manager: Rachel Mante Leung
Prepress Vendor: SPi Global
4th edition

Copyright © 2017 Wolters Kluwer

Copyright © 2012 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a Wolters Kluwer business.
Copyright © 2005, 1999 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including as photocopies or scanned-in or other electronic copies, or utilized by any information storage and retrieval system without written permission from the copyright owner, except for brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. Materials appearing in this book prepared by individuals as part of their official duties as U.S. government employees are not covered by the above-mentioned copyright. To request permission, please contact Wolters Kluwer at Two Commerce Square, 2001 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, via email at permissions@lww.com, or via our website at lww.com (products and services).

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in China (or the United States of America)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Carlat, Daniel J., author.

Title: The psychiatric interview / Daniel J. Carlat.

Other titles: Practical guides in psychiatry.

Description: Fourth edition. | Philadelphia : Wolters Kluwer, [2017] | Series: Practical guides in psychiatry | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016008384 | ISBN 9781496327710

Subjects: | MESH: Interview, Psychological—methods | Mental Disorders—diagnosis | Physician-Patient Relations | Handbooks

Classification: LCC RC480.7 | NLM WM 34 | DDC 616.89/075—dc23 LC record available at <http://lcn.loc.gov/2016008384>

This work is provided “as is,” and the publisher disclaims any and all warranties, express or implied, including any warranties as to accuracy, comprehensiveness, or currency of the content of this work.

This work is no substitute for individual patient assessment based upon healthcare professionals’ examination of each patient and consideration of, among other things, age, weight, gender, current or prior medical conditions, medication history, laboratory data and other factors unique to the patient. The publisher does not provide medical advice or guidance and this work is merely a reference tool. Healthcare professionals, and not the publisher, are solely responsible for the use of this work including all medical judgments and for any resulting diagnosis and treatments.

Given continuous, rapid advances in medical science and health information, independent professional verification of medical diagnoses, indications, appropriate pharmaceutical selections and dosages, and treatment options should be made and healthcare professionals should consult a variety of sources. When prescribing medication, healthcare professionals are advised to consult the product information sheet (the manufacturer’s package insert) accompanying each drug to verify, among other things, conditions of use, warnings and side effects and identify any changes in dosage schedule or contraindications, particularly if the medication to be administered is new, infrequently used or has a narrow therapeutic range. To the maximum extent permitted under applicable law, no responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property, as a matter of products liability, negligence law or otherwise, or from any reference to or use by any person of this work.

LWW.com

*To my patients, past, present, and future. Thank you
for allowing me to ask you question after question, and
thank you for answering so honestly.*

.....

Foreword

The Psychiatric Interview is straightforward, practical, and wise, yet often lighthearted and funny, a breath of fresh air where comparable references have often been boring and ponderous. It brims with extraordinary gifts for its readers. It is a scholarly review of the research literature, yet it moves swiftly and has a light, even jaunty, tone. It is very much up-to-date and serves as a useful introduction to many ideas, such as those from psychodynamics, that are not widely available to contemporary students.

Best of all, the book is alive, an extraordinary achievement in view of the amount of detailed material presented. It emphasizes the *person within the patient* and the need to form an *alliance* with that person to secure reliable information and cooperation in treatment. We *feel* the patients presented by Dr. Carlat; they are not simply diagnoses. Dr. Carlat offsets the profession's reputation for being cheerless and pathology minded; he illustrates many ways by which effective relationships can be formed and shows how relationships that are endangered can be repaired, perhaps especially at the close of an interview.

The Psychiatric Interview is designed in an easily accessible format, with aids for memory, appendices for organizing information, and sensible guides for recordkeeping. This is teaching by example at its best, with the examples both vivid and pointed, so that they stick in the reader's mind.

Truly understanding another human being is a daunting challenge, yet nothing is more important if we are to soothe the suffering of a ravaged soul. Use this book as a guide to reach for that understanding.

Leston Havens, M.D.
Professor of Psychiatry, Emeritus
Harvard Medical School
The Cambridge Health Alliance
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Preface

Over the course of a 40-year professional career, you will do ~100,000 diagnostic interviews. The diagnostic interview is by far the most important tool in the arsenal of any clinician, and yet the average training program directs relatively few resources to specific training in the skills required for it. The general assumption seems to be that if you do enough interviews with different kinds of patients, you'll naturally pick up the required skills. That may be true, but it can take a long time, and the learning process can be painful.

I hatched the idea for this manual one night during my first year of psychiatric residency. Starting my shift in the acute psychiatry service (APS), I noticed five patients in the waiting room; the resident who handed me the emergency room beeper said that there were two more patients in the emergency room, both in restraints. At that moment, the beeper sounded, and I called the number. "Psychiatry? This is Ellison 6. We have a patient up here who says he's depressed and suicidal. Please come and evaluate, stat." That meant that I had a total of eight diagnostic assessments to do.

As the night stretched on, my interviews got briefer. The developmental history was the first to go, followed quickly by the formal mental status examination. This trimming process continued until, at 5 a.m., it reached its absurd, but inevitable, conclusion. My entire interview consisted of little more than the following question: "Are you suicidal?"

As I handed the beeper off to my colleague at 8 a.m. (I had slept for 50 minutes, about the length of a psychotherapeutic hour), I began to think about those interviews. Were they too short? (I was sure they were.) Were they efficient? (I doubted it.) Had anyone come up with a system for conducting diagnostic assessments that were rapid but at the same time thorough enough to do justice to the patient?

Looking for such a system became my little project over the rest of my residency. I labeled a manila file folder *interviewing pearls* and started throwing in bits and pieces of information from various sources, including interviewing textbooks, lectures in our Wednesday seminars, and conversations with my supervisors and with other residents. When I became chief resident of the inpatient unit, I videotaped case conferences and took notes on effective interviewing techniques. Later,

during my first job as an attending psychiatrist, I practiced and fine-tuned these techniques with inpatients at Anna Jaques Hospital and outpatients at Harris Street Associates.

What I ended up with was a compendium of tips and pearls that will help make your diagnostic interviews more efficient and, I hope, more fun. Mnemonics will make it easier for you to quickly remember needed information. Interviewing techniques will help you move the interview along quickly without alienating your patients. Every chapter begins with an Essential Concepts box that lists the truly take-home items of information therein. The appendices contain useful little stocking stuffers, such as “pocket cards” with vital information to be photocopied and forms that you can use during your interviews to ensure that you’re not forgetting anything important.

However, if you’re looking for theoretical justifications and point-by-point evidence for the efficacy of these techniques, you won’t find it here. Go to one of the many textbooks of psychiatric interviewing for that. Every piece of information in this manual had to meet the following stringent standard: It had to be immediately useful knowledge for the trainee about to step into the room with a new patient.

WHAT THIS MANUAL IS

First, this is *only* a manual. It’s not a residency or an internship. The way to learn how to interview patients is by interviewing them under good supervision. Only there can you learn the subtleties of the interview, the skills of understanding the interactions between you and your patients.

It is a tool that lends you a guiding hand in your initial efforts to interview patients. It’s confusing territory. There are lots of mistakes to be made and many embarrassing and awkward moments ahead. This book won’t prevent all of that, but it will catalyze the development of your interviewing skills.

It is a handbook for any beginning clinician who does psychiatric assessments as part of his or her training. This includes psychiatric residents, medical students, psychology interns, social work interns, mental health workers, nursing students, and residents in other medical fields who may need to do an on-the-spot diagnostic assessment while waiting for a consultant.

