


A photograph of a cheetah and three cheetah cubs on a dirt path in a savanna. The adult cheetah is standing on the left, looking back over its shoulder. Three cubs are in the foreground, looking towards the camera. The background shows a fence and trees under a clear blue sky.

An Introduction to Conservation Biology

SECOND EDITION

ANNA A. SHER
RICHARD B. PRIMACK

An Introduction to
**Conservation
Biology**
SECOND EDITION



An Introduction to
**Conservation
Biology**

SECOND EDITION


ANNA A. SHER
University of Denver

RICHARD B. PRIMACK
Boston University



SINAUER ASSOCIATES

NEW YORK OXFORD
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS



About the Cover

Large cats are a popular feature within both national parks and private game reserves in Africa; however, those enclosed within fences such as these South African cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) face threats from inbreeding depression and habitat fragmentation. South Africa's government manages its cheetahs in various parks as a metapopulation, which has led to some success. (Photograph by Anna Sher.)

An Introduction to Conservation Biology, Second Edition

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

© 2020, 2016 Oxford University Press

Sinauer Associates is an imprint of Oxford University Press.

For titles covered by Section 112 of the US Higher Education Opportunity Act, please visit www.oup.com/us/he for the latest information about pricing and alternate formats.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization.

Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

Address editorial correspondence to:
Sinauer Associates
23 Plumbtree Road
Sunderland, MA 01375 U.S.A.

Address orders, sales, license, permissions, and translation inquiries to:
Oxford University Press U.S.A.
2001 Evans Road
Cary, NC 27513 U.S.A.
Orders: 1-800-445-9714

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Sher, Anna, author. | Primack, Richard B., 1950- author.

Title: An introduction to conservation biology / Anna A. Sher (University of Denver), Richard B. Primack (Boston University).

Other titles: Conservation biology

Description: Second edition. | New York : Sinauer Associates : Oxford University Press, [2020] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019010212 (print) | LCCN 2019011489 (ebook) | ISBN 9781605358987 | ISBN 9781605358970 (pbk.)

Subjects: LCSH: Conservation biology. | Biodiversity.

Classification: LCC QH75 (ebook) | LCC QH75 .P7523 2020 (print) | DDC 333.95/16--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2019010212>

5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America

*This book is dedicated to Professor Federico Cheever (1957–2017),
an expert on and champion of the Endangered Species Act, a past
contributor to this textbook, and a deeply missed colleague.*

Contents



Defining Conservation Biology 3

The New Science of Conservation Biology 6

The roots of conservation biology 8

A new science is born 13

The interdisciplinary approach: A case study with sea turtles 13

The Organizational Values of Conservation Biology 16

Looking to the Future 18

Summary 19



What Is Biodiversity? 23

Species Diversity 25

What is a species? 25

Measuring species diversity 29

Genetic Diversity 32

How does genetic diversity arise? 32

Measuring genetic diversity 33

Ecosystem Diversity 34

What are communities and ecosystems? 35

Species interactions within ecosystems 37

Trophic levels 38

Food chains and food webs 39

Keystone species and resources 40

Ecosystem dynamics 43

Biodiversity Worldwide 43

How many species exist worldwide? 44

Where is the world's biodiversity found? 48

The distribution of species 49

Summary 52



The Value of Biodiversity 55

Ecological and Environmental Economics 58

Cost–benefit analysis 59

Financing conservation 61

What are species worth? 62

Ecosystem services 63

Use Values 64

Direct use values 65

Consumptive use value 65

Productive use value 67

Indirect use values 70

Ecosystem productivity 71

Water and soil protection 73

Climate regulation 75

Species relationships and environmental monitors 76

Amenity value 77

Educational and scientific value 79

Multiple uses of a single resource: A case study 80

The Long-Term View: Option Value 80

Existence Value 83

Environmental Ethics 86

Ethical values of biodiversity 86

Deep ecology 89

Summary 90



Threats to Biodiversity: Habitat Change 95

Human Population Growth and Its Impact 97

Habitat Destruction 101

Tropical rain forests 104

Other threatened habitats 107

Desertification 110

Habitat Fragmentation 112

Threats posed by habitat fragmentation 113

Edge effects 117

Environmental Degradation and Pollution 119

Pesticide pollution 119

Water pollution 121

Air pollution 124

A Concluding Remark 126

Summary 126



Climate Change and Other Threats to Biodiversity 129

Global Climate Change 130

The relationship between carbon and temperature 130

Cascading effects of increased temperature 133

Ocean acidification, warming, and rising sea level 136

Shifting species ranges and other impacts 140

Overexploitation 142

International wildlife trade 144

Commercial harvesting 147

Invasive Species 150

Threats posed by invasive species 151

Invasive species on oceanic islands 153

Invasive species in aquatic habitats 154

The ability of species to become invasive 156

Control of invasive species 157

GMOs and conservation biology 159

Disease 160

A Concluding Remark 163

Summary 164



Extinction Risk 167

The Meaning of “Extinct” 170

The current, human-caused mass extinction 172

Local extinctions 174

Extinction rates in aquatic environments 175

Measuring Extinction 176

Background extinction rates 176

*Extinction rate predictions
and the island biogeography model* 177

Extinction rates and habitat loss 180

Vulnerability to Extinction 182

Problems of Small Populations 187

- Loss of genetic diversity* 188
- Consequences of reduced genetic diversity* 191
- Factors that determine effective population size* 194
- The impact of stochasticity* 198
- The extinction vortex* 201

Summary 202



Conserving Populations and Species 205

Applied Population Biology 206

- Researching existing information* 207
- New data through fieldwork* 210
- Population viability analysis (PVA)* 217
- Minimum viable population (MVP)* 219
- Metapopulations* 222
- Long-term monitoring* 223

Conservation Categories 225

Prioritization: What Should Be Protected? 230

- The species approach* 230
- The ecosystem approach* 231
- The wilderness approach* 232
- The hotspot approach* 233

Legal Protection of Species 234

- National laws* 234
- International agreements* 238

Summary 243



Establishing New Populations and Ex Situ Conservation 247

Establishing and Reinforcing Populations 248

- Special considerations for animal programs* 251
- Behavioral ecology of released animals* 255
- Establishing plant populations* 257
- The status of new populations* 257

Ex Situ Conservation Strategies 259*Zoos 263**Aquariums 268**Botanical gardens 269**Seed banks 270***Can Technology Bring Back Extinct Species? 273****Summary 275****Protected Areas 279****Establishment and Classification of Protected Areas 281***Marine protected areas 285**The effectiveness of protected areas 286**Measuring effectiveness: Gap analysis 288***Designing Protected Areas 290***Protected area size and characteristics 292***Networks of Protected Areas 297***Habitat corridors 297***Landscape Ecology and Park Design 301****Managing Protected Areas 303***Managing sites 305**Monitoring sites 307**Management and people 308**Zoning as a solution to conflicting demands 309**Biosphere reserves 309***Challenges to PA Management 311***Poaching 312**Trophy hunting 312**Human–animal conflict 312**Degradation 312**Climate change 313**Funding and personnel 313***Summary 314**



Conservation Outside Protected Areas 317

The Value of Unprotected Habitat 319

Military land 322

Unprotected ecosystems 323

Land that is undesirable to humans 325

Private land 325

Conservation in Urban and Other Human-Dominated Areas 326

Urban areas 327

Other human-dominated landscapes 328

Ecosystem Management 331

Working with Local People 334

Biosphere reserves 336

In situ agricultural conservation 337

Extractive reserves 337

Community-based initiatives 339

Payments for ecosystem services 340

Evaluating conservation initiatives that involve traditional societies 342

Case Studies: Namibia and Kenya 342

Summary 346



Restoration Ecology 349

Where to Start? 351

Restoration in Urban Areas 356

Restoration Using Organisms 358

Moving Targets of Restoration 362

Restoration of Some Major Communities 363

Wetlands 363

Aquatic systems 364

Prairies and farmlands 367

Tropical dry forest in Costa Rica 368

The Future of Restoration Ecology 370

Summary 371



The Challenges of Sustainable Development 375

Sustainable Development at the Local Level 377

Local and regional conservation regulations 377

Land trusts and related strategies 379

Enforcement and public benefits 383

Conservation at the National Level 384

International Approaches to Sustainable Development 386

International Earth summits 387

International agreements that protect habitat 391

Funding for Conservation 395

International assistance and the World Bank 396

Environmental trust funds 400

Debt-for-nature swaps 400

Other sources of funding 401

How effective is conservation funding? 401

Summary 403



An Agenda for the Future 407

Ongoing Problems and Possible Solutions 408

The Role of Conservation Biologists 416

Challenges for conservation biologists 417

Achieving the agenda 417

Summary 423

Appendix 425

Glossary 429

Bibliography 439

Index 471

About the Authors 493

Preface

Conservation biology as a discipline has seen many positive developments in the face of sobering challenges since we published our first edition of *An Introduction to Conservation Biology* in 2016. We have witnessed a dramatic rise in the engagement of citizen scientists via the use of ubiquitous smartphone technology and web interfaces for data collection and management. Communications and community building among those concerned with conservation of biological diversity have been strengthened through the use of social media. Technology continues to improve and be applied to conservation and management in myriad ways, including the use of drones to collect data, to spread food or pesticides, and even to transport gametes. Molecular techniques are cheaper and easier to use than ever before, helping to evaluate the status and size of populations and to discover new species. Propagation and breeding techniques are improving, which benefits ex situ conservation and also lessens pressures on wild populations. Harvesting levels from certain oceans and lakes are also decreasing as aquaculture improves. The number and size of marine protected areas have grown exponentially in recent years, and research shows that newer terrestrial protected areas have less intense human pressure than older ones (Jones et al. 2018). Several endangered species have improved their status due to legislation and management, including those that have been “delisted” in the United States, and thousands of new species have been described in recent years.