WHAT THIS MANUAL IS *NOT*

It is not a *textbook* of psychiatric interviewing. There are a number of interviewing textbooks already available (Shea 1998; Othmer 2001; Morrison 2014), my favorite being Shea's *Psychiatric Interviewing: The Art of Understanding*. Although textbooks are more thorough and encyclopedic, the drawback is that they do not guide the beginner to the essence of what he or she needs to know. Also, textbooks aren't portable, and I wanted to write something that you can carry around to your various clinical settings. That said, please buy a textbook, and have it around for those times when you want to read in more depth.

This is also not a handbook of psychiatric *disorders*. There are plenty of good ones already published, and I wrote this manual to fill the need for a brief, how-to guide to diagnosing those disorders.

Finally, it is not a *psychotherapy* manual. Doing a rapid diagnostic assessment isn't psychotherapy, although you can extend many of the skills used in the first interview to psychotherapy.

I hope that you will enjoy this book and that it will help you to develop confidence in interviewing. As you embark, remember these words of Theodore Roosevelt: "The only man who never makes a mistake is the man who never does anything." Good luck!

.....

Introduction to the Fourth Edition

It's been 17 years since the first edition of *The Psychiatric Interview* was published. What began as a little pet project while I was a chief resident at Massachusetts General Hospital in 1995 has, surprisingly to me, become a standard text for those seeking a brief how-to manual for the psychiatric interview.

This latest edition incorporates the changes in diagnostic criteria published in DSM-5, the latest version of our field's official categorization of mental disorders. There are significant changes in how we diagnose dementia (now called major neurocognitive disorder), substance abuse, eating disorders, ADHD, and somatization disorder (which has evaporated from DSM-5). Beyond that, I did an updated literature review and made a few revisions as a result.

The Psychiatric Interview has now been translated into German, Japanese, Korean, Portuguese, and Greek. It's gratifying to me that clinicians all over the world understand the importance of active listening and of asking the right questions at the right times. Becoming a great clinician requires a lifetime of dedication. As Vince Lombardi once said, "Perfection is not attainable, but if we chase perfection we can catch excellence."

Daniel Carlat, M.D.
Newburyport, Massachusetts, 2016

Acknowledgments

For this fourth edition, as in the previous three editions, I start by thanking Dr. Shawn Shea, whose classic textbook, *Psychiatric Interviewing: The Art of Understanding*, got me interested in this topic. Dr. Shea has been a great friend and mentor throughout my career.

My father, Paul Carlat, who is also a psychiatrist, has bestowed upon me whatever personal qualities have been helpful as I work with patients. He continues to practice psychiatry, offering a unique blend of psychotherapy and medication treatment, and is a role model both for me and for many other young psychiatrists in the San Francisco Bay Area who have benefited from his supervision.

Many members of the faculty of Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), where I did my psychiatric residency, were extremely helpful in the shaping of the manuscript. In particular, I thank the late Dr. Ed Messner, whose very practical approach to patient care was refreshing; Dr. Paul Hamburg, who taught empathy and innumerable other aspects of connecting with patients; Dr. Paul Summergrad, a consummate clinician and the director of the inpatient unit during my chief residency, who supported me in my efforts to create an interviewing course for residents; Dr. Carey Gross, who taught me much about how to rapidly make the right diagnosis for the most difficult patients; and Dr. Anthony Erdmann, who generously contributed several screening questions. In addition, special thanks go to the late Dr. Leston Havens, who was very encouraging throughout this project.

I also thank the psychiatry residents at MGH. The PGY-2 residents of the 1994 to 1995 academic year were extremely accommodating as I developed my interviewing curriculum while teaching it; the residents and psychology fellows in my own class constantly cheered me on, particularly Drs. Claudia Baldassano, Christina Demopulos, and Alan Lyman; members of the Harvard Gardens Club; and Dr. Robert Muller, psychologist supreme.

Finally, thanks are due to the staff of the Anna Jaques Hospital inpatient psychiatry unit, where I have “road tested” the many techniques described in this book. I especially thank Dr. Rowen Hochstedler, my former medical director at the hospital, and my friend, who is living proof that excellent mentoring can continue far beyond the reaches of academia.

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Introduction to the Fourth Edition</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv

Section I. General Principles of Effective Interviewing

1. The Initial Interview: A Preview	2
2. Logistic Preparations: What to Do Before the Interview	7
3. The Therapeutic Alliance: What It Is, Why It's Important, and How to Establish It	17
4. Asking Questions I: How to Approach Threatening Topics	25
5. Asking Questions II: Tricks for Improving Patient Recall	30
6. Asking Questions III: How to Change Topics with Style	33
7. Techniques for the Reluctant Patient	35
8. Techniques for the Overly Talkative Patient	39
9. Techniques for the Malingering Patient	43
10. Techniques for the Adolescent Patient	48
11. Interviewing Family Members and Other Informants	57
12. Techniques for Other Challenging Situations	67
13. Practical Psychodynamics in the Diagnostic Interview	73

Section II. The Psychiatric History

14. Obtaining the History of Present Illness	86
15. Obtaining the Psychiatric History	93
16. Screening for General Medical Conditions	101

17.	Family Psychiatric History	110
18.	Obtaining the Social and Developmental History	115

**Section III. Interviewing for Diagnosis:
The Psychiatric Review of Symptoms**

19.	How to Memorize the <i>DSM-5</i> Criteria	122
20.	Interviewing for Diagnosis: The Art of Hypothesis Testing.	132
21.	Mental Status Examination	137
22.	Assessing Suicidal and Homicidal Ideation.	159
23.	Assessing Mood Disorders I: Depressive Disorders	167
24.	Assessing Mood Disorders II: Bipolar Disorder.	178
25.	Assessing Anxiety, Obsessive, and Trauma Disorders	186
26.	Assessing Alcohol Use Disorder	199
27.	Assessing Psychotic Disorders.	208
28.	Assessing Neurocognitive Disorders (Dementia and Delirium)	228
29.	Assessing Eating Disorders and Somatic Symptom Disorder.	237
30.	Assessing Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	243
31.	Assessing Personality Disorders	249

Section IV. Interviewing for Treatment

32.	How to Educate Your Patient	262
33.	Negotiating a Treatment Plan	267
34.	Writing Up the Results of the Interview	272

Appendixes

A	Pocket Cards	284
B	Data Forms for the Interview	292
C	Patient Education Handouts	308
<i>References</i>		319
<i>Index</i>		327



GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF EFFECTIVE INTERVIEWING

The Initial Interview: A Preview

Essential Concepts

The Four Tasks

- Build a therapeutic alliance.
- Obtain the psychiatric database.
- Interview for diagnosis.
- Negotiate a treatment plan with your patient.

The Three Phases

- Opening phase
- Body of the interview
- Closing phase

FOUR TASKS OF THE DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW

When you meet a patient for the first time, you know very little about her, but you know that she is suffering. (Note: Throughout this book, I switch genders when discussing theoretical patients rather than resorting to the awkward “him or her.”) While this may seem obvious, this implies something that we often lose sight of. Our job, from the first “hello,” is to ease our patients’ suffering, rather than to make a diagnosis.

Don’t get me wrong—the diagnosis is important. Otherwise, I wouldn’t be subjecting you to yet another edition of this book! But diagnosis is only one step on the path of relieving suffering. And often, you can do plenty to help a patient during the first session without having much of a clue as to the official DSM diagnosis.

Since 2005, when the second edition of this book was published, psychiatry has begun to question its fixation on the value of diagnostic categories. We have come to realize that “major depression” does not imply a specific “disease” but rather a huge range of potential problems. Each of our patients present with their own versions of depression, in

other words, and each version requires an individualized treatment approach. A 24-year-old woman floundering around after graduating from college a few years ago is depressed—and the solution may lie in helping her to clarify her goals. A 45-year-old public relations manager just found out his wife has been having an affair and he is depressed—the solution may be helping him to decide if he can ever trust her enough to engage in couple's therapy. A 37-year-old woman with three well-adjusted children and a good marriage says her life seems okay but she is depressed—she may need a course of antidepressants.