And yet there is still much work to be done. As this edition goes to press, the United Nations’ Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services has released the results of its comprehensive biodiversity survey. This report by 145 scientists and others from 50 countries has shocking statistics: 75% of land and 66% of the marine environment has been significantly altered by humans, and a million species are threatened with extinction (www.un.org). According to the 2018 World Wildlife Fund Living Planet Report, we have witnessed an average decline of 60% in vertebrate animal populations in just over 40 years, and species continue to go extinct across the planet (WWF 2018). The IUCN’s World Conservation Congress determined that on average, the status of several major groups, especially corals, has declined over the last decade. International funding for conservation is only a fraction of what is needed, and yet some of the wealthiest countries, including the United States, are decreasing their assistance. The human population is now estimated to be 7.7 billion and growing, requiring increasing resources. Greenhouse gases continue to increase. But our situation is not hopeless; Professor Sandra Díaz, who co-chaired the UN assessment, said, “The diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems, as well as many fundamental contributions we derive from nature, are declining fast, although

we still have the means to ensure a sustainable future for people and the planet.” It is up to us and the next generation to address this formidable task head-on. It is our intention that *An Introduction to Conservation Biology* present both these challenges as well as the growing list of tools and approaches to address them.

New to the Second Edition

We have made many significant improvements to the second edition in response to the changing field and valuable feedback on the first edition. Most notably, the first edition’s Chapter 4, “Threats to Biodiversity,” has been split into two chapters so that more content could be added. In this second edition, Chapter 4, “Threats to Biodiversity: Habitat Change,” focuses on the greatest threat to species: the loss, fragmentation, and degradation of habitat, supported by 11 new figures and dozens of updates, including the addition of the dangers of microplastics to marine fauna. The new Chapter 5, “Climate Change and Other Threats to Biodiversity,” expands coverage of global climate change, presenting a variety of data in figures that illustrate both the causes and consequences of this threat, plus current research on overexploitation, invasive species, and disease.

There are now learning outcomes listed for each section of each chapter, and suggested readings have been updated throughout. Every chapter has new figures and images to enhance comprehension of concepts and excitement for the subject. We have increased inclusion of scientific methods and the role of evolutionary processes, in response to reviewers’ requests. There are hundreds of new examples from the peer-reviewed literature, and we have been painstaking in checking and updating information in every chapter. In these examples and accompanying figures and photographs, we have made sure to represent diversity at every level, including the range of scientists and members of the public involved in research, geographical location, and all types of species.

In short, we have worked to make the second edition of *An Introduction to Conservation Biology* the most readable, most up-to-date, and most inspiring undergraduate conservation textbook available. It is designed to serve a wide variety of courses and students as a primary textbook or as a supplement.

As Richard has said,

It is our goal that readers of this book will be inspired to find out more about the extinction crisis facing species and ecosystems and how they can take action to halt it. I encourage readers to take the field’s activist spirit to heart—use the Appendix to find organizations and sources of information on how to help. If readers gain a greater appreciation for the goals, methods, and importance of conservation biology, and if they are moved to make a difference in their everyday lives, this textbook will have served its purpose.

Acknowledgments

I sincerely appreciate the contributions of everyone who has made this textbook what it is today. Thank you to our reviewers of the first edition, including David M. Choate, Gary K. Clambey, J. E. Earl, Elizabeth A. Forys, Jessamyn S. Manson, Megan

E. McSherry, Hector C. Miranda, Jr., Tawnya Blades Reed, Thomas Sasek, A. Sibul, Bibet H. Traut, and D. Alexander Wait.

I am grateful for the work of Alex Goetz, Eduardo González, and Brittany Sprout of the University of Denver for their background research for the book; to the rest of the Sher Lab team, especially Annie Henry, Whitney Palmer, Kate Petty, and my 2019 Conservation Biology class; and to all of the DUEEB (DU Ecologists and Evolutionary Biologists) group for feedback on new figures. Additional help obtaining updated data, proofing specific sections, and/or providing research images was provided by Sarah Bexell, Catarina Ferreira, Matt Herbert, Alex Hernandez of Denver Public Library, Elizabeth Hunt, Shannon Murphy, Scott Nichols, Jaime Pena, Tom Quinn, Nanette Reece, Philip Seddon, Isaac Sher and Monty Sher, Jay Sullivan and John Dwelley of TNC, and Robin Tinghitella. I appreciate the donation of images for this edition, especially photographs provided by Tim Carlson, Hector R. Chenge, Scott Dressel-Martin of Denver Botanic Gardens, Wright Robinson, and Joseph Thomas. A special thanks to Richard Reading for both his excellent photographs and wonderful conservation stories.

I would also like to thank my wife, Fran, and our son, Jeremy, for their patience during these many months of intense work. I have enjoyed working with the team at Sinauer Associates that produced this book: our Editor Kathaleen Emerson, Photo Researcher Mark Siddall, Production Specialists Meg Britton Clark and Beth Roberge, Production Manager Joan Gemme, Editorial Assistant Stephanie Nisbet, and everyone else at Sinauer Associates/Oxford University Press who helped make this second edition the best possible book. Thanks also to Joseph Angelson and Andrei Kutateladze, Biology Chair and Dean, respectively, at the University of Denver for their support, and to my hundreds of students over the years who have taught me so much about the art and science of teaching.

Finally, I must thank Richard Primack for his mentorship, and for all of his input at every stage. It has been a deeply rewarding experience.

Anna A. Sher
Denver, Colorado
May 9, 2019

This new edition of *An Introduction to Conservation Biology* has been thoroughly revised by my coauthor Anna Sher to include the latest advances in conservation biology. I am particularly pleased by the addition of so many new figures that convey the excitement in the field. The future of this textbook is assured with the strong writing of Anna Sher combined with the skills and experience of the staff of Sinauer Associates/Oxford University Press. After writing and revising 14 conservation biology textbooks over 27 years, I am glad to be transferring the responsibility to such capable people.

Richard Primack
Boston University
May 9, 2019

Media & Supplements to accompany

An Introduction to **Conservation Biology** Second Edition



oup.com/he/she/2e

For the Student

The Companion Website for *An Introduction to Conservation Biology*, Second Edition provides students with study and review tools to help them master the material presented in the textbook, all free of charge and requiring no access code.

The site includes the following resources:

Chapter Summaries – Useful study aids summarizing each chapter's key concepts.

Chapter Quizzes – A set of 10 multiple-choice questions per chapter, designed to test student comprehension of the material covered in each chapter. All questions are aligned to the textbook's Learning Objectives.

Glossary – The complete glossary provides definitions for all bolded terms in the textbook.

For the Instructor

(Instructor resources are available to adopting instructors online. Registration is required. Please contact your Oxford University Press representative to request access.)

The Instructor Resources for *An Introduction to Conservation Biology*, Second Edition offer all the textbook's figures and tables, making it easy for instructors to incorporate visual resources into their lecture presentations and other course materials.

The site includes the following resources:

Figure JPGs – All the figures and tables from the textbook, formatted for optimal legibility when projected. Complex images are provided in both whole and split versions.

Figure PowerPoint Slides – All the figures and tables from each chapter, with titles on each slide, and complete captions in the Notes field.

eBook (ISBN 9-781-60535-898-7)

An Introduction to Conservation Biology, Second Edition, is available as an eBook via several different eBook providers, including RedShelf and VitalSource. Please visit the Oxford University Press website at oup.com/ushe for more information.

An Introduction to
**Conservation
Biology**
SECOND EDITION



The future of many species such as this endangered orangutan in Gunung Palung National Park, Borneo, Indonesia, depends on the work of conservation biologists working with managers and policymakers.



Photo by Tim Laman

1

y-
systems,
ng
oogle
, has
3
to both
d of
ound
es of
years to
, coral
, if not
ons are
il. 2015).
ved ca-
nctions

E. O.
and their

During the last 200 years, the human population has exploded. It took more than 160,000 years for the number of *Homo sapiens* to reach 1 billion, an event that occurred sometime around the year 1805. Estimates for 2019 put the number of humans at 7.7 billion, with a projected 9.7 billion by 2050 (United Nations, un.org/wpp/DataQuery/population) (FIGURE 1.1). At this size, even a modest rate of population increase adds tens of millions of individuals each year. It is notable that the human population is growing even faster than projected; the estimate for 2050 has been revised upward by 300 million people since just 2016.

The demands of the rapidly increasing human population and its rising material consumption correspond to an acceleration of threats to biodiversity. People deplete natural resources such as firewood, coal, oil, timber, fish, and game, and they convert natural habitats to land dominated by agriculture, cities, housing developments, logging, mining, industrial plants, and other human activities. These changes are not easily reversible, and even aggressive programs to slow population growth do not adequately address the environmental problems we have caused (Bradshaw and Brook 2014).




FIGURE 1.1 The human population in 2018 stood at about 7.7 billion. The World Resources Institute (WRI) estimates current annual population growth at 1.1%, but even this modest growth rate will add more than 70 million people to the planet in the next year. This number will escalate each year as the increase is compounded. (After K. Klein Goldewijk et al. 2016. *HYDE 3.2 Earth Syst Sci Data* 9: 927–953, and *World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision*. 2017. United Nations DESA/Population Division.)

Worsening the situation is the fact that as countries develop and industrialize, the consumption of resources increases. For example, the average citizen of the United States uses more than three times more total energy than the average global citizen (US Energy Information Administration 2018). In terms of oil, the US citizen uses seven times more than the average Costa Rican citizen, and nearly eleven times more than the average Indian citizen (World Bank 2018, <https://data.worldbank.org>). However, the country with the greatest energy use is now China, reflecting recent development in that country and growing per capita use (**FIGURE 1.2**). The ever-increasing number of human beings and their intensifying use of natural resources have direct and harmful consequences for the diversity of the living world (Tilman et al. 2017).

Threats to biodiversity directly threaten human populations as well because people depend on the natural environment for raw materials, food, medicines, air, and the water they drink. The poorest people are, of course, the ones who experience the greatest hardship from damaged environments because they have fewer reserves of food and less access to medical supplies, transportation, and construction materials.




FIGURE 1.2 Population numbers and total energy use by country. Energy use, such as the burning of fossil fuels, is generally associated with negative environmental impacts. Energy use is not simply a function of population size; per capita use (energy/population) has important implications. Even though rapidly developing China's per capita use is lower than that of either the United States or Russia, its large population means that it uses the most energy and thus has the greatest environmental impact. Although India has a much larger population than either the United States or Russia, its energy use is more similar to Russia's because of India's low per capita use. (After US Energy Information Administration 2018. U.S. EIA, International Energy Outlook 2018, p.2. https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/ieo/india/pdf/india_detailed.pdf.)

The New Science of Conservation Biology

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section you should be able to:

1. Trace the history of ideas about the conservation of nature over human history and across cultures.
2. Contrast the three guiding ethical principles of the field of conservation biology.
3. Identify the interdisciplinary elements of conservation biology for a case study of species management.

The avalanche of species extinctions and the wholesale habitat destruction occurring in the world today is devastating, but there is reason for hope. The last several decades have included many success stories, such as that of the American bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), which was rescued from near extinction due to a combination of scientific inquiry, public awareness, and political intervention. Actions taken—or bypassed—during the next few decades will determine how many of the world's species and natural areas will survive. It is quite likely that people will someday look back on the first half of the twenty-first century as an extraordinarily exciting time, when collaborations of determined people acting locally and internationally saved many species and even entire ecosystems. In a recent review of nearly 13,000 conservation biology publications, more than half of the research papers measuring conservation outcomes reported positive results (Godet and Devictor 2018). Examples of such conservation efforts and positive outcomes are described throughout this book.

Conservation biology is an integrated, multidisciplinary scientific field that has developed in response to the challenge of preserving species and ecosystems. It has three goals:

1. To document the full range of biological diversity on Earth
2. To investigate human impact on species, genetic variation, and ecosystems
3. To develop practical approaches to prevent the extinction of species, maintain genetic diversity within species, and protect and restore biological communities and their associated ecosystem functions

The first two of these goals involve the dispassionate search for factual knowledge that is typical of scientific research. The third goal, however, defines conservation biology as a **normative discipline**—that is, a field that embraces certain values and attempts to apply scientific methods to achieving those values (Lindenmayer and Hunter 2010). Just as medical science values the preservation of life and health, conservation biology values the preservation

of species and ecosystems as an ultimate good, and its practitioners intervene to prevent human-caused losses of biodiversity.

Conservation biology arose in the 1980s, when it became clear that the traditional applied disciplines of resource management alone were not comprehensive enough to address the critical threats to biodiversity. The applied disciplines of agriculture, forestry, wildlife management, and fisheries biology have gradually expanded to include a broader range of species and ecosystem processes. Conservation biology complements those applied disciplines and provides a more general theoretical approach to the protection of biodiversity. It differs from these disciplines in its primary goal of long-term preservation of biodiversity.


Like medicine, which applies knowledge gleaned from physiology, anatomy, biochemistry, and genetics to the goal of achieving human health and eliminating illness, conservation biology draws on other academic disciplines within biology including taxonomy, evolution, ecology, and genetics. Many conservation biologists have come from these ranks. Others come from backgrounds in the applied disciplines, such as forestry and wildlife management. In addition, many leaders in conservation biology have come from zoos and botanical gardens, bringing with them experience in locating rare and endangered species in the wild and then maintaining and propagating them in captivity.

Conservation biology is also closely associated with, but distinct from, **environmentalism**, a widespread movement characterized by political and educational activism with the goal of protecting the natural environment. Conservation biology is a scientific discipline based on biological research whose findings often contribute to the environmental movement.

Because much of the biodiversity crisis arises from human pressures, conservation biology also incorporates ideas and expertise from a broad range of fields outside of biology (**FIGURE 1.3**). For example, environmental law and policy provide the basis for government protection of rare and endangered species and critical habitats. Environmental ethics provides a rationale for preserving species. Ecological economists provide analyses of the economic value of biological diversity to support arguments for preservation. Climatologists monitor the physical characteristics of the environment and develop models to predict environmental responses to disturbance and climate change. Both physical and cultural geography provide information about the relationships among elements of the environment, helping us understand causes and distributions of biodiversity and how humans interact with it. Social sciences, such as anthropology and sociology, provide methods to involve local people in actions to protect their immediate environment. Conservation education links academic study and fieldwork to solve environmental problems, teaching people about science and helping them realize the value of the natural environment. Because conservation biology draws on the ideas and skills of so many separate fields, it can be considered a truly multidisciplinary discipline.

Conservation biology merges applied and theoretical biology by incorporating ideas and expertise from a broad range of scientific fields toward the goal of preserving biodiversity.

FIGURE 1.3 Conservation biology represents a synthesis of many basic sciences (left) that provide principles and new approaches for the applied fields of resource management (right). The experiences gained in the field, in turn, influence the direction of the basic sciences. (After S. A. Temple. 1991. In *Challenges in the Conservation of Biological Resources: A Practitioner's Guide*, D. J. Decker et al. (Eds.), pp. 45–54. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.)



The roots of conservation biology

Religious and philosophical beliefs about the relationship between humans and the natural world are seen by many as the foundation of conservation biology (Singh et al. 2017). Eastern philosophies such as Taoism, Hinduism, and Buddhism revere wilderness for its capacity to provide intense spiritual experiences. These traditions see a direct connection between the natural world and the spiritual world, a connection that breaks down when the natural world is altered or destroyed. Strict adherents to the Jain and Hindu religions in India believe that all killing of animal life is wrong. Islamic, Judaic, and Christian teachings are used by many people to support the idea that people are given the sacred responsibility to be guardians of nature (**FIGURE 1.4**; see the section “Environmental Ethics” in Chapter 3). Many of the leaders of the early Western environmental movement that helped to establish parks and wilderness areas did so because of strong personal convictions that developed from their Christian religious beliefs. Contemporary religious leaders have pointed out that some of the most profound moments in the Bible occur on mountaintops, in the wilderness, or on the banks of rivers (Korngold 2008). In Native American tribes of the Pacific Northwest, hunters undergo purification rituals in order to be considered worthy, and the Iroquois consider how their

actions would affect the lives of their descendants after seven generations. Pawnee Eagle Chief Letakots-Lesa is quoted as saying, “Tirawa, the one above, did not speak directly to man ... he showed himself through the beasts, and from them and from the stars, the sun, and the moon should man learn” (from Burlin 1907).

Examples of humans safeguarding nature can be found throughout history and across the globe. For example, the ancient Greek book by Hippocrates, “*De aëre, aquis et locis*” (Air, Waters, and Places), could be considered the earliest surviving European work on the topic of protecting nature. In the early modern era, actions to protect forests include successful advocacy in the 1760s by the Frenchman Pierre Poivre, who had observed the relationship between deforestation and regional climate change (Grove 2002), and the Bishnoi Hindus of Khejarli in India, who gave their lives protecting trees from being felled for the palace of Maharaja of Jodhpur in 1720.

Modern conservation biology in Europe and the United States arose in parallel through individuals and works concerned for landscapes and living organisms, both for their intrinsic value and their utility to humans. In Europe, Romanticism was a movement in the early 1800s that emphasized appreciation of nature, partially in response to the Industrial Revolution. Meanwhile in the United States, nineteenth-century transcendentalist philosophers Ralph Waldo Emerson and Henry David Thoreau wrote about wild nature as an important element in human moral and spiritual development. Emerson (1836) saw nature as a temple in which people could commune with the spiritual world and achieve spiritual enlightenment. Thoreau was both an advocate for nature and an opponent of materialistic society, writing about his ideas and experiences in *Walden*, a book published in 1854 that has influenced many generations of students and environmentalists. Eminent American wilderness advocate John Muir used the transcendental themes of Emerson and Thoreau in his campaigns to preserve natural areas. According to Muir’s **preservationist ethic**, natural areas such as forest groves, mountaintops, and waterfalls have spiritual value that is generally superior to the tangible material gain obtained by their exploitation (Muir 1901).