My point with these examples? Before you dive into the worthy project of becoming a world-class DSM diagnostician, experiment with spending much of your face-to-face patient time thinking about their lives, rather than your diagnosis of their lives. Engage your natural empathy, compassion, and intuition—because these represent the essence of psychological healing. And even as you progress through your career and have logged thousands of patient hours (as I have), always remind yourself of something that a wise colleague, Brian Greenberg, once told me: “I often put the DSM manual aside and tell myself, ‘Brian, how are you going to make this person's journey easier?’”

The diagnostic interview is really about treatment, not diagnosis. It is important to keep this larger goal in mind during the interview, because if you don't, your patient may never return for a second visit, and your finely wrought *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)* diagnosis will end up languishing in a chart in a file room.

Studies show that up to 50% of patients drop out before the fourth session of treatment, and many never return after the first appointment (Baekeland and Lundwall 1975). The reasons for treatment dropout are many. Some patients do not return because they formed poor alliances with their clinicians, some because they weren't really interested in treatment in the first place, and others because the initial interviews alone boosted their morale enough to get them through their stressors (Pekarik 1993). The upshot is that much more than diagnosis should occur during the initial interview: Alliance building, morale boosting, and treatment negotiating are also vital.

The four tasks of the initial interview blend with one another. You establish a therapeutic alliance as you learn about your patient. The very act of inquiry is an alliance builder; we tend to like people who are warmly curious about us. As you ask questions, you formulate possible diagnoses, and thinking through diagnoses leads naturally to the process of negotiating a treatment plan.

Build a Therapeutic Alliance

A therapeutic alliance forms the groundwork of any psychological treatment. Chapter 3, *The Therapeutic Alliance*, focuses on the alliance directly, and Chapters 4 to 13 provide various interviewing tips that will help you increase rapport with your patient.

Obtain the Psychiatric Database

Also known as the *psychiatric history*, the psychiatric database includes historical information relevant to the current clinical presentation. These topics are covered in Section II, *The Psychiatric History*, and include history of present illness, psychiatric history, medical history, family psychiatric history, and aspects of the social and developmental history. Gleaning this information is the substance of the interview, and throughout this step, you will have to work on building and maintaining the alliance. You will also make frequent forays into the next task, interviewing for diagnosis.

Interview for Diagnosis

The ability to interview for diagnosis—without sounding as if you’re reading off a checklist of symptoms and without getting sidetracked by less relevant information—is one of the supreme skills of a clinician, and one that you will hone and develop over the course of your professional life. Section III, *Interviewing for Diagnosis: The Psychiatric Review of Symptoms*, is devoted to this skill; it contains chapters on how to memorize *DSM-5* criteria (Chapter 19) and on the art of diagnostic hypothesis testing (Chapter 20) and several disorder-specific chapters that focus on how to use screening and probing questions for each of the major *DSM-5* disorders (Chapters 22 to 31).

Negotiate a Treatment Plan and Communicate It to Your Patient

This process is rarely taught in residency or graduate school, and yet, it is probably the most important thing you can do to ensure that your patient adheres to whatever treatment you recommend. If your patient doesn't understand your formulation, doesn't agree with your advice, and doesn't feel comfortable telling you so, the interview may as well never have taken place. See Section IV, Interviewing for Treatment, for tips on the art of patient education and clinical negotiation.

THREE PHASES OF THE DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW

The diagnostic interview, like most tasks in life, has a beginning, a middle, and an end. Although this may seem obvious enough, novice interviewers often lose sight of it and therefore fail to actively structure the interview and control its pacing. The result is usually a panic-filled ending, in which 50 questions are wedged into the last 5 minutes.

It's true that there's a huge amount of information to obtain during the first interview, and time may feel like the enemy. Excellent interviewers, however, rarely feel rushed. They have the ability to obtain large amounts of information in a brief period, without giving patients the sense that they are being hurried along or made to fit into a preordained structure. One of the secrets of a good interviewer is the ability to actively structure the interview in its three phases.

Opening Phase (5 to 10 Minutes)

The opening phase includes meeting your patient, learning a bit about her life situation, and then shutting up and giving her a few uninterrupted minutes to tell you why she came. This is discussed in more detail in Chapter 3, because the opening phase is a crucial period for alliance building; the patient is making an initial decision as to your trustworthiness. The opening phase is based on careful, preinterview preparation, covered in Chapter 2, Logistic Preparations: What to Do Before the Interview. Attention to logistics ensures that you will be completely attuned to the relationship with your patient during the first 5 minutes.

Body of the Interview (30 to 40 Minutes)

Over the course of the opening phase, you will come up with some initial diagnostic hypotheses (Chapter 20), and you will decide on some interviewing priorities to explore during the body of the interview. For example, you may decide that depression, anxiety, and substance abuse are likely problems for a particular patient. You will map out an interviewing strategy for exploring these topics, which will include asking about the history of the present illness (Chapter 14); history of depression, suicidal ideation, and substance abuse (Chapters 22, 23, and 26); family history of these disorders (Chapter 17); and a detailed assessment of whether the patient actually meets *DSM-5* criteria (Chapters 20, 21, and 24) for each disorder. Once you've accomplished these priority tasks, you can move on to other topics, such as the social/developmental history (Chapter 18), medical history (Chapter 16), and psychiatric review of symptoms (Section III).

Closing Phase (5 to 10 Minutes)

Although you may be tempted to continue asking diagnostic questions right up to the end of the hour, it's essential to reserve at least 5 minutes for the closing phase of the interview. The closing phase should include two components: (a) a discussion of your assessment, using the patient education techniques outlined in Chapter 32, and (b) an effort to come to a negotiated agreement about treatment or follow-up plans (Chapter 33). Of course, early in your career, it will be difficult to come up with a coherent assessment on the spot, without the benefit of hours of postinterview supervision and reading. This skill will improve with practice.

The most tactful question in the world is still inquisitive and requests an answer. To some measure, it carries the memory of all questions that could not be answered or were shaming or damning to acknowledge.

Leston Havens, M.D.
A Safe Place

Logistic Preparations: What to Do Before the Interview

Essential Concepts

- Prepare the right space and time.
- Use paper tools effectively.
- Develop your policies.

The work of psychological healing begins in a safe place, to be compared with the best of hospital experience or, from an earlier time, church sanctuary. The psychological safe place permits the individual to make spontaneous, forceful gestures and, at the same time, represents a community that both allows the gestures and is valued for its own sake.

Leston Havens, M.D.
A Safe Place

Logistic preparation for an interview is important because it sets up a mellower and less stressful experience for both you and your patient. Often, trainees are thrown into the clinic without training in how to find and secure a room, how to deal with scheduling, or how to document effectively. You'll eventually arrive at a system that works well for you; this chapter will help speed up that process.

PREPARE THE RIGHT SPACE AND TIME

Secure a Space

A space war is raging in most clinics and training programs, and you must fight to secure territory. Once secured, dig trenches, call for the cavalry, and do whatever you need to do.

I remember one early lesson in this reality: I was 2 months into my training and just finishing supervision in the Warren Building of the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) campus. It was 12:55 p.m., and I had a therapy patient scheduled

for 1:00 p.m. in the Ambulatory Care Clinic, a building so far from Warren that it practically had its own time zone. I zigged and zagged around staff and patients in the hallways on their way to the cafeteria and rushed into the clinic by 1:05 p.m. My patient was in the waiting room and got a good view of sweat trickling down my forehead. I scanned the room schedule and found that no rooms were free. Panic set in, until the secretary pointed out that the resident who had room 825 for that hour had not yet shown up. So I led my patient to 825, and we started, 10 minutes late. Five minutes later, there was a knock on the door. I opened it, and there stood the resident and his patient. I redeposited my patient in the waiting room and scoured the list for another room.