Subsequent leaders paved the way for conservation biology as an applied academic discipline. Gifford Pinchot, the first head of the US Forest Service, developed a view of nature known as the **resource conservation ethic** (Ebbin 2009). He defined natural resources as the commodities and qualities found in nature, including timber, fodder, clean water, wildlife, and even beautiful landscapes (Pinchot 1947). The proper use of natural resources, according to the resource conservation ethic, is whatever will further “the greatest good of the greatest number [of people] for the longest time.” From the perspective of



Photo by Anna Sher

FIGURE 1.4 Religious convictions combined with a history of traditional relationships with nature motivate the grassroots conservation organization Kakamega Environmental Education Program (KEEP), established in 1998 to protect one of the last remnants of tropical forest left in Kenya, East Africa.

Preservation of natural resources, ecosystem management, and sustainable development are major themes in conservation biology.

conservation biology, **sustainable development** is development that best meets present and future human needs while respecting ecosystem function (Mathevet et al. 2018).

Shortly thereafter, biologist Aldo Leopold published *A Sand County Almanac* (1949), which also illustrated the interrelatedness of living things and their environment, promoting the idea that the most important goal of conservation is to maintain the health of natural ecosystems and ecological processes (Leopold 2004). As a result, Leopold and many others lobbied successfully for certain parts of national forests to be set aside as wilderness areas (Shafer 2001).

This idea of considering the ecosystem as a whole, including human populations, is now termed the **land ethic**.

Marine biologist Rachel Carson (**FIGURE 1.5**) is credited with raising public awareness of the complexity of nature with her best-selling books, including *Silent Spring* (1962), which brought attention to the dangers of pesticides and spurred an international environmental movement. A more recent approach known as **ecosystem management**, which combines ideas of Carson, Leopold, and Pinchot, places the highest management priority on cooperation among businesses, conservation organizations, government agencies, private citizens, and other stakeholders to provide for human needs while maintaining the health of wild species and ecosystems.

Depictions of nature in the creative and performing arts have also played an important role in the growing awareness of the value of nature and its preservation in the United States. In the mid-nineteenth century, prolific painters of the Hudson River School were noted for their romantic depictions of “scenes of solitude from which the hand of nature has never been lifted” (Cole 1965). Photographer Ansel Adams (1902–1984) took

brehtaking images of wild America, helping to foster public support for its protection (**FIGURE 1.6**). Popular singer-songwriter John Denver (1943–1997) inspired interest in conservation with songs such as his 1975 hit *Rocky Mountain High*:

*Now he walks in quiet solitude the forest and the streams,
seeking grace in every step he takes ... His life is filled with
wonder but his heart still knows some fear of a simple thing
he cannot comprehend: why they try to tear the mountains
down ... more people, more scars upon the land.*

Clearly, the arts play a unique role in fostering interest in conservation; it has been argued that in fact science and art are inextricable (Bullot et al. 2017).



© Pictorial Press Ltd/Alamy Stock Photo

FIGURE 1.5 Rachel Carson (1907–1964) was a marine biologist who, through her popular writing, including *Silent Spring* (1962), helped to found both conservation biology and the environmental movement.



FIGURE 1.6 The photographs of Ansel Adams (1902–1984) showed the public the beauty of wild spaces, such as this image of the Snake River and the Tetons in Wyoming, USA.

In Europe, dramatic losses of wildlife caused by the expansion of agriculture and use of firearms stimulated the modern British conservation movement, leading to the founding of the Commons, Open Spaces and Footpaths Preservation Society in 1865, the National Trust for Places of Historic Interest or Natural Beauty in 1895, and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds in 1899. Altogether, these groups have preserved nearly 1 million hectares (ha) of open land (**TABLE 1.1** provides an explanation of the term *hectare* and other measurements). More recently, the formation of the European Union has facilitated conservation in a variety of ways, in part by establishing ambitious objectives for conservation and habitat management. In 2010, it established the EU Biodiversity Strategy 2020 with a goal of halting species loss. This was supported by the establishment of “Nature Directives” and other legislation to guide resource use and species management. Perhaps the most important action of the European Union has been the establishment of a network of protected areas, called the Natura 2000 (see Chapter 9). In contrast to its origins in the United States, biological conservation in Europe has had a more integrated view of human

TABLE 1.1 Some Useful Units of Measurement

Length	
1 meter (m)	1 m = 39.4 inches = ~3.3 feet
1 kilometer (km)	1 km = 1000 m = 0.62 mile
1 centimeter (cm)	1 cm = 1/100 m = 0.39 inches
1 millimeter (mm)	1 mm = 1/1000 m = 0.039 inches
Area	
1 square meter (m ²)	Area encompassed by a square, each side of which is 1 meter
1 hectare (ha)	1 ha = 10,000 m ² = 2.47 acres 100 ha = 1 square kilometer (km ²)
Mass	
1 kilogram (kg)	1 kg = 2.2 pounds
1 gram (g)	1 g = 1/1000 kg = 0.035 ounces
1 milligram (mg)	1 mg = 1/1000 g = 0.000035 ounces
Temperature	
degree Celsius (°C)	°C = 5/9(°F - 32) 0°C = 32° Fahrenheit (the freezing point of water) 100°C = 212° Fahrenheit (the boiling point of water) 20°C = 68° Fahrenheit ("room temperature")
Energy	
British Thermal Unit (BTU)	The amount of energy it takes to increase the temperature of 1 pound of water 1 degree Fahrenheit

society and ecosystems as a whole, rather than envisioning a dichotomy of man versus nature (Linnell et al. 2015).

Many societies worldwide similarly have strong traditions of nature conservation and land protection. Tropical countries such as Brazil, Costa Rica, and Indonesia have a history of reverence for nature, and their governments have established increasing numbers and areas of national parks. The economic value of these protected areas is constantly increasing because of their importance for tourism and the valuable ecosystem services they provide, such as purifying water and absorbing carbon dioxide (see Chapter 3). Many tropical countries have established agencies to regulate the exploration and use of their biodiversity, and these efforts increasingly involve the indigenous peoples who depend on and have unique knowledge of these ecosystems. Hunting and gathering societies, such as the Penan of Borneo, give thousands of names to individual trees, animals, and places in their surroundings

As demonstrated by the conservation tradition in Europe, habitat degradation and species loss can catalyze long-lasting conservation efforts.

to create a cultural landscape that is vital to the well-being of the tribe. Indeed, traditional societies throughout the world have influenced and enriched modern conservation biology.

A new science is born

By the early 1970s, scientists throughout the world were aware of an accelerating biodiversity crisis, but there was no central forum or organization to address the issue. Scientist Michael Soulé organized the first International Conference on Conservation Biology in 1978 so that wildlife conservationists, zoo managers, and academics could discuss their common interests. At that meeting, Soulé proposed a new interdisciplinary approach that could help save plants and animals from the threat of human-caused extinctions, which he called *conservation biology* (Soulé 1985). Subsequently, Soulé, along with colleagues including Paul Ehrlich of Stanford University and Jared Diamond of the University of California at Los Angeles, began to develop conservation biology as a discipline that would combine the practical experience of wildlife, forestry, fisheries, and national park management with the theories of population biology and biogeography. In 1985, this core of scientists founded the Society for Conservation Biology. This organization, which continues today with an international membership, sponsors conferences and publishes the scientific journal *Conservation Biology*. That journal is now joined by many peer-reviewed publications that feature research in conservation biology, such as *Biological Conservation* and *Conservation Letters*, expanding our understanding of the importance of biodiversity and how it can be protected.

Public and policymaker awareness of the value of, and threats to, biodiversity greatly increased following the international Earth Summit held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992 (see Chapter 12). At this meeting, representatives of 178 countries formulated and eventually signed the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), which obligates countries to protect their biodiversity but also allows them to obtain a share in the profits of new products developed from that diversity. In 2000, the United Nations General Assembly adopted May 22 as International Biodiversity Day to commemorate the conference, and in 2015, the United Nations Climate Change Conference (COP21) was attended by 196 parties and resulted in the Paris Agreement to reduce global greenhouse emissions. Arguably, this increase in understanding and concern would not have been possible without the foundation of a recognized scientific discipline.

Interdisciplinary approaches, the involvement of local people, and the restoration of important environments and species all attest to progress in the science of conservation biology.

The interdisciplinary approach: A case study with sea turtles

Throughout the world, scientists are using the approaches of conservation biology to address challenging problems, as illustrated by the efforts to save the Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*). The Kemp's ridley is the rarest and smallest of the world's sea turtle species, at 70–100 cm (2–3 feet) long and about 45 kg (100 pounds). It also has the most restricted range, which

has contributed to its rarity and risk of extinction (see Chapter 6). However, its numbers have dramatically increased as a result of international conservation efforts and cooperation among scientists, conservation organizations, government officials, and the interested public.

After its discovery as a distinct species in the late 1800s, it took nearly a century for scientists to determine how and where the Kemp's ridley reproduces (Wibbels and Bevan 2015). They discovered that nearly 95% of Kemp's ridley nesting takes place on beaches in the state of Tamaulipas, in the northeastern corner of Mexico, in highly synchronized gatherings of turtles called *arribada*. The largest *arribada* ever documented was 40,000 nesting females in 1947 (Wibbels and Bevan 2016). This highly concentrated nesting is unusual among turtles and makes the species particularly vulnerable to intensive harvesting. Over many decades, locals collected an estimated 80% of Kemp's ridley eggs from the nesting beaches for eating, in addition to those lost from predation by coyotes (*Canis latrans*). Thousands of turtles also drowned in fishing gear, especially when caught accidentally in shrimp nets. By 1985, turtle numbers had declined to a low point of only 702 nests (an estimate of the number of breeding females) worldwide, making the Kemp's ridley the most endangered sea turtle in the world.

Heeding the warning of wildlife biologists that the species was nearing extinction, government officials from Mexico and the United States worked together to help the species recover and establish stable populations. As a first step, nesting beaches were protected as refuges, reserves, and parks (see Chapter 9 for the importance of protected areas). Egg collection was banned. And at sea, shrimp trawlers were required to use turtle excluder devices (TEDs), consisting of a grid of bars with an opening that allows a caught turtle to escape.

In addition to reducing threats, a collaborative group of national and state agencies and conservation organizations in Mexico and the United States has undertaken an ambitious effort to increase nest and hatchling survival and to improve education and appreciation of sea turtle conservation. In the United States, national park authorities began to reestablish a population on Padre Island in Texas, where the species had formerly occurred. From 1978 to 1988, scientists, conservationists, and volunteers collected 22,507 eggs from Mexico, packed them in sand, and transported them to Padre Island National Seashore, which is managed by the US National Park Service (Caillouet et al. 1997). Because most turtles die as hatchlings, the turtles were reared in captivity for 9–11 months to allow them to grow large enough to avoid most predators before being released permanently into the Gulf of Mexico. These types of release programs will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 8.

Now, each year, the staff at Padre Island, many partner organizations, and over a hundred volunteers patrol the beach during the nesting season, searching for Kemp's ridleys and their nests. When they find nests, teams carefully excavate them and bring the eggs to an incubation facility or a large screen enclosure called a corral. When the young hatchlings are released, it is now a public event that doubles as an education tool—the hope is that the people watching each release will become advocates for the turtles' protection (see

the photograph in Figure 1.7). Outside the national seashore, private conservation organizations also help protect the turtles on their feeding grounds (see more on conservation outside of protected areas in Chapter 10). The program has been tremendously successful, and there are now an average of nearly 18,000 nests on the island each year, with over 750,000 hatchlings (FIGURE 1.7). Unfortunately, habitat degradation is still a leading threat to this endangered species (discussed in Chapter 4). The number of nests and hatchlings dropped by 35% in 2010, the same year as the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill that is believed to have killed hundreds of juvenile turtles (Caillouet et al. 2018). Turtles' contact with the oil was confirmed through satellite transmitter tracking (FIGURE 1.8) as well as chemical analysis of their shells (Reich et al. 2017). Scientists determined that turtles were ingesting oil from the spill by measuring the abundance of certain forms of carbon molecules in their shells, a process called *stable isotope analysis*.




FIGURE 1.7 A conservation biologist from the binational Gladys Porter Zoo crew releases Kemp's ridley sea turtles (*Lepidochelys kempii*) off the coast of Tamaulipas, Mexico. Populations of these turtles increased after intense conservation efforts on the part of the US and Mexican governments and the implementation of devices that reduced turtle deaths associated with fishing. Despite ongoing egg collection and re-introduction, the population decreased after the

Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil spill. And yet a record number of nests was recorded in 2017. However, this is far from historic numbers, and another population dip in 2018 underlines the necessity of continued research and management. (1966–2014 data from La Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas, as reported in Gallaway et al. 2016. *Gulf of Mexico Science* 33 [2]. 2015–2018 data courtesy of Gladys Porter Zoo.)



FIGURE 1.8 A Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*) with a tracking device mounted to its shell climbs out of the sand. The device transmits signals to a satellite, which then can be recorded by scientists, allowing the movements of the turtle to be determined over long distances.

However, despite the threat from the oil spill, a study of the nests in Mexico found predation rates to be low and hatchling survival high (Bevan et al. 2014), and by 2017, 24,586 nests were recorded—the highest number in the 40-year history of the Kemp's Ridley Bimational Project. These nests produced a record number of eggs, and on May 26, 2018, the largest *arribada* was observed since the initiation of the project, estimated to be between eight and ten thousand turtles. And later in 2018, 828,462 hatchling turtles were released back into the sea. Even with such success, periodic population dips since the oil spill raise concerns about food availability and habitat quality, highlighting the need for continued species management and research (Caillouet et al. 2018).

Scientific scrutiny, international partnerships, and the participation of volunteers and local communities have brought the Kemp's ridley sea turtle back from the brink of extinction, and all of those involved will continue to seek the answers leading to its complete recovery. A variety of scientific tools and conservation strategies have been useful in the recovery of this and many other species of sea turtles that have had significant population gains in recent years (Mazaris et al. 2017).

The Organizational Values of Conservation Biology

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section you should be able to:

1. Relate the five organizational values of conservation biology to its history as a normative discipline.
2. Identify novel examples of biophilia.

Earlier in the chapter, we mentioned that conservation biology is a normative discipline in which certain value judgments are inherent. The field rests on an underlying set of principles that is generally agreed on by practitioners of the discipline (Soulé 1985; "Organizational Values," *sensu* Society for Conservation Biology 2016) and can be summarized as follows:

1. *Biological diversity has intrinsic value.* Species and the biological communities in which they live possess value of their own, regardless of their economic, scientific, or aesthetic value to human society. This value is conferred not just by their evolutionary history and unique ecological role, but also by their very existence. (See Chapter 3 for a more complete discussion of this topic.)
2. *The untimely extinction of populations and species should be prevented.* The ordinary extinction of species and populations as a result of natural processes is an ethically neutral event. In the past, the local loss of a population was usually offset by the establishment of a new population through dispersal. However, as a result of human activity, the loss of populations and the extinction of species has increased by more than a hundredfold, with no simultaneous increase in the generation of new populations and species (see Chapter 6).
3. *The diversity of species and the complexity of biological communities should be preserved.* In general, most people agree with this principle simply because they appreciate biodiversity; it has even been suggested that humans may have a genetic predisposition to love biodiversity, called **biophilia** (FIGURE 1.9) (Wilson 2017). Many of the most valuable properties of biodiversity are expressed only in natural environments. Although the biodiversity of species may be partially preserved in zoos and botanical gardens, the ecological complexity that exists in natural communities will be lost without the preservation of natural areas (see Chapter 9). Furthermore, biodiversity has been directly linked to ecosystem productivity and stability (Hautier et al. 2015), among other values (see Chapter 3).

There are ethical reasons why people want to conserve biodiversity, such as belief that species have intrinsic value.



FIGURE 1.9 People enjoy seeing the diversity of life, as illustrated by the popularity of planting gardens and public botanical gardens as tourist destinations. Butchart Gardens in Victoria, British Columbia, Canada are shown here.

© Xuonhu Weng/Shutterstock.com

4. *Science plays a critical role in our understanding of ecosystems.* It is not enough to simply value diversity and protect natural spaces; objective research is necessary to identify which species and environments are at greatest risk, as well as to understand the nature of these risks and how to mitigate them. Ideally, scientists are involved in all stages of conservation, including implementation of conservation actions and monitoring results.
5. *Collaboration among scientists, managers, policymakers, and the public is important and often necessary.* In order to achieve the goals of reduced extinction rates and preservation of biological communities, high-quality research findings must be shared with those who create the laws and provide the funding for conservation actions, with those who must implement them, and with those who live and work in the areas affected. These actions are most likely to succeed when they are based on scientifically sound information and are supported by local people.

Not every conservation biologist accepts every one of these principles, and there is no hard-and-fast requirement to do so. Individuals or organizations that agree with even two or three of these principles are often willing to support conservation efforts. Current progress in protecting species and ecosystems has been achieved in part through partnerships between traditional conservation organizations such as The Nature Conservancy and cattle ranchers, hunting clubs like Ducks Unlimited, and other groups with a vested interest in the health of ecosystems.

Looking to the Future

The field of conservation biology has set itself some imposing—and absolutely critical—tasks: to describe Earth's biological diversity, to protect what remains, and to restore what is degraded. The field is growing in strength, as indicated by increased governmental participation in conservation activities, increased funding of conservation organizations and projects, and an expanding professional society.

In many ways, conservation biology is a crisis discipline. Decisions about selecting national parks, species management, and other aspects of conservation are made every day under severe time pressure (Martin et al. 2017). As one of the guiding values mentioned above, biologists and scientists in related fields seek to provide the advice that governments, businesses, and the general public need in order to make crucial decisions, but because of time constraints, scientists are often compelled to make recommendations without thorough investigation. Decisions must be made, with or without scientific input, and conservation biologists must be willing to express opinions and take action based on the best available evidence and informed judgment (Garrard et al. 2016). They must also articulate a long-term conservation vision that extends beyond the immediate crisis (Wilhere 2012).

Despite the threats to biodiversity and the limitations of our knowledge, we can detect many positive signs that allow conservation biologists to be

cautiously hopeful (Godet and Devictor 2018; Roman et al. 2015). Per capita energy use in the United States, while still high, has been decreasing; in 2014 it was the lowest it has been since the 1960s (World Bank). The number of protected areas around the globe continues to increase, particularly the number of marine protected areas. The involvement of the public in collecting meaningful data and advocating for conservation continues to rise, facilitated by the ubiquity of smartphones, user-friendly “apps,” and social media. Technology and science are evolving, providing tools for both the study and preservation of species.