I won't torture you with the rest of this saga. Suffice it to say, we were evicted from the next room as well, and the therapy session was, in the end, only 15 minutes long, with much humiliation on my part and good-natured amusement on my patient's.

Here are some time-honored tips on how to secure a room and what to do with it once you have it:

- **Schedule the same time every week.** Try to secure your room for the same time every week. That way, you'll be able to fit interviews into your weekly schedule routinely. When it comes to psychiatric interviewing, routine is your friend. Psychodynamic psychotherapists call this routine—the same time, the same room, the same greeting—the “frame.” Making it invariable reduces distractions from the work of psychological exploration.
- **Make your room your own in some way.** This isn't easy when you only inhabit it for a few hours a week. Clinic policy may forbid this, or it may be impolite (e.g., if you're using an office that belongs to a regular staff member). If possible, put a picture on the desk or the wall, bring a plant in, place some reference books on a shelf, hang some files. The room will feel more like your space, and it will seem homier to your patient. In my current office, I have a photo of my two children on my desk. In the past, I worried that this little piece of self-disclosure could cause problems with transference. Would lonely patients envy me for having a family? Would angry patients believe that I was “bragging” by showcasing my “beautiful family”? In fact, these problems haven't

occurred (at least to my knowledge)—the photo is generally a good conversation starter and, for most patients, makes me seem more human and less intimidating.

- **Arrange the seating so that you can see a clock** without shifting your gaze too much. A wall clock positioned just behind your patient works well. A desk clock or a wristwatch placed between the two of you is also acceptable. The object is to allow you to keep track of the passage of time without this being obvious to your patient. It is alienating for a patient to notice a clinician frequently looking at a clock; the perceived message is “I can’t wait for the end of this interview.” You do need to monitor the time, though, to ensure that you obtain a tremendous amount of information in a brief period. Actually, keeping track of time will paradoxically make you *less* distracted and *more* present for your patient, since you’ll always know that you’re managing your time adequately.

Protect Your Time

Time is but the stream I go a-fishing in.

Henry David Thoreau

This is not to say that you should go fly-casting with your patients (though you’re usually fishing for something or other during an interview). Rather, you should protect the time you schedule for interviews, so that it has that same peaceful, almost sacred quality. How to do it?

Arrive Earlier than the Patient

You need time to prepare yourself emotionally and logistically for the interview. Compose yourself. Lay out whatever forms or handouts you’ll need. Answer any urgent messages that you just picked up at your message box. Breathe, meditate, do a crossword puzzle, or whatever you do to relax.

I once observed an interviewer who was visibly anxious. He crossed and uncrossed his legs and constantly kneaded his left palm with his right thumb. Eventually, the patient interrupted the interview and asked, “Doctor? Are you all right? You look nervous.” He laughed. “Oh, I’m fine,” he said. And no, this was not a resident, but one of my professors.

Prevent Interruptions

There are various ways to prevent interruptions:

- Ask the clinic secretary to take messages for you.
- Ask the page operator to hold all but urgent pages.
- Put your pager on vibrate mode and only answer urgent pages.
- Sign your pages out to a colleague.

Don't Overbook Patients

Know your limits. At the beginning, it may take you an hour and a half to complete an evaluation, not including the write-up. If so, book only one patient per 2-hour slot. Obviously, your training program won't allow you to maintain such a leisurely schedule for long, but you will improve and become more efficient. Eventually, you should aim toward completing the evaluation and write-up (or dictation) in 1 hour.

Leave Plenty of Time for Notes and Paperwork

The time required for paperwork will vary, depending on both the setting and the clinician. The key is to figure out how long it takes you and then to make room for it in your schedule. Don't fall into denial. If you happen to be very slow at paperwork, admit it and plan accordingly.

I know an excellent psychiatrist who has learned from experience that he has to spend 30 minutes on charting, telephoning, and miscellaneous paperwork related to patients for every hour of clinical work he does. If he spends 6 hours seeing patients, he schedules 3 hours in the evening to take care of the collateral work. Although his hourly wage decreases, he gains the satisfaction of knowing that he's doing the kind of job he wants to do.

Now, that wouldn't work for me. I schedule slightly less time with patients so that I can finish all collateral work before I see my next appointment. The point, as Polonius said in *Hamlet*, is to "Know thyself, and to thine own self be true."

USE CLINICAL TOOLS EFFECTIVELY

By "clinical tools," I mean the whole array of interview forms, cheat sheets, patient handouts, and patient

questionnaires. Since the last edition of this book, many of us have moved to electronic health records, so we might fill out the forms on the computer and we might e-mail patients handouts. Regardless, these tools are indispensable when you see a lot of patients every day. All of the paper versions of the tools that I discuss below are in the appendices of this manual, and you are welcome to copy and use what you want. You might find all, some, or none of them useful, or you may want to adapt them to better suit your needs.

Psychiatric Interview Long Form

This psychiatric interview long form (in Appendix B) is adapted from the one used by Anthony Erdmann, an attending psychiatrist at MGH. He takes notes on it while talking to patients and puts it in his chart.

Advantages

Use of this form ensures a thorough data evaluation and saves time, because notes can be placed directly into the chart.

Disadvantages

Some patients may be alienated if you seem more interested in completing a form than in getting to know them.

Psychiatric Interview Short Form

The short form (in Appendix B) can be used for rough notes when you are going to dictate the evaluation or write it up in a longer version later.

Advantages

This form presents less of a barrier between clinician and patient than the long form and is easy to refer to while dictating.

Disadvantages

Use of the short form may lead to a less thorough evaluation.

Psychiatric Interview Pocket Card

The pocket card (in Appendix A) is used to remind you of all the topics to cover. You can jot rough notes on a blank piece of paper or not take notes at all, if you're able to remember most information.

Advantages

The card allows maximum interaction between clinician and patient, since there is no form to fill out.

Disadvantages

Required information is not fully spelled out on the pocket card, so more use of memory is required.

Patient Questionnaire¹

Some clinicians give their patients a questionnaire (in Appendix B) such as this one before the first meeting, to decrease the time needed to acquire basic information.

Advantages

The patient questionnaire allows more time during the first session to focus on issues of immediate concern to the patient. It may heighten the patient's sense that he is actively participating in his care.

Disadvantages

If all of the patient's answers on the questionnaire are accepted at face value, invalid information may be collected. Some patients may view filling out the questionnaire as a burden.

Patient Handouts

Patients usually appreciate receiving some written information (in Appendix C) about their disorder, and it probably increases treatment compliance.

¹Adapted from the questionnaire of the late Edward Messner, M.D.

Advantages

Patient handouts increase patients' understanding of their diagnosis and give them a sense that they are collaborating in their treatment.

Disadvantages

The handouts may present more information than some patients can handle early in their treatment. Information may also be misinterpreted.

DEVELOP YOUR POLICIES

From the first appointment with a particular patient, you are entering into a relationship. You need to determine the parameters of this relationship, including issues such as how and when you can be contacted, what the patient should do in case of an emergency, who you can talk to about the patient, and how to deal with missed appointments. As you face this array of decisions, the following tips and ideas should help you devise policies that fit your personality and clinical setting.

Contacting You

You define the boundaries of the clinical relationship by setting limits on where and when patients can reach you. Do this early on; if you don't, you'll eventually suffer for it.

I found this out the hard way with my very first therapy patient during residency. She was a 40-year-old woman I'll call Sally who had panic disorder and depression. I first met her in the crisis clinic, where she came after an upsetting conversation with her father. I spoke to her for half an hour, and I gave her a follow-up appointment for the next week—and I gave her my pager number and told her that this was a way to reach me, "anytime." The next Saturday morning, over breakfast and the paper, I got my first page: "Call Sally." She was in the middle of a panic attack, which subsided after a 10-minute conversation. Later that day, as I was riding my bike, I got another page. "Call Sally." I was somewhere on a country road in Concord, Massachusetts, and far from a

phone. Ten minutes later: “Call Sally. Urgent.” Over the next hour, I received six pages, each sounding more urgent as the alarmed hospital operator added more and more punctuation. The last page read, “Call Sally!!! Emergency!!!!!!” When I finally found a pay phone, my heart pounding, Sally said, “Doctor! I just had another panic attack.”

I felt the first hint of what I later learned was “counter-transference.” At the time, I called it “being pissed off.” I tried to keep the irritation out of my voice as I told her she didn’t have to call me every time she had a panic attack. At our next appointment, after some good supervision, I laid out some ground rules. Sally could page me only during the week between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. Otherwise, she was instructed to go to the crisis clinic. This in itself helped decrease the frequency of her panic attacks, since it took away the reinforcement of a phone conversation with her therapist every time she panicked.

Suggestions

- Never give your home or cell phone number to patients, and consider keeping an unlisted phone number. Having made that pronouncement, I acknowledge that some of my colleagues disagree, and give patients their cell phone numbers. They do so with the understanding that they are to use that phone only under extraordinary, life-threatening circumstances. They tell me that this privilege is rarely abused and that sharing their cell phone number tells patients that you care enough about them to make sure that they can always reach you.
- You may give out your paging number, but specify the times when you’re available to be paged. Don’t let your life revolve around your pager. Tell your patient what to do if there is an emergency at a time when you are not available for paging. For example, he can call the crisis clinic, and you can give the clinic instructions to page you after hours if the on-call clinician judges that the situation warrants your immediate involvement.
- If you have a voice-mail system, have patients reach you there. Your voice mail is accessible 24 hours a day, and you can check it whenever you want and decide who to call back and when. Some patients will call your voice mail just to be soothed by your recorded voice.

- When you're on vacation, I suggest you sign your patients out to a clinician you know and trust, rather than have them call the crisis clinic during regular hours. That way, you can ensure that someone is prepared to deal with any impending crises. For example, you may have patients who are chronically suicidal but rarely require hospitalization and can be managed through crises with frequent outpatient support. Letting your colleague know about these patients may prevent inappropriate hospitalization. Before you go on vacation, don't forget to change your outgoing voice-mail message to tell patients how to reach your coverage. I make things easy by writing out two scripts: one for regular outgoing messages and one for vacations.

Many clinicians use e-mail as a way of contacting patients. This can be a time-saver, because you can answer quick questions without being at the mercy of the availability of your patient's cell phone or voice mail. But again, without certain ground rules, this can (and will) get out of hand. Make sure your patients know that e-mail communication is not a form of treatment. Specify what you are willing to use e-mail for. Typically, this will be limited to scheduling changes and requests for prescription refills. If you start answering more involved clinical questions over e-mail, be aware that this is part of the medical record, and you should print out a copy of any correspondence and put it in the chart. In addition, many authorities believe that HIPAA regulations require that you use an encrypted e-mail system for any electronic communication. Such systems are expensive and somewhat inconvenient, so I personally do not follow this guidance. Instead, I append a message at the end of my e-mails to patients saying: "Please be aware that e-mail communication can be intercepted in transmission or misdirected. Your use of e-mail to communicate protected health information to us indicates that you acknowledge and accept the possible risks associated with such communication. Please consider communicating any sensitive information by telephone, fax, or mail. If you do not wish to have your information sent by e-mail, please contact the sender immediately." (See *The Carlat Psychiatry Report*, October 2015 for information on a variety of encrypted methods for communicating with patients).

Contacting the Patient

Be sure to get your patient's various phone numbers (e.g., home, work, day treatment program) and e-mail (if applicable to your practice). Ask whether it's okay for you to identify yourself when you call, because some patients don't want employers or family members to know that they're in treatment. Obtain numbers of family members or close friends so that you can contact them either to gather clinical information or in emergency situations. You'll need to obtain your patient's consent for this ahead of time.

Missed Appointments

The usual practice is to tell patients that they must inform you at least 24 hours in advance of any missed appointments or they will be charged, except in emergency situations. As a salaried trainee, the financial aspects of this policy aren't relevant, but there are important clinical benefits. Patients who make the effort to show up for sessions show a level of commitment that bodes well for therapeutic success. This policy encourages that commitment.

What if a patient repeatedly cancels sessions (albeit in time to avoid paying)? First, figure out why she is canceling. Is it for a legitimate reason, or is she acting out some feelings of anxiety or hostility? Did you just return from vacation? If so, this is a common time for patients to act out a sense of having been abandoned by you.

One way to approach this issue is head-on:

I notice that since I returned from vacation, you've canceled three sessions in a row. What's going on? Sometimes, people get angry at their therapists. I've noticed that since we started talking about the causes of your bulimia, you've missed a lot of sessions. Should we be going a bit more slowly with these issues?

3

The Therapeutic Alliance: What It Is, Why It's Important, and How to Establish It

Essential Concepts

- Be warm, courteous, and emotionally sensitive.
- Actively defuse the strangeness of the clinical situation.
- Give your patient the opening word.
- Gain your patient's trust by projecting competence.

The therapeutic alliance is a feeling that you should create over the course of the diagnostic interview, a sense of rapport, trust, and warmth. Most research on the therapeutic alliance has been done in the context of psychotherapy, rather than the diagnostic interview. Jerome Frank, author of *Persuasion and Healing* (Frank and Frank 1991) and the father of the comparative study of psychotherapy, found that a therapeutic alliance is the most important ingredient in all effective psychotherapies. Creating rapport is truly an art and therefore difficult to teach, but here are some tips that should increase your success.

BE YOURSELF

While there is much to be learned from books and research about how to be a good interviewer, you'll never enjoy psychiatry very much unless you can find some way to inject your own personality and style into your work. If you can't do this, you'll always be working at odds with who you are, and this work will exhaust you.

CLINICAL VIGNETTE

My friend and colleague, Leo Shapiro, does both inpatient and outpatient work. He's a character, no question about it. As a patient, you either love him or hate him, but either way, what you see is what you get.

Two examples of Dr. Shapiro's unorthodox style:

1. Walking down the hallway of the inpatient unit, Dr. Shapiro spotted the patient he needed to interview next.

"Hey, what's wrong, does your face hurt?"

Patient: "No, my face doesn't hurt."

Dr. Shapiro: "Well, it's killing me!"

The patient chuckled, and the rapport was solidified.

2. The Shapiro thumb wrestling ploy

An angry, depressed man was demanding to be discharged, prematurely according to staff reports. Dr. Shapiro agreed that discharge would be risky, partly because the patient had developed little in the way of rapport with anyone.

Dr. Shapiro: "I understand you want to be discharged?"

Patient: "Of course, this place is stupid, no one's helping me."

Dr. Shapiro: "If you can beat me at thumb wrestling, I'll let you leave."

Patient: "What?!!!"

Dr. Shapiro (putting out his hand): "Seriously. Or are you afraid of the challenge?"

Patient (reluctantly joining hands with Shapiro): "This is crazy."

Dr. Shapiro: "One, two, three, go"

Dr. Shapiro quickly wins, as he always does. "Well, I guess you have to stay another day. See you tomorrow."

Patient (smiling, despite himself): "That's it?"

Dr. Shapiro: "What? You wanna talk, OK, let's talk."

A significant exchange ensued, and the patient was in fact discharged that afternoon with appropriate follow-up.