These gains are due in part to action spurred by the public. Increasing numbers of social and religious leaders have rallied for the protection of biodiversity. As one powerful example, the first papal encyclical focused solely on the environment (*Laudato Si: On Care for Our Common Home*) was released by Pope Francis in 2015, in which our obligation to “till and keep the garden of the world” (as directed in *Genesis 2:15*) was explained thus:

“Tilling” refers to cultivating, ... while “keeping” means caring, protecting, overseeing and preserving. This implies a relationship of mutual responsibility between human beings and nature. Among positive experiences in this regard, we might mention, for example, ... the binding Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

Our ability to protect biodiversity has been strengthened by a wide range of local, national, and international efforts. Many endangered species are now recovering as a result of such conservation measures (International Union for Conservation of Nature 2019). Effective action has resulted from our continuing expansion of knowledge in conservation science, the developing linkages with rural development and social sciences, and our increased ability to restore degraded environments. All of these advances suggest that progress is being made, despite the enormous tasks still ahead.

Summary

- Human activities are causing the extinction of thousands of species both locally and globally, with threats to species and ecosystems accelerating due to human population growth and the associated demands for resources.
- Conservation biology is a field that combines basic and applied disciplines with three goals: to describe the full range of biodiversity on Earth; to understand human impact on biodiversity; and to develop practical approaches for preventing species extinctions, maintaining genetic diversity, and protecting and restoring ecosystems.
- Elements of conservation biology can be found in many cultures, religions, and forms of creative expression, and began to develop in the United States and Europe in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. The modern field of conservation biology became a recognized scientific discipline with a professional society and academic journals by the 1980s.

Summary (continued)

- Conservation biology rests on a number of underlying assumptions that are accepted by most professionals in the discipline: biodiversity has value in and of itself; extinction from human causes should be prevented; diversity at multiple levels should be preserved; science plays a critical role, and scientists must collaborate with nonscientists to achieve our goals.
 - The conservation of biodiversity has become an international undertaking. Many successful projects, such as the conservation of Kemp's ridley sea turtles, indicate that progress can be made.
-

For Discussion

1. Explain the connection between human population growth and species loss.
 2. How is conservation biology fundamentally different from other branches of biology, such as physiology, genetics, or cell biology? How is it similar to the science of medicine? How is it different from environmentalism?
 3. What do you think are the major conservation and environmental problems facing the world today? What are the major problems facing your local community? What ideas for solving these problems can you suggest? (Try answering this question now, and once again when you have completed this book.)
 4. Consider the public land management and private conservation organizations with which you are familiar. Do you think their guiding philosophies are closest to the resource conservation ethic, the preservation ethic, or the land ethic? What factors allow them to be successful or limit their effectiveness? Learn more about these organizations through their publications and websites.
 5. How would you characterize your own viewpoint about the conservation of biodiversity and the environment? Which of the religious or philosophical viewpoints of conservation biology stated here do you agree or disagree with? How do you, or could you, put your viewpoint into practice?
-

Suggested Readings

- Carson, R. 1962. *Silent Spring*. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. Essays written over a period from 1958–1962 on the devastating effects of pesticide use on ecosystems, particularly birds.
- Godet, L. and V. Devictor. 2018. What conservation does. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 33: 720–730. A quantitative review of the conservation literature that reveals global patterns and reasons for optimism.
- Horton, C., T. R. Peterson, P. Banerjee, and M. J. Peterson. 2015. Credibility and advocacy in conservation science. *Conservation Biology* 30: 23–32. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12558. The nature of conservation biology as a normative science means that there can be a potential conflict between the dispassionate nature of science and the interest in promoting conservation policy.
- Kloor, K. 2015. The battle for the soul of Conservation Science. *Issues in Science and Technology* 31: 74. The ongoing debate between those who believe that the field should be primarily guided by “nature for nature’s sake” and others who believe that human needs should have greater weight.
- Leopold, A. 1949. *A Sand County Almanac*. Oxford University Press, New York. Leopold’s evocative essays articulate his “land ethic,” defining human duty to conserve the land and the living things that thrive upon it.
- Mazaris, A. D., G. Schofield, C. Gkazinou, V. Almpanidou, and G. C. Hays. 2017. Global sea turtle conservation successes. *Science Advances* 3: e1600730. While many species are doing better, most still require intensive conservation effort to secure their future.
- Pimm, S. L. and 8 others. 2014. The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science* 344: 1246752. Most species on the planet are not yet known to science, having restricted geographic ranges and therefore being at risk of going extinct before they are ever known.
- Soulé, M. E. 1985. What is conservation biology? *BioScience* 35: 727–734. Key early paper defining the field, and still relevant today for its emphasis on the intrinsic value of biodiversity.
- Tilman, D., M. Clark, D. R. Williams, K. Kimmel, S. Polasky, and C. Packer. 2017. Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention. *Nature* 546: 73. There are means to address conservation threats. Proactive international efforts could still preserve much of the planet’s remaining biodiversity.
- WWF. 2018. *Living Planet Report—2018: Aiming Higher*. M. Grooten and R. E. A. Almond (Eds.). WWF, Gland, Switzerland. Alarming losses still threaten species and ecosystems in many parts of the world.

KEY JOURNALS IN THE FIELD *Biodiversity and Conservation, Biological Conservation, BioScience, Conservation Biology, Conservation Letters, Ecological Applications, National Geographic, Trends in Ecology and Evolution*



Go to oup.com/he/sher2e

for chapter quizzes, summaries, and other study resources.

Diverse wildflowers bloom on a hillside in the Weminuche Wilderness in the San Juan Mountains in Colorado, U.S.A. The harsher, more rocky ecosystem shown in the distance is likely to be dominated by much smaller plants and lichens. Each population within these diverse ecosystems will have varying levels of genetic diversity. Diversity on multiple scales is necessary to create the multitudes of species we observe on Earth.



What Is Biodiversity?

Species Diversity 25

Genetic Diversity 32

Ecosystem Diversity 34

Biodiversity Worldwide 43

The protection of biological diversity is central to conservation biology. Conservation biologists use the term *biological diversity*, or simply *biodiversity*, to mean the complete range of species and biological communities on Earth, as well as the genetic variation within those species and all ecosystem processes. By this definition, biodiversity must be considered on at least three levels (**FIGURE 2.1**):

1. *Species diversity* All the species on Earth, including single-celled bacteria and protists as well as the species of the multicellular kingdoms (plants, fungi, and animals).
2. *Genetic diversity* The genetic variation within species, both among geographically separate populations and among individuals within single populations. A **population** is a group of individuals that mate with one another and produce offspring; species may contain one or many populations.
3. *Ecosystem diversity* The different biological communities and their associations with the chemical and physical environment (the **ecosystem**).

All three levels of biodiversity are necessary for the continued survival of life as we know it, and all are important to people (e.g., Mori et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2017). All of these levels are also currently facing significant threats, to be discussed in Chapters 4–6, although threats to species diversity tend to receive the most attention.




FIGURE 2.1 Biological diversity includes genetic diversity (the genetic variation found within each species, as shown with the ladybird beetles) species diversity (the range of species in a given ecosystem), and ecosystem diversity (the variety of habitat types and ecosystem processes extending over a given region).

Species diversity reflects the entire range of evolutionary and ecological adaptations of species to particular environments. It provides people with resources and resource alternatives; for example, a tropical rain forest or a temperate swamp with many species produces a wide variety of plant and animal products that can be used as food, shelter, and medicine. **Genetic diversity** is necessary for any species to maintain reproductive vitality, resistance to disease, and the ability to adapt to changing conditions. For example, it was recently discovered that genetic variability helped rare frog populations survive a deadly fungal disease (Savage et al. 2018). Genetic diversity is of particular value in the breeding programs necessary to sustain and improve modern domesticated plants and animals and their disease

resistance (Mastretta-Yanes et al. 2018). **Ecosystem diversity** results from the collective response of species to different environmental conditions. Biological communities found in deserts, grasslands, wetlands, and forests support the continuity of proper ecosystem functioning, which provides crucial services to people, such as water for drinking and agriculture, flood control, protection from soil erosion, and filtering of air and water. We will examine each level of biodiversity in turn.

Species Diversity

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section you should be able to:

1. Distinguish between different species definitions.
2. Explain the specific difficulties in identifying what a species is.
3. Calculate alpha, gamma, and beta species diversity for a sample population/region.
4. Calculate diversity using the Shannon index for a sample population when relative abundances are provided.

Recognizing and classifying species is one of the major goals of conservation biology. Identifying the process whereby one species evolves into one or more new species is one of the ongoing accomplishments of modern biology. The origin of new species is normally very slow, taking place over hundreds, if not thousands, of generations. The evolution of higher taxa, such as new genera and families, is an even slower process, typically lasting hundreds of thousands or even millions of years. In contrast, human activities are destroying the unique species built up by these slow natural processes in only a few decades.

Every four years the world's largest conservation event is held, called the International Union for Conservation of Nature's World Conservation Congress (for more about the IUCN, see Chapters 7 and 9). One of the tasks of this international meeting of scientists, policymakers, and others was to come to agreements about the number of species that have currently been **described** (officially identified by science) and, for those species with enough information, how safe or endangered they are. The product of the meeting is a new formal list, most recently published in 2018, representing the result of years of work by thousands of people. One of the reasons it takes so long is because what counts as a unique species is not always clear.

What is a species?

Although seemingly a straightforward concept, how to distinguish a selection of organisms as a species is subject to great scientific discussion, and at least seven different ways of doing this have been proposed (Wiens 2007). The three most commonly used in conservation biology are:

1. *Morphological species*: A group of individuals that appear different from others—that is, that are morphologically distinct. A group that is distinguished exclusively by such visible traits as form or structure may be referred to as a **morphospecies**.
2. *Biological species*: A group of individuals that can potentially breed among themselves in the wild and that do not breed with individuals of other groups.
3. *Evolutionary species*: A group of individuals that share unique similarities in their DNA and hence their evolutionary past.

Because they rely on different methods and assumptions, these three approaches to distinguishing species sometimes do not give the same results. Increasingly, DNA sequences and other molecular markers are being used to identify and distinguish species that look almost identical. For example, a commonly harvested emperor fish in the southwest Indian Ocean was discovered through genetic analysis to actually be two species, with important implications for their management (Healey et al. 2018).

Using morphological and genetic information to identify species is a major activity for taxonomists; accurate identification of a species is a necessary first step in its conservation.

The **morphological definition of species** is the one most commonly used by **taxonomists**, biologists who specialize in the identification of unknown specimens and the classification of species. The **biological definition of species** is widely accepted, but it is problematic for groups of organisms in which different species readily interbreed, or **hybridize**, such as plants. Furthermore, the biological definition of species is difficult to use because it requires a knowledge of which individuals actually have the potential to breed with one another. Similarly, the **evolutionary definition of species** requires access to expensive laboratory equipment and so cannot be used in the field. As a result, field biologists must rely on observable attributes, and they may name a group of organisms as a morphospecies until taxonomists can investigate them more carefully to determine if they are a distinct species (e.g., Chan et al. 2015).

Ideally, specimens collected in the field are catalogued and stored in one of the world's >6500 natural history museums (for animals and other organisms) or its >300 or more major herbaria (for plants and fungi) (**FIGURE 2.2**). Increasingly, these physical records of global biodiversity are being digitized and shared on the World Wide Web for greater access and scientific use. Permanent collections curated by museums and herbaria form the basis of species descriptions and systems of classification. Each species is given a **binomial**—a unique two-part name—such as *Canis lupus* for the gray wolf. The first part of the binomial, *Canis*, identifies the genus (the canids, or dogs). The second part, *lupus*, identifies the smaller group within the genus, the species that is the gray wolf. This naming system both separates the gray wolf from and connects it to similar species—such as *Canis latrans*, the coyote, and *Canis rufus*, the red wolf.


Problems in distinguishing and identifying species are more common than many people realize. For example, a single species may have several

(A)



Photograph courtesy of Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. © President and Fellows of Harvard College

(B)



© Scott Dressel-Martin · Denver Botanical Garden

FIGURE 2.2 (A) An ornithologist at the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, classifying collections of orioles: black-cowled orioles (*Icterus prothemelas*), from Mexico, and Baltimore orioles (*Icterus galbula*), which occur throughout eastern North America. (B) Modern museums, including herbaria, are increasingly using digitization to safeguard data and

make it available to a broader global community. At the Kathryn Kalmbach Herbarium of Vascular Plants, high-resolution photos are taken of specimens in a specially designed light box. These images and associated specimen data are put online and shared among numerous digital museum data aggregators, such as the Global Biodiversity Information Facility.

varieties that have observable morphological differences yet are similar enough to be a single biological or evolutionary species. Different varieties of dogs, such as German shepherds, collies, and beagles, all belong to one species; their genetic differences are actually very small, and they readily interbreed. Alternatively, closely related “sibling” species appear very similar in morphology and physiology yet are genetically quite distinct (**FIGURE 2.3**). These are also known as **cryptic species**, which include the emperor fish discussed earlier.

To further complicate matters, individuals of related but distinct species may occasionally mate and produce **hybrids**, intermediate forms that blur the distinction between species. Hybridization is particularly common among plant species in disturbed habitats. Hybridization in both plants and animals frequently occurs when a few individuals of a rare species are surrounded by large numbers of a closely related species. For example, the endangered California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*) and the introduced barred tiger salamander (*A. mavortium*) are thought to have




FIGURE 2.3 (A) The two-barred flasher butterfly (*Astraptes* spp.) is a cryptic species complex in the skipper butterfly genus. (B) Although they are nearly identical as adults, differences at the larval stage prompted further investigation into differences between populations; a molecular analysis tool called DNA barcoding revealed that they were in fact different species.

evolved from a common ancestor five million years ago, yet they readily mate in California (**FIGURE 2.4**). The hybrid salamanders have a higher fitness and are better able to tolerate environmental pollution than the native species, *A. californiense*, further complicating the conservation of this endangered species (Ryan et al. 2013).

The inability to clearly distinguish one species from another, whether due to similarities of characteristics or to confusion over the correct scientific name, often slows down efforts at species protection. It is difficult to write precise, effective laws to protect a species if scientists and lawmakers are not certain which individuals belong to which species. At the same time, species are going extinct before they are even described. Tens of thousands of new species are being described each year, but even this rate is not fast enough. The key to solving this problem is to train more taxonomists and improve scientific collaboration, especially in the species-rich tropics (Baker et al. 2017).

Those conservation biologists primarily concerned with ecosystem function rather than individual species extinction have argued that a better measure than species diversity is **functional diversity**—that is, the diversity of organisms categorized by their ecological roles or traits rather than their taxonomy (Díaz and Cabido 2001; Gagic et al. 2015). Functional diversity has been found to increase ecosystem resilience against change (de la Riva et al. 2017) and is arguably more important than species diversity for understanding processes on the ecosystem scale (e.g., Dawud et al. 2017). Because of this, functional diversity is an especially important concept in the context of habitat restoration

(see Chapter 11). However, if our goal is to prevent untimely extinctions, we cannot avoid the task of identifying and measuring species diversity.

Measuring species diversity

Conservation biologists often want to identify locations of high species diversity. Quantitative definitions of species diversity have been developed by ecologists as a means of comparing the overall diversity of different communities at varying geographic scales (Bhatta et al. 2018).

At its simplest level, species diversity can be defined as the number of species present, called **species richness**. This number can be determined by several methods and at different geographic scales. Three diversity measurements are based on species richness:

- **Alpha diversity** is the number of species found in a given community, such as a lake or a meadow.
- **Gamma diversity** is the number of species at larger geographic scales that include a number of ecosystems, such as a mountain range or a continent.
- **Beta diversity** links alpha and gamma diversity and represents the rate of change of species composition as one moves across a large region. For example, if every lake in a region contained a similar array of fish species, then beta diversity would be low; on the other hand, if the bird species found in one forest were entirely different from the bird species in separate but nearby forests, then beta diversity would be high. There are several ways of calculating beta diversity; a simple measure of beta diversity can be obtained by dividing gamma diversity by alpha diversity.

We can illustrate these three types of diversity with a theoretical example of three mountain ranges (**FIGURE 2.5**). Region 1 has the highest alpha diversity, with more species per mountain on average (six species) than the other two regions. Region 2 has the highest gamma diversity, with a total of 10 species. Dividing gamma diversity by alpha diversity shows that Region 3 has a higher beta diversity (2.7) than Region 2 (2.5) or Region 1 (1.2) because all of its species are found on only one mountain each. In practice, indexes of diversity are often highly correlated. The plant communities of the eastern foothills of the Andes, for instance, show high levels of diversity at alpha, beta, and gamma scales.

More complex indexes, such as the **Shannon diversity index** (also called the *Shannon-Wiener index*), the Simpson index, and the Pielou



FIGURE 2.4 The hybrid tiger salamander (left) is larger than its endangered parent species, the California tiger salamander (right), and is increasing in abundance. Note the much larger head of the hybrid salamander.

From J. R. Johnson et al. 2010. *Funct. Ecol.* 24: 1073–1080

Identifying patterns of species diversity helps conservation biologists establish which locations are most in need of protection.




FIGURE 2.5 Biodiversity indexes for three regions, each consisting of three separate mountains. Each letter represents a population of a species; some species are found on only one mountain, while other species are found on two or three mountains. Alpha, gamma, and beta diversity values are shown for each region. If funds were available to protect only one region, Region 2 should be selected because it has the greatest gamma (total) diversity. However, if only one mountain could be protected, a mountain in Region 1 should be selected because these mountains have the highest alpha (local) diversity—that is, the greatest average number of species per mountain. Each mountain in Region 3 has a more distinct assemblage of species than the mountains in the other two regions, as shown by the higher beta diversity. If Region 3 were selected for protection, the relative priority of the individual mountains should then be judged based on how many unique species are found on each mountain.

evenness index, take the relative abundance of different species into account; by these measures, a community dominated by a few species is less diverse than one with a more even distributions of species, even with the same species richness. The Shannon diversity index (H) is calculated as

$$H = -\sum [p_i \times \ln(p_i)]$$

That is, the negative sum ($-\Sigma$) of the proportion (p) of each species (i) is multiplied by the natural log ($\ln$) of p . In a simple example, let's imagine two ponds, each of which has five fish species. In Pond A, 60% of the individuals are orange carp and each of the remaining four species only represents 10% of the individuals. In Pond B there are also five fish species, but each species has equal numbers of individuals, or 20% of the total. Using the Shannon diversity index, Pond B will have a greater diversity than Pond A (**FIGURE 2.6**). In some cases, one pond may even have a greater number of species but

a lower diversity index than another pond if its community is dominated by one or a few particular species. Note that, like the richness values explained above, diversity measures of this type can be calculated at different scales and therefore are useful only as relative, rather than absolute, values. Furthermore, these quantitative definitions of diversity capture only part of the broad definition of biodiversity used by conservation biologists, and new ones continue to be developed (Iknayan et al. 2014). Although each has its limitations, they are




FIGURE 2.6 If each circle represents a random sample of fish from a pond and colors represent species, both have the same species richness: 5. However, the Pond A sample is dominated by a single species (6 orange fish out of a total of 10 fish, or 60% of the total), while each of the other four species has only 10% of the total. In contrast, the sample from

Pond B has perfect evenness; that is, each of the five species has the same number of individuals, or 20% of the total. Therefore we would consider Pond B to have greater species diversity. We can further quantify this by calculating H , a measure of diversity, as shown in each table, with Pond A having a diversity of 1.23 and Pond B having a diversity of 1.61.

useful for comparing regions and highlighting areas that have large numbers of native species requiring conservation protection.