No, I'm not endorsing the Shapiro technique. It works great for him, because that's his personality, but it would be a disaster for me, a mellow Californian at heart. The key is to be able to adapt your own personality to the task at hand—helping patients feel better.

BE WARM, COURTEOUS, AND EMOTIONALLY SENSITIVE

Are there any specific interviewing techniques that lead to good rapport? Surprisingly, the answer appears to be “no,” and that is good news. A group of researchers from London have studied this question in depth and published their results in seven papers in the *British Journal of Psychiatry* (Cox et al. 1981a,b; 1988). Their bottom line was that several interviewing styles were equally effective in eliciting emotions. As long as the trainees whom they observed behaved with a basic sense of warmth, courtesy, and sensitivity, it didn’t particularly matter which techniques they used; all techniques worked well.

No book can teach you warmth, courtesy, or sensitivity. These are attributes that you probably already have if you are in one of the helping professions. Just be sure to consciously activate these qualities during your initial interview.

There are, however, some specific rapport-building techniques that you should be aware of:

- **Empathic or sympathetic statements**, such as “you must have felt terrible when she left you,” communicate your acceptance and understanding of painful emotions. Be careful not to overuse empathic statements, because they can sound wooden and insincere if forced.
- **Direct feeling questions** such as “How did you feel when she left you?” are also effective.
- **Reflective statements**, such as “You sound sad when you talk about her,” are effective but also should not be overused, because it can seem as though you are stating the obvious.

What do you do if you don’t like your patient? Certainly, some patients immediately seem unlikeable, perhaps because of their anger, passivity, or dependence. If you are bothered by such qualities, it’s often helpful to see them as expressions of psychopathology and awaken your compassion for the patient on that basis. It may also be that your negative feelings are expressions of countertransference, which is discussed in Chapter 13.

ACTIVELY DEFUSE THE STRANGENESS OF THE CLINICAL SITUATION

It's easy to lose sight of the fact that an hour-long psychiatric interview is a strange and anxiety-provoking experience. Your patient is expected to reveal his or her deepest and most shameful secrets to a perfect stranger. There are several ways to quickly defuse that strangeness.

- **Greet your patient naturally.** While there are many perfectly acceptable ways to greet patients, a general rule of thumb is to act naturally, which usually means introducing yourself and shaking hands. I often engage in some small talk for the first few seconds, because many patients have a distorted view of psychiatrists as mysterious, silent types who busily scrutinize a patient's smallest gestures. Small talk undermines this projection and puts the patient at ease. Acceptable topics include the weather and difficulties arriving at the office.

Hi, I'm Dr. Carlat. Nice to meet you. I hope you were able to make your way through the maze of the hospital without too much trouble.

Ask the patient what he wants to be called, and make sure to use that name a few times during the interview.

Do you prefer that I call you Mr. Whalen, or Michael, or something else?

Using the patient's name, especially the first name, is a great way of increasing a sense of familiarity.

Caveat: Some patients (as well as some clinicians) view small talk as unprofessional. I try to size up my patient visually before deciding how to greet him or her. For example, small talk is rarely appropriate for patients who are in obvious emotional pain or for grossly psychotic patients, particularly if they are paranoid.

- **Get to know the patient as a person first.** Some patients find it awkward to reveal sensitive information to a stranger. If you sense that this is the case, you might want to begin by learning something about them as people.

Before we get into the issues that brought you here, I'd like to know a little bit about you as a person—where you live, what you do, that sort of thing.

Learning a bit about your patient's demographics at the outset has the added advantage of helping you start your diagnostic hypothesizing. There's a reason why the standard opening line of a written or oral case presentation is a description of demographics: "This is a 75-year-old white widower who is a retired police officer and lives alone in a small apartment downtown." You can already begin to make diagnostic hypotheses: "He's a widower and thus at high risk for depression. He's elderly, so at higher risk for dementia. He apparently had a career as a police officer, so probably is not schizophrenic," and so on. Knowing basic demographics at the outset doesn't excuse you from asking all the questions required for a diagnostic evaluation, but it certainly helps set priorities in the direction of inquiry.

- **Educate the patient about the nature of the interview.** Not every patient understands the nature of an evaluation interview. Some may think that this is the first session in a long-term psychotherapy. They may come into the interview with the negative, media-fed expectation of a clinician who sits quietly and inscrutably while the patient pours out his soul. Others may have no idea why they are talking to you, having been referred to a "doctor" by an internist who believes psychological factors are interfering with their medical treatment. Thus, it's helpful to begin by asking the patient if he understands the purpose of the interview and then to give him your explanation, including the expected length of time of the interview, what sorts of information you'll be asking about, and whether you will follow him for further treatment if needed.

Interviewer (I): So, Mr. Johnson, did your doctor explain the purpose of this interview?

Patient (P): She said you might be able to help me with my nerves.

I: I certainly hope I can do that. This is what we call an evaluation interview. We'll be meeting for about 50 minutes today, and I'll be asking you all sorts of questions, some about your nerves, some about your family and other things, all so I can best understand what might be causing you the troubles you've been having. Depending on your problem, we may need to meet twice to complete this evaluation, but the way our clinic works is that I won't necessarily be the one who will treat you over the long term; depending on what I think is going on, I may refer you to someone else for treatment.

- **Address your patient's projections.** Keep in mind that a lot of shame is associated with psychiatric disorders. Patients commonly project aspects of their own negative self-images onto you. They may see you as critical or judgmental. Havens (1986) recognized this and encouraged the use of "counterprojective statements" to increase the patient's sense of safety:

It may be embarrassing for you to reveal all these things to a stranger. Who knows how I'd react? In fact, I'm here to understand you and to help you.

CLINICAL VIGNETTE

Paranoid patients often project malevolent intentions onto the interviewer. In this example, the interviewer addresses these projections directly:

I: Are you concerned about why I'm asking all these questions?

P: Sure. You've got to wonder—What's in it for you? How are you going to use all this information?

I: I'm going to use it to understand you better and to help you. It won't go any further than this room.

P: (Smirking) I've heard that before.

I: Did someone turn it against you?

P: You bet.

I: Then I can understand that you'd be careful about talking to me—you probably think I'd do the same thing.

P: You never know.

With the distrust issue brought out into the open, the patient was more forthcoming throughout the rest of the interview.

GIVE YOUR PATIENT THE OPENING WORD

In one study of physicians, patients were allowed to complete their opening statements of concern in only 23% of cases (Beckman and Franckel 1984). An average of 18 seconds elapsed before these patients were interrupted. The

consequence of this highly controlling interviewing style is that important clinical information may never make it out of the patient's mouth (Platt and McMath 1979).

You should allow your patients about 5 minutes of "free speech" (Morrison 2014) before you ask specific questions. This accomplishes two goals: First, it gives your patient the sense that you are interested in listening, thereby establishing rapport, and second, it increases the likelihood that you will understand the issues that are most troubling to the patient and thereby make a correct diagnosis. Shea (1998) has called this initial listening phase the "scouting period," because you can use it to scout for clues to psychopathology that you will want to follow up on later in the interview. It has also been called the "warm-up" period by Othmer and Othmer (2001), because one of its purposes is to create a comfort level between you and the patient so that the patient is not put off by the large number of diagnostic questions to come.

Of course, you have to be flexible. Some patients begin in such a vague or disorganized fashion that you will have to ask your questions right away, whereas others are so articulate that if you let them talk for 10 or 20 minutes, they will tell you almost everything you need to know.

Each clinician develops his or her own first question, but all first questions should be open-ended and should invite the patient's story. Here are several examples of first questions:

- What was it that brought you to the clinic today?
- What brings you to see me today?
- What sorts of things have been troubling you?
- How can I be of help to you?
- What can I do for you?