Genetic Diversity

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section you should be able to:

1. Predict possible outcomes of novel situations in which there is limited or no genetic diversity.
2. Identify when molecular tools are appropriate for different conservation applications.

Conservation biology also concerns itself with the preservation of genetic diversity within a species. This level of diversity is important because it provides evolutionary flexibility: when environmental conditions change, a genetically diverse species is more likely to have traits that allow it to adapt. Rare species often have less genetic variation than widespread species and, consequently, are more vulnerable to extinction (Szczenińska et al. 2016; see Chapter 6).

How does genetic diversity arise?

Genetic diversity arises because individuals have slightly different forms of their **genes**, the units of the chromosomes that specify the synthesis of specific proteins. These different forms of a gene are known as **alleles**, and their physical position on the chromosome is the gene's **locus** (plural is loci). The different alleles originally arise through **mutations**—changes that occur in the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) that constitutes an individual's chromosomes. These changes to the DNA can be minute or quite large, as occurs when entire segments of DNA move about the genome, called **transposable elements**. For example, it was discovered that transposable elements were responsible for a mutation that turned British peppered moths (*Biston betularia*) from light to dark (van't Hof et al. 2016). Most mutations do not result in new traits, but when they do, they contribute to genetic variation that could become important for a population's survival. When pollution during the Industrial Revolution killed lichens, thus turning previously light-barked trees black, those individual moths with the mutation of having dark wings were better able to hide from predators (Cook et al. 2012). In more recent times, however, environmental regulations have led to cleaner air, and lighter-winged moths have become more abundant. Thus, genetic diversity arising from mutations has been important for the persistence of a species in the face of environmental change over time.

Although the peppered moth example shows how a single allele can make a profound difference for a species, most traits occur because of the combined effects of many genes. Thus, genetic variation can also increase when offspring receive unique combinations of genes and chromosomes from their parents via the **recombination** of genes that occurs during sexual




FIGURE 2.7 There is genetic variation within individuals due to variation in the alleles of particular genes and variation between chromosomes. There is also genetic variation between individuals within populations and among separate populations. (After K. T. Scribner et al. 2006. In *Principles of Conservation Biology*, 3rd Ed., M. J. Groom et al. (Eds.), p. 377. Oxford University Press/Sinauer, Sunderland MA.)

reproduction. Genes are exchanged between chromosomes, and new combinations are created when chromosomes from two parents combine to form a genetically unique offspring. Although mutations provide the basic material for genetic variation, the random rearrangement of alleles in different combinations that characterizes sexually reproducing species dramatically increases the potential for genetic variation (**FIGURE 2.7**).

The total array of genes and alleles in a population is the **gene pool** of the population, while the particular combination of alleles that any individual possesses is its **genotype**. The **phenotype** of an individual represents the morphological, physiological, anatomical, and biochemical characteristics of that individual that result from the expression of its genotype in a particular environment. Examples of phenotypes include eye color and blood type, physical qualities that are determined predominantly by an individual's genotype.

The amount of genetic variation in a population is determined by both the number of **polymorphic genes**—genes that have more than one allele—and by the number of alleles for each of these genes. The existence of a polymorphic gene also means that some individuals in the population will be **heterozygous** for the gene; that is, they will receive a different allele of the gene from each parent. On the other hand, some individuals will be **homozygous**; they will receive the same allele from each parent. All these levels of genetic variation contribute to a population's (and therefore a species') ability to adapt to a changing environment. We will see examples in Chapter 6 of how a species' survival can be affected by its capacity to evolve.

Measuring genetic diversity

As you can see, genetic diversity can be quantified in a number of ways, including measuring rates of homozygosity, number and/or abundance of

alleles for a gene, and identifying combinations of genes that contribute to trait diversity within a population or a species. **Conservation genetics** refers to the use of such genomic information to address issues within conservation biology. As described above, genetic tools can also allow us to identify new species and determine when hybridization has occurred. Other uses include but are not limited to:

- Describing or comparing the **genetic structure** (patterns of genotypes) of managed and wild populations
- Determining the capacity of a small or endangered population to respond to environmental change
- Observing population dynamics in the context of human impacts
- Detecting the presence of a cryptic species by sampling DNA in the environment (see below)
- Identifying species and origin of biological material as a means of controlling trade in endangered species

These uses of genomics in conservation will be discussed in greater detail in the chapters that follow; as you will see, this technology touches nearly every area of conservation biology today.

In most of the above cases, genotypes of a sample of individuals are determined, such as is done with leaf samples of individual rare plants (Zhou et al. 2018). Alternatively, the diversity of an entire population can be sampled at once, such as by genotyping the microorganisms in soil or water samples, referred to as **environmental DNA (eDNA) sampling** (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2018). Environmental DNA sampling can also be used to detect the presence of specific species that are otherwise difficult to track, such as testing water to detect rare amphibians (Goldberg et al. 2018). The tools used for genomics range from identifying DNA sequences themselves to looking at the diversity of proteins created by those sequences (called *allozymes*), among many other approaches. The genetic material used for such analyses may be taken from the nucleus of the cell, or alternatively from organelles such as mitochondria or chloroplasts. These latter sources of DNA are inherited only from the egg, not the sperm, and thus can provide information about the matrilineal line. Genomics is a rapidly evolving field that is increasingly being used to advance the goals of conservation biology.

Genetic variation within a species can allow the species to adapt to environmental change. New technologies allow scientists to measure multiple types of genetic diversity.

Ecosystem Diversity

Learning Objectives

By the end of this section you should be able to:

1. Draw, interpret, and analyze a food web, identifying trophic levels.
2. Apply the concept of “keystone” to specific species and resources.

Ecosystems are diverse, and this diversity is apparent even across a particular landscape. As we climb a mountain, for example, the structure of the vegetation and the kinds of plants and animals gradually change from those found in a tall forest to those found in a low, moss-filled forest to alpine meadow to cold, barren rock (see Chapter opening photo). As we move across the landscape, physical conditions (soil, temperature, precipitation, and so forth) change. One by one, the species present at our starting point drop out, and we encounter new species that were not found there. The landscape as a whole is dynamic and changes in response to the overall environment and the types of human activities that are associated with it.

What are communities and ecosystems?

A **biological community** is defined as the species that occupy a particular locality and the interactions among those species. A biological community, together with its associated physical and chemical environment, is termed an ecosystem (**FIGURE 2.8**). Many characteristics of an ecosystem result from ongoing processes, including water cycles, nutrient cycles, and energy capture. These processes occur at geographic scales that range from square meters to hectares to regional scales involving tens of thousands of square kilometers (see Table 1.1 for definitions of these metric terms). For example, in a temperate forest, rain falls and is absorbed by the soil. Some of that rain evaporates from the surface, some percolates to groundwater reserves, and some is taken up by plants that use it in photosynthesis, converting atmospheric CO_2 into carbohydrates. These plants may then be eaten by animals, which convert the carbohydrates back to energy through respiration, which releases CO_2 back into the environment. Other plants may decompose on the forest floor, releasing nutrients and providing energy for bacteria, fungi, and animals.

The physical environment, especially annual cycles of temperature and precipitation and the characteristics of the land surface, affects the structure and characteristics of a biological community and profoundly influences whether a site will support a forest, grassland, desert, or wetland. In aquatic ecosystems, physical characteristics such as water turbulence and clarity, as well as water chemistry, temperature, and depth, affect the characteristics of the associated **biota** (a region's flora and fauna). In turn, the biological community can alter the physical characteristics of an environment. For example, wind speeds are lower and humidity is higher inside a forest than in a nearby grassland. Marine communities such as kelp forests and coral reefs can affect the physical environment as well by buffering wave action.

Within a biological community, species play different roles and differ in what they require to survive. For example, many species of plants are pollinated only by certain types of insects and/or have their seeds dispersed by certain bird species (Carstensen et al. 2018). Similarly, animal species differ in their requirements, such as the types of food they eat and the types of resting and breeding places they prefer, collectively referred to as **habitat**. Even though a forest may be full of vigorously growing green plants, an

Within a community, each species has its own requirements for food, temperature, water, and other resources, any of which may limit its population size and its distribution.




FIGURE 2.8 A model of a field ecosystem, showing its trophic levels and simplified energy pathways.