A somewhat different way of approaching the first question is to view it as a way of immediately exploring what that patient's goals are for the interview. Called "solution-focused interviewing," this approach is recommended by Chang and Nylund (2013).

Rather than asking "What brings you in?" or "What troubles you?" he recommends "What would make this a helpful visit?" "What would you like to see different from coming here?" This approach may work out particularly well with reluctant patients, who may not believe they have any problems in the first place.

A related question type is “the Miracle question,” which goes like this: “Imagine that tonight you go to bed, like you normally do. Then, imagine that while you’re asleep.... [pause)] ...a miracle happens. Imagine that because of this miracle, your depression [or whatever the patient’s problem is] goes away. What will your day be like tomorrow?”

Patient: “Well, I guess I would wake up, and rather than sleep in, I’d wake up on time and get ready instead of procrastinating. Then I’d eat breakfast rather than skipping it, and at breakfast, we’d all get along better without fighting. Then I’d go to work, and I’d have more confidence, so I would say ‘no’ to people if they ask me to do too much....”

GAIN YOUR PATIENT’S TRUST BY PROJECTING COMPETENCE

This is always a tricky issue for novice interviewers, who often feel anything but competent. In fact, your patient usually gives you the benefit of the doubt here, because of something called “ascribed” competence. This is the competence your patient attributes to you purely because of your institutional ties. You work for Hospital X or University Y, so you must be competent. Ascribed confidence will get you through the first several minutes of the interview, but after that, you have to earn your patient’s respect.

Gaining a patient’s trust is easier than you might think. Even as a novice, you know much more about mental illness than your patient, and this knowledge is communicated by the kinds of questions you ask. For example, your patient tells you she is depressed. You immediately ask questions about sleep and appetite. Most patients will be impressed by your ability to elicit relevant data in this way.

Other, more prosaic ways of projecting competence include dressing professionally and adopting a general attitude of confidence. At the end of the interview, your ability to provide meaningful feedback will further cement your patient’s respect.

Asking Questions I: How to Approach Threatening Topics

Essential Concepts

- Use **normalizing questions** to decrease a patient's sense of embarrassment about a feeling or behavior.
- Use **symptom expectation** and **reduction of guilt** to defuse the admission of embarrassing behavior.
- Use **symptom exaggeration** to determine the actual frequency of a sensitive or shameful behavior.
- Use **familiar language** when asking about behaviors.

Always the beautiful answer who asks a more beautiful question.

E. E. Cummings

Over the course of the diagnostic interview, many of your questions will be threatening to your patient. The simple admission of psychiatric symptoms is humiliating for many people, as is the admission of behaviors considered by society to be either undesirable or abnormal. Such behaviors include drug and alcohol abuse, violence, and homosexuality. Beyond this, there are other behaviors that your patients may not want to admit, because they may think you will disapprove of them personally. These might include a history of noncompliance with mental health treatment, a checkered work history, or a deficient social life.

To maintain a healthy self-image, patients may lie when asked what they perceive to be threatening questions. This has been a significant problem among both clinicians and professional surveyors for years, and a repertoire of interviewing techniques has been developed to increase the validity of responses to threatening questions (Bradburn 2004; Payne 1951; Shea 1998). Good clinicians instinctively use

many of these techniques, having found through trial and error that they improve the validity of the interview.

NORMALIZATION

Normalization is the most common and useful technique for eliciting sensitive or embarrassing material. The technique involves introducing your question with some type of normalizing statement. There are two principal ways to do this:

1. Start the question by implying that the behavior is a normal or understandable response to a mood or situation:
 With all the stress you've been under, I wonder if you've been drinking more lately?
 Sometimes when people are very depressed, they think of hurting themselves. Has this been true for you?
 Sometimes when people are under stress or are feeling lonely, they binge on large amounts of food to make themselves feel better. Is this true for you?
2. Begin by describing another patient (or patients) who has engaged in the behavior, showing your patient that she is not alone:
 I've seen a number of patients who've told me that their anxiety causes them to avoid doing things, like driving on the highway or going to the grocery store. Has that been true for you?
 I've talked to several patients who've said that their depression causes them to have strange experiences, like hearing voices or thinking that strangers are laughing at them. Has that been happening to you?
 It's possible to go too far with normalization. Some behaviors are impossible to consider normal or understandable, such as acts of extreme violence or sexual abuse, so don't use normalization to ask about these.

SYMPTOM EXPECTATION

Symptom expectation, also known as the "gentle assumption" (Shea 1998), is similar to normalization: You communicate that a behavior is in some way normal or expected. Phrase your questions to imply that you already assume the patient has engaged in some behavior and that you will not

be offended by a positive response. This technique is most useful when you have a high index of suspicion of some self-destructive activity. A few examples follow:

- **Drug use.** Your patient has reluctantly admitted to excessive alcohol use, and you strongly suspect abuse of illicit drugs. Symptom expectation may encourage a straightforward, honest response.

What sorts of drugs do you usually use when you're drinking?

- **Suicidality.** Your patient is profoundly depressed and has expressed feelings of hopelessness. You suspect SI, but you sense that the patient may be too ashamed to admit it. Rather than gingerly asking "Have you had any thoughts that you'd be better off dead?" you might decide to use symptom expectation.

What kinds of ways to hurt yourself have you thought about?

Remember to use this technique only when you suspect that the patient has engaged in the behavior. For example, the question "What kinds of recreational drugs do you use?" may be appropriate when interviewing a young male admitted for a suicidal gesture while intoxicated, but wildly inappropriate for a 70-year-old woman being assessed for dementia.

SYMPTOM EXAGGERATION

Frequently, a patient minimizes the degree of his pathology, to fool either you or himself. Symptom exaggeration or amplification (Shea 1998), often used with symptom expectation, is helpful in clarifying the severity of symptoms. The technique involves suggesting a frequency of a problematic behavior that is higher than your expectation, so that the patient feels that his actual, lower frequency of the behavior will not be perceived by you as being "bad."

How much vodka do you drink each day? Two fifths?
Three? More?

How many times do you binge and purge each day? Five times? Ten times?

How many suicide attempts have you had since your last hospitalization? Four? Five?

As is true for symptom expectation, you must reserve this technique for situations in which it seems appropriate. For example, if you have no reason to suspect that a patient has a drinking problem, asking how many cases of beer he drinks each day will sound quite insulting!

REDUCTION OF GUILT

While it is true that all the techniques in this chapter boil down to reducing a patient's sense of shame and guilt, the reduction-of-guilt technique seeks to directly reduce a patient's guilt about a specific behavior in order to discover what he has been doing. This technique is especially useful in obtaining a history of domestic violence and other antisocial behavior.

Domestic Violence

I: When you argue with your wife, does she ever throw things at you or hit you?

P: She sure does. See this scar? She threw a vase at me 2 years ago.

I: Do you fight back?

P: Well, yes. I've bruised her a few times. Nothing compared to what she did to me.

Another version of this technique is to begin by asking about other people:

I: Do you have any friends who push around their wives or girlfriends when they have an argument?

P: Sure. They get pushed back, too.

I: Have you done that yourself, pushed or hit your wife?

P: Yeah. I'm not proud of it, but I've done it when she's gotten out of hand.

Dr. Mustafa Soomro has found the following question useful: "Have you ever been in situations where fights occurred and you were affected?"

This is yet another variation on the nonjudgmental approach. If your patient answers "yes," you can flesh out whether his or her role was being a witness, a victim, or a perpetrator (Shea 2007).

Antisocial Behavior

I: Have you ever had any legal problems?

P: Oh, here and there. A little shoplifting. Normal stuff.

I: Really? What was the best thing you ever stole?

P: The best thing? Well, I was into cars for a while. I spent a week cruising around in a Porsche 924, but I returned it. I was just into joyrides. Everyone was doing it back then.

In this example, the interviewer used induction to bragging to reduce the patient's sense of guilt and lead to an admission of something more significant than shoplifting.

USE FAMILIAR LANGUAGE WHEN ASKING ABOUT BEHAVIORS

Bradburn (2004) compared two methods of asking about alcohol use and sexuality. In the first method, they used “standard” language—words and phrases such as *intoxicated* and *sexual intercourse*. In the second method, they used “familiar” or “poetic” language—the language their respondents used for the same behavior, like *getting loaded* and *making love*. They found that the use of familiar language increased reports of these behaviors by 15%.

Apparently, patients feel more comfortable admitting to socially undesirable behaviors if they feel the interviewer “speaks their language.” The table below suggests various colloquial expressions to use in place of more formal language.

Using Familiar Language

<i>Instead of</i>	<i>Say</i>
Do you have a history of intravenous drug use?	Have you ever shot up?
Do you smoke marijuana?	Do you get high? Do you smoke dope?
Do you use cocaine?	Do you snort coke? Smoke crack?

Asking Questions II: Tricks for Improving Patient Recall

Essential Concepts

- Anchor questions to memorable events.
- Tag questions with specific examples.
- Describe syndromes in your patient's terms.

Uttering a word is like striking a note on the keyboard of the imagination.

Ludwig Wittgenstein

Throughout the diagnostic interview, your patient's memory will be both your ally and your enemy. Even when the desired information is not threatening in any way, be prepared for major inaccuracies and frustration if the events described occurred more than a few months ago. Nonetheless, we've all had the in-training experience of watching an excellent teacher elicit large quantities of historical information from a patient for whom we could barely determine age and sex. How do they do it? Here are some tricks of the trade.

ANCHOR QUESTIONS TO MEMORABLE EVENTS

Researchers have found that most people forget dates of events that occurred more than 10 days in the past (Azar 1997). Instead, we remember the distant past in relation to memorable events or periods (Bradburn 2004), such as major transitions (graduations and birthdays), holidays, accidents or illnesses, major purchases (a house or a car), seasonal events ("hurricane Katrina"), or public events (such as 9/11 or President Obama's election).

As an example, suppose you are interviewing a young woman with depression. You find out over the course of the interview that she has a heavy drinking history, and you want to determine which came first, the alcoholism or the

depression. You could ask, “How many years ago did you begin drinking?” followed by “How many years ago did you become depressed?” but chances are you won’t get an accurate answer to either question. Instead, use the anchoring technique:

Interviewer: Did you drink when you graduated from high school?

Patient: I was drinking a lot back then, every weekend at least. Graduation week was one big party.

Interviewer: Were you depressed then, too?

Patient: I think so.

Interviewer: How about when you first started high school? Were you drinking then?

Patient: Oh no, I didn't really start drinking until I hooked up with my best friend toward the end of my freshman year.

Interviewer: Were you depressed when you started school?

Patient: Oh yeah, I could barely get up in time to make it to classes, I was so down.

You’ve succeeded in establishing that her depression predated her alcoholism, which may have important implications for treatment.

TAG QUESTIONS WITH SPECIFIC EXAMPLES

In Chapter 8, you’ll learn about the value of multiple-choice questions in limiting overly talkative patients. Tagging with examples is similar to posing multiple-choice questions, but it is used specifically for areas in which your patient is having trouble with recall. You simply tag a list of examples onto the end of your question.

To ascertain what medications your patient has taken in the past for depression, for example:

Interviewer: What were the names of the medications you took back then?

Patient: Who knows? I really don’t remember.

Interviewer: Was it Prozac, Paxil, Zoloft, Elavil, Pamelor?

Patient: Pamelor, I think. It gave me a really dry mouth.

DEFINE TECHNICAL TERMS

Sometimes, what appears to be a patient's vague recall is actually a lack of understanding of terms. For example, suppose you are interviewing a 40-year-old man with depression, and you want to determine when he had his first episode:

Interviewer: How old were you when you first remember feeling depressed?

Patient: I don't know. I've always been depressed.

You suspect that you and the patient have different meanings of depression, and you alter your approach:

Interviewer: Just to clarify: I'm not talking about the kind of sadness that we all experience from time to time. I'm trying to understand when you first felt what we call a clinical depression, and by that I mean that you were so down that it seriously affected your functioning, so that, for example, it might have interfered with your sleep, your appetite, and your ability to concentrate. When do you remember first experiencing something that severe?

Patient: Oh, that just started a month ago.

Asking Questions III: How to Change Topics with Style

Essential Concepts

- Use smooth transitions to cue off something the patient just said.
- Use referred transitions to cue off something said earlier in the interview.
- Use introduced transitions to pull a new topic from thin air.

Interviewing a patient for the first time requires touching on many different topics within a brief period. You'll need to constantly change the subject, which can be jarring and off-putting to a patient, especially when she is involved in an important and emotional topic. Skilled interviewers are able to change topics without alienating their patients and use various transitions to turn the interview into what Harry Stack Sullivan (1970) called a "collaborative inquiry."

SMOOTH TRANSITION

In the smooth transition (Sullivan 1970), you cue off something the patient just said to introduce a new topic. For example, a depressed patient is perseverating on conflicts with her husband and stepchildren; the interviewer wants to obtain information on family psychiatric history:

Patient: John has been good to me, but I can't stand the way his daughters expect me to go out of my way to make their lives easy; after all, they're adults!

Interviewer: Speaking of family, has anyone else in your family been through the kind of depression that you've been going through?

REFERRED TRANSITION

In the referred transition (Shea 1998), you refer to something the patient said earlier in the interview to move to a new topic. For example, at the beginning of an interview, a depressed patient had briefly mentioned that he “didn’t know if he could take this situation anymore.” Now, well into the evaluation, the interviewer wants to fully assess suicidality:

Patient: My doctor tried me on some medication for a while, but it didn’t do much good.

Interviewer: Earlier, you mentioned that you didn’t know how much more of this you could take. Have you had the thought that you’d be better off dead?

INTRODUCED TRANSITION

In the introduced transition, you introduce the next topic or series of topics before actually launching into it. This transition is often begun by a statement such as “Now I’d like to switch gears ...” or “I’d like to ask some different kinds of questions now.” For example, you need to quickly run through the PROS, but you don’t want the patient to think that you are asking these questions because you expect that he actually experiences all of these symptoms:

Interviewer: Now I’d like to switch gears a little and ask you about a bunch of different psychological symptoms that people sometimes have. Many of these may not apply to you at all, and that is a useful thing to know in itself.

Techniques for the Reluctant Patient

Essential Concepts

- Use open-ended questions and commands to increase the flow of information.
- Use continuation techniques to keep the flow coming.
- Shift to neutral ground when necessary.
- Schedule a second interview when all else fails.

Occasionally, you run into the ideal patient. She's troubled and eager to talk. She briefly outlines the problems that led to her visit and then answers each of your questions in full, stopping in preparation for your next query. You find that you've gathered all the vital information in 30 minutes, and you have the luxury of exploring her social and developmental history deeply. You feel like a real therapist. Your mind is whirring, and you can't wait to dust off that copy of Freud you bought the day you got into your training program but haven't had time to look at since.

Usually, however, your patient will fall somewhere on either side of a spectrum of information flow. Either he's not saying enough or he's saying too much, and it's not his fault. The average patient has no way of knowing what information is and is not important for a psychiatric diagnosis. It's up to you to educate the patient and to steer the interview appropriately.

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS AND COMMANDS

You can use open-ended questions and commands to increase the flow of information. Open-ended questions can't be answered with a simple "yes" or "no."

What kinds of symptoms has your depression caused?

What sorts of things have you done when you felt manic?

Open-ended commands are questions altered slightly to sound more directive